1	APPEARANCES		Page 2
2	For Marshall & Winston, Inc.:		
	JAMES GARRETT BRUCE, ATTORNEY AT LAW		
3	PO Box 1056		
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1056 505-982-2043		
5	jamesbruc@aol.com		
6	For David H. Arrington Oil & Gas, Inc.:		
7	HOLLAND & HART 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 14		
8	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 505-988-4421		
9	BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ. carr@hollandhart.com		
10			
11	INDEX	PAGE	
12	Opening Statement by Mr. Carr Opening Statement by Mr. Bruce	6 8	
13		0	
14	MONTY W. KASTNER Direct Examination By Mr. Carr Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	9 22	
15	BRIAN C. BALL		
16	Direct Examination By Mr. Carr	26	
17	ARTURO C. CARRASCO Direct Examination By Mr. Carr	32	
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	40	
19	KEVIN HAMMIT Direct Examination By Mr. Bruce	46	
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Carr	60	
21	JOHN D. SAVAGE	<i>C</i> 4	
22	Direct Examination By Mr. Bruce Cross-Examination by Mr. Carr	64 78	
23	Closing Argument by Mr. Carr Closing Argument by Mr. Bruce	84 86	
24	Reporter's Certificate	88	
25			

				Page 3
1		ARRINGTON EXHIBITS ADMITTED		
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3 4	ARR-1	Plat showing south half of Section 26 with a topographic overlay showing some well sites	22	
5	ARR-2	Chronologic index of documents and events	22	
6	ARR-3	Easement Agreement, 6/24/2010	22	
8	ARR-4	Letter from David H. Arrington Oil & Gas, Inc. to Marshall & Winston, Inc., 3/10/2010, with attachments	22	
9	ARR-5	Authority For Expenditure, 5/19/2010	22	
11	ARR-6	Affidavit of William F. Carr, 9/1/2020, with attachments	22	
12	ARR-7	Well log of Green Eyed Squealy Worm No. 1	30	
14	ARR-7A	Well log of Green Eyed Squealy Worm No. 1	40	
15 16	ARR-8	Workover prognosis for Green Eyed Squealy Worm No. 1	40	
17	ARR-9	Production history for Bill's Hopper No. 2 Well	40	
18				
19				;
20				
21		·		
22				
23				
24				
25				

\$000e

				Page 4
1		MARSHALL& WINSTON EXHIBITS ADMITTED		
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3	M&W-1	Packet of various documents	60	
4	M&W-2	Notice of Affidavit	60	
5	M&W-3	Reserves projection on a rate/time basis	77	
6	M&W-4	Lease economics	77	
7	M&W-5	Cross-section of 3 wells	77	
8	M&W-6	Cross-section of 3 wells	77	
9	M&W-7	Isopach of 13,000-foot sand	77	
10	M&W-8	Log from Maxwell 26 Well	77	
11	M&W-9	Log from Squealy Worm Well	77	
12	M&W-10	Log from Maxwell Trust Well	77	
13	M&W-11	Rate/Time graph	77	
14	M&W-12	Rate/Time Graph	77	
15	M&W-13	NYMEX Gas Contact Prices	77	
16				
17		·		
18		REQUESTED ON THE RECORD		
19	REQUEST			
20	No. 1	25 17		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
1				

K.

- 1 THE EXAMINER: I believe the next case is
- 2 Case No. 14538, application of Marshall & Winston,
- 3 Inc., to cancel an operator's authority and
- 4 terminate a spacing unit and approve a change of
- 5 operator, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce, of
- 8 Santa Fe, representing the applicant. And I think
- 9 this needs to be consolidated with the David H.
- 10 Arrington Oil & Gas case.
- MR. CARR: Which is Case 14497. They
- 12 involve the same property.
- May it please the Examiner?
- 14 THE EXAMINER: Yes.
- MR. CARR: I'd like to enter my
- 16 appearance. William F. Carr, of the Santa Fe office
- 17 of Holland & Hart. We represent David H. Arrington
- 18 Oil & Gas, Inc., in both of these cases, and I have
- 19 three witnesses.
- 20 THE EXAMINER: Okay. So let's also read
- in here that we're going to also be hearing
- 22 simultaneously case No. 14 -- what was it,
- 23 Mr. Bruce?
- MR. CARR: 14497, Mr. Examiner.
- THE EXAMINER: 14497, Application of

- 1 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas, Inc., for compulsory
- 2 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 3 MR. BROOKS: Which case number is that?
- 4 THE EXAMINER: That's over on page 9,
- 5 Case 14497 at the very bottom there.
- 6 MR. BROOKS: Okay. That's No. 22?
- 7 THE EXAMINER: Yes, No. 22.
- 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I have two
- 9 witnesses.
- 10 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Carr, do you have any
- 11 witnesses?
- MR. CARR: Yes, I have three.
- 13 THE EXAMINER: We need all the witnesses
- 14 to stand, state their name and be sworn.
- 15 (Monty W. Kastner, Arturo C. Carrasco,
- 16 Brian C. Ball, Kevin Hammit and John D. Savage were
- 17 duly sworn by the court reporter.)
- 18 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner?
- I have a brief opening statement.
- THE EXAMINER: Please.
- 21 MR. CARR: In this case, Mr. Examiner,
- 22 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas, Inc., seeks an order
- 23 for compulsory pooling in the Morrow formation and
- 24 other formations developed in 320 acre spacing under
- 25 the south half of Section 26, Township 15 South;

- 1 Range 34 East.
- The purpose of Mr. Arrington's application
- 3 is to attempt reentry in the Morrow Formation.
- 4 The evidence will show that in this
- 5 320-acre spacing unit, 80 of those acres is owned by
- 6 Marshall & Winston, and they own the tract on which
- 7 the well is located.
- 8 Arrington has leased the remainder of the
- 9 acreage in the 320-acre spacing unit that is the
- 10 subject of today's hearing.
- 11 The well on this acreage was drilled in
- 12 2004 to test deep gas and produce for a time from
- 13 the Morrow formation. That well was paid for by
- 14 Arrington and Marshall & Winston, and David H.
- 15 Arrington Oil & Gas is the Division-designated
- operator of the well.
- 17 The: well ceased producing several years
- 18 ago, and the leases and the joint operating
- 19 agreement covering this property have expired.
- 20 Arrington re-leased the interest in this tract, and
- 21 it acquired a surface easement from the surface
- 22 owner. So it has access to the wellbore.
- 23 Today Arrington believes the Morrow
- 24 remains prospective, unless they can reenter the
- 25 well before shallower zones are tested in the well.

- 1 These zones are not going to be tested, and
- 2 recoverable Morrow reserves could be lost.
- 3 Marshall & Winston proposes to complete
- 4 the well uphole in the Cisco. And before they do
- 5 that, we're simply asking for an opportunity to test
- 6 the Morrow.
- We're also prepared to state on the record
- 8 and my witnesses will confirm that in this effort,
- 9 Arrington will exercise not to do anything to the
- 10 well that would jeopardize its later use to access
- 11 shallower formations.
- 12 And I have three witnesses.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, just very
- 14 briefly, Marshall & Winston's position is that the
- 15 Morrow attempt will not be economical.
- 16 Furthermore, there was not a good-faith
- 17 effort made to obtain the voluntary joinder of
- 18 Marshall & Winston in this well. And therefore,
- 19 pooling should be denied.
- 20 If, however, the Division does approve the
- 21 pooling application of David H. Arrington,
- 22 Marshall & Winston would request two things.
- One was referred to by Mr. Carr, that
- 24 nothing be done to the well that would harm or
- 25 increase the cost of Marshall & Winston's proposed

- 1 reentry of the Cisco Canyon:
- 2 And furthermore, as you know, starting
- 3 last year sometime, pooling orders contained a
- 4 one-year period for the commencement of operations.
- 5 We would request that that time period be
- 6 considerably shortened, perhaps to the older
- 7 standard of approximately (90 days).
- 8 Since this is a reentry, I don't believe
- 9 that there is a need for a one-year period because
- 10 if the reentry is uneconomic, Marshall & Winston
- 11 would like to move forward as quickly as possible
- 12 with its recompletion attempt. Thank you.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 14 MR. CARR: At this time I would call my
- 15 first witness, Mr. Monty Kastner.
- MONTY W. KASTNER,
- 17 having been previously duly sworn, testified as
- 18 follows:
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. CARR:
- Q. Would you state your full name for the
- 22 record, please?
- 23 A. Yes. It's Monty W. Kastner.
- Q. Okay. Spell your last name.
- 25 A. It's K-A-S-T-N-E-R.

- 1 Q. Mr. Kastner, where do you reside?
- 2 A. I live in Midland, Texas.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 4 A. By David H. Arrington Oil & Gas.
- 5 Q. What is your current position with
- 6 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas?
- 7 A. I'm Vice President of Land and Legal.
- 8 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 9 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
- 10 A. I have not.
- 11 Q. Would you review, for Examiners Warnell
- 12 and Brooks, your educational background and your
- 13 work experience?
- 14 A. Most recently, from February of 2008, I've
- 15 been with David H. Arrington Oil & Gas in Midland,
- 16 Texas. Prior to that, I owned a consulting firm,
- 17 and we consulted for Chesapeake Energy in the
- 18 Barnett shale.
- 19 Prior to that, our primary customer was
- 20 Burlington Resources. Prior to moving to
- 21 Fort Worth, Texas, I continued in the oil and gas
- 22 consulting business in Denver, Colorado.
- 23 And prior to that, I held several
- 24 positions with Texas Pacific Oil & Gas, later
- 25 Ruback's Oil Company. Those positions were

- 1 exploration manager, division land manager, and land
- 2 manager for the US.
- I attended Casper College for three years.
- 4 And that's a brief summation of my experience and
- 5 educational background.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with the applications
- 7 filed in these cases?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. And are you familiar with the status of
- 10 the lands and the applications that are the subject
- 11 of this hearing?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Kastner as an
- 14 expert witness in petroleum land matters.
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 16 THE EXAMINER: So recognized.
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Kastner, would you
- 18 briefly state what it is that David H. Arrington
- 19 Oil & Gas, Inc., seeks with its application?
- 20 A. We would like to obtain a pooling order
- 21 that pools the entire half Section 26, Township 15
- 22 South, Range 34 East, Lea County New Mexico, Sto.
- 23 reenter the wellbore and attempt to reestablish
- 24 production in the Upper Morrow section.
- 25 Q. And you're talking about pooling the south

