

J. SCOTT HALL

Cell:

(505) 670-7362

Email:

shall@montand.com Reply To: Santa Fe Office

www.montand.com

RECEIVED OCD

2010 NOV 15 A 9: 48

0

November 15, 2010

Ms. Florene Davidson NM Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

Hand Delivered

Re:

NMOCD Case No. 14569: Applications of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., For Designation of a Non-Standard Oil Spacing and Proration Unit And For Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Davidson:

On behalf of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., enclosed is an original and one copy each of Devon Energy's Response to Cimarex's Amended Motion to Dismiss and Response to Cimarex's motion to continue and consolidate in the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

Donicia Herrera

Assistant to J. Scott Hall

Sonicia Herrera

:kw **Enclosure**

cc: W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. (e-mail)

David Brooks, Esq., NMOCD - Hand-Delivered Richard Ezeanyim, NMOCD - Hand - Delivered

REPLY TO:

325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Telephone (505) 982-3873 • Fax (505) 982-4289

Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307 6301 Indian School Road NE, Suite 400 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 Telephone (505) 884-4200 • Fax (505) 888-8929

Post Office Box 36210 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87176-6210

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATUR OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

2010 NOV 15 A 9:49

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P. FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNITAND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14569

DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CIMAREX ENERGY CO.'S AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS

Devon Energy Production Company, ("Devon"), for its response to Cimarex Energy Co.'s, ("Cimarex"), Amended Motion to Dismiss, states:

Cimarex's amended motion should be denied for the reasons that (1) Cimarex lacks standing in this matter and, (2) dismissal would be inconsistent with administrative efficiency and economy in the disposition of the adjudicatory proceedings pending before the Division.

1. Cimarex lacks standing.

Among others, ¶(4) i of Cimarex's unsupported statement of "Relevant Facts" is disputed. Cimarex claims ownership of a miniscule 1.30% interest. A title opinion earlier commissioned and received by Devon on August 20, 2010 for the well unit in the N/2 N/2 of Section 15 did not reflect that Cimarex owned any interest at all. Further, as of the time Devon's Application was filed in this matter, Cimarex was not the owner of an interest of record. As of November 8, 2010, when county and BLM records were again checked, Cimarex still did not appear as an owner of a record title interest. (Affidavit of Jim Ball, Ex. A, attached.)

For the reason that Cimarex has no record title interest, the requisite standing to invoke the discretion of the Division and request dismissal of this case is absent. (See Order No. R-1062-A, Conclusions of Law ¶¶D (1), (2), (3), Case No. 11510, Application of Branko, Inc. et al. to Reopen Case No. 10656; Order No. R-10672-A De Novo.) In the Branko, Inc. case, a party (Branko) claiming to own a working interest sought to intervene in a compulsory pooling proceeding and obtain a hearing de novo. In fact, record title was in another party, Strata. The Commission concluded that Branko was not an interest owner at the time the original compulsory pooling application was filed, was not a party of record and "...did not have standing to request the OCD to reopen the case or to request the Commission grant Branko a de novo hearing [.]" Id. This circumstance alone warrants denial of Cimarex's amended motion.

¹ No other party has joined Cimarex in seeking dismissal.

2. The interests of administrative efficiency and economy are disserved.

Presuming that Cimarex can somehow cure its lack of standing to challenge compulsory pooling relief, granting its motion would be contrary to the interests of administrative efficiency and economy, cause delay and would lead to unnecessarily duplicative applications and proceedings. Cimarex, with its claim to an unrecorded, minute interest would gain only a short-term tactical advantage. Otherwise, dismissal (and inevitable re-filing) serves no purpose.

The Cimarex argument is centered on its invocation of the on-again, off-again "rule" that operators should wait thirty days before filing an application for compulsory pooling. The "thirty-day" requirement for pre-application well proposals was discontinued in 2002 by Order No. R-11869. (Case No. 12922, Application of David H. Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc. for Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico; and Case No. 12943, Application of Great Western Drilling for Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.) That order has been neither overruled nor distinguished. However, the rule has been applied in other cases with different facts. In this case, strict application of such a rule not justified.

In its amended motion, Cimarex fails to disclose to the Division the full circumstances of this dispute, looking only at this single Application in isolation. Cimarex wants to obscure the fact that it had <u>rejected</u> Devon's plans to develop Section 15 mere days after Devon's initial proposal was made and <u>before</u> the Application was filed in this case.

