STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11,213

APPLICATION OF MARALO, INC.

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

April 20th, 1995

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil
Conservation Division on Thursday, April 20th, 1995, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New
Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

April 20th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,213

APPEARANCES	PAGE 3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
MARK WHEELER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4
Examination by Examiner Stogner	12
SHANE LOUGH	
Examination by Mr. Carr	14
Examination by Examiner Stogner	19
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	23

* * *

EXHIBITS

		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	6	12
Exhibit	2	7	12
Exhibit	3	8	12
Exhibit	4	10	12
Exhibit	5	10	12
Exhibit	6	15	19
Exhibit	7	17	19

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR & BERGE, P.A.
Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	1:17 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Hearing will come to order.
4	Call next case, Number 11,213.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of Maralo, Inc., for
6	compulsory pooling and an unorthodox oil well location, Lea
7	County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances.
9	MR. CARR: My name is William F. Carr with the
10	Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr and Berge.
11	We represent Maralo, Inc., and I have two
12	witnesses.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances?
14	Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn at
15	this time.
16	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
17	MR. CARR: At this time we call Mr. Mark Wheeler.
18	MARK WHEELER,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CARR:
23	Q. Will you state your name for the record, please?
24	A. Mark Wheeler.
25	Q. Where do you reside?

Midland, Texas. 1 Α. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 2 Q. Maralo, Inc., district Landman. Α. 3 Mr. Wheeler, have you previously testified before Q. the Oil Conservation Division? 5 Yes, I have. 6 Α. 7 At the time of that testimony were your Q. credentials as a petroleum landman accepted and made a 8 matter of record? 9 10 Α. Yes, they were. Are you familiar with the Application filed in 11 0. 12 this case? 13 Yes, I am. Α. And are you familiar with the subject area and 14 Q. the status of the lands in that area? 15 Α. Yes, sir, I am. 16 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 17 18 acceptable? EXAMINER STOGNER: They are. 19 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Wheeler, would you briefly 2.0 Q. state what Maralo, Inc., seeks with this Application? 21 22 Maralo seeks pooling from the surface to the base of the Devonian formation, underlying the northwest 23

quarter, northeast quarter, of Section 20, for formations

developed on 40-acre spacing in Township 13 South, Range 38

24

East, Lea County, new Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

20

21

22

- Q. And is the well you're proposing to drill going to be at an unorthodox location?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- O. And what is the location?
- A. 1550 feet from the east line and 350 feet from the north line.
- Q. And is this well at that location for geologic
 9 reasons?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And a geological witness will be called to review that portion of the case?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, he will.
- Q. Have you prepared land exhibits for presentation here today?
- 16 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Let's go to what has been marked for
 identification as Maralo Exhibit Number 1. I'd ask you to
 identify that and review it for Mr. Stogner.
 - A. Exhibit Number 1 is a breakdown -- I'm sorry, it's a land map showing the proration unit in Section 20 and also the proposed well location, which is unorthodox, and it shows the general ownership of the land in the area.
- Q. What is the status of the acreage in the northwest of the northeast in Section 20?

- A. That 40 acres is fully leased, with the exception of six small mineral owner interests which we have been unable to locate.
 - Q. And how much of the interest in that unit do these small owners represent?
 - A. 1.875 percent.
 - Q. Is this a fee tract?
- A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 9 Q. What is the primary objective in this proposed well?
- 11 A. Devonian.
- Q. Let's go to what has been marked for identification as Exhibit Number 2. Can you identify that for the Examiner and review it?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is a breakdown of the working interest ownership and also lists the uncommitted interests at the bottom on the left-hand side. It shows the entire leasehold ownership under this 40 acres and also the small interests we're pooling.
 - Q. All owners shown on this exhibit, with the exception of the uncommitted interests, are voluntarily committed to the well?
 - A. Yes, sir, they are.
- Q. So you have in excess of 98 percent of the interests voluntarily --

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- in the project?

There are owners whom you've been unable to

4 | locate?

1

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's go to what has been marked Maralo Exhibit
 Number 3. First identify this and then explain to Mr.
 Stogner what this shows.
 - A. That is a leasehold, a mineral takeoff prepared by Mr. William Smith of Midland. He prepared this prior to our leasing efforts and the leasing efforts of Brigham Oil Company.
- Q. Okay. And what does it show?
 - A. It shows the mineral ownership breakdown of the entire 40 acres. Actually, this covers more than that. It covers the northwest quarter and the north half of the southeast quarter of Section 20.
- Q. Mr. Wheeler, certain individuals on this list have been highlighted in yellow?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, they have.
- 21 Q. And who are they?
- A. They are the owners that we've been unable to locate.
- Q. Could you review for the Examiner your efforts to identify and locate these individuals?

A. We searched for addresses, both in the records -Mr. Smith did. We also checked the library records,
CD-ROM, National Phone Book Directory, looking for these
individuals.

We also made checks with post offices and through other contacts that we thought might be familiar with these people and their whereabouts.

- Q. And this takeoff by Mr. Smith is based on the leasehold records?
 - A. In the county, yes.
- 11 Q. In Lea County.

