STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL AND GAS COMPANY LP, BP AMOCO AND ENERGEN RESOURCES CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PILOT PROJECT INCLUDING UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATIONS AND AN EXCEPTION FROM DIVISION RULE 104.D.3 [19.15.15.11] FOR PURPOSES OF ESTABLISHING A PILOT PROGRAM IN THE PICTURED CLIFFS FORMATION TO DETERMINE PROPER WELL DENSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR PICTURED CLIFFS WELLS IN SAN JUAN, SANDOVAL AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 12857 (Re-opened)

RECEIVED OCD

4:1 - 42 SUY 0102

PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

This Pre-Hearing Statement is submitted by Beatty & Wozniak, P.C., on behalf of BP

America Production Company, as required by 19.15.4.13 NMAC, 12/1/08.

APPEARANCES

PARTY

BP America Production Company 501 Westlake Park Boulevard Houston, TX 77079

ATTORNEY

Kelly de la Torre Beatty & Wozniak, P.C. 500 Don Gaspar Avenue Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 983-8545 kdelatorre@bwenergylaw.com

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Application in this matter filed by Energen Resources Corporation seeks to present

the results and recommendations from the twenty five (25) well pilot project conducted by three

producers in specific areas of the Pictured Cliffs Formation in San Juan, Sandoval and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. BP America Production Company (BP) will report on the results from the three (3) wells it drilled in response to Order No. R-11848 in this matter. BP's position, based on production and pressure data as well as on current economic and market conditions, is that additional infill wells completed in the Pictured Cliffs Formation in BP's area of operations within the Application Lands is not warranted. BP takes no position regarding any of the other lands involved in the pilot project.

PROPOSED EVIDENCE

Witness: Linda Htein, Reservoir Engineer

Linda Htein graduated with honors from the University of Texas in 2007 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering. She has since worked for BP as a reservoir engineer for three (3) years. During her first year with BP, she supported the Gulf of Mexico Paleocene Appraisal Team, where her primary duty was developing a reservoir model for various appraisal studies. For the last two (2) years, she has been part of the San Juan Conventional Reservoir Management Team, where she has provided support regarding well work, reserves estimation, and evaluation of resource potential.

Time: 15 minutes

The affidavit of Linda Htein regarding the matters raised by the Application is attached. Ms. Htein will be present at the hearing, will adopt the affidavit as her testimony, and respond to questions or cross-examination under oath.

Exhibits: The affidavit of Linda Htein contains three tables and six figures which support Ms. Htein's testimony. No other exhibits are contemplated.

2

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

BP America Production Company has no procedural issues to raise at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

BEATTY & WOZNIAK, P.C.

60 By:

Kelly & la Torre 500 Don Gaspar Avenue Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 983-8545 Facsimile: (505) 983-8547

Attorneys for BP America Production Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this $26^{4^{\circ}}$ day of August, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Pre-Hearing Statement was served on the following via first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid or facsimile or electronic mail:

J. Scott Hall Attorneys for Energen Resources Corporation Montgomery & Andrews, P.A. P.O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, NM 87504 shall@montand.com

Kelly della Torre

161732.doc

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL AND GAS COMPANY LP, BP AMOCO AND ENERGEN RESOURCES CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PILOT PROJECT INCLUDING UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATIONS AND AN EXCEPTION FROM DIVISION RULE 104.D.3 [19.15.15.11] FOR PURPOSES OF ESTABLISHING A PILOT PROGRAM IN THE PICTURED CLIFFS FORMATION TO DETERMINE PROPER WELL DENSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR PICTURED CLIFFS WELLS IN SAN JUAN, SANDOVAL AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 12857 (Reopened)

AFFIDAVIT OF LINDA HTEIN

STATE OF TEXAS)
) SS.
COUNTY OF HARRIS)

Linda Htein, duly sworn and upon her oath states as follows:

1. I am a reservoir engineer and an employee of BP.

2. Based on current conditions, BP's position is that additional 80-acre infill drilling/completion of the Pictured Cliffs formation in BP's area of operations within the Application Lands is unwarranted. BP's area of operations is shown in Figure 1 attached. This is based primarily on pressure and production data observed from three pilot infill wells, but also inferentially upon current economic and market conditions. BP's position is based on current conditions, and its position may change under different circumstances or with new information.

3. In October of 2002, BP, Burlington, and Energen attended a hearing in this matter and received approval from the NMOCD to drill several Pictured Cliffs 80-acre pilot wells across the basin. In 2003, BP completed the Pictured Cliffs formation in three existing Mesaverde/Dakota wells. The deeper zones were temporarily abandoned so that production from the Pictured Cliffs could be analyzed as part of the 80-ac pilot. General information for these pilot wells is provided in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the locations of these wells in the basin.

4. In 2003, Pictured Cliffs pressure measurements were recorded in each of these three pilot wells using downhole gauges. Shut-in periods for the pressure build-up tests ranged from 6 hours to 4 days. Static bottomhole pressure measurements were also recorded in the offset 160-acre parent wells via pressure gradient surveys that were run after a shut-in period of no less than 2 days. All pressure measurements were measured after hydraulic fracturing. These results are summarized in Table 2.

5. Figure 2 shows measured pressure in the pilot well versus measured pressure in the corresponding parent well. Two of the three pilot wells showed higher measured pressure than its corresponding parent well. The measured pressure in Storey LS B 1A was slightly lower than that of its corresponding parent well, but this may be due to a large difference in the time permitted for pressure build-up. Figure 3 shows shut-in pressure relative to approximate original reservoir pressure. In all cases, pressure has been reduced to no more than 33% of the original reservoir pressure, implying substantial drainage beyond an 80-acre area.