- 1 half of this section for formations on 320?
- 2 A. That's correct, the south half of
- 3 Section 26.
- 4 Q. And would you also seek to pool the
- 5 southeast quarter if in fact you encounter a
- 6 formation on 160 acres?
- 7 A. Yes, we would.
- 8 Q. What is the well to which you propose to
- 9 have this dedicated?
- 10 A. It's our Green Eyed Squealy Worm Well
- 11 No. 1.
- 12 Q. And where is that located?
- 13 A. It's situated 1,974 feet from the south
- 14 line and 1,129 feet from the east line of
- 15 Section 26, 15 South, 34 East.
- 16 Q. This would be a reentry?
- 17 A. Yes, it would.
- 18 Q. And is it Arrington's position that you
- 19 should attempt to recomplete the Morrow prior to
- 20 using this wellbore for production from shallower
- 21 formations?
- 22 A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. How many wells does David H. Arrington
- 24 operate in New Mexico?
- A. We have 53 wells that are either operated

- 1 or permitted.
- Q. And how many of those are deep gas wells?
- 3 A. Thirteen wells that are deep gas wells.
- 4 Q. Is the Green Eyed Squealy Worm one of
- 5 those wells?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. Did you pick the name Green Eyed Squealy
- 8 Worm?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Let's go to Arrington Exhibit No. 1.
- 11 Would you identify that and review that for the
- 12 Examiners?
- 13 A. That is a plat that we prepared that shows
- 14 the south half of Section 26 with a topographic
- overlay that shows some of the well sites in the
- 16 area.
- 17 The green outline shows the exclusive
- 18 access to the south half of Section 26. The red
- 19 outline shows the additional surface access across
- 20 the south half of Section 26 to our location.
- The acreage depicted in yellow is David H.
- 22 Arrington Oil & Gas leasehold interest. The acreage
- 23 being the north half of the southeast quarter
- 24 depicted in green is Marshall & Winston's mineral
- 25 interests.

- 1 And you can see where we've identified the
- 2 Green Eyed Squealy Worm, there is kind of a
- 3 waft-type patch in the green.
- Q. Does this exhibit also identify the
- 5 easement to the well?
- A. Yes, it does. In green, coming from the
- 7 south blue line, that is David H. Arrington Oil &
- 8 Gas exclusive easement.
- 9 To the edge of the section line, the red
- 10 line is a later easement that grants us access to
- 11 the well site.
- 12 Q. Let's go to David H. Arrington Exhibit
- 13 No. 2. Would you identify and review the
- 14 information on this exhibit?
- 15 A. That's a chronological index of documents
- 16 and events that we have in our files. It may not be
- 17 everything, but it's what we have in our files.
- 18 Q. Okay. Review generally for the Examiners
- 19 the history of your efforts to develop this acreage.
- 20 A. As you go down through there, it's rather
- 21 lengthy. It goes through the oil and gas leases,
- 22 the elections by the participants at the time.
- 23 Probably the most interest was when the
- 24 well was spudded. The well was spudded on
- 25 January 29, 2004. It was completed March 15th of

- 1 2004, and ready to produce generally on May 10th of
- 2 2004.
- Q. And who was the operator of that well?
- 4 A. David H. Arrington Oil & Gas.
- 5 O. When the well was initially drilled, did
- 6 Marshall & Winston participate in the drilling of
- 7 the well?
- 8 A. Yes, they did.
- 9 O. And in terms of the cost of the
- 10 development, were they shared by Arrington,
- 11 Marshall & Winston, and others?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. Who paid the largest share of actually the
- 14 drilling cost for this well?
- 15 A. David H. Arrington did.
- 16 Q. Did it produce from the Morrow formation?
- 17 A. Yes, it did.
- 18 Q. And how long did it produce?
- 19 A. It produced up until about March of 2007.
- 20 It produced intermittently until October of 2007,
- 21 according to our records.
- Q. And has it produced since that time?
- 23 A. It has not.
- 24 Q. What is the status of the leases and the
- 25 JOA that Mr. Arrington and others had governing this

- south half spacing unit?
- 2 A. The original oil and gas leases have all
- 3 expired by their own terms, as has the Joint
- 4 Operating Agreement covering the south half of
- 5 Section 26.
- 6 Q. Has Mr. Arrington acquired new leases on
- 7 acreage in the south half of the section?
- 8 A. Yes, we have.
- 9 O. And what is the current status of the
- 10 ownership of the south half of that section?
- 11 A. Within the south half of Section 26,
- 12 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas owns 75 percent of the
- 13 working interest. Marshall & Winston, by virtue of
- 14 their mineral interest, would own 25 percent of the
- 15 14 acres.
- 16 Q. When did Mr. Arrington propose the reentry
- 17 of this well?
- 18 A. That was done -- let's see if I have that
- 19 date right in front of me here. That was done in
- 20 March of 2010.
- Q. Was that a proposal to complete in the
- 22 Morrow or the Cisco?
- 23 A. That was a workover for the Cisco.
- Q. And what response did you receive from
- 25 Marshall & Winston?

- 1 A. We did receive a written response, but we
- 2 received a verbal response that there was no
- 3 interest in participation from Marshall & Winston.
- 4 Q. And what happened internally at David
- 5 Arrington at that time?
- 6 A. I had asked our production foreman to go
- 7 out to the wellsite and take an inventory of the
- 8 equipment on site. And we revisited the Green Eyed
- 9 Squealy Worm in house to determine if we should
- 10 reexamine the Morrow formation before the well was
- 11 abandoned.
- 12 Q. Did you determine that it would be prudent
- to try to reestablish production in the Morrow prior
- 14 to moving uphole?
- 15 A. Yes, we did.
- 16 Q. And will Arrington call an engineering
- 17 witness to review the considerations related to that
- 18 determination?
- 19 A. Yes, we will.
- Q. What is David H. Arrington Exhibit No. 3?
- 21 A. That's an easement that grants us access
- 22 from the road to the south. I apologize, I don't
- 23 have the name of the road. But it's an exclusive
- 24 easement for the access across the south half of
- 25 Section 26 from the surface owner to the wellbore,

- 1 and if the surface owner has rights to the wellbore,
- 2 the right to use that.
- 3 O. The surface is severed from the mineral
- 4 and has stayed under this acreage; has it not?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And the easement is broad in its terms; is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 O. And the intent was to be able to access
- 10 the well without any surface issue if in fact you're
- 11 allowed to reenter it to reestablish production in
- 12 the Morrow?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. The primary objective in your reentry
- 15 attempt is the Morrow Formation?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. In this pooling application, what
- 18 interests are subject to pooling?
- 19 A. The interest of Marshall & Winston.
- 20 O. Are the other interests in this south half
- 21 proposed unit owned by Arrington and committed to
- 22 the reentry?
- 23 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Could you identify and review Arrington
- 25 Exhibit No. 4 and just simply state what this is?

- 1 A. This was the proposal to Marshall &
- 2 Winston to reenter the well and do a Cisco workover
- 3 procedure.
- 4 Q. Since that time, have you proposed the
- 5 reentry in the Morrow?
- 6 A. Yes, we have.
- 7 Q. And have you received a response from
- 8 Marshall & Winston to that?
- 9 A. We have not.
- 10 Q. In your opinion, have you made a
- 11 good-faith effort to obtain Marshall & Winston's
- voluntary participation in this reentry attempt?
- 13 A. I believe we have.
- Q. Could you identify what has been marked
- 15 Arrington Exhibit No. 5?
- 16 A. That's the Authority for Expenditure to
- 17 reenter the wellbore and reestablish Morrow
- 18 production.
- 19 Q. And is this AFE limited to just the costs
- 20 associated with the reentry attempt in the Morrow?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And what are the totals, as set forth on
- 23 that exhibit?
- A. The total cost of the AFE is \$160,650.
- Q. Is Arrington Oil & Gas willing to expend

- this sum to take one last look at the Morrow
- 2 formation?
- A. Yes, we are.
- Q. Are these costs in line with what is
- 5 charged by other operators for similar recompletion
- 6 attempts?
- 7 A. I would defer to our engineering counsel
- 8 to answer that.
- 9 Q. Have you made an estimate of overhead and
- 10 administrative costs to be assessed if in fact the
- 11 well is successful in this reentry attempt?
- 12 A. We have.
- 13 Q. And what are they?
- 14 A. They are 8,000 for a drilling well rate,
- 15 \$800 per month for producing oil.
- 16 Q. And do you recommend these figures be
- incorporated in any order that results from today's
- 18 hearing?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Does Arrington request that the overhead
- 21 and administrative costs set by this order
- 22 periodically be adjusted in accordance with COPAS
- 23 procedures?
- 24 A. We do.
- Q. Do you request that a risk charge be

- 1 imposed on Marshall & Winston if they do not
- 2 participate in the reentry attempt?
- 3 A. We do.
- Q. And this risk would then apply, of course,
- 5 only to the costs associated with the reentry?
- 6 A. That Is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. Does David H. Arrington Oil & Gas
- 8 seek to remain operator of this well?
- 9 A. We do.
- 10 Q. And is Exhibit No. 6 an affidavit with
- 11 attached letters confirming that notice of today's
- 12 hearing has been provided in accordance with the
- 13 rules of the OCD?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. And were Arrington Exhibits 1 through 8—
- 16 either prepared by you or compiled under your
- 17 direction or supervision?
- 18 A. They were prepared under my supervision.
- 19 Q. Can you testify as to their accuracy?
- 20 A. Yes, I can.
- 21 MR. CARR: At this time, may it please the
- 22 Examiners, we move the admission of Arrington
- 23 Exhibits 1 through 6.
- 24 THE EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 6 are
- 25 admitted.