Are these individuals individuals whose names appear from time to time in oil and gas properties as owners of small interests?

- A. I don't recognize any of these as being owners in other acreage. I -- Just in this acreage only.
- Q. How far back -- When was the last time you could actually locate or --
 - A. Mr. Smith could not locate any addresses since the 1960s on any of these individuals.
- Q. In your opinion, have you made a good-faith effort to identify all interest owners in this spacing unit --
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. -- and obtain their voluntary participation?

- A. Yes, sir, we have. We did locate two out of these six. We located two addresses and sent offers to them for leases, and they were returned to us undeliverable.
 - Q. Let's go now to the next exhibit, Exhibit Number
 4. Would you identify this?
 - A. This is Maralo's AFE for the Lowe "20" Number 1 well, which we have proposed to the working interest owners.
- 10 Q. And what are the dryhole and completed well costs
 11 as shown on this Exhibit?
 - A. The dryhole cost is \$599,450. The completed well cost, \$848,650.
 - Q. Are these costs in line with actual costs incurred by Maralo drilling other wells to this depth in the area?
 - A. Yes, sir, we've drilled several Devonian wells, and this is a consistent cost, fairly consistent.
 - Q. Mr. Wheeler, is Exhibit Number 5 an affidavit showing that notice of this hearing has been provided to Brigham Oil and Gas, L.P., in accordance with Oil Conservation Division rules?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. There were no interest owners who could be identified to whom notice could be given of the compulsory

11 pooling portion of the case; is that right? That's correct. Α. 0. And who is Brigham? Brigham is an oil company out of Dallas which Α. represents some of the other leasehold owners that we show on Exhibit Number 2. They have all the title to the leases that they took under this 40 acres. The other parties are just contractual owners. So Brigham is the owner? Q. Brigham is the current owner. Α. And they own acreage in the northeast quarter of Q. the section? Yes, sir. Α. And they are the only owner on whom the proposed Q. well is encroaching; is that correct? That is correct. Α. Have you made an estimate of the overhead and Q. administrative costs to be incurred while drilling the well and also while producing it, if in fact it's successful? Α. Yes, sir, \$5200 during the drilling and \$520

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

during production.

- - And what is the source of these figures? Q.
 - The 1995 Ernst & Young survey. Α.
- Q. Do you recommend that these figures be incorporated into the Order that will result from this

hearing? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. Q. Does Maralo seek to be designated operator of the 3 well? 4 5 Yes, we do. A. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared by or 6 Q. 7 compiled under your direction? 8 Α. Yes, they were. MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, at this time we move the 9 admission into evidence of Maralo, Inc., Exhibits 1 through 10 5. 11 Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: admitted into evidence. 13 14 MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct examination of Mr. Wheeler. 15 **EXAMINATION** 16 17 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: On your Exhibit Number 3, it's the ones in the 18 yellow that haven't agreed, or the ones that you can't 19 find? 20 Those are the ones that we cannot find and the 21 only ones that haven't agreed. All the other owners on 22 Exhibit 3 are leased. 23 Are leased? 24 0. 25 Α. Yes.

Okay, to one way or the other, either the Brigham 1 Q. 2 or Maralo or --3 Yes, Brigham or Maralo have leased all the rest 4 of them. 5 Q. Of the ones marked in yellow, what percentage do they represent? 6 7 They total 1.875 percent of the 40 acres. Α. The uncommitted interest, as shown on your 8 Q. Exhibit 2? 9 10 Α. Correct. 11 Q. What was the overhead charges again? \$5200 drilling, \$520 per month producing. 12 Α. 13 Q. Okay. Now, this figure, was that what appeared 14 in the operating agreement? Is that the parties that did 15 join? 16 A. Yes, sir, that is correct. And that was consistent throughout? That was 17 Q. consistent throughout? 18 For all parties? 19 Α. 20 Q. Yes. Yes, sir. 21 Α. Has Maralo agreed to those figures in other 22 Q. operations that Maralo has interest in but other people are 23 operating, operators? 24

Yes, sir, that -- We use the Ernst & Young

25

Α.

figures. 1 And even when we're non-operators, we look to the operators to use the same figures. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of this 3 4 witness? 5 You may be excused. Mr. Carr? 6 7 MR. CARR: Thank you, Mr. Stogner. At this time 8 we would call Mr. Shane Lough. 9 SHANE LOUGH, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 10 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. CARR: Will you state your name for the record, please? 14 Q. 15 Α. Shane Lough. 16 Q. Where do you reside? Odessa, Texas. 17 Α. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 18 0. Maralo, Incorporated, as a petroleum geologist. 19 Α. Mr. Lough, have you previously testified before 20 Q. this Division and had your credentials as a geologist 21 accepted and made a matter of record? 22 A. Yes. 23 Are you familiar with the Application filed in 24 25 this case?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Have you made a geologic study of the subject Q. area? 3 4 Α. Yes. MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 5 6 acceptable? 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: What's your position with 8 Maralo, Mr. Lough? 9 THE WITNESS: Petroleum geologist. EXAMINER STOGNER: Are you one of the Lowes that 10 11 appears in all the interest owners? 12 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I spell my name 13 differently. Thought you had a big family. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: 15 THE WITNESS: No. EXAMINER STOGNER: How do you spell your last 16 name? 17 18 THE WITNESS: It's L-o-u-g-h. No relationship. EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Lough is so qualified. 19 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Lough, would you refer to what 20 Q. has been marked as Maralo Exhibit Number 6? 21 You're not the Lough of Maralo either, are you? 22 23 Α. No, I'm not. Would you refer to Exhibit Number 6, identify 24 Q. 25 this, and review it for the Examiner?