In this case, Devon seeks to consolidate the 40-acre spacing units within the N/2 N/2 of Section 15, and the designation of a 160-acre± non-standard oil spacing and proration unit for the West Shinnery 15 Federal No. 2-H horizontal well. In related Case No. 14570, Devon Energy Production Company seeks to consolidate the 40-acre spacing units within the S/2 N/2 of Section 15, and designate a 160-acre± non-standard spacing unit for its West Shinnery 15 Federal Com No. 3-H horizontal well. (Cimarex does not seek the dismissal of the related case.)

For a significant period of time preceding this dispute, Devon has been proactive, moving forward with the title work, planning and permitting necessary to develop its reserves in Section 15. As part of that process, on September 23, 2010 Devon circulated a complete well proposal for its West Shinnery 15 Federal Com. No. 3-H in the S/2 N/2 of Section 15.

On October 4, 2010, rather than attempting to negotiate a solution, Cimarex rejected Devon's well proposal and circulated its own conflicting and incomplete well proposal (undated) for its North Young 15 Federal Com No. 2-H well. (Exhibit B, attached.) The well unit is identified as E/2 W/2 Sec. 15 and thus conflicts with Devon's plan of development. It was at this point that the adverse positions of the parties with respect to the development of Section 15 became established. Devon correspondingly filed its Applications on October 6, 2010. Cimarex followed suit on October 28, 2010 and filed its conflicting compulsory pooling application for its North Young 15 Federal Com No. 2-H in Case No. 14573; Application of Cimarex Energy Co. for a Non-Standard Spacing Unit and Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.² This case is set for the December 2, 2010 examiner hearing docket. Cimarex has requested that this

² The Cimarex well proposal is not dated, but it was received by Devon on October 4th, twenty-four days before the Cimarex application was filed.

case be consolidated for hearing with Devon's Application in related Case No. 14570. Cimarex's actions thus tell us unequivocally that it will not join in Devon's plan of development of Section 15.

On information and belief, Cimarex will propose, and will likely apply for compulsory pooling and non-standard unit designation for its North Young Federal Com No. 3-H well in the W/2 W/2 of Section 15. Consequently, there are now three, and potentially four, conflicting non-standard units that require resolution by the Division. The approval of one requires the denial of others and may determine, in piecemeal fashion, the orientation of all other non-standard units in Section 15. It makes sense, therefore, that the Cimarex amended motion be denied so that the ministerial re-filing of one application can be avoided and all cases instead heard in a single consolidated hearing. Doing so will further administrative efficiency and will conserve the resources of the parties and the Division.

Respectfully submitted,

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P. A.

By:__

J. Scott Hall

P.O. Box 2307

Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307

(505) 982-3873 - Telephone

(505) 982-4289 - Fax

Attorneys for Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on November 1, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via e-mail and regular mail to:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. Kellahin & Kellahin 706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, NM 87501

1.1 min dall

J. Scott Hall

00233251

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
) ss
COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA	.)

AFFIDAVIT OF JIM BALL

JIM BALL, being duly sworn, states:

- 1. I am the age of majority am otherwise familiar with the matters set forth herein.
- 2. I am a Land Advisor (landman) for Devon Energy Corporation's Western Division Land department. I am responsible for the land and regulatory permitting functions relating to Devon Energy Production Company's proposal to develop its oil and gas lease interests in Section 15 T18S R32E NMPM in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 3. I requested an examination of title and commissioned a title opinion for the proposed West Shinnery 15 Federal No. 2-H well in the N/2 N/2 of Section 15. Title was searched and the title opinion I received on August 20, 2010 for the well unit did not show that Cimarex owned any interest in the N/2 N/2 of Section 15.
- 4. On September 23, 2010, on behalf of Devon, I circulated a complete well proposal for the West Shinnery 15 Federal Com. No. 3-H in the S/2 N/2 of Section 15. Cimarex did not respond to our well proposal. Instead, on October 4, 2010, we received Cimarex's own undated well proposal for its North Young 15 Federal Com No. 2-H well. (Exhibit B, attached.) The well unit is identified as E/2 W/2 of Sec. 15 and thus conflicts with Devon's plan of development. Further, the well proposal indicated conflicting drilling directions and the quantum of interests was not reflected on the joint operating agreement. Devon regards the well proposal as incomplete and incapable of being accepted.
- 5. On October 7, 2010, I was informed by Steve Burleson, a representative of another interest owner, Lewis Burleson Properties, L.P., that Cimarex may receive an assignment of one-half of its interest in the N/2 NW/4 of Section 15, or approximately 0.65104% (.5 X 1.30209%). However, I was not provided with signed copy of an assignment.
- 6. Recently, on November 8, 2010, I requested our abstracters to re-check the Lea County and BLM records for the N/2 N/2 of Section 15. As of this recent date, Cimarex still did not appear as an owner of a record title interest.

