that may be something to consider, but --

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: That would be a question we'd need to ask, you know, get them to represent to us that they have a legal right to do it, because --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Because don't they have it signed in the APD now, right? That they have a legal right to drill at that --

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Yeah.

1 d

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- location. Then they'd have to -- So I was thinking along the same lines of all those things, is why I was -- where I was coming back to that. But I wasn't thinking of it for -- as -- it's not necessarily a -- Landowner approval, that doesn't mean that it's protecting groundwater or public health or --

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: But if SOPA didn't go so far --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- as to allow the surface owner to absolutely veto, then we would be overstepping.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: But see, I don't see it as a veto, because that --

MS. BADA: I think the problem is, you do not have any definitive case saying whether burial on site is reasonable access to the surface. You just don't. And as long as that's not out there, you're -- it's an open

question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 0

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: As long as what they have -- and that's the case, that's -- it's dig-and-haul, doesn't mean you can't drill it, just you've got to -- in that circumstance, you've got to dig-and-haul it, you know?

MS. BADA: I mean, there are opinions on both sides of it, that's reasonable access.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh.

MS. BADA: We don't have a decision out.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: And until the Legislature or the courts give some guidance on that --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- I don't see us as being the test case.

MS. BADA: As you might be, if you include it.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Because the other aspect that that was coming down, this is coming more to the burrito system, because you're leaving high-level wastes behind.

What I was looking at, you know, if something is buried on the site, then there should be some kind of a deed notice to it, so how does somebody know in the future not to go dig it up? Now I don't know if that's necessarily as important if you're only leaving levels that

aren't really causing a problem, that can be left on the surface. Then the only thing you've really got is the plastic, you're not leaving out -- it's not -- not like you're going to go through and dig up, you know, 80,000 chlorides and end up spreading it all around and causing a problem.

Because I was looking at that when they were talking about the burrito, is just to -- thinking, you know, you'd need to somehow notice that. That's what -- part of the problem is where they came up with the Shell-Westgate, here it was you had something that was buried in the '50s that nobody had any idea was even there, just covered up.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Wasn't there a P-and-A marker --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER OLSON: No, there --

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- or a well marker? No well marker there?

COMMISSIONER OLSON: No, there was just an old pit that was there. It wasn't a drilling pit, this was actually an old production pit.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: So there was a well somewhere in the vicinity.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Yeah, the well is about -It's still an active well. It's over -- it was probably

150 feet away, something like that.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

 $2 \, \mathrm{d}$

21

22

23

25

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay, and there was a marker on the well?

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: No, it was an active --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: It's an active well --

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: It's an active well --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- you know.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- okay. So what I was going to throw out was, on the C-102, why not require locations of the pits as part of the APD approval of the C-101 and -102? And that way, there is a permanent record, and anybody who sees either a well or a dryhole marker, a plug marker, could always go to the OCD to find where the pits are.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Well, I don't have a problem with that, but I don't think they're going to go to OCD if they're drilling like a -- you know, the property transaction, somebody buys the property, they don't necessarily go to OCD to look if there's -- see if there's something there, you know?

I was thinking along the lines of -- and I'll admit, I was thinking of this for the cases we were talking about from both the industry committee and OCD of leaving something in place that's like 70,000 to 100,000 chlorides.

In that kind of case, the whole point to having the

burrito there is, you don't want to disturb it in the future.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: But there's less of a concern with, you know, tacos that meet the --

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: -- requirement --

COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- the surface requirements.

If it can be left on the surface, obviously that -- it's not really posing a threat at that point. You may still have some incidental metals and other things, but the volume of that is a lot less, so...

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

2 Q

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Cheryl, would we have the same kind of problem if we required notice, rather than consent?

MS. BADA: Actually, I don't think so. The only question you might have is how long the county clerk will actually keep the deed --

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No, you all are talking two different things. He's gone back to SOPA approval.