- 1 (Arrington Exhibits 1 through 6,
- 2 inclusive, were admitted.)
- 3 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct
- 4 examination of Mr. Kastner.
- 5 MR. BROOKS: I think you addressed this
- 6 issue, but I have forgotten. Marshall & Winston,
- 7 did they own an interest in this property under the
- 8 prior leases before this well was drilled?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Before the Green Eyed
- 10 Squealy Worm?
- MR. BROOKS: Yes.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I assume
- 13 it's a mineral interest. I don't know when they
- 14 came into title.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. So their interest is
- in unleased mineral interests?
- 17 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
- THE EXAMINER: Mr. Bruce.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 22 Q. Mr. Kastner, if you would look at your
- 23 Exhibit 2 and get your Exhibit 6 out also. I'm
- 24 looking at Exhibit 6. And I didn't count them, but
- 25 maybe a dozen or 14 people were notified of the

- 1 hearing?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 O. Were all of these unleased mineral
- 4 interest owners?
- 5 A. They were.
- Q. If I look at your Exhibit 2, especially
- 7 the last page, you only list four leases that are --
- 8 they're additional leases?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes, they are.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. We would be happy to provide those.
- 12 Q. I notice that if you look at once again
- 13 page 1 and then the second-to-the-last page of
- 14 Exhibit 2, you started looking at the June Speight
- 15 interest on the Estate of June Speight. And then
- 16 Bobby V. Ell and his wife and Charles E. Savage, you
- 17 started re-leasing in this area approximately two
- 18 years ago, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So Arrington knew at that time that
- 21 all of these prior leases had expired?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Have the old leases ever been released of
- 24 record?
- 25 A. Yes, we have. We filed a release. I

- 1 don't know if it's been recorded yet, but we
- 2 provided that release.
- 3 Q. And when was that release dated?
- 4 A. Well, probably August 26th. It was fairly
- 5 recent. I don't have the date right in front of me.
- 6 Q. Fairly recently?
- 7 A. Fairly recent, yes, within the last two
- 8 weeks.
- 9 Q. Is there any reason why releasing these
- 10 leases took so long?
- 11 A. Workload, not a high priority.
- 12 Q. Looking at your Exhibit 4, this is a well
- 13 proposal on an AFE for Cisco Canyon completion,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Is there a proposal for the Morrow?
- 17 A. I believe we that proposal went out. I
- 18 don't have the date in front of me. That went out
- 19 the same date as --
- Q. Why do you not have your well proposal for
- 21 the Morrow in this package of exhibits?
- 22 A. I believe that was done -- it was attached
- 23 to the affidavit, if I'm not mistaken. If you'll
- 24 bear with me just a minute.
- The proposal to reenter and recomplete in

- 1 the Morrow was sent out on May 20th of 2010. And
- 2 we'll be happy to provide the Commission with copies
- 3 of those letters.
- 4 Marshall & Winston received copies of that
- 5 proposal. It went out under my signature.
- Q. Was the proposal to reenter the Morrow
- 7 also sent out to any of the unleased mineral
- 8 interest owners?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. Were the proposal letters sent to
- 11 Marshall & Winston and Winston Partners the same as
- 12 the well proposal sent out to the other unleased
- 13 mineral interest owners?
- 14 A. I believe the form letter was the same.
- 15 MR. BRUCE: And I would like to see copies
- of those letters, Mr. Examiner.
- 17 MR. CARR: We will provide those.
- MR. BRUCE: That's all I have of this
- 19 witness, Mr. Examiner.
- THE EXAMINER: Mr. Brooks.
- MR. BROOKS: I believe I do not have any
- 22 questions at this point.
- 23 THE EXAMINER: I have no questions.
- 24 MR. CARR: At this time, we would call
- 25 Brian Ball.

- 1 BRIAN C. BALL,
- 2 having been previously duly sworn, testified as
- 3 follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. CARR:
- 6 Q. Would you state your name for the record,
- 7 please?
- 8 A. Brian C. Ball.
- 9 Q. Spell your last name.
- 10 A. B-A-L-L.
- 11 Q. Mr. Ball, where do you reside?
- 12 A. Midland, Texas.
- Q. By whom are you employed?
- 14 A. David H. Arrington Oil & Gas.
- 15 Q. And what is your current position with
- 16 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas?
- 17 A. I am the exploration manager, and I'm a
- 18 Certified Professional Geologist.
- 19 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 20 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you familiar with the applications
- 23 filed in this cases?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Could you review for the Examiners your

- 1 educational background and your work experience?
- 2 A. I graduated from the University of
- 3 Wisconsin Madison in 1979 with a Bachelor's in
- 4 Geology and Geophysics. I graduated from East Texas
- 5 State University in Commerce, Texas, with a Master's
- 6 degree in 1981.
- 7 I began my career with Gulf Oil in
- 8 Midland, worked there for four years. I worked
- 9 Southeast New Mexico, West Texas. And I went to
- 10 work for independent oil, Hartman, also in Midland,
- 11 primarily focused in New Mexico.
- 12 And then I lived in Midland and worked for
- 13 Unocal for 17 years and worked West Texas and
- 14 Southeast New Mexico.
- 15 For David, I've worked for David for five
- 16 years. And I've worked West Texas, Southeast New
- 17 Mexico, South Texas, East Texas, Arkansas,
- 18 Mississippi and Alabama.
- 19 And I'm a Certified Professional Geologist
- 20 in Texas, Arkansas and Mississippi. And I've
- 21 testified at the Texas Railroad Commission and at
- 22 the Arkansas Oil & Gas Commission.
- Q. Have you prepared exhibits for
- 24 presentation in this case?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Ball as an expert
- 2 witness in petroleum geology.
- 3 THE EXAMINER: Any objection?
- 4 MR. BRUCE: I have no objections.
- 5 THE EXAMINER: So moved.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ball, the primary
- 7 objective in this area is the Morrow formation,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Would you refer to what has been marked as
- 11 Arrington Exhibit No. 7, identify this and review it
- 12 for the Examiner?
- 13 A. Exhibit No. 7 is just the well log of the
- 14 Green Eyed Squealy Worm No. 1. This was a prospect
- 15 that David H. Arrington drilled back in 2004. And
- 16 the objective was the Upper Morrow Sands.
- 17 And as you can see on the log here, what
- 18 I'm showing, this is the well. On the left side we
- 19 have our laterolog, and on the right is the porosity
- 20 log with density/neutron.
- 21 I've marked the top of the Morrow
- 22 Clastics. And there are two objectives which were
- 23 found in the well: The Upper Morrow Sand, which is
- 24 about 10 feet of pay; the Lower Morrow Sand, which
- 25 is approximately 20 feet of pay. And both of those

- 1 were perforated in the well.
- 2 Reviewing the well log, doing a little
- 3 analysis, the lower portion appears that it did
- 4 contain some water. We produced both oil, water and
- 5 gas from the well.
- The initial IP was 1.347 million cubic
- 7 feet of gas per day, 36 barrels of oil and
- 8 58 barrels of water. Cumulative production is over
- 9 397 million cubic feet of gas, 11,000 barrels of
- 10 oil, and 17,000 barrels of water.
- 11 Q. From your log analysis, do these zones
- 12 remain potentially productive?
- 13 A. Yes, they do.
- 14 Q. And do all the zones look equally
- 15 productive to you?
- 16 A. I would feel the upper zones in the Upper
- 17 Morrow part were probably the main productive zones,
- 18 but all of them should contribute hydrocarbons to
- 19 the well.
- 20 Q. From just a geologic review of the
- 21 formation, the Morrow formation, as it appears on
- 22 this log, is there anything that would suggest to
- you it isn't worth an attempt to try and reestablish
- 24 production in this zone?
- A. No. It is worth an attempt.

- 1 Q. Was Arrington Exhibit 7 prepared by you?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 MR. CARR: At this time we move the
- 4 admission of David H. Arrington Exhibit No. 7.
- 5 THE EXAMINER: Exhibit No. 7 is admitted.
- 6 (Arrington Exhibit 7 was admitted.)
- 7 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct of
- 8 Mr. Ball.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: I have no questions of
- 10 Mr. Ball.
- MR. BROOKS: Mr. Ball, do you know why
- 12 this Morrow was abandoned previously?
- 13 THE WITNESS: It started producing quite a
- 14 bit of water, and it was not economic at that time.
- 15 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Is it because of
- 16 changes in the market, or is it because of some new
- 17 approach to how this well would be produced that you
- 18 made this analysis?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Well, I think the way we're
- 20 going to go in and work over the well, and the
- 21 market is better than it was at that time.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 THE EXAMINER: So the market, what was the
- 24 price of gas when this well was shut in?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly what it

- 1 was. THE EXAMINER: And what price did you put 2 into your economic equation? 3 THE WITNESS: 4 5 THE EXAMINER: Would it be fair to say that the price back in 2007 --7 16. THE WITNESS: THE EXAMINER: '6? -- was more or less than that \$4? 9 THE WITNESS: It may have been. I don't 10 11 know. 12 THE EXAMINER: It was one or the other? 13 THE WITNESS: Definitely. THE EXAMINER: Looking at your log --14 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE EXAMINER: I mean your gas just jumps 16
- 17 right out there at you. What is it that you think
- 18 you missed, or are you looking at the same zones?
- THE WITNESS: Well, we're looking at 19
- 20 exactly the same zones. And we're just basically
- feeling that we've got water in there. And if we 21
- 22 could pump the water down, then we could bring the
- We have not tried that on this well. 23
- 24 THE EXAMINER: So these logs were dated --
- 25 I'm sure it's on there someplace --

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

I work as an engineering operations

25

A.

- 1 manager.
- Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 3 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Could you review for the Examiners your
- 6 educational background and your work experience?
- 7 A. My educational background. I got a
- 8 Bachelor's in civil engineering from New Mexico
- 9 State in 1980.
- I probably went to work for Halliburton
- 11 and was there at Halliburton for 26 years, working
- 12 as an engineer, as a petroleum engineer. During
- 13 those 26 years, in that time frame, I spent several
- 14 years working in-house as a technical advisor for
- 15 companies such as Exxon, Marathon, Collingsworth.
- 16 And I worked in different areas of the
- 17 country. Most of my field experience was in
- 18 Southeast New Mexico.
- 19 And then after that, I went ahead and
- 20 retired from Halliburton and went to work for David
- 21 Arrington about three and a half years ago.
- 22 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 23 Oil Conservation Division?
- 24 A. In Texas, yes.
- 25 Q. But not in New Mexico?

