1	Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
4	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
6	REOPENED APPLICATION OF WILLIAMS Case No. 14521 PRODUCTION CO., LLC, FOR APPROVAL OF
7	A CLOSED-LOOP SYSTEM FOR THE ROSA SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL NO. 2 AND FOR IN-PLACE BURIAL OF DRILLING WASTES AT ANOTHER WELL LOCATION,
8	RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	DE NOVO APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY Case No. 14418 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING,
10	EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
12	REOPENED APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY Case No. 14480 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND
13	PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
14 15	APPLICATION OF AGUA SUCIA, LLC, TO Case No. 14411 REINSTATE DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER SWD-559 FOR A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LED COUNTY NEW MEXICO
16	LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
17	Case No. 10693; Case No. 11724; Case No. 11954; Case No. 11987; and Case No. 13048: Dismissed
18	Case No. 12276 and Case No. 12277, continued to December 9, 2010
19	
20	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
21	COMMISSIONER HEARING
22	BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman
23	JAMI BAILEY, Commissioner WILLIAM C. OLSON, Commissioner
24	November 4, 2010
25	Santa Fe, New Mexico

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 2 This matter came on for hearing before the New 1 Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, November 4, 2010, at the New 2 Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 3 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 4 REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91 5 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105 6 Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-843-9241 7 APPEARANCES 8 9 FOR THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION: MARK SMITH 10 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL 1220 South St. Francis Drive 11 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 12 FOR THE APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY CO .: 13 HINKLE, HENSLEY, SHANOR & MARTIN, LLP GARY W. LARSON, ESQ. 14 P.O. Box 2068 15 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2068 FOR LYNX PETROLEUM CONSULTANTS: 16 17 HOLLAND & HART, LLP OCEAN MUNDS-DRY, ESO. P.O. Box 2208 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 19 FOR THE APPLICANT AGUA SUCIA, LLC: 20 JAMES BRUCE, ATTORNEY AT LAW 21 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 22 FOR ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORPORATION: 23 HOLLAND & HART, LLP 24 WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ. P.O. Box 2208 25 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

		Page 3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2	Florene Davidson	
3	CIMAREX WITNESSES: CASE 14481	PAGE
4		
5	Mark Compton:	
6	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	9 23
7	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire Redirect examination by Mr. Larson	26 27 29
9	Lee Catalano:	
10	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	29 37
11	Examination by Commissioner Bailey	40
12	Examination by Chairman Fesmire Recross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{array}$
13	Further examination by Chairman Fesmire	45
	Michael Swain:	
14	Direct examination by Mr. Larson	46
15	Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry Examination by Commissioner Bailey	57 61
16	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	62
17	Redirect examination by Mr. Larson	64
18	CIMAREX WITNESSES: CASE 14480	PAGE
19	Mark Compton:	
20	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Examination by Commissioner Bailey	67 74
21	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	77
22	Redirect examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry Further redirect examination by Mr. Larson	82 83 84
23	_	01
24	Lee Catalano:	
	Direct examination by Mr. Larson	84
25	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	88

F

1	Michael Swain:	Page 4
		0.0
2	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	90 95
3		
4	LYNX PETROLEUM WITNESSES: CASES 14481, 14480	PAGE
5	Larry Scott:	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	98
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Larson on Exhibit 9	116
8	Examination by Commissioner Bailey on Exhibit 9	117
9	Examination by Chairman Fesmire on Exhibit 9	117
10	Continued direct examination by	
11	Ms. Munds-Dry Cross-examination by Mr. Larson	122 137
12	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Commissioner Olson	146 147
13	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	149
14	AGUA SUCIA WITNESSES: CASE 14411	PAGE
15		
16	Ben Stone:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-examination by Mr. Carr	172 206
18	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Commissioner Olson	228 232
19	Examination by Chairman Fesmire Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruce	234 239
	Recross-examination by Mr. Carr	240
20	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruce	246
21	Robert Lee:	
22	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-examination by Mr. Carr	247 272
23	Examination by Commissioner Bailey	279
24	Examination by Commissioner Olson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	280 281
25		
	·	

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1	EXHIBITS ADMITTED	Page 5
2	CIMAREX EXHIBITS 1 through 7 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 8 through 11 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 12 through 18	23 37 57
4	CIMAREX EXHIBITS 1 through 7 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 8 through 11 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 12 through 14	74 87 94
6 7	LYNX EXHIBITS 1 and 2 LYNX EXHIBITS 9 and 10	134 136
8 9	AGUA SUCIA EXHIBITS 1 through 9 and 18 AGUA SUCIA EXHIBITS 10 through 16, 16A and 17	206 272
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	285
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
un andre si analago		And cancel by Annual Statement ages, successing to the additional and an annual section of the section of the s