FURTHERMORE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

JIMABALL

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me the 12 day of November, 2010 by Jim Ball.

{SEAL}

CHERYL LAVARNWAY

Notary Public State of Oklahoma

Commission # 00001524 Expires 02/23/12

Notary Public in and for the State of Oklahoma

My Commission Expires: 2-23-12-

00233444

Cimerex Energy Co.

600 N. Marienfeld St.

Suite 600

Midland, Texas 79701

PHONE 432.571,7800

Jim Ball
Devon Energy Corporation
20 North Broadway
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-8260

Re: Proposal to drill

North Young 15 Federal Com #2H Well E/2W/2 Sec 15-Twp 18S-Rng 32E Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Ball:

Cimarex Energy Co., acting as operator for Magnum Hunter Production, Inc., hereby proposes to drill the above described Well at a legal location in E/2W/2 Sec 15-Twp 18S-Rng 32E], Lea County, New Mexico. The intended surface hole location for the well is 330' FSL and 1980' FWL and the intended bottom hole location is 330' FNL and 1980' FWL. The well is proposed to be drilled vertically to a depth of approximately 9,300' to the Bone Spring formation and laterally in a Southerly direction within the formation to the referenced bottom hole location. Total measured depth of the well is proposed to be approximately 14,250' feet from surface to terminus.

It should be understood that compliance with topography or cultural or environmental concerns, among others, might require modification of Cimarex's intended procedure. Cimarex will advise you of any such modifications.

Enclosed, in duplicate, is (i) our detailed AFE reflecting estimated costs associated with this proposal, and; (ii) our proposed form of Operating Agreement to govern operations of the North Young 15 Federal Com #2H Well.

If you intend to participate, please approve and return one (1) original of the enclosed AFE and one (1) original of the signature page to the Operating Agreement, along with the contact information to receive your well data, to the undersigned within thirty (30) days of receipt of this proposal. If you elect to purchase your own well control insurance, you must provide a certificate of such insurance to Cimarex prior to commencement of drilling operations; otherwise, you will be covered by insurance procured by Cimarex and will be responsible for your share of the cost.

In the event you do not wish to participate in drilling the proposed well, Cimarex Energy Co. will consider acquiring your interest through a Term Assignment, where upon Cimarex will pay \$750 per acre for a 2 year assignment where a .75 NRI is delivered.

Respectfully

Mark Compton

RECEIVED OCT 04 2010

WESTERNLAND

ELECTION TO PARTICIPATE North Young 15 Federal Com #2H Well

Elects 10 participate in the proposed North Young 15 Federal Com #2n Well.
Elects NOT to participate in the proposed North Young 15 Federal Com #2H Well.
Dated this day of, 2010.
Signature:
Title:
If your election above is TO participate in the proposed North Young 15 Federal Com #2H Well, then:
Elects TO be covered by well control insurance procured by Cimarex Energy Co.
Elects NOT to be covered by well control insurance procured by Cimarex Energy Co. and agrees to provide Cimarex Energy Co. with a certificate of insurance prior to commencement of drilling operations or be deemed to have elected to be covered by well control insurance procured by Cimarex Energy Co.



