			Page 1
	NEW MEXI	CO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
		EXAMINER HEARING	
		SANTA FE , NEW MEXICO	
Hearing Date		DECEMBER 1, 1994	Time: 8:15 A.M.
NAME		REPRESENTING	LOCATION
lauria trui		Byram	St
rank T. Chav	i	OCO "	(1
illian 1	āy (Jampleel Jan Jona +	Santa Fe
Jul C	~	Lampheel Jan Jone + Shen dan	Santa te
		,	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CASE NO. 11,144

CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

December 1st, 1994 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Division on Thursday, December 1st, 1994, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

I N D E X

December 1st, 1994 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,144

CASE NO. 11,144	
APPEARANCES	PAGE 3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
FRANK T. CHAVEZ Direct Examination by Mr. Carroll Cross-Examination by Mr. Kendrick	7 13
Examination by Examiner Catanach	14
STATEMENTS (Unsworn):	
JOHN CUNNINGHAM TROY STRICKLAND	15 16
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	23

* * *

EXHIBITS

		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1a	8	13
Exhibit	1b	8	13
Exhibit	1c	9	13
Exhibit	1d	9	13
Exhibit	1e	9	13
Exhibit	1f	9	13
Exhibit	2	10	13
Exhibit	3	11	13
Exhibit	4	11	13

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR COMMERCIAL UNION INSURANCE COMPANY:

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P.A. 325 Paseo de Peralta P.O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307 By: NED KENDRICK

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 8:12 a.m.:

EXAMINER CATANACH: We're going to call this hearing to order this morning, and we've got the Director of the Oil Conservation Division, Mr. Bill LeMay, is going to make a few opening remarks this morning.

CHAIRMAN LEMAY: Well, welcome to our new hearing room. Examiner Catanach is going to give me a couple minutes here just to welcome you to what will be christened Porter Hall, which I think is very appropriate, in honor of Pete Porter, who most of you know or know of. He was a long-time director of what was then the Commission and served our state well for at least 17 years, I think, in that post.

So I think it's appropriate that we have that name. And it was cleared by the Secretary's office and proposed by the Oil and Gas Association. And if there's no objections...

(Bangs gavel)

CHAIRMAN LEMAY: I think you'll like it here.
We're going to have our hearings, both the Division
Examiner hearings and Commission hearings here. The
parking is a lot better. We hope we can get the heating

set so you're not going to be sweltering in here like we were yesterday; that can be a problem.

But I think, given everything, we've got our own hearing room now and it's got ample seating. We're not quite finished with what will be the final product. I think we're going to have a little bit of a ramp here, hopefully some audio-visual aids so the witness here will be able to project in this corner the exhibits on a screen, slides, so that you in the audience as well as the lawyers and the Examiner and Commissioners and so forth, can see it.

And any suggestions you all have, let Examiner Catanach know, and he'll try and get them for you.

Again, I just want to welcome you to our hearing room and our first official piece of business, which will be Examiner Catanach's hearing here.

Welcome.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Bill.

I've been told that some maintenance personnel have to get into the ceiling, so we're going to take a short five- to ten-minute break.

(Laughter)

(Thereupon, a recess was taken at 8:15 a.m.)

(The following proceedings had at 8:24 a.m.)

EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call Case

1	11,144, in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil
2	Conservation Division on its own motion to permit the
3	operator, S&I Oil Company, American Employers' Insurance
4	Company, and all other interested parties to appear and
5	show cause why the seven wells that appear on the docket
6	should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with the
7	Division-approved plugging program.
8	Are there appearances in this case?
9	MR. CARROLL: May it please the Examiner, my name
10	is Rand Carroll, representing the New Mexico Oil
11	Conservation Division.
12	I have one witness to be sworn.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there additional
14	appearances?
15	MR. KENDRICK: Ned Kendrick with the Montgomery
16	and Andrews law firm for Commercial Union Insurance
17	Company.
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, for Who was
19	that, Mr. Kendrick?
20	MR. KENDRICK: Commercial Union Insurance
21	Company.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional appearances?
23	Swear in the witness.
24	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Carroll, you may proceed.

