#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING

CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION

DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF

CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL, INC.

)

## ORIGINAL

### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

### **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

April 6th, 1995

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil
Conservation Division on Thursday, April 6th, 1995, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New
Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

### INDEX

April 6th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,148

**PAGE** 

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

6

\* \* \*

EXHIBITS

Identified Admitted

5

Revised Exhibit Book

5

\* \* \*

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR THE APPLICANT:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 117 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265 By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 2:53 p.m.: EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time I'll call Case 3 11,148, Application of Meridian Oil, Inc., for downhole 4 5 commingling and a nonstandard gas proration unit, San Juan 6 County, New Mexico. 7 Are there appearances in this case? 8 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom 9 Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, 10 appearing on behalf of the Applicant. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional appearances? There being none, Mr. Kellahin? 12 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, this case was 13 14 submitted to you originally on your December 1st, 1994, docket. 15 After it was submitted, you brought to my 16 attention that behind Exhibit Tab Number 2 we had 17 misidentified the spacing unit for the Pictured Cliffs 18 19 portion of the case. 20 That display originally showed what would be the west-half equivalent of Section 12. 21 To make that comparison, all you would have to do 22 is, in the exhibit book I've just handed you, if you'll 23 find the tab that says Exhibit Tab Number 2, it's the 24 25 yellow tab, and if you're turned to the page I'm turned to

you'll see the nonstandard proration unit, which includes six, what I would call 40-acre tract equivalents.

When totaled, that acreage will consist of 202.82 acres. That is consistent with how the Division shows this spacing unit for the Pictured Cliffs.

If you turn back to Exhibit Tab 1 and look at the last attachment, those are the displays that show the -- If you'll look at Exhibit 1, turn to the last two displays in that Exhibit Tab 1 --

EXAMINER CATANACH: Uh-huh.

MR. KELLAHIN: -- and it will illustrate the mistake. The second-to-last display shows only the west half of this odd-shaped section, or odd-sized section. In checking your records, you discover that the PC was dedicated to a larger spacing unit.

All of the interest owners are the same that adjoin this spacing unit, and so there were no additional notice problems.

But we readvertised this case for today's docket to make it clear to the public that we're seeking the approval for downhole commingling of production from this nonstandard PC unit, as described in the docket, and to commingle that production with a spacing unit for the coal gas, which consists of all of Section 12, again, as defined on the docket sheet.

In addition, we have attached an engineering 1 2 conclusion and summary from Meridian's engineer, Leonard Biemer, where he talks about the economics of this project, 3 and it's found behind Exhibit Tab Number 8. 4 Mr. Biemer's conclusion is that it -- the only 5 practical solution is to commingle the PC and the Fruitland 6 7 production in a downhole commingled wellbore. He gives you his numbers on why it's uneconomic to do it as a dual. 8 9 And so those are the two supplements that have been added to this exhibit book from that submitted to you 10 11 on December 1st. And with that statement, then, we would submit 12 this revised exhibit package, which is marked as an exhibit 13 14 book for today's hearing, April 6th, for your 15 consideration. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, the revised exhibit book will be admitted to evidence in this case. 17 Is there anything further, Mr. Kellahin? 18 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further, 20 21 this case, 11,148, Reopened, will be taken under advisement. 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 24 2:58 p.m.) 25

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 17th, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is

a counties a report of the proceedings in the Example of the proceedings in the proceeding in the proceeding in the proceeding in the proceeding in the proceeding

and Catana L Examiner

Oil Conservation Division