Authorization For Expenditure

	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN					<u> </u>				
Company Entity			· · ·				Date Prepa	red		
Cimarex Energy	Co.]				Septemb	er 27, 2010	
Region	Well	Name	Well No.	Prospect or Field	Name	Property Number		Drilling	AFE No.	
Permian	North You	ung 15 Fed	2H	Mitchell						
Totation				County		State		Typ	e Well	
	980 FWL Sec 15, 1 & 1980 FWL	185-32E		Lea		NM	OII Gas	×	Expl Prod	×
Estimate Type				Est.Start Date	Mitchell County State Type Lea NM Oil X Gas Est. Comp Date Formation Bone Spring Property Number County State Oil X Gas Est. Comp Date Formation Bone Spring Property Number Formation Bone Spring After Casing Point Comp 1,514,550 \$1,669,900 1,514,550 \$1,669,900 1,514,550 \$1,669,900 1,514,550 \$1,669,900 1,514,550 \$1,669,900 1,516,750 \$	Tri Meas	ured Depth			
Original Estimat		0				-	8-0-0	factor.		,250° ical Depth
Revised Estimate Supplemental Es		Ö	1				Bone			400
Project Descript	ion									
		ring horizontal	well (4500'). (Cemented 5-1/2" long s	tring. Pilot h	ole.				
Intendibles				Day Walts Co		Abor Coale - "	olat		anlosed W-	Il Cost
Drilling Costs	ntangibles Prilling Costs			\$1,514,550		After Casing P	oint	Completed Well Cost \$1,514,550		
Completion Cost									\$1,669,90	
Total Intangible	Costs			\$1,514,550		\$1,669,900) 		\$3,184,45	0
Tangibles	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································	······						
Well Equipment	'ell Equipment			\$216,000	nei v			\$909,500		
Lease Equipment Total Tangible Well Cost					ana an			\$166,750 \$1,076,250		
Total Tangible V	Wen Cost			3216,000	**********	\$860,250			\$1,070,23	
Plug and Aband	on Cost			\$150,000		-\$150,000		L	\$0	
Total Well Cost				\$1.880.550		\$2,380,150)		\$4,260,70	0
					***************************************					~~~
Comments on W		nmant le adaad	hu CODAS an	d CEDC guidallage velag	eho Ulatada	Origo Advitation				
1. All tobulars, t	Men of lease eduil	hwenr iz bucen	UY COPAS and	a ceus Raideilles asiuR	the historic	Price Multiplier,	,	•		
	•									
Well Control Inst				the interest summer as			ell control i		annuard bu	Operator
1		-		-		• •		-		-
1.								-		
	encement or ani		You agree th	at failure to provide the	e certificate	of insurance, as provid	led herein,	will result i	n your pein	g covered
l -	hase my own well con									
l				other terms for \$20.0	00 000 (100	w w i l of Combined S	nala I imit a	munta na fo	r well contr	ni and
1 .						•	-	OAGLARE IO	A MEN COUN	OI allu
Comments on A	FE									
		ly and anticipat	e trouble free	operations without an	y foreseeabl	le change in plans. The	actual cos	ts may exce	eed the esti	mated costs
actual legal, cur	ative, regulatory a	ina meli costs n	naer term of 1	the joint operating agre	ement, regu	liatory order or other i	ipplicable a	greement	covering thi	s well,
								····		
				Cimarex Energy (Co. Appro	vaí	•			
Prepared by			Drilling and	Completion Manager			lanager	,		
Mark Audas						Roger Alex	ander			
						<u>_ </u>	·	<u>:</u>		
				Joint Interest	Approval					
Company			Ву			Date				



Project Cost Estimate

Lease Name:

orth Young 15 Fed

Well No.