FRANK T. CHAVEZ, 1 2 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. CARROLL: 5 Mr. Chavez, will you please state your name for 6 0. 7 the record, your employer and your position with your 8 employer? My name is Frank Chavez. I'm District Supervisor 9 Α. of the Oil Conservation District Office in Aztec, New 10 11 Mexico. And your duties as District Supervisor include 12 0. supervising the plugging of abandoned or open wells? 13 14 Α. Yes, they do. 15 Are you familiar with the subject matter of Case Q. 16 11,144? 17 Α. Yes, I am. 18 Are there any corrections or deletions to the Q. 19 docket as it stands? Yes, at this time we would like to delete the 20 A. Neilson Number 1 well from the case. 21 22 Q. And why is that? We have received a C-104 and a bond from a new 23 Α. 24 operator on that well. 25 Q. Are there corrections to two of the wells on the

1 docket, the TRS-EV Well Number 1? Yes, the correct name for that is the TRS-EVI 2 Number 1. 3 4 And the Bob Blanche should be hyphenated, Bob-Blanche. 5 Do you have before you exhibits marked OCD 6 0. 7 Exhibits 1a through 1f? Yes, I do. 8 Α. And are they the well files maintained by the OCD 9 Q. regarding each of the six subject wells in this case? 10 Yes, they are copies of the well files of the 11 A. District Office. 12 Okay. Mr. Chavez, can you go through each of the 13 0. seven well files and give a brief history of each of the 14 six wells? 15 Exhibit Number 1a is the copy of the well file 16 Α. for the Barbara Number 1 well. 17 The well file contains the application for permit 18 19 to drill, Form C-101, also the dedication plat, Form C-102, various C-104s on the well, and the well completion report 20 21 showing the mechanical completion of the well, also some other sundry notices and all associated with the well. 22 23 The latest documentation in here does indicate that S&I Oil Company is the operator of the well. 24

25

Exhibit Number 1b is copies of the documents in

9 the well file for the Davie Number 1 well, and it contains 1 basically the same information as the previous well file 2 with the permits, C-104s and other documents on the well, 3 all showing that S&I is the operator of the well. 4 Exhibit Number 1c is the information for the 5 Moore Number 1 well. The same information is attached to 6 7 that. The Exhibit Number 1d is a copy of the well file 8 for the TRS-EVI Number 1 well. 9 Exhibit Number 1e is copies of documents in the 10 well file for the Barbara Number 1. 11 12 Number 1f is for the Dorothy Number 1. And those are the exhibits. 13 Are the documents contained in these exhibits 14 0. prepared and kept by the Oil Conservation Division in its 15

- ordinary course of business?
 - Α. Yes, they are.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- What is the current condition of the six wells? Q.
- These six wells are currently neglected, they're Α. not being produced, they are temporarily abandoned or shut in.

Actually, they're temporarily abandoned without approval from the District Office, and they're not being produced.

Q. Okay. Will you please turn to OCD Exhibit Number 2 and tell the Examiner what is contained in that exhibit?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit Number 2 is a copy of the letter written A. by Charles Gholson, who was the Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector at that time. It was written on May 3rd of this year, 1994, to Mr. Strickland of S&I Oil Company, directing him to take action for violations on these wells and to comply with Rule 201 to bring the wells into temporary abandonment status -- I'm sorry, into production or appropriate temporary abandonment status or to plug and abandoned the wells. 10

- And in the second paragraph of that letter, is 0. S&I and Mr. Strickland given a deadline for submitting a plan or commencing action?
 - Yes, they're given a deadline of July 4th. Α.
- Did you hear from Strickland or S&I regarding 0. these wells?
- We did not receive any correspondence at all from Α. them concerning these wells at that time in response to this letter.
- In the last sentence of paragraph 2 of that Q. letter, was Strickland and S&I notified that a show-cause hearing would be instituted upon failure to comply by July 4th?
 - Yes, they were. Α.
 - Mr. Chavez, will you please turn to Exhibit Q.