- 1 A. Not in New Mexico.
- Q. Are you familiar with the applications
- 3 filed in this cases?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. Have you prepared exhibits for
- 6 presentation here today?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Carrasco as an
- 9 expert petroleum engineering witness.
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 11 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Carrasco, when did you
- 12 first become familiar with the Green Eyed Squealy
- 13 Worm?
- 14 THE WITNESS: When I initially came to
- 15 work with David Arrington was about the time that
- 16 production had kind of ceased on this well. We went
- 17 and looked at it. There was an obstruction in the
- 18 tubing. We then went in to find out what it was.
- 19 And it turned out to be a real musky material, like
- 20 paraffin.
- 21 And at that point, they went ahead and
- 22 they did a workover on the well. I went in with
- 23 cold tubing, cleaned it out, and noticed that it
- 24 would make some gas and oil, and it would make some
- 25 water also. It would not flow on its own.

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Did you run a bottom hole
- 2 pressure buildup on the well?
- 3 A. We ran a buildup on the well, and it
- 4 showed a bottom hole pressure of 4,650 pounds. So
- 5 it indicated that the well still had some production
- 6 potential.
- 7 Q. Did you attempt to frac the well at that
- 8 time?
- 9 A. At that point, I did attempt to frac the
- 10 well, since it had just been completed with a tubing
- 11 conveyed perforating.
- 12 And during that frac job, being the way
- the well was configured, with the DCP guns still
- 14 sitting across the lower interval, I believe, it
- 15 appeared that a lot of that frac may have gone down.
- 16 But at some time during that frac job, the
- 17 oil head pressure increased. And I think at that
- 18 time is when we went ahead and communicated with the
- 19 bottom section. And the well was screened out, but
- 20 we did get about half of our profit in it.
- 21 We proceeded to go ahead and wash it out
- 22 with cold tubing, clean it out, and ended up putting
- 23 it on production. It would make some gas, but it
- 24 was having trouble flowing. It just made a lot of
- 25 water with it also.

- 1 Q. And the well last produced when?
- 2 A. I believe October '07.
- 3 Q. And have you worked on the well since that
- 4 time?
- 5 A. No, sir, I have not.
- 6 Q. Let's go to your Exhibit No. 8. Would you
- 7 identify this and review it for the Examiners,
- 8 please?
- 9 A. Exhibit No. 8 is a simple workover
- 10 prognosis to run artificial lift in this well.
- 11 Q. And when was this prepared?
- 12 A. It was prepared in May of 2010.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with what were the
- 14 reasons you were asked to take a look at the well?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- 16 Q. And what are those?
- 17 A. The reason was it appeared that -- well,
- 18 you know, we had lost the lease, whatever. And it
- 19 appeared that the option was to go up the hole to do
- 20 a workover of the Cisco.
- 21 And at that time we decided to take
- 22 another relook at the Morrow, see if there was
- 23 anything new or different that we could do to
- 24 reestablish production.
- 25 And at that point, you know, we realized

- 1 and noticed that in the industry, a lot of people
- 2 were putting artificial lifts on these Morrow wells
- 3 and making some commercial wells -- reestablishing
- 4 some commercial production.
- We actually had a well, which was about
- 6 4 miles over, called the Bill's Hopper No. 2, I
- 7 believe, that we actually did this.
- 8 We had a well that would not flow.
- 9 Production had ceased on it. And we installed an
- 10 artificial lift on it and brought it back up to
- 11 about a quarter million a day and made it commercial
- 12 again.
- So we thought we'd go ahead and take a
- 14 relook at the Green Eyed Squealy Worm.
- 15 Q. And the production history for the Bill's
- 16 Hopper No. 2 Well is what is marked as Arrington
- 17 Exhibit No. 9; is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And when was the artificial lift put on
- 20 this well?
- 21 A. The artificial lift was put on this well
- in approximately October, December of '07.
- Q. Is it producing now?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. And is this basically the analog for what

- 1 you're proposing to do in the Green Eyed Squealy
- 2 Worm?
- 3 A. It is.
- Q. Exhibit No. 8, the workover prognosis,
- 5 basically it shows that you intend to put artificial
- 6 lift on the well?
- 7 A. It does.
- 8 Q. What will you do with the water?
- 9 A. We'll take it to commercial disposal.
- 10 Q. If your initial work on the well is
- 11 favorable, is it possible that you would go back and
- 12 try and address some of the downhole problems and
- 13 plug off the lower horizon?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. In your opinion, would a reentry attempt
- 16 in the Morrow Formation be in the best interest of
- 17 conservation and prevention of waste and the
- 18 protection of correlative rights?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. If you do not attempt to recomplete in the
- 21 Morrow at this time, will it be economically
- 22 possible to go back and try and establish Morrow
- 23 production at a later date?
- A. Conventional oilfield procedures, you
- 25 start at the bottom and work up. I would say it

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

- 1 MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- THE EXAMINER: Exhibits 7 through 9 are
- 3 admitted.
- 4 (Arrington Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 were
- 5 admitted.)
- 6 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct
- 7 examination of Mr. Carrasco.
- 8 MR. BRUCE: Just a couple of questions.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 11 Q. Looking at your Exhibit 8, the final page.
- 12 A. Okay. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Are the Lower Morrow Sands behind the
- 14 plug?
- 15 A. No, they're not.
- 16 Q. Your wellbore sketch shows that the
- 17 original plug was set at 13,050, and it slid down.
- 18 Is that the base of the Morrow?
- 19 A. It slid down to the bottom set of
- 20 perforations.
- Q. But that's not the base of the Morrow?
- A. No, it's not.
- Q. Okay. So the very lower Morrow zones are
- 24 below that, right?
- 25 A. The very lower Morrow is below 13,050.

- 1 Q. 13,050 or 13,100?
- 2 A. 13,050.
- 3 Q. In your workover procedure, you're not
- 4 going to remove that plug, are you?
- 5 A. No, we're not. With the initial fill or
- 6 paraffin or whatever was in there, we filled that
- 7 with some sort of a plug also.
- Plus also, when we did the initial frac
- 9 job on that upper zone, when it screened out, the
- 10 bottom of the casing filled with provent, which was
- 11 cleaned out to the top of the finish. And so we
- 12 have a proper plug in the wellbore at the time.
- 13 Q. In looking at the perforated intervals,
- 14 which perforations were fracked?
- 15 A. The perforations that were fracked was
- 16 12,993 to 13,004.
- 17 Q. Not the other ones?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. As of November '06, what zones were
- 20 producing? Was the well producing from all of the
- 21 perforated intervals?
- 22 A. The well was producing from 12,993 to
- 23 13,004. And being that there is no physical plug
- 24 between the top and bottom perfs, I'm not sure if
- 25 anything came out of the bottom or not.

- 1 Q. You were the completion engineer for
- 2 Arrington Oil & Gas in July of 2007; were you not?
- 3 A. July of 2007? Yes, I was.
- 4 Q. And did you write a memo to the working
- 5 interest owners saying at that time it would be
- 6 uneconomical to reenter and restimulate the Upper
- 7 Morrow interval?
- 8 A. At that time, that was the convention,
- 9 yes.
- 10 Q. Have you or has anyone else at Arrington
- 11 calculated the expected economics and reserves of
- 12 the recompletion procedure?
- 13 A. I have not, no, sir.
- 14 Q. Has anyone else at Arrington?
- 15 A. Not to my knowledge.
- MR. BRUCE: That's all I have,
- 17 Mr. Examiner.
- 18 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Brooks.
- MR. BROOKS: When was the Morrow zone in
- 20 the Green Eyed Squealy Worm abandoned?
- 21 THE WITNESS: The lower one or the upper
- 22 one?
- MR. BROOKS: The upper. The upper is what
- 24 we're talking about now, right?
- THE WITNESS: Right. When production

- 1 ceased.
- MR. BROOKS: I should say -- yeah, that's
- 3 actually the question. It hasn't been plugged, as I
- 4 understand it. It's simply not producing?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Not producing since
- 6 October of '07.
- 7 MR. BROOKS: Okay. And in response to
- 8 Mr. Bruce's question, you said at that time you
- 9 believed or you recommended that the effort to work
- 10 over and reestablish production in that zone would
- 11 be uneconomic, right?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. At that time, we were
- 13 not looking at an artificial lift. We were looking
- 14 at just trying to go in and swab it every now and
- 15 then to try and reestablish production.
- MR. BROOKS: What has changed now?
- 17 THE WITNESS: What has changed now is in
- 18 talking, you know, going to SPA meetings and talking
- 19 to various other engineers in Midland, you know, we
- 20 hear that other folks have gone in there and added
- 21 artificial lifts to try and get rid of some of this
- 22 water.
- 23 Realizing we still have some good bottom
- 24 hole pressure, we thought we might able to just go
- 25 ahead and add artificial lift and remove some of the

- 1 head off of the well that was keeping it from
- 2 producing.
- MR. BROOKS: All right. You knew what
- 4 your bottom hole pressure was then, didn't you? Or
- 5 has your information on that changed since '07.
- THE WITNESS: No, it hasn't changed.
- 7 MR. BROOKS: So what you're saying is you
- 8 learned more about what can be done with this type
- 9 of well?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Now, Mr. Ball said
- 12 something about the price of gas. Actually, the
- 13 price of gas is lower now than it was in '07; is
- 14 that not correct?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Correct, it was.
- 16 MR. BROOKS: So it's the economics? If
- 17 it's better, it's because of better procedures, not
- 18 because of a better market?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- MR. BROOKS: That's all I have.
- 21 THE EXAMINER: What about that finish that
- 22 you're showing here on Exhibit 8?
- 23 THE WITNESS: When the well was originally
- 24 completed, when it was originally drilled, they
- 25 perforated the bottom two intervals, and they

- 1 swabbed on them and made a lot of water. It was
- 2 uneconomical.
- 3 They real quickly set a cast iron bridge
- 4 plug above those perforations, put 10 foot of cement
- 5 on top of it, and then proceeded to run a TCP
- 6 perforating job on the Upper Morrow, went ahead and
- 7 perforated it.
- 8 During the initial test, they decided it
- 9 needed to be acidized. And in the process of
- shifting the TCP guns off, dropping them off so they
- 11 could go ahead and run their acid job, the guns did
- 12 not shift off.
- 13 So they went in there with a tubing
- 14 cutter, and they cut the tubing right above the guns
- 15 and dropped them off on the bottom. And actually
- 16 they were dropped, and they landed on top of that
- 17 cast iron bridge plug.
- Subsequently after that, they did an acid
- 19 job on the well, which created a real high pressure.
- 20 And they assumed that the cast iron bridge plug
- 21 released and everything went to bottom.
- 22 And at that point, after the well was
- 23 acidized, it produced some gas initially. And at
- 24 that point they decided just to leave it in that
- 25 configuration.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 Land.
- Have you previously testified before the 23 Q.
- Division? 24
- I have not. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. Would you summarize your educational and
- 2 employment background for the Examiner?
- 3 A. Certainly. I graduated from Eastern New
- 4 Mexico University in 1976. I started work in the
- 5 oil and gas business as a land man, in 1982 as a
- 6 contract land man, day work, that sort of thing.
- 7 I continued to do that and remained
- 8 self-employed until 1987, when I went under contract
- 9 to Exxon Corporation in their Divestitures Group for
- 10 two years. And then in September of 1989, I was
- 11 offered a position with Marshall & Winston. I
- 12 accepted, and I've been here ever since.
- 13 Q. Does your area of responsibility at
- 14 Marshall & Winston include Southeast New Mexico?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- 16 Q. And are you familiar with the land matters
- 17 involved in this application?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
- 19 Q. And have you compiled an exhibit package
- 20 from the land and other records of Marshall &
- 21 Winston regarding the Green Eyed Squealy Worm?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender
- 24 Mr. Hammit as an expert petroleum land man.
- MR. CARR: No objection.