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Well, he was speaking of it in terms of the protection of fresh waters, public health and the environment. I think you do have -- I think there's a good rationale for it there, just because you could say, Hey, look, we're trying to -- I need to protect public health from direct access to the materials, you know. So you don't want people not knowing that they're

```
digging these things up --
             CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Exactly.
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- you know? So I think
   there's more justification in that -- for that.
             MS. BADA: I think the deed notice -- you know,
   how effective it actually is in reality and --
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right.
             MS. BADA: -- how the county clerks would
   implement it and -- Yeah, I think as far as --
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: And whether --
10
             MS. BADA: -- it's easily justi- --
11
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: Whether a title company will
12
   really pick that up is --
13
14
             MS. BADA: Yeah.
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- might be another story,
15
   but at least you've tried to make an attempt to alert
1 0
   future landowners to the things actually there, so...
17
18
             And that could be a way around doing surface
19
   owner approval too, potentially, and say -- because there
    is a justification for the noticing of it.
2 d
             COMMISSIONER BAILEY: So if they can prove that
21
22
   they have provided notice to the surface owner that they're
   going to --
23
             COMMISSIONER OLSON: No, this would be actually
24
   putting some type of a -- something --
25
```

Filing a notice. MS. BADA: COMMISSIONER OLSON: Filing a notice with the --MS. BADA: -- county --COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- county --MS. BADA: -- clerk --COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- that this --MS. BADA: -- that certain property, there's --COMMISSIONER BAILEY: So the location of the pit? COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh. 10 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER OLSON: So it should show up if 12 there's a title -- you know, a title search on the 13 property. It should show up as attached to that property. 14 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. MS. BADA: That, I don't think, presents any 15 problem. You know, the practicalities of it may. COMMISSIONER OLSON: Yeah. 17 18 MS. BADA: We may learn as we go. 19 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Well, I understand Jami's 20 21 argument, I understand the arguments in the briefs. And I understand what you're saying, that that may be outside 22 23 what we're capable of doing. 24 So I would say that -- you know, that there's 25 evidence in the record to support a notification

requirement, but not a -- and Bill, I --COMMISSIONER OLSON: We may disagree, but that's --CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Well, I see your point. Believe me, I see your point. But I think it's --COMMISSIONER OLSON: Because I just kind of stick to the side of the -- of what was brought up, that you still need to show that you've got a legal right to do what you're doing. 1 d CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And we do have that 11 requirement. 12 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh. 13 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The break there is whether 14 that legal right to drill a well includes the legal right 15 to leave the --16 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right. 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: -- material on site. COMMISSIONER OLSON: Which hasn't really been 18 decided in any conclusive way. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And my reasoning is that what 20 you're talking about may be important, if they have that 21 right, it may be a tort --22 23 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: -- but that may be, in some 24 circumstances, outside of our realm of responsibility. 25

COMMISSIONER OLSON: Well, if you filed a deed notice on my probably, you'd probably have a tort. (Laughter) MS. BADA: If you bury it in place on my property you'd probably have a lawsuit --(Laughter) MS. BADA: -- but that's a whole 'nother story. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: But that does bring up, should the C-102s indicate where the pits are going to be? MS. BADA: I think that is --1 d 11 COMMISSIONER OLSON: I think it should, you know, uh-huh. There should be final records filed with the 13 Division of the -- because actually, by the time you've done the final closure, you -- that's what should really be definitive of where it was located, you know. Because 15 things might change --16 17 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay, so that would be with the C-105, along with --18 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right. 19 2 d COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- completion record --21 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right. 22 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- have a plat indicating where the pits --23 24 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Right. 25 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: -- are located in

relationship to the wellbore. COMMISSIONER OLSON: As part of the closure, uh-huh. MS. BADA: C-105 is the closure, and then C-102 is the APD? CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The proposed pit -- yeah, proposed drilling --MS. BADA: Well -- Yeah. COMMISSIONER OLSON: You have a proposal and a final, it might change, but --11 MS. BADA: Yeah, okay. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, we can leave it like 12 that. Okay? 13 Any other issues that we might be able to bring 14 15 up? MS. BADA: What else? Below grade tanks --16 17 COMMISSIONER OLSON: Oh, did we talk about the -yeah, the soil criteria for the -- if you're doing the dig-18 19 and-haul, you've got to take samples from below the -- soil 20 samples from below the pit --21 MS. BADA: Yeah, so what criteria would you --22 COMMISSIONER OLSON: -- one of your criteria for the triggers, and I think we talked about, again, the 23 24 landfarm criteria as the triggers. 25 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Is that going to be