Number 3 and tell the Examiner what is contained in this exhibit?

A. Exhibit Number 3 contains at the top an inventory of the equipment and some of the conditions at the well sites for these wells.

They also include the Neilson well, which has been dropped from the exhibit, so we can ignore that portion of that exhibit.

To note, there are two wells that show asterisks: the Dorothy Number 1 and the Barbara Number 1. On those wells, we do have some surface problems.

There's gas leaking between the slips in the tubing on the wellhead on the Dorothy Number 1, and there's water seeping between the tubing and casing on the wellhead on the Barbara Number 1.

- Q. And in the rest of the exhibit the Aztec District Office has apparently prepared their recommended plugging procedures for each of the six wells?
- A. Yes, the next pages contain another copy of the letter to S&I, a copy of the letter to you, and our recommended plugging procedures for these wells.
- Q. Mr. Chavez, if you'll please turn to Exhibit 4 and tell the Examiner what that exhibit contains.
- A. Exhibit Number 4 is a copy of the plugging bond, blanket plugging bond, for S&I Oil Company, for these wells

set for the hearing.

Also contained with the plugging bond is a -- The last page is a letter from Commercial Union to our office here in Santa Fe, assuming liability for the existing wells.

- Q. Assuming liability for the American Employers' Insurance Company bond, numbered AR 71351-78?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And this bond is a \$50,000 blanket bond?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. In your opinion, will plugging these wells result in the protection of freshwater supplies or protect the environment and/or prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights?
- A. Yes, it will. As I mentioned, two of the wells currently have surface problems. Without maintenance and operation, we do expect the wellhead equipment to deteriorate, also other equipment to deteriorate.

There is a tank that has over three foot of product in it. Without maintenance, leaks could occur.

And we have other situations at the surface that could cause problems with the surface pollution or waste or fire hazard.

Downhole, we would anticipate that over time without maintenance and service the casing will

1	deteriorate, and we could have problems downhole because of
2	that, that would lead to downhole waste or contamination.
3	Q. Mr. Chavez, do you have any recommendation as to
4	a fine that could be levied against Mr. Strickland and/or
5	S&I pursuant to New Mexico Statute 70-2-31?
6	A. Yes, I recommend that a minimum \$1000 fine for
7	noncompliance with an order to plug the wells be assessed.
8	Q. Is that \$1000 per well?
9	A. Yes, per well.
10	MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, in the case file you
11	have is evidence that notice was sent by certified mail on
12	November 10th to J.C. Well Service, Marmack, Troy
13	Strickland and S&I, Sharcretia deBardelow [phonetic], who
14	is the attorney for Commercial Union, now represented by
15	local counsel, and notice was sent to Commercial Union
16	Insurance Company.
17	That's all I have, and at this point I'd like to
18	move that OCD Exhibits 1a through -f, 2, 3 and 4 be
19	admitted into the record.
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1a through -f, 2, 3
21	and 4 will be admitted as evidence in this case.
22	Mr. Kendrick, any questions of the witness?
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. KENDRICK:
25	Q. You said that Commercial Union has acknowledged

liability in this matter?

- A. This is the way I understand this documentation that's been sent to us, yes.
 - Q. And which letter so stated?
- A. A letter dated September 24th, 1986, to the State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Division [sic], at the Post Office Box 2088, Santa Fe. It was signed by Gail Magness of the Bond Department, from Commercial Union Insurance Company.

MR. KENDRICK: Okay, I have no further questions.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

- Q. Mr. Chavez, do you have a recommendation of when this plugging should commence?
- A. Yes, I would recommend that the order require the wells be plugged and abandoned within 30 days of the day that the order is issued.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there any other questions of this witness?

If not, he may be excused.