- 1 THE EXAMINER: So recognized.
- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Hammit, we've handed
- 3 out Exhibit 1, which is a large package of
- 4 information. Before we go further, the two bottom
- 5 sections which are paper clipped together, that is
- 6 simply backup information. And you don't plan on
- 7 going through that?
- 8 A. That is correct. Those, at this point in
- 9 time, would not be anything critical, I don't
- 10 believe.
- 11 O. So what you'd ask the Examiners to look at
- 12 is the paper-clipped portion of this exhibit
- 13 package?
- 14 A. That would be right.
- 15 Q. First of all, to start off, in the south
- 16 half of Section 26, what does Marshall & Winston
- 17 own?
- 18 A. We own 75 percent of the mineral interests
- in the north half of the southeast quarter of
- 20 Section 26. And we also own the oil and gas lease
- 21 covering Winston Partners' 25 percent mineral
- 22 interests in that tract.
- Q. Okay. So Marshall & Winston owns
- 24 100 percent of the working interest in the north
- 25 half of the southeast quarter of Section 26?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- Q. And that is the acreage on which the
- 3 subject well was located?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Let's, Mr. Hammit, run through the
- 6 paper-clipped portion of this data. You've got a
- 7 number of items stapled. I don't think we need to
- 8 go through them in great detail.
- 9 But first of all, in the original drilling
- 10 and the recompletion attempts of the well, did
- 11 Marshall & Winston participate as a working interest
- 12 owner?
- 13 A. Yes, we did. Besides having a mineral
- 14 interest in the proration unit, we also had a
- 15 working interest.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so you participated in the well
- 17 and paid your proportionate share of the well costs?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. What is Exhibit 1? I mean the first page
- 20 of Exhibit 1.
- 21 A. The first page is a chart showing
- 22 production for the Green Eyed Squealy Worm.
- 23 According to these records, it went down in January
- 24 of 2006.
- There was a recompletion attempt in March

- 1 of 2007. It produced just a nominal amount of MCF
- 2 at that time, and there has been no production from
- 3 that well since.
- 4 Q. So it's been nonproductive for about three
- 5 and a half years?
- 6 A. That would be correct.
- 7 Q. What is the next page, the land plat?
- 8 A. I have a land plat that was provided to us
- 9 by Arrington at the time that we saw their proposal
- 10 to drill the well in the area. It's a land plat
- 11 outlining the subject area. And in there is that
- 12 south half of 26, where the Green Eyed Squealy Worm
- 13 was drilled.
- 14 Q. Now, there's a brown outline. What was
- 15 that?
- 16 A. The outline around there was an area of
- 17 mutual interest.
- 18 Q. And has that EMI expired?
- 19 A. Yes, the EMI expired a long time ago. It
- 20 expired previous to the oil and gas leases that the
- 21 Green Eyed Squealy Worm was drilled under.
- 22 Q. And what is the next page, the form C-101?
- 23 A. This was the original application to drill
- 24 that Arrington put together for the drilling of the
- 25 Green Eyed Squealy Worm.

- 1 O. In the south half of Section 26?
- 2 A. That is correct. That is what it covered.
- 3 Q. Next there's a letter dated March 17,
- 4 2004. What is that?
- 5 A. This was the casing point election on that
- 6 Green Eyed Squealy Worm. We, at that time, elected
- 7 to participate in the completion of the well.
- 8 Q. And what is the next page, the Sundry
- 9 Notice?
- 10 A. That would be a Sundry Notice -- let's
- 11 see. This would be the completed operations of the
- 12 well. And let's see. This may be the original.
- 13 Q. That was for the original completion
- 14 attempt?
- 15 A. The original completion attempt, you're
- 16 correct.
- 17 Q. And the next stapled-together pages?
- 18 A. It looks like this is the completion
- 19 report for the Green Eyed Squealy Worm as it was
- 20 originally drilled and completed in the Morrow
- 21 formation.
- Q. And at least initially, it was a pretty
- 23 good well?
- A. Yes, it was. We considered it to be
- 25 successful at the time.

- 1 Q. And what is the next page?
- 2 A. After the --
- Q. Completion.
- A. After the well ceased production in 2006,
- 5 we received an AFE in an attempt to reestablish
- 6 production from the Morrow formation.
- 7 That came under a letter dated March 23,
- 8 2007. And Marshall & Winston did agree, by signing
- 9 the AFE, and participated in that recompletion
- 10 attempt in the Morrow.
- 11 Q. So that recompletion was approximately
- 12 \$140,000?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And Marshall & Winston did pay its share
- 15 of that?
- 16 A. Yes, we did. We were in that recompletion
- 17 attempt.
- 18 Q. The next page is a memo from Arrington
- 19 Oil & Gas. What does that reflect?
- 20 A. Well, this is following the recompletion
- 21 attempt in the Morrow. We received a status update
- 22 from Mr. Carrasco concerning that attempt.
- This is a July 3, 2007, memo. And in that
- 24 memorandum, it was indicated that the work in trying
- 25 to reestablish production from the Morrow was not

- 1 successful.
- Q. It was not successful, in that Arrington
- 3 did not recommend another attempt in the Upper
- 4 Morrow, correct?
- 5 A. That is in that memorandum. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. Now the memo, in the final paragraph,
- 7 recommends a Cisco test, correct?
- 8 A. It said that they would recommend going to
- 9 the Cisco interval for a completion attempt in that
- 10 zone.
- 11 Q. Was a formal proposal regarding a Cisco
- 12 completion sent out to the working interests?
- 13 A. Yes, there was, under a --
- 14 Q. Was that the next --
- 15 A. That is the next packet there, a letter
- 16 dated July 23, 2007. There was an AFE submitted to
- 17 Marshall & Winston for a completion attempt in the
- 18 Cisco formation, which we did execute and agree to
- 19 participate in.
- 20 Q. Okay. So Marshall & Winston did agree to
- 21 participate in the Cisco recompletion?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Was that ever done?
- 24 A. It was not.
- Q. Now in Arrington's exhibits there was a

- 1 proposal only for the Cisco completion, I believe
- 2 sent out to Marshall & Winston sometime in March,
- 3 referring back to their exhibits?
- 4 A. Okay. That sounds correct.
- 5 O. And did Marshall & Winston inform
- 6 Arrington that it was not interested in that?
- 7 A. I believe that was an informal proposal.
- 8 I don't think there was anything in writing to us.
- 9 We did not at that time wish to participate in an
- 10 attempt by Arrington to recomplete in the Cisco
- 11 formation.
- 12 Q. Let's go to the next letter in this
- 13 packet. It's a letter from Arrington Oil & Gas to
- 14 Marshall & Winston, dated May 20, 2010.
- What is this?
- 16 A. This is the proposal to recomplete in the
- 17 Morrow formation in that Green Eyed Squealy Worm.
- 18 Q. Now, Mr. Hammit, looking at the second
- 19 sentence, could you read that into the record,
- 20 please?
- 21 A. The second sentence?
- 22 Q. The second sentence, yes.
- 23 A. "We invite to you participate in the
- 24 operation, based on your interest in the original
- 25 designated pooled unit, being the south half of



- 1 Section 26, Township 15 South, Range 34 east, and
- 2 the terms of the original JOA."
- 3 Q. Was the JOA valid at that time?
- 4 A. No. It would have terminated on it own
- 5 volition well before then.
- 6 Q. A number of years before this letter was
- 7 sent out?
- 8 A. Quite a while.
- 9 Q. Do you know why Arrington proposed
- 10 participating under an expired JOA?
- 11 A. I do not.
- 12 Q. Look at the next letter, the May 5th
- 13 letter from Marshall & Winston.
- 14 A. Well, we were attempting to access the
- 15 wellbore to do a completion of our own in the Cisco
- 16 formation.
- 17 We had commenced work on that well before
- 18 now, but this was our formal approach to the OCD to
- 19 obtain designation as operator under the well -- or
- 20 excuse me, an ATD, an application to drill, so that
- 21 we could reenter that wellbore to attempt to
- 22 establish production from the Cisco formation.
- Q. Now, this request was denied, correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. Because the operator of record was still

- 1 Arrington?
- 2 A. That is correct. We had approached
- 3 Arrington to get a change of operator. They would
- 4 not provide that.
- 5 So we were unable to proceed with any
- 6 activities in regards to establishing production for
- 7 the Cisco formation or attempting a completion
- 8 there.
- 9 Q. Now, in the original JOA, what was
- 10 Marshall & Winston's approximate working interest
- 11 percentage?
- 12 A. According to the JOA, we had a 26 percent
- 13 and change working interest in the south half of
- 14 Section 26.
- 15 Q. And that would have been as to all depths
- 16 from surface to the base of the Morrow?
- 17 A. That is correct. It covered all depths.
- 18 Q. Now, you're proposing -- Marshall &
- 19 Winston wants to do a decompletion in the Cisco
- 20 Canyon. What would be Marshall & Winston's working
- 21 interest in a Cisco Canyon completion at this time?
- 22 A. It would be 100 percent.
- Q. Okay. And then there's a final part of
- 24 this packet, Mr. Hammit. What does this reflect?
- 25 A. This is a takeoff that we obtained, dated