2H

intangibles	Codes	Dry Hole Cost	Carlos	After Casing Point	Completed Well
Roads & Location Preparation / Restoration	DIDC.100	\$60,000	DICC.100	\$3,000	\$63,000
Damages	DIDC.105	\$1,000	DICC.105		\$1,000
Mud / Fluids Disposal Charges	DIDC.255	\$50,000	O1CC.235	\$77,000	\$127,000
Day Rate	DIDC.115	\$494,000	DICC.120	\$69,000	\$563,000
Misc Preparation Cost (mouse hole, rat hole, pads, pile clusters, misc.)	DIDC.120	\$5,000		MAMA	\$5,000
Bits	DIDC.125	\$65,000	DICC.125	\$1,000	\$66,000
Fuel \$2.70 Per Gallon Gallons Per Day	010C.135	\$87,000	DICC.130	\$1,000	\$88,000
Water / Completion Fluids Per Day	DIDC.140	\$33,250	OICC.135	\$135,000	\$168,250
Mud & Additives	DIDC.145	\$45,100			\$45,100
Surface Rentals Per Day	DIDC.150	\$35,000	DICC.140	\$175,000	\$210,000
Dawnhole Rentals	DIDC.155	\$96,000	DICC.145	\$36,000	\$132,000
Formation Evaluation (DST, Coring including evaluation, G&G Services)	DIDC.160		44444	7/1/1/1/1/1	\$0
Mud Logging V \$850 Days @ 25 Per Day	DIDC.170	\$25,000	MMM)	MANAMA.	\$25,000
Open Hole Logging	DIDC.180	\$15,000		9999999	\$15,000
Cementing & Float Equipment	DIDC.185	\$55,000	DICC.155	\$75,000	\$130,000
Tubular Inspections	DIDC.190	\$5,000	DICC.160	\$5,000	\$10,000
Casing Crews	DIDC.195	\$18,000	DICC.165	\$18,000	\$36,000
Extra Labor, Welding, Etc.	DIDC.200	\$11,000	DICC.170	\$10,400	\$21,400
Land Transporation (Trucking)	DIDC.205	\$11,000	DICC.175	\$7,000	\$18,000
Supervision Per Day	DIDC.210	\$46,000	DICC.180	\$23,000	\$69,000
Trailer House / Camp / Catering A00 Per Day	DIDC.280	\$20,000	DICC.255	\$4,000	\$24,000
Other Misc Expenses	DIOC.220	\$2,000	OKCC.190	\$7,000	\$9,000
Overhead 9300 Per Day	DIOC.225	\$12,000	DICC.195	\$3,000	\$15,000
Remedial Cementing	DIDC.231		DICC.215		\$0
MOB/DEMOB	DIOC.240	\$65,000	anna a	AMAGAMA	\$65,000
Oirectional Drilling Services 20010 Days @ 7,000 Per Day	DIDC.245	\$89,000	annin i	Maddilla	\$89,000
Dock, Dispatcher, Crone	DIDC.250		DICC.230		\$0
Marine & Air Transportation	DIDC.275		DICC.250		\$0
Solids Control Per Day	DIDC.260	\$47,200	MAMA	UMAMAN	\$47,200
Well Control Equip (Snubbing Svcs.)	DIDC.265	\$32,000	DICC.240	\$25,000	\$57,000
Fishing & Sidetrack Operations	DIDC.270	\$0	DICC.245		\$C
Completion Rig Days @ 33/400 Per Day		an man	DICC.115	\$32,000	\$32,000
Coll Tubing Per Doy	_00000		DICC.260	\$45,000	\$45,000
Completion Logging, Perforating, WL Units, WL Surveys	_\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		DICC.200	\$70,000	\$70,000
Stimulation			DICC.210	\$703,500	\$703,500
Legal / Regulatory / Curative	DIDC.300	\$13,000	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic		\$13,000
Well Control Insurance \$0.35 Per Foot	DIDC.285				\$5,000
Contingency S% of Drilling Intangibles	DIDC.435	\$72,000	DICC.220	\$50,000	\$122,000
Construction For Well Equipment			DWEA.110	\$10,000	\$10,000
Construction For Lease Equipment	_((())(()()		DLEQ.110	\$75,000	\$75,000
Construction For Sales P/L	William .		DICC.265	\$10,000	\$10,000
Total Intangible Cost		\$1,514,550		\$1,669,900	\$3,184,450
Tangible - Well Equipment					

Tangible - Well Equipment						<u> </u>		
Casina	Size	Feet	\$ / Foot		V. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 1	14111111		
Drive Pipe	20 65		\$9,50.00	DWEB.150	\$0			\$0
Conductor Pipe	100		Manager .	DWEB.130	\$0	////////		Śū
Water String	N. 155		2 3 2 5 7 2 T	DWEB.135	\$0			\$0
Surface Casing	13-3/8	1-1700.00		DWEB.140	\$103,000	1.4.11.	THAINN.	\$103,000
Intermediate Casing	95/8	×3/02950:00	¥\$33.79	DWEB.145	\$100,000	(11)////		\$100,000
Orilling Liner	4.525	1.00	A	DWE8.145	\$0	44444		\$0
Orilling Liner		6. 一点红	5 P. 18	OWEB.145	\$0		annama.	\$0
Production Casing or Liner	/5571/2"	14100.00	\$22.00	MIMIM		DWEA.100	\$313,000	\$313,000
Production Tie-Back	1.00	to constitute	44.437.518			DWEA.100	\$0	\$0
Tubing	27/87	8300.00	\$174\$7.30			DWEA.105	\$61,000	\$61,000
N/C Well Equipment				02/11/11		DWEA.115	\$72,500	\$72,500
Wellhead, Tree, Chokes				DWEB.115	\$13,000	DWEA.120	\$13,000	\$26,000
Liner Hanger, Isolation Packer				DWEB.100	\$0	DWEA.125	\$80,000	\$80,000
Packer, Nipples				WAR WAR	ana	DWEA,130	\$0	\$0
Pumping Unit, Engine				481111111111111111111111111111111111111		DLEQ.100	\$145,000	\$145,000
Uft Equipment (BHP, Rods, Anchors)					Million of the Contract of the	DLEQ.105	\$9,000	\$9,000
Tangible - Lease Equipment								
N/C Lease Equipment				I BUMA	WARANIO .	D1EQ.115	\$64,500	\$64,500
Tanks, Tanks Steps, Stairs					44444	DIEQ.120	\$64,250	\$64,250
Battery (Heater Treater, Separator, Gas Treating Equipment)						DLEQ.125	\$32,500	\$32,500
Flow Lines (Line Pipe from wellhead to central facility)				9011914	Manini.	DLEQ.130	\$5,500	\$5,500
Offshore Production Structure for Facilities						DWEA.135	\$0	\$0
Pipeline to Sales				()(0)(1)		DWEA.140	\$0	ŚO
Total Tangibles					\$216,000		\$860,250	\$1,076,250
			-				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7-1
P&A Costs				DIDC.295	\$150,000	DICC.275	-\$150,000	\$0
Total Cost					\$1,880,550		\$2,380,150	\$4,260,700