	Page 147
1	MR. SMITH: That's right. Perfect.
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we will
3	adjourn for lunch and reconvene at 1:45.
4	(A lunch recess was taken)
5	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let's go ahead and go
6	on the record. Right now we have a series of about a
7	half dozen cases that have been on the books for a while.
8	We're going to go ahead and call them and, basically, see
9	if there's any reason to keep them on the books.
10	The first is Cause Number 10693, the
11	application of Pronghorn Saltwater Disposal Systems for
12	saltwater disposal in Section 7, Township 20, Range 33
13	East in Lea County, New Mexico.
14	This case has been called to show cause why
15	the case should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time
16	period that the matter has been pending before the
17	Commission. Is that attorney in that case present?
18	Seeing none, we will go ahead and order Case
19	Number 10693 dismissed for want of prosecution.
20	The next one before the Commission is Case
21	Number 11724. It's the De Novo application of
22	Gillespie-Crow, Inc., for a unit expansion, statutory
23	unitization and qualification of an expanded area for the
24	recovered oil tax rate and certification of a positive
25	production response pursuant to the New Mexico Enhanced

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 148 Oil Recovery Act in Townships 15 and 16 South, Ranges 35 1 and 36 East, in Lea County, New Mexico. 2 This case has been called to order the 3 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should 4 not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period the 5 matter has been pending before the Commission. Are there 6 any attorneys present in that case? 7 MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, Scott Hall, 8 Montgomery & Andrews, for Energen Resources Corporation. 9 Energen is the successor unit operator to Gillespie-Crow 10 for the West Lovington Strawn Unit. And I would also 11 recommend that you call the next two cases. All three of 12 13 them involve the same subject matter, the Lovington Strawn Unit. 14 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. And who are you 15 going to represent in the other two? 16 17 MR. HALL: Energen. 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At Mr. Hall's request, we'll call Case (11954) the application of Hanley 19 Petroleum, Inc., and Yates Petroleum Corporation for 20 expansion of the West Lovington Strawn Unit, Townships 15 21 22 and 16 South, Ranges 35 and 36 East in Lea County, New 23 Mexico. This case is also called to allow the 24 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

	Page 149
1	not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period that the
2	matter has been pending before the Commission.
3	The third is Case Number 11987, the
4	application of EEX Corporation for a unit expansion,
5	statutory unitization and qualification of the expanded
6	unit area for the recovered oil tax rate certification of
7	positive production response pursuant to the New Mexico
8	Enhanced Oil Recovery Act, Township 16 South, Range 36
9	East in Lea County, New Mexico.
10	Mr. Hall, having called all three of those
11	cases, do you have a pertinent statement?
12	MR. HALL: On behalf of the successor unit
13	operator now to the West Lovington Strawn Unit, the unit
14	operator has no objection to dismissal of these cases,
15	provided the record makes clear that the underlying
16	orders approving the unit and subsequent operations
17	within the unit remain intact.
18	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: They were not issued in
19	these cases, were they?
20	MR. HALL: I can't remember. There were
21	so many of them.
22	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, I represented
23	Gillespie-Crow, and Mr. Carr represented Yates. This is
24	the only career case I ever had. It started in the
25	mid-'90s and went on for a decade or more.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

and a subscription of the subscription of the

Page 150 MR. CARR: It's gone on for so long, I 1 might even get fired. 2 MR. BRUCE: I think all matters have 3 finally been resolved in this matter, so I don't see any 4 5 problems --CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: We want to dismiss the 6 7 cases without doing damage to an order, apparently in a different case, establishing or expanding the unit. 8 MR. HALL: So long as the record is clear 9 that those orders are unaffected, I don't think it 10 11 matters if we dismiss the applications. They were 12 separate applications in separate cases where the unit was approved, unit expansions were approved, and modified 13 unit operations for injection. 14 15 MR. BRUCE: There must have been maybe a dozen and a half hearings at the Division. Maybe only 16 17 one of them ever made it up to the Commission level, at which time, I think by then, the parties had settled all 18 19 matters between themselves. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: You told me this was 20 21 going to be easy. 22 MR. BRUCE: So I concur with Mr. Hall, even though I'm out of it at this point. But as long as 23 the existing orders, and there were plenty of them, are 24 25 maintained in effect, I don't think anybody objects.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 151 MR. CARR: Since I no longer represent 1 2 anyone, I don't have a position. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. The Chairman 3 will therefore dismiss Cases 11724, 11954, 11987, with 4 the stipulation that that dismissal will not affect any 5 orders currently in place on the West Lovington Strawn 6 7 Unit. Is that correct? MR. HALL: That's correct. 8 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you very much, 9 Mr. Hall, I think. 10 11 The next case is Case Number 12276, the 12 application of Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company for compulsory pooling, Section 36, Township 27 North, Range 13 8 West, in San Juan County, New Mexico. 14 15 This case is being called to give the 16 applicant the opportunity to appear and show cause why the case should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time 17 period that this matter has been pending before the 18 19 Commission. It's been pending since June 23rd, 2000. 20 Are there any attorneys? Mr. Hall? 21 MR. HALL: Same situation, Mr. Chairman. 22 Scott Hall, Montgomery & Andrews, on behalf of Energen Resources Corporation. I also recommend you call the 23 24 next case, 12277, as well. They're related. 25 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we will