- 1 August 27th of this year, an update of the south
- 2 half of Section 26 as to minerals ownership and
- 3 leasehold ownership at that time, according to the
- 4 records in Lea County, New Mexico.
- By this takeoff -- and again, it was of
- 6 record at the time -- it does reflect that in the
- 7 south half -- excuse me. The north half of the
- 8 southeast guarter reflects Marshall & Winston's
- 9 ownership of that tract and that Arrington has
- 10 leased a number of mineral interest owners the
- 11 remainder of the south half of Section 26.
- 12 Q. Now, in looking at the additional oil and
- 13 gas leases that Arrington obtained, it starts
- 14 sometime in 2008, correct?
- 15 A. Yes. I believe that was the first lease
- 16 taken by Arrington that is currently still in force.
- 17 Q. And so obviously, Arrington was aware that
- 18 the leases had expired some time ago?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did Marshall & Winston request that its
- 21 old leases be released and that Arrington recognize
- 22 that the JOA be terminated?
- 23 A. We did make that request.
- Q. And what happened with that request?
- A. We were not provided a release of the oil

- 1 and gas lease nor a release of the JOA.
- 2 At that time we pursued an action to the
- 3 state courts of New Mexico to obtain that release of
- 4 oil and gas lease, release of JOA. And last week we
- 5 did obtain that release of lease and release of JOA.
- 6 Q. That was in Lea County District Court that
- 7 that action was filed?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And it wasn't until you filed that that
- 10 Arrington agreed to terminate of record the JOA?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. At this time, insofar as the request of
- 13 Marshall & Winston, do you request that the
- 14 application of Arrington to force pool these
- 15 interests be denied?
- 16 A. Yes, that is what we would like.
- 17 Q. Would you also request that the Division
- 18 recognize Marshall & Winston as operator of the
- 19 well?
- A. Yes, we do.
- 21 Q. In your opinion, is the granting of
- 22 Marshall & Winston's application and the denial of
- 23 Arrington's application in the interest of
- 24 conservation and the prevention of waste?
- A. We do believe so.

- 1 Q. And with respect to the paper-clipped
- 2 portions of Exhibit 1, just very briefly, what is
- 3 that data?
- 4 A. The uppermost one here is background to
- 5 the documentation with Mr. Arrington or with
- 6 Arrington Oil & Gas with regards to the terms of our
- 7 participation with him in the original drilling of
- 8 the Green Eyed Squealy Worm.
- 9 Q. And it includes the original JOA?
- 10 A. Yes, the original JOA is included in
- 11 there.
- 12 Q. And then the second part is a package of
- 13 what looks like title opinions?
- 14 A. Title opinions, various title opinions
- 15 that were prepared for Arrington for the drilling
- and production related to the Green Eyed Squealy
- 17 Worm.
- 18 Q. That's just additional information?
- 19 A. It is just background information that
- 20 might be beneficial.
- Q. And again, Exhibit 1 was prepared by you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the
- 24 admission of Exhibit 1.
- MR. CARR: No objection.

- 1 THE EXAMINER: Exhibit 1 will be admitted.
- 2 (M&W Exhibit 1 was admitted.)
- MR. BRUCE: I'm handing you Exhibit 2,
- 4 which is a Notice of Affidavit.
- 5 And I move the admission of Exhibit 2.
- 6 MR. CARR: No objection.
- THE EXAMINER: Exhibit 2 is admitted.
- 8 (M&W Exhibit 2 was admitted.)
- 9 MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions of
- 10 the witness.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. CARR:
- 13 Q. Mr. Hammit, since May you have known that
- 14 Arrington was proposing a reentry attempt on the
- 15 Morrow; is that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. And you had requested that there be formal
- 18 releases of underlying leases in the Joint Operating
- 19 Agreement; is that right?
- 20 A. We did make that request, yes.
- 21 Q. And it was in a District Court proceeding
- 22 or as a result of that, you testified, that you
- 23 finally obtained these releases?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. In that District Court proceeding, weren't

- 1 you also seeking an injunction to prevent Arrington
- 2 from conducting operations on the well?
- 3 A. Yes, we were.
- Q. And in the settlement of that dispute, you
- 5 also dismissed that demand so that it could be
- 6 decided here; did you not?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. So while you were going to court to get
- 9 the releases, it was also part of a complaint where
- 10 you were trying to get an order that wouldn't allow
- 11 Mr. Arrington to do this recompletion; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. We were concerned about the situation with
- 14 the wellbore. We were concerned that Arrington
- 15 would commence -- since Arrington was operator of
- 16 the Green Eyed Squealy Worm Well, that operations
- 17 would take place in the wellbore.
- 18 Q. Okay. David H. Arrington Oil & Gas was
- 19 the designated operator when the well was drilled?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And when it was produced?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And in the OCD records, Arrington is still
- 24 the designated operator?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You're asking that operations be
- 2 transferred, that he be removed as operator?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. What is the basis for that request? Is it
- 5 imprudent operating practices or a lack of ownership
- 6 in the Cisco spacing?
- 7 A. It is because we wanted to go attempt a
- 8 recompletion in the Cisco zone.
- 9 Q. I think I'm safe in saying Marshall &
- 10 Winston isn't interested in participating in a
- 11 recompletion attempt of the Morrow; is that right?
- 12 A. You are correct.
- 13 Q. And if you do not participate and if
- 14 Arrington is authorized to do that, the \$160,000 to
- 15 accomplish that would be paid only by Arrington?
- 16 A. You're right.
- 17 Q. And you're interested in assuring that if
- 18 this acreage is pooled, it isn't a long-term
- 19 pooling, but it would only be effective for 90 days?
- 20 A. Yes, that is right.
- Q. Back at the time of the earlier
- 22 recompletion, was artificial lift applied to the
- 23 well?
- A. I am not aware. I do not believe so.
- Q. Okay. And at this time, it's your

- understanding that Marshall & Winston has
- 2 100 percent of the north half of the southeast?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 O. And that the remainder of the south half
- 5 is under lease to an independent?
- A. By our takeoff, by the last update we have
- 7 from the County records as of August 27th, I think
- 8 that the remaining acreage out of that south half of
- 9 the section is what we have under control. I think
- 10 that Arrington had the vast majority, and things may
- 11 have taken place since then.
- MR. CARR: That's all I have. Thank you.
- 13 THE EXAMINER: David.
- MR. BROOKS: The spacing unit would be the
- 15 same for the Cisco as it would be for the Morrow;
- 16 would it not?
- 17 THE WITNESS: It would not be.
- MR. BROOKS: It would not be? What would
- 19 be the spacing unit?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Forty acres. It's an oil
- 21 zone.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. So it's prospected for
- 23 oil and not for gas?
- 24 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. So there would not be

- 1 a necessity for compulsory pooling?
- THE WITNESS: There would not be. Since
- 3 we have leasehold ownership of the full 80 acres, we
- 4 would have 100 percent of the ownership in that.
- 5 MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: I call Mr. Savage to the
- 8 stand.
- 9 JOHN D. SAVAGE,
- 10 having been previously duly sworn, testified as
- 11 follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 14 Q. Will you state your name?
- 15 A. John D. Savage.
- 16 Q. And where do you reside?
- 17 A. In Midland, Texas.
- 18 Q. What is your occupation?
- 19 A. I'm a Registered Professional Engineer,
- 20 reservoir.
- Q. And what is your relationship to
- 22 Marshall & Winston in this case?
- 23 A. They've \hired me to look at the remaining
- 24 reserves in the Morrow zone in the Green Eyed
- 25 Squealy Worm.

- 1 Q. And have you also conducted an economic
- 2 study regarding a completion?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 5 Division?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 Q. And were your credentials as an expert
- 8 reservoir engineer accepted as a matter of record?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
- 11 Mr. Savage as an expert in reservoir engineering.
- MR. CARR: No objection.
- 13 THE EXAMINER: So recognized.
- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Savage, before we get
- into your exhibits, what risk factors do you see in
- 16 the recompletion attempt that Arrington proposes?
- 17 A. Well, this wellbore has been basically
- 18 shut in for four and a half years, and so it's been
- 19 sitting with water on the formation. It's a
- 20 successful attempt in those conditions.
- 21 They have already tried to do it and
- 22 failed. And it's not sure that they will be
- 23 successful.
- Q. You don't dispute that there is gas in the
- 25 upper Morrow formation underlying this acreage?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. It might produce some gas, but it will
- 3 surely produce a lot of water, from what you've
- 4 seen?
- 5 A. My economics includes dollars for
- 6 saltwater disposal. And the economics -- actually,
- 7 the lease operating expenses of this well may be
- 8 such that it won't be economic at the rates it will
- 9 produce.
- 10 Q. Okay. So it costs extra to operate the
- 11 well when it produces water of this quantity?
- 12 A. It costs more money.
- 13 Q. Let's run through your exhibits,
- 14 Mr. Savage. First of all, what does Exhibit 3
- 15 reflect?
- 16 A. That's my reserves projection on a
- 17 rate/time basis. It's my opinion on how the well
- 18 will produce in the future if the workover is
- 19 successful.
- Q. And based on that, did you then prepare an
- 21 economics report?
- 22 A. The next exhibit is a two-page exhibit,
- 23 and it's just the lease economics.
- The first page is the data that went into
- 25 the economics as to the oil and gas prices, the

- 1 expenses, the economics surrounding a 100 percent
- 2 working interest. It's fairly self-explanatory, in
- 3 looking at it.
- The pricing that I used were August 30,
- 5 2010, pricing, oil and gas NYMEX pricing, adjusted
- 6 for the historical difference for this well between
- 7 NYMEX and the actual price received.
- 8 Q. And in preparing this exhibit, you
- 9 accepted the AFE prepared by Arrington?
- 10 A. Yes. That's what this is based on.
- 11 Q. But you did add in costs, overhead
- 12 operating costs?
- 13 A. The lease operating expenses. The well
- 14 hadn't produced for four and a half years, and I
- 15 estimated what the operating costs would be.
- 16 If I'm shy, then of course this well may
- 17 end up being noneconomic if my LOA is shy.
- 18 O. And what is the conclusion on the second
- 19 page of this report, insofar as economics go?
- 20 A. It says on a discounted 10 percent basis,
- 21 a 100 percent working interest will receive \$90,000
- 22 if they spend 160.
- Q. Okay. Over how many years?
- 24 A. That lifetime is -- the economics cut it
- off. My projection -- if the economics would let my