6. Production performance from the three pilot wells varies. Peak production rates ranged from 200 to 300 mcfd, and current production rates (as of March 2010) range from 10 to 140 mcfd. All of the pilot wells were completed with nitrogen foam fractures. Only one of the

2

three pilot wells, GCU 204E, is equipped with wellhead compression, and it has exhibited the strongest performance amongst the three wells. The production data is summarized in Table 3.

7. Figures 4-6 show average monthly production rates of each pilot well and its corresponding parent well. In all three cases, the pilot wells came on stronger than their corresponding parent well, suggesting higher localized reservoir pressure at the pilot wells' locations. However, the pilot wells' peak production rates were no more than 34% of those seen in the parent wells, which were all greater than 750 mcfd. This indicates significant depletion at the pilot wells' locations due to parent well drainage.

8. The pressure and production evidence assembled by BP suggests that some portion of the pilot wells' production should be classified as rate acceleration rather than reserves addition. BP has not yet studied this in detail and, therefore, cannot comment on the quantity of incremental volumes produced by the pilot wells at this time.

9. There have been no specific economic evaluations of these wells to date, but based on other internal evaluations, newly drilled 80-acre infills with performance similar to these three BP pilot wells would likely be uneconomic with current drilling costs and gas prices.

10. The pressure and production evidence from these three BP pilot wells suggests that increased well density in the Pictured Cliffs is not currently appropriate in the areas of the Application Lands within which BP operates. BP is, therefore, not requesting an infill order from the NMOCD with respect to the BP operated units at this time. In the future, reduced drilling costs, increased gas prices, or new geological or reservoir information may result in a reevaluation of the viability of infill drilling of the Pictured Cliffs in these areas.

FURTHER, AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

LINDA HTEIN

3

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 26 day of August, 2010.

Suzanne M. . Notary Public na

My Commission Expires:

•

1-11-2013



Table 1. Pilot Well General Information

.

.

Well Name	API	Sec-Twn-Rng	Previous Zone	P.C. Completion Date	Offset PC Parent, Well	Distance to Offset PC (ft)
GCU 204E	30-045-25262	Sec 34 - T28N - R12W	DAKOTA	10/10/2003	GCU 24	1,226
STOREY B LS 1A	30-045-23381	Sec 11 - T30N - R11W	MESAVERDE	6/18/2003	STOREY B LS 5	976
TAPP LS 1	30-045-07317	Sec 22 - T28N - R8W	MESAVERDE	8/19/2003	TAPP LS 6	929

Table 2. Summary of Pressure Data

infill Well	2003 Infill Shut-in Pressure (psig)	Infill Shut-in Period (days)	Parent Well	2003 Parent Shut-in Pressure (psig)	Parent Shut-in - Period (days)	Approx Original Reservoir Pressure (psia)
GCU 204E	106	4.67	GCU 24	55	2.00	470
STOREY B LS 1A	207	3.00	STOREY B LS 5	217	195.00	640
TAPP LS 1	265	0.25	TAPP LS 6	171	23.00	1140

Table 3. Summary of Production Performance

InfillWell	PC First Production	Cumulative PC Production (mmcf)	Peak Rate (mcfd)	Current Rate (mcfd)	Compressed (Y/N)
GCU 204E	Dec-2003	341	209	140	Y
STOREY B LS 1A	Jun-2003	116	203	9	N
TAPP LS 1	Sep-2003	201	292	62	N

Volumes are based on IHS data as of March 2010.

PETRA &/20/2010 1 39:56 PM (A) F 12 122 12 The -10 BP-operated areas shown in green BP Pictured Cliffs 80-ac pilot wells -*** 10 A MAR in IL in the -12 123 San Juan Basin ------15 22 -NIT ALL 24 2N 124 1 (12) 125 14 #12 4 10 *** 14 14 142 32 Storey B I Pilling . 12 52 88 () 22 과 -22 10 -+10) 128 -----38, -12 24 - All 122 22 - ALL 122 が見 12 -----125 12 1 ^{*}LS 10 14 -GCU ::5 BP-operated areas " :** The second 1A == = 11 **** 313 11 204E 55 52 1 100 22 52 102 104 -10 108 55 10 Ld -2 na di **S**H -822 ----w25 10¹01 50 22 128 52 1 -----nĽ -117 - =N 822 -80 @120 -27 a latera Èm -13 NA Tapp To 72 P -12 22 Na ~~ -128 -123 23 14 -12 ~ -42 •2 -** 5 N -14 5 013 -44 - Li 62 -11 @.¥ s.N 61¥ e 22 -5 -10 fr. 1724 11:00 or -512 en ini ny 41.24 413 510 Wite 5 4%) 16¹ 1.1. *.2 -2 42 44 43 -1 AN -30 24 42 *** *14 -428 44 32 42 12 36 w w^N -5 ₩¥ 23 42 12g 5 C NH. NN P. 43 NG A.101 24 NN 200 N 40 20 N.14 22 N N 44 AJN B -15 -14 -igi 32 --126 -23 -NA -18 -31 -21 129 -12 -27 -12 -2 123 -18 131 -13 -11 -3 -2

Attachment to Affidavit of Linda Htein Case No. 12857 (Reopened) **BP EXHIBIT 2**

Figure 1. Pilot Well Locations







Figure 3. 2003 Shut-in Pressure Relative to Original Reservoir Pressure