MR. KENDRICK: I have, I guess, a comment.

I think Mr. Strickland and others may have something to say in terms of the time frame of the proposed order, and I just want to give them a chance to present their position on the time frame.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, that would be fine. 1 Would you please identify yourself, sir? 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: John Cunningham of J.C. Well 3 Service. 4 Thirty days would be pretty quick, Frank, if, you 5 6 know, we could spread it out more. But I'm going to have to contact Marmack to get their involvement in the deal 7 before I can do anything, but that's up to me to do that. 8 9 We could start within 30 days, you know, or something like 10 that. 11 MR. KENDRICK: If I could ask Mr. Cunningham a 12 question or two. Are you saying it would take -- even once you 13 begin it would take more than 30 days to do the plugging? 14 15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: On six wells it probably would, 16 I would think it probably would. You know, I can't 17 say for sure. I haven't seen the plugging orders; I don't 18 know what they say. You know, I don't know what's required, so I can't say. 19 MR. KENDRICK: And in addition to that, there's 20 21 some issues in terms of who would actually do the plugging, and that will take a little time to iron out? 22 I would assume so, yes. 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: MR. KENDRICK: And I also assume that this is 24 25 probably the worst time of year to be out there doing this

work; is that correct? Or maybe that's not a factor? 1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's not much of a factor there, 2 3 not where it's at. It's not much of a factor, it certainly isn't. 4 MR. KENDRICK: What would you think is a fair 5 amount of time for the work to be done in? 6 7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You might get started in 30 8 days, but it will probably be a 90-day completion period or 9 something like that, you know. I just -- I can't -- I really can't say at this point. 10 11 MR. KENDRICK: Mr. Troy Strickland, I think, has 12 something to add. EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Strickland? 13 MR. STRICKLAND: Okay, he was saying there that, 14 you know, that we hadn't -- we had visited with Mr. Chavez 15 and Charlie over in Aztec Oil and Gas Commission Office, 16 17 and Mr. Chavez, and Mr. Chavez had recommended that we go this way and try to get Marmack involved before we done 18 19 anything. 20 But I was under the impression of what he said there that we hadn't contacted him, and we had visited with 21 22 them, with Mr. Chavez and Charlie over there, and they 23 recommended that we go this route, trying to get Marmack 24 involved so that it wouldn't fall back on us, and since 25 Marmack -- Mr. Cunningham at J.C. Well Service had bought

the wells, and then Marmack had received payment from oil and maybe gas off of this from Giant, that he had been sold production and stuff from these wells and received payment.

So if this is the case, why, if Marmack had been paid, it looks like it should be falling back on Marmack instead of S&I or anyone else, or Troy Strickland or EV.

EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, I --

MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, could Mr. Chavez respond to --

EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes, please.

MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. Mr. Examiner, if -- Over time, we have had a response only in conversation with Mr. Strickland and Mr. Isbell. We have received no notice of intention to plug and abandon that we've requested, and each time we've requested that we get an issue of more discussion, we just don't get the sundry notices and the intent from the operator to comply with the rules and regulations.

There is an involvement that Mr. Strickland has mentioned, mentioned a company called Marmack. We thoroughly considered that issue, as far as who's responsible for these wells, until another operator does claim responsibility for these wells, and we've already discussed that with S&I, that they are responsible for the wells.

If there's a different issue, it's between them 1 But for our purposes, they are ultimately 2 and Marmack. 3 responsible for these wells. MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, I will remind Mr. 4 Examiner that our OCD Rule 101-I states that the transfer 5 6 of a property does not of itself release a bond. 7 another bond is placed with the OCD, the prior operator is 8 responsible, until another bond is in place and a changeof-operator form is filed. 9 10 MR. STRICKLAND: Sir? How would they -- How 11 would Marmack get paid and released to pay the oil and gas 12 without them doing something or saying they were going to 13 put up a bond? How would they get paid? Why would they get paid, maybe I should say? 14 15 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, I don't believe 16 that's any of OCD's business, as to how Marmack gets paid regarding the sale of production. 17 I'11 --18 EXAMINER CATANACH: 19 MR. STRICKLAND: I was under the impression there 20 that for anybody to get paid, that they would have to have a certain type of bond or promise to put up a bond before 21 Giant would receive any payment. Is this not true? 22 MR. CARROLL: I don't believe so. 23 S&I, as far as you know, has 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: the bond for these wells, and there's no other operator 25