- 1 projection get to the reserves I was trying to get
- 2 to, it would be much longer. But in this case, it's
- 3 about 7.25 years.
- 4 Q. Okay, seven years. And the operator would
- 5 recover approximately \$90,000?
- 6 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 7 Q. Now, when water is produced, is there a
- 8 chance of, in the near or long-term future, a
- 9 workover or two regarding the well?
- 10 A. Well, putting a lift on it, you're going
- 11 to normally have some sort of a workover in the
- 12 future. There's no way I can project that, and it's
- 13 not in the economics.
- 14 O. But if that did occur, how would that
- 15 affect the economics?
- 16 A. Well, today, with the pooling costs and
- 17 all that, it would wipe out your economics.
- 18 Q. There would be no return at all?
- 19 A. It's likely to cost you money, instead of
- 20 make money.
- 21 Q. Well, let's go into how you evaluated this
- 22 proposal. What is Exhibit 5?
- 23 A. Exhibit 5, because of the way I see this
- 24 reservoir, I have a cross-section of three wells:
- 25 The Maxwell 26-1 in the northeast part of the

- 1 Section 26, the Squealy Worm in the southeast, and
- 2 the Maxwell Trust Well in the northwest.
- 3 And this map shows --
- 4 O. Exhibit 5 show the three wells?
- 5 A. -- shows the three wells and the
- 6 cross-section that's on Exhibit 6.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the reservoir, in your opinion,
- 8 is continuous across that area?
- 9 A. In my opinion, it's a competitive
- 10 reservoir.
- 11 Q. What is Exhibit 7?
- 12 A. Exhibit 7 is my attempt to do an isopach
- of the 13,000-foot sand. And I concern myself with
- 14 the volumetrics in the north and south in
- 15 Section 26.
- And from that, you can see that the Trust
- 17 Well will be the dominant well if they complete in
- 18 the sand. And they are currently not completed in
- 19 the sand.
- 20 O. Okay. So that's the well in the southwest
- 21 quarter/northwest quarter of Section 26?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's producing from the Morrow?
- 24 A. It's producing from Morrow zone.
- Q. But not the same zone as the Green Eyed

- 1 Squealy Worm Well produced from?
- 2 A. No, but that zone is there.
- Q. What are Exhibits 8, 9 and 10, put
- 4 together?
- 5 A. Those are just the three logs that are on
- 6 the cross-section exploded, showing the 13,000-foot
- 7 sand.
- 8 Exhibit 8 is the log from the Maxwell 26,
- 9 the well to the north. It only has a 2-foot zone,
- 10 between 4 and 5 percent porosity, but obviously
- 11 connected -- and I'll show -- obviously connected to
- 12 this reservoir and producing from it.
- Q. And that well is currently producing?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- And then the middle one, Exhibit 9, is the
- 16 Squealy Worm, a much better well than the Maxwell
- 17 26-1.
- 18 And then the Maxwell Trust is Exhibit 10.
- 19 And it is a much better well than the Squealy Worm.
- 20 You can see the porosity is much higher in this
- 21 well.
- 22 Q. And this well is not currently completed
- 23 in that zone?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. What is the current status of that Maxwell

- 1 Trust well?
- 2 A. It's currently producing about 150 MCF a
- 3 month, about 5 MCF a day. They're going to be
- 4 recompleting it pretty soon. That's my judgment.
- 5 Q. Okay. There's no reason not to recomplete
- 6 it?
- 7 A. Before they leave that well, they're going
- 8 to recomplete it, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay, let's go on to Exhibit 11. What
- 10 does that reflect?
- 11 A. This is the only well that's currently
- 12 producing in that zone, and that's the Maxwell 26-1.
- 13 It is the well that indicated to me that it is truly
- 14 producing out of that well.
- When I did the volumetrics on the 26-1,
- 16 they had some lower zones perforated. But in my
- 17 opinion, there's no pay there. Just like I don't
- 18 think, in my opinion, there's any pay in the lower
- 19 zones in the Squealy Worm.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. And that production is coming from this
- 22 well.
- 23 And if you look at the performance of this
- 24 well, it came on in 2002 and produced alone in the
- 25 reservoir until May of '04, which I have indicated

- 1 on the exhibit.
- 2 Right after the Squealy Worm came on, this
- 3 well lost production. And when the Squealy Worm had
- 4 a problem in December of '05 and went off
- 5 production, then this well started coming back. It
- 6 started producing more gas.
- 7 Q. It actually increased in production?
- 8 A. It did.
- 9 Q. And this is not a cold gas well that would
- 10 do that?
- 11 A. No. It's obvious that this well is
- 12 competing with the Squealy Worm. And it's very
- 13 obvious, when you look at the production, the
- 14 Squealy Worm at the time was much dominant, and it
- 15 was taking about 75 percent of the gas out of this
- 16 reservoir when the two wells were producing.
- 17 Q. Would you anticipate, even if a
- 18 recompletion was successful to start producing gas
- 19 from the Green Eyed Squealy Worm, that it would be
- 20 that dominant in this area of the reservoir again?
- 21 A. With the water problem that they have, and
- they only have 5 feet of pay, they're going to lose
- 23 the ability to flow in some of those feets of pay
- 24 with that water. We don't know where that water is
- 25 coming from, in particular.

- 1 If it's coming from that zone or well, you
- 2 can speculate where that water is going to come
- 3 from.
- But my opinion is you're going to lose at
- 5 least a third of the ability to flow in that well.
- 6 And that's reflected in my projections.
- 7 Q. And finally, what is Exhibit 12?
- 8 A. That's just a summary projection of the
- 9 production from this 13,000-foot sum in Section 26.
- 10 It has the Squealy Worm coming on in 2004, and the
- 11 production prior to that is from the Maxwell 26-1.
- 12 Q. And why did you include that exhibit?
- 13 A. I just wanted to show that it was in a
- 14 competitive reservoir, that there are two wells in
- 15 it. And I just wanted to show the total production
- 16 coming out of that particular reservoir.
- 17 I used it also in calculating what the
- 18 yellow line there tells me at the point of cum
- 19 production to date. And what's to the right of that
- 20 yellow line would be the reserves coming out of this
- 21 zone and what these wells will be competing for.
- 22 Q. Would you also anticipate, if the Maxwell
- 23 Trust Well is recompleted in this zone, that that
- 24 would have an effect on this curve?
- 25 A. It will be a dominant well. It will

- 1 produce better than the Squealy Worm. It will be
- 2 very harmful to the 26-1. It will be harmful to the
- 3 Squealy Worm, and it will be the dominant well. And
- 4 my projection will change.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, if I may
- 6 approach the witness?
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) One question. The prior
- 8 witness, Mr. Savage, was gas pricing now, as opposed
- 9 to when the subject well was recompleted. What is
- 10 the gas pricing scenario in those two?
- 11 A. What he's showing is a gas price chart
- 12 that I gave him. We're a consulting firm, and we
- 13 keep oil and gas prices and have for a number of
- 14 years.
- 15 And this is just a chart of NYMEX gas
- 16 prices from the Wall Street Journal. And I handed
- it to Mr. Bruce to show him the pricing environment
- 18 back when they were doing the initial workover and
- 19 their pricing environment in the current situation.
- Q. What are those prices, roughly?
- 21 A. Back in '06 and '07, the average price was
- 22 \$7 in '06, and \$7.12 in '07. These are NYMEX
- 23 prices. We weren't realizing these on the wellhead,
- 24 but it's relative.
- 25 And in '09 -- I don't have an average for

- 1 '10. I can get to it, but I don't have it on this
- 2 chart. In '09, it was 4.15. And currently it's
- 3 about the same, if you can see this, if you want to
- 4 make this an exhibit.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, we don't have
- 6 any extra copies of that.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I have copies also.
- 8 MR. CARR: We have no objection to that.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: I submit Exhibit 13.
- 10 (Discussion off the record.)
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Overall, Mr. Savage, what
- is your opinion of the proposed reentry submitted by
- 13 Arrington Oil & Gas?
- 14 A. Well, it's risky. When I looked at their
- 15 analogue, that well was not producing much water at
- 16 all. It was a black-and-white. You couldn't see
- 17 where the water is, which one of those curves is
- 18 water. But it's not making very much water, so it's
- 19 really a different situation.
- 20 And when they brought that well back on,
- 21 if you looked at the rates, it came back on at
- 22 50 percent of the rate that it was when it started
- 23 to have a problem.
- 24 And actually, in my situation, the way
- 25 I've built mine, ultimately I'm at 50 percent. But

- 1 I have an impairment of a third because of the
- 2 situation that I see here, where this is a dominant
- 3 well. It's going to be at a higher rate.
- But I when I looked at that, I smiled
- 5 because I wanted to see their economics, because we
- 6 had a similar opinion about what it might come back
- 7 on.
- 8 But the risks in this well are much
- 9 higher. I don't know what they are in the analog.
- 10 But in this well, with the water situation, we've
- 11 got a lot more risk.
- Q. When you mention the analog well, you're
- 13 talking about a well they use for comparison or
- 14 supporting their --
- 15 A. Yes, their Exhibit 8.
- 16 Q. And again, if a single workover is
- 17 performed on this well, it's completely uneconomic,
- 18 in your opinion?
- 19 A. Say that again.
- 20 Q. If the well is recompleted, but then you
- 21 have a workover down the line --
- 22 A. Oh, you're likely to not make any money at
- 23 all.
- Q. Were Exhibits 3 through 13 prepared by you
- 25 or under your supervision?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of
- 3 Winston & Marshall's application in the interest of
- 4 conservation and the prevention of waste?
- 5 A. My opinion is the well is not needed to
- 6 produce the reserves.
- 7 Now, who gets the economic benefit, of
- 8 course, is a different issue. But it is not needed
- 9 to produce these reserves.
- 10 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner I would move the
- 11 admission of Exhibits 3 through 13.
- MR. CARR: No objection.
- THE EXAMINER: Exhibits 3 through 13 are
- 14 admitted.
- 15 (M&W Exhibits 3 through 13, inclusive,
- 16 were admitted.)
- 17 MR. BRUCE: No further questions.
- 18 (Proceedings in recess from 11:39 to
- 19 1:13.)
- THE EXAMINER: Okay. Let's go back on the
- 21 record with our docket. We're right in the middle
- 22 or someplace near the end, I quess, of Case No.
- 23 14497 and Case No. 14538.

