	
	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
4	
5	
6	APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Presiding Examiner TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner
14	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Presiding Examiner
15	TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner
	June 10, 2010
17	Santa Fe, New Mexico
18	
19	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Presiding Examiner, and TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, June 10, 2010, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105
24	
25	Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-843-9241

- 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: At this time we will
- 2 call Case Number 14481, application of Cimarex Energy
- 3 Company for a nonstandard oil spacing unit and compulsory
- 4 pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Call for appearances.
- 5 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, Gary Larson of
- 6 Hinkle, Hensley, Shanor & Martin, for Cimarex.
- 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Any other appearances?
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, I don't mean
- 9 to spring this on Mr. Larson. I'm sorry. I got a call
- 10 last night at 5:30 from Harvey E. Yates Company
- 11 requesting that I enter my appearance. I'm sorry, Mr.
- 12 Larson. We're not planning on presenting anything. I'm
- 13 just entering my appearance this morning.
- 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: For the record, is
- 15 Harvey E. Yates a company that was noticed or required to
- 16 be noticed in this proceeding?
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: Yes, sir.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: You may proceed, Mr.
- 19 Larson.
- 20 MR. LARSON: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 21 Since we're unaware of any opposition, we'll be
- 22 presenting this case by affidavit.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: No objection has been
- 24 interposed to your doing so, so you may proceed.
- MR. LARSON: Thank you.

- 1 I've given the original of the affidavits to
- 2 the court reporter.
- 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you.
- 4 MR. LARSON: This case concerns an
- 5 application by Cimarex Energy Company for approval of a
- 6 nonstandard 160-acre spacing and proration unit in the
- 7 west half of the east half of Section 21, Township 19
- 8 South, Range 31 East, in Eddy County. The application
- 9 further seeks the pooling of all committed mineral
- 10 interests in the 160-acre project area from 2,500 feet
- 11 subsurface to the base of the Bone Spring formation.
- 12 As the Examiner noted, none of the uncommitted
- interest owners of the project have opposed Cimarex's
- 14 application. The proposed project area will be dedicated
- to a horizontal well that Cimarex calls the Penny Pincher
- 16 21 Fed. Com. Number 3H.
- 17 I direct your attention to the verified
- 18 statement of Mark Compton, who is a Cimarex landman, and
- 19 I've marked Mr. Compton's statement as Exhibit Number 1.
- 20 And Exhibit A to Mr. Compton's verified statement is a
- 21 C-102 and plat showing the location of the proposed
- 22 horizontal well.
- 23 As indicated, the surface location of the well
- 24 is 330 feet from the north line and 2,310 feet from the
- 25 east line of Section 21. Bottomhole location is 330 feet

- 1 from the south line and 100,980 feet from the east line.
- 2 As you'll note, the entire well will be in an orthodox
- 3 location.
- 4 As indicated in Mr. Compton's verified
- 5 statement, more than 30 days prior to the filing of
- 6 Cimarex's application, Mr. Compton sent certified letters
- 7 to all of the uncommitted interest owners within the
- 8 project area who are listed on pages 2 and 3 of his
- 9 verified statement. Mr. Compton enclosed with the
- 10 proposal letter an AFE and a proposed operating
- 11 agreement.
- Exhibit B to Mr. Compton's verified statement
- is an exemplar of the proposal letters that Mr. Compton
- 14 sent to the interest owners in the project area. A
- 15 letter identical to this was sent to each of the interest
- 16 owners listed in the statement.
- 17 Exhibit C to Mr. Compton's verified statement
- is Cimarex's AFE for the proposed horizontal well. As
- 19 noted in the AFE, the estimated dry-hole cost of the
- 20 Penny Pincher 21 Federal Com. Number 3H well is
- \$1,000,863, and the completed well cost is estimated to
- 22 be \$3,687,061.
- In its application, Cimarex is requesting the
- 24 establishment of overhead charges of \$7,000 a month for a
- 25 drilling well, and \$700 a month for a producing well.