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OLL CONSERVATION DIVISION

2010 NOV 15 A 9: 48

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P. FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14569

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P. FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14570

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO OF COLORADO FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14573

DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CIMAREX'S MOTION TO CONTINUE AND CONSOLIDATE

Consolidation. Devon agrees with Cimarex's motion to consolidate Case Nos. 14570 and 14573. Case No. 14569 should also be consolidated with these cases, as all involve the designation of non-standard spacing units and the consolidation of unjoined interests in the same section. Devon proposed doing so earlier (see November 9, 2010 e-mail, Exhibit A, attached), but Cimarex has not responded.

<u>Continuance</u>. We have informed counsel for Cimarex that a scheduling conflict for Devon's petroleum engineering witness¹ prevents his attendance on December 2nd. Devon agrees to continue these cases to December 16, 2010.

¹ Daughter's wedding.

Respectfully submitted,

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P. A.

1.1 wurdel

By:_

J. Scott Hall

P.O. Box 2307

Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307

(505) 982-3873 - Telephone

(505) 982-4289 – Fax

Attorneys for Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on November 1, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via e-mail and regular mail to:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. Kellahin & Kellahin 706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, NM 87501

J. Scott Hall

1.1 wur real

00233474

J. Scott Hall

From:

J. Scott Hall

Sent:

Tuesday, November 09, 2010 4:27 PM

To:

'Thomas Kellahin'

Subject:

RE: Request Devon-Cimarex OCD Cases

Tom:

December 2nd doesn't work for us, and we don't concur, but here is a proposed resolution:

I understand that Cimarex will soon be coming forward with an application for its North Young Fed Com 3-H in the W/2 W/2 of Sec. 15, so we will have four conflicting applications. Each party can assert that the other has procedural problems with one or more application. Instead of digressing into a dispute over such matters, we think it makes more sense to have all applications heard simultaneously on December 16th.

Accordingly, proposed terms: (1) Cimarex and Devon will file a stipulated motion to consolidate and continue all three cases (or four, if the Young 3-H is filed in time) for hearing on December 16, 2010. (2) Cimarex withdraws its motion to dismiss in Case 14569 and we won't file one in Case 14573. (3) Both parties will hold in abeyance construction of roads/pads and commencement of drilling of any well on Section 15 until these applications are resolved with finality, including through appeal, or through settlement.

Please discuss with your client and let me know.

Scott

J. Scott Hall Montgomery & Andrews, P.A. P. O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307 shall@montand.com (505) 986-2646

THIS MESSAGE CONTAINS INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED. UNLESS YOU ARE THE ADDRESSEE (OR AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE FOR THE ADDRESSEE), YOU MAY NOT USE, COPY OR DISCLOSE TO ANYONE THE MESSAGE OR ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE MESSAGE. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE ADVISE THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MAIL TO shall@montand.com AND DELETE THE MESSAGE. THANK YOU.

From: Thomas Kellahin [mailto:tkellahin@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, November 08, 2010 2:38 PM

To: J. Scott Hall
Cc: Compton.Mark

Subject: Request Devon-Cimarex OCD Cases

Dear Scott,

On behalf of Cimarex, I am requesting your client's, Devon, concurrence to continuing Case 14570 from the November 18th docket to the December 2nd docket to be consolidated for hearing with Cimarex's Case No. 14573 current set on that docket.

EXHIBIT A

Please advise,

Tom