24

25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. CARR:

1

- 3 Q. Mr. Savage, it's been a while since your
- 4 direct testimony, and I want to be sure I remember
- 5 what you said.
- 6 My recollection is you testified that if
- 7 Mr. Arrington was able to establish production in
- 8 the Green Eyed Squealy Worm Well, if he
- 9 reestablished production, that could impact the two
- 10 existing Morrow wells; is that right?
- 11 A. It would impact the one existing -- well,
- 12 it would. But one of them is not producing, so it
- 13 wouldn't have any kind of an impact on that.
- 14 Q. But the producing well could be impacted?
- 15 A. Oh, yes.
- 16 Q. Do you understand that if they reestablish
- 17 production in this well, that their intent is to
- 18 drain remaining Morrow reserves in the south half of
- 19 Section 26?
- 20 A. I understand.
- Q. And if conversely, they're not allowed or
- 22 given the opportunity to try and establish
- 23 production and there is production there, that could
- 24 be drained, could it not, by the well to the north?
- 25 A. In my opinion, it will be drained.

- 1 Q. From Section 26?
- 2 A. From the well that's producing from it.
- 3 O. Would drain --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 O. -- from south half of 26?
- 6 A. Yes. Just as when this well was
- 7 producing, it was a dominant well. It was drawing
- 8 gas from where the well to the north is.
- 9 Q. Do you understand that Arrington is
- 10 seeking an opportunity to try and reestablish
- 11 production of the Morrow?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And I gather, from your testimony, that
- 14 you don't like the proposal very well?
- 15 A. No. I was asked to give them an opinion
- 16 of reserves. And in discussing risk, you know, I
- 17 think I have an opinion of risk. It wasn't what
- 18 they wanted from me, as far as the job is concerned.
- 19 But certainly the risk in this procedure,
- 20 particularly since they failed the first time, for
- 21 somebody who wants to put money in it, there is a
- 22 lot of risk in it.
- Q. You wouldn't recommend that Marshall &
- 24 Winston participate, would you?
- 25 A. No, I would not.

- 1 Q. And that's because you consider it a
- 2 high-risk venture?
- 3 A. That's right.
- Q. And you've had experience with the Morrow;
- 5 have you not?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And isn't it fair to say that with the
- 8 Morrow, as with other formations, you really don't
- 9 know what you re going to be able to do until you
- 10 try?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. You don't consider this an economic
- 13 attempt; is that fair to say?
- 14 A. When you take the risk factors involved,
- 15 it is not economical.
- 16 O. You understand that if Marshall & Winston
- 17 doesn't draw, Arrington is the only one who would be
- 18 paying for this opportunity to test it?
- 19 A. Sure, yes.
- 20 MR. CARR: That's all I have. Thank you.
- 21 MR. BROOKS: If I understood your
- 22 testimony correctly, you did not dispute that there
- 23 are remaining gas reserves in the Morrow that could
- 24 be produced from reactivating this --
- THE WITNESS: That's right, sir.

- 1 MR. BROOKS: And you believe that if that
- 2 is not done, they will eventually be drained by
- 3 other wells in the vicinity; is that correct?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I believe so.
- 5 MR. BROOKS: That's all I have.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 7 I have a question here on I believe it was
- 8 your Exhibit No. 9.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 10 THE EXAMINER: That's the well we're
- 11 talking about here today mainly that we couldn't
- 12 possibly say without smiling or laughing or
- 13 something. I think I went to school with this quy
- 14 back in the late '60s.
- That looks like about 12 feet of pay
- 16 there?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Out of that zone, this well
- 18 is producing about 30 or 40 barrels of water a day.
- 19 And I think it comes from the lower part of that
- 20 zone there.
- In the Morrow, it depends on what you call
- 22 pay. Most of the production that's coming from that
- 23 well is coming from the upper part of that, where
- 24 the porosity is in the 10- to 12 percent range.
- THE EXAMINER: And that's M zone, around

- 1 13,000?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I do not think
- 3 that -- I don't consider this pay down here below,
- 4 in the zones that they call the Lower Morrow. I
- 5 think the production that's coming from the Squealy
- 6 Worm is coming from the 13,000-foot zone.
- 7 THE EXAMINER: Okay. So you're calling
- 8 that Lower Morrow between 13,050 and --
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 10 THE EXAMINER: And in the depth tract
- 11 there, those are perfs, I guess?
- 12 THE WITNESS: This was a log that I
- 13 downloaded off the Commission site. And it had
- 14 already, apparently when it was going to the State,
- 15 been marked up.
- 16 THE EXAMINER: But you would think that
- 17 those are indicated perforations?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 THE EXAMINER: All right, thank you.
- Then I had another question, one that kind
- 21 of threw me. One of your charts, Exhibit 12.
- 22 THE | WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- THE EXAMINER: For my clarification, can
- 24 you tell me again what we're looking at there? And
- 25 can you tell me what the different colors are, the

- 1 blue, the green, the red?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. There are multiple
- 3 streams here. The red stream is the gas production,
- 4 and then the extension off of that is a projection
- 5 of future performance.
- THE EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
- 7 THE WITNESS: The next stream down, the
- 8 green stream, is oil.
- 9 Then you have a light black -- it's a
- 10 gray-type stream. And that is oil cut.
- 11 And then you have a light blue, and that's
- 12 the GOR. And then you have a dark blue, and that's
- 13 water.
- I apologize for not having those labeled.
- 15 But when you put the labels on there and they
- 16 overlap like that, then it's hard to see those.
- 17 THE EXAMINER: That's no problem. And
- 18 then that little step function down there?
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's well count.
- 20 THE EXAMINER: Okay. I have no further
- 21 questions.
- MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this
- 23 matter.
- MR. CARR: I do have a closing.
- THE EXAMINER: Go ahead.

- 1 MR. CARR: May I proceed?
- THE EXAMINER: Yes, you may.
- MR. CARR: May it please the Examiners?
- 4 Hearings of this nature, these
- 5 proceedings, are authorized and governed by the
- 6 Oil & Gas Act. And my role, as an attorney before
- 7 you, is to navigate a case through your statutes and
- 8 rules.
- 9 David H. Arrington Oil & Gas, Inc.,
- 10 appears before you seeking to approve an order, and
- 11 I believe we're entitled to it under the Oil & Gas
- 12 Act.
- There's more than one owner in this Morrow
- 14 spacing unit. One has the right to drill and
- 15 reenter. That's Mr. Arrington. He has the right to
- 16 do this.
- 17 We have not reached voluntary agreement.
- 18 That's obvious. And when you meet these three
- 19 preconditions, you come to hearing. And the
- 20 Division pools prevent waste and protect correlative
- 21 rights. This case presents a correlative rights
- 22 question.
- 23 Correlative rights is defined by the Oil &
- 24 Gas Act as the opportunity for each interest owner
- 25 in a spacing unit to produce their just and fair

- 1 share of the reserves under that space unit. The
- 2 key word is "opportunity."
- Because, as Mr. Savage admitted, there are
- 4 reserves under this acreage that will be drained,
- 5 unless we are given our statutory opportunity to go
- 6 out there and avail ourselves of the opportunity by
- 7 trying to reestablish production in this wellbore,
- 8 which is a wellbore the bulk of which we paid for _
- 9 and a wellbore that presents the only economic way
- 10 for us to now go and determine if we can reestablish
- 11 production in the Morrow in this well, as we did in
- 12 the Bill's Hopper Well.
- Mr. Savage admits you won't know until you
- 14 try. Well, we want to try. And if we make a good
- 15 well, yes, it will have an impact on others.
- 16 But if we're denied that opportunity,
- 17 reserves that we have been quaranteed the
- 18 opportunity to try to produce are going to be
- 19 produced by an offsetting well, and our correlative
- 20 rights are violated.
- We're only asking for 90 days to get out
- 22 there and get started and diligently pursue this and
- 23 try and reestablish Morrow production under the
- 24 spacing unit, that if we're denied this opportunity,
- 25 to the extent it is there -- and I think we agree

- 1 there are some that can't be wasted or captured by
- 2 someone who doesn't own it today.
- We ask that our application be granted.
- 4 We ask that the application to remove us as operator
- 5 be denied. And we will state on the record, as I've
- 6 been authorized by Arrington to do, that we will do
- 7 nothing in this reentry attempt that would be
- 8 designed to in any way jeopardize the availability
- 9 of this wellbore for later reentry.
- THE EXAMINER: Okay.
- 11 Mr. Bruce.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Arrington comes before you
- 13 today, Mr. Examiner, with a pooling case in which he
- 14 requests a Morrow test, but in which three things
- 15 happen regarding the well proposal.
- 16 Yet the only testimony it presented here
- 17 today was on a Cisco Canyon recompletion, which is
- 18 not even relevant to this whole matter.
- 19 The proposal letter which was submitted
- 20 actually by Marshall & Winston is a letter in which
- 21 Arrington requests Marshall & Winston to participate
- 22 under the terms of a JOA which had terminated
- 23 several years ago.
- 24 And in addition, the record will show that
- 25 it sent out the Morrow proposal letter, such as it

- 1 was, and then notified Marshall & Winston a couple
- 2 days later of the hearing. They tried to get this
- 3 to hearing in June.
- In addition, from a technical standpoint,
- 5 it submitted no reserve or economic data. As a
- 6 result, it has not made a good-faith effort to pool
- 7 the acreage, which is required under the Oil & Gas
- 8 Act, and it cannot support its assertion that its
- 9 application will prevent waste.
- The only economic testimony is that this
- 11 whole proposal is uneconomic. Therefore,
- 12 Arrington's application should be denied, and
- 13 Marshall & Winston's application should be granted.
- 14 THE EXAMINER: Very well. Thank you.
- With that, then we'll take under
- 16 advisement Case No. 14497 and Case No. 14538.
- 17 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, one thing.
- 18 Again, Arrington has promised to submit to us all of
- 19 the proposals letters to the various interest
- 20 owners. I would like to get those within the next
- 21 few days.
- 22 MR. CARR: We will do that. I'm happy to
- 23 do that.
- 24 (The hearing concluded at 1:26 p.m.)
- 25

 i do hereby certify that the foregoing is
 a complete record of the proceedings in
 the Examiner hearing of Case No.

 heard by me on

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2122

23

24

25

License Expires:

12/31/2010