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 152 call Case Number 12277, the application of Burlington 1 Resources Oil & Gas Company for compulsory pooling in 2 Section 16, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, in San Juan 3 County, New Mexico. 4 This case has also been called to allow the 5 6 operator to appear and show cause why the application should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period 7 that the matter has been pending before the Commission. 8 Mr. Hall? 9 MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I represented 10 11 Energen Resources Corporation, among others. Energen and those parties were the de novo applicants involving a 12 contract dispute for compulsory pooling in the San Juan 13 There was a diversion to the courthouse on this 14 Basin. 15 It's in litigation, which went away. one. 16 In the meantime, a lot of the interests of 17 former clients have been transferred. I feel the obligation to try to at least contact them and make sure 18 19 they have no objection to dismissal. I don't think that 20 will be a problem. I haven't had the time to do that. 21 I would request these cases not be dismissed 22 until I've had that opportunity to contact those 23 successor interest owners. 24 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Cases Number 12276 and 12277 will be continued to the December 9th docket, at 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 153 which time Mr. Hall will let us know whether he does have 1 2 an objection. MR. HALL: I'll try. Yes, sir 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you, Mr. Hall. 4 Last but not least -- and I notice Mr. Hall is 5 still standing there -- Case Number 13048, the 6 application of Devon Energy Corporation, L.P., for 7 compulsory pooling, Section 4, Township 23 South, Range 8 34 East, in Lea County, New Mexico. 9 This case was also called to allow the 10 11 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period that this 12 matter has been pending before the Commission. It's been 13 pending since December 9th, 2004. 14 15 Mr. Hall? MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, Scott Hall, 16 17 Montgomery & Andrews, appearing on behalf of EGL Resources and Robert Landrik, both of whom were de novo 18 19 applicants in this case. I managed to find a letter in 20 my file to the Division at the time asking that these 21 applications be dismissed some time ago. We just never 22 followed up on it. No objection to dismissal. 23 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: With that, Case Number 13048 will be dismissed by the Chairman. That's the end 24 25 of the list. Thank you very much, Mr. Hall. Cleaned out

Page 154 1 both your cabinet and Florene's. Okay. At this time we will call the next case 2 on the docket. It is the application of Agua Sucia, LLC, 3 to reinstate Division Administrative Order SWD-559 for a 4 saltwater disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. It's 5 Case Number 14411. Are there attorneys present? 6 MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce, would you 8 state your appearance, please? 9 10 MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce, of Santa Fe, representing the applicant. I have two witnesses. 11 May it please the Commission? MR. CARR: 12 William F. Carr, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & 13 Hart, LLP. We represent Armstrong Energy Corporation in 14 15 this matter in opposition to the application, and I have 16 three witnesses. 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Bruce, do you have an opening statement? 18 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir 19 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Would you like to make 20 21 it now? 22 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 23 May it please the Commission? We're here 24 today concerning Government E Well No. 1, located in the southwest corner of the southwest guarter of Section 25, 25

	Page 273
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, JACQUELINE R. LUJAN, New Mexico CCR #91, DO
5	HEREBY CERTIFY that on November 4, 2010, proceedings in
6	the above captioned case were taken before me and that I
7	did report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set
8	forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and
9	correct transcription to the best of my ability.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
11	nor related to nor contracted with any of the parties or
12	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest
13	whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any
14	court.
15	WITNESS MY HAND this 17th day of November,
16	2010.
17	
18	
19	
20	$\bigcirc \qquad \qquad$
21	Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91 Expires: 12/31/2010
22	Expires: 12/31/2010
23	
24	
25	