- 1 And as set out in his verified statement, Mr. Compton is
- of the opinion that the requested charges are reasonable
- 3 and in line with the rates that the Division has
- 4 established for other Cimarex horizontal wells in the
- 5 area.
- 6 And after Cimarex sent out its proposal
- 7 letters and the application was filed, written notice of
- 8 the application and today's hearing was sent by certified
- 9 mail to all interest owners within the project area at
- 10 their correct addresses. The successful provision of
- 11 notice to the interest owners is addressed in my
- 12 affidavit of notice to interest owners, which I've marked
- 13 as Exhibit 2.
- 14 Personal notice of the application of today's
- 15 hearing also was sent via certified mail to offset
- 16 operators and interest owners within a quarter section of
- 17 the proposed project area. The successful provision of
- 18 the notice to these offset operators and interest owners
- 19 is addressed in my second affidavit, the affidavit of
- 20 notice to offset operators and interest owners, which is
- 21 marked as Cimarex Exhibit Number 3.
- The final exhibit that I've introduced, which
- 23 is marked as Number 4, is the affidavit of Lee Catalano
- 24 who is a senior geologist with Cimarex, and there are
- 25 three attachments to Mr. Catalano's affidavit. The first

- 1 is a structure map of the second Bone Spring sandstone
- 2 formation, which is the target for Cimarex's proposed
- 3 horizontal well.
- 4 The second attachment is a cross-section
- 5 prepared by Mr. Catalano, which shows the producing
- 6 interval and strategic target for horizontal wells in the
- 7 Bone Spring. The third exhibit is the horizontal
- 8 drilling plan for the proposed Penny Pincher 21 Federal
- 9 Com. Number 3H well.
- 10 And as stated in his affidavit, Mr. Catalano
- is of the opinion that all four quarter/quarter sections
- in the proposed project area are prospective in the Bone
- 13 Spring formation, and he anticipates that Cimarex will
- 14 produce reserves from each quarter/quarter section of the
- 15 project area.
- 16 At this point, Mr. Examiner, I move the
- 17 admission of Cimarex Exhibits 1 through 4.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibits 1 through 4 are
- 19 admitted.
- 20 (Exhibits 1 through 4 were admitted.)
- 21 MR. LARSON: In summation, I request that
- 22 the Division enter an order granting the relief requested
- 23 by Cimarex in its application, including the
- 24 establishment of a 160-acre oil spacing and proration
- unit in the west half/east half of Section 21; the

- 1 pooling of all uncommitted interests from 2,500 feet
- 2 subsurface to the base of the Bone Spring formation
- 3 within the project area; the dedication of the project
- 4 area to the Penny Pincher 21 Federal Com. Number 3H well;
- 5 designating Cimarex Energy of Colorado as the operator of
- 6 the Penny Pincher 21 Fed. 3H Number 1 well; authorizing
- 7 Cimarex to withhold a proportionate share of the
- 8 reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting
- 9 working interest owner; and as a charge for risk
- 10 involving in drilling the well, 200 percent of those
- 11 charges, and setting charges for supervision in the
- amounts of \$7,000 per month while Cimarex is drilling the
- 13 well, and \$700 a month while the well is being produced,
- 14 with annual adjustments made pursuant to the COPAS
- 15 accounting procedure.
- 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: That concludes your
- 17 presentation?
- 18 MR. LARSON: That concludes my
- 19 presentation.
- 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. This is the
- 21 160-acre strip immediately to the east of the proposed
- 22 unit that was the subject immediately preceding the case?
- MR. LARSON: That's correct.
- 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are you asking for the
- 25 same thing in terms of pooling; that is, a 40-acre

- 1 unit -- I believe in the previous, it was a 40-acre unit
- 2 from 2,500 to the base of the Bone Spring in the north
- 3 quarter/quarter section, and then a nonstandard
- 4 horizontal unit, north/south unit, in the Bone Spring
- 5 only?
- 6 MR. LARSON: That's correct. And that map
- 7 is indicated in the application.
- 8 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. That's from
- 9 2,500? Yeah, I see it here.
- 10 MR. LARSON: Yes. For both the 40-acre
- 11 and the 160-acre.
- 12 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. You're asking for
- 13 that entire interval in the lateral, also, although it
- 14 has been our policy not to grant that.
- Did you get green cards from all of the people
- 16 who you sent notice?
- 17 MR. LARSON: Yes.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: And there were no
- 19 unlocateable persons? You said you had addresses for
- 20 everyone?
- 21 MR. LARSON: We had good addresses for
- 22 everyone entitled to notice.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: That includes both the
- 24 offsets and the persons who have interest in the proposed
- 25 unit?