that has put up a bond for these wells, Mr. Chavez? 1 No, except as I mentioned earlier, 2 MR. CHAVEZ: for the Neilson, which we dropped, but we have a valid 3 bond. This issue of trying to determine responsibility 5 is one we've discussed with S&I previously, and until 6 another operator does assume the -- these wells with 7 bonding, the C-104, they are responsible for the wells. 8 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Is there anything further? 10 MR. KENDRICK: I believe there is. Just one 11 12 moment, please. In view of the complications in terms of internal 13 agreements between Marmack, Cunningham, S&I, and the amount 14 of time it would take to actually plug the wells, 15 Commercial Union would ask for -- that any order that is 16 entered that may require anything be for a period of 90 17 18 days instead of 30 days. EXAMINER CATANACH: A period of 90 days within 19 20 which to plug the wells, Mr. Kendrick? 21 MR. KENDRICK: Yes, if that's your inclination to 22 order that. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Chavez, do you have any recommendation on that issue? 24 MR. CHAVEZ: I recommended 30 days because we've 25

had discussions before with S&I, and I didn't really anticipate that they would be serious about wanting to plug these wells.

If they are seriously pursuing it -- we do have a representative now, at least from the bonding companies, who wants to do this -- I would say we should have a certain time period for them to file the notices of intention to plug and abandon, perhaps then 30 days.

And then once the notice of intention to plug and abandon is filed, there, to have another time period, I think we could probably do that within 60 days. That would give them the 90 days but would require at least the notice of intention to plug and abandon within 30 days.

MR. CARROLL: And Mr. Chavez, your recommendation as to a fine stance --

MR. CHAVEZ: Yes, if they do not comply with the notice of intention to plug and abandon within that 30-day time period, they should be fined.

MR. CARROLL: And if they don't plug the wells within the following 60-day period, even if they file an intention to plug within the 30 days, after 90 days you would still recommend a \$1000-fine per well?

MR. CHAVEZ: That's right.

EXAMINER CATANACH: The fine is contingent upon them filing the notice to P-and-A within 30 days from the

date of the order? 1 MR. CHAVEZ: 2 Yes. 3 MR. CARROLL: And plugging. 4 MR. CHAVEZ: And plugging within 60 days. 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: And plugging within 60 days. 6 It's not contingent on anything that's taken place thus 7 far? I mean, it's not subject to anything that's -- you've 8 issued before this date? 9 MR. CHAVEZ: No. 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. CHAVEZ: One other item too: Should there be 11 an issue with us having to go ahead and contract to plug 12 13 and abandon the wells, the activities that may occur on the 14 well site may significantly hamper the -- our ability to 15 come in and plug the wells, if we have to do that 16 ourselves. So the -- I'd recommend that the order be such 17 18 that they're not to commence any activity on any of the well sites, including moving any of the equipment from the 19 20 well sites, without district approval. 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Is there anything further, Mr. Carroll? 22 23 MR. CARROLL: No, that's all I have, Mr. 24 Examiner. 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Kendrick?

1	MR. KENDRICK: One moment.
2	(Off the record)
3	MR. KENDRICK: Okay, we have nothing to add at
4	this time.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. There being nothing
6	further, Case 11,144 will be taken under advisement.
7	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
8	8:50 a.m.)
9	* * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ss.) COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 2, 1994.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a consideral trades of the proceedings in

the examiner mearing of Gase No. 1/144 eard by me on Lecentral 1991

_, Examinar

onservation Division