This is a structure map contoured on the top of 1 Α. 2 the Devonian formation. It shows our proposed location in 3 Section 20, the northwest of the northeast of Section 20. It's -- I developed the map using both subsurface 5 control points and seismic control data. It has a line of 6 section marked A-B' and an outline of the area of our 3-D 7 seismic coverage. 8 Q. All right. The outline that is the 3-D seismic 9 coverage, that's the line that goes around the southeast quarter of Section 17, extends down into 20. Does it 10 11 include acreage in both 21 and 16? 12 Α. Yes, it does. 13 And in this area, what kind of a seismic program Q. was actually run? 14 It was a 3-D seismic program designed for a 110-15 Α. 16 square-foot bin spacing. 17 Q. You have a grid with a 110-foot interval? That's correct. 18 Α. And you received that data? 19 Q. 20 Yes. Α. And you used that information to confirm your 21 0. interpretation of the Devonian? 22 23 Α. That's correct, I confirm my subsurface interpretation using the seismic data. 24 25 It shows other Devonian production or development Q.

in the area. Can you show me where the nearest Devonian production actually is located?

- A. The nearest actual Devonian production is located in Section 7, in Section 6 of 13 South, 38 East, being the Bronco West field and the Stallion field.
- Q. And what basically we have here is a small Devonian feature, and Maralo's trying to be at the top of the structure?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. All right, let's go to Exhibit Number 7. Would you identify and review that?
- A. Yes, this is a structural cross-section that I made to show our interpretation of what we believe the structure should look like at the proposed location.

It shows bounding faults on the west of the prospect and to the south southeast. It shows two of the nearest Devonian control points, subsurface control points, and shows our structural interpretation that we developed from our subsurface and seismic data.

- Q. And you have estimated the limits of this geological feature on Exhibit 6 as well, have you not?
- A. Yes, I have. Our -- The best estimate that we can make is that we should have a productive oil column with an oil-water contact at approximately 8650 to 8660, and that approximation is shown on Exhibit 6 as a dashed

line.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Now, Mr. Lough, are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be assessed against the nonparticipating interest owners?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is that?
- A. Cost plus 200 percent.
 - Q. And what is your basis for that recommendation?
- 10 A. The risk of the prospect, the risk of drilling
 11 the proposed location.
- 12 Q. Is this a -- just a rank wildcat?
- A. It is, it's a wildcat with a typical wildcat risk.
 - Q. In your opinion, could you drill a well at the proposed location that would not be a commercial success?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. In your opinion, will granting this Application and the drilling of the proposed well be in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How soon does Maralo hope to spud the well?
- A. No later than June 1st of this year.
- Q. And that date has been set by agreement with

Brigham --1 2 Α. Brigham. Q. -- is that correct? 3 That's correct. 4 Α. Were Exhibits 6 and 7 prepared by you? 5 Q. 6 A. Yes. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, I move the 7 admission of Maralo Exhibit 6 and 7. 8 EXAMINER STOGNER: Maralo's Exhibit 6 and 7 will 9 be admitted into evidence. 10 MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct 11 examination of Mr. Lough. 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 14 15 Mr. Lough, the two wells in which you flank the structural cross-section on, did they actually test the 16 Devonian formation? 17 Yes, sir, both of them tested the Devonian 18 Α. formation. 19 And you show them to be on either side of this --20 What? A thrust block or a thrown block? 21 22 Α. That's correct. And your 3-D seismic has, I guess, verified the 23 Q. existence of that block the way you've depicted it here? 24 That's correct, yes, sir. 25 Α.

- Q. Now, when you say a 110-foot grid, I need to be brought up to date on what you mean by that.
- A. Okay, with this particular 3-D seismic, they can design it several different ways, and the bin spacing is a real important factor to consider. And on ours, the way we designed it, we have a seismic control point every 110 square feet within the outline of the 3-D seismic.

So it's a real dense data set that we receive from the acquisition.

- Q. And the proposed depth of this structure or the proposed depth of this well is what?
 - A. It's 12,600 feet.

- Q. Now, you haven't depicted that you're going to go all through the Devonian; is that --
- A. That's correct, we have drilled a number of Devonian wells, and the method that we use to attempt completion in these wells are to drill into the top of the Devonian and set casing and drill out and attempt open-hole completion.

And by doing that, we certainly don't want to drill into or drill past the oil-water contact. We want to stay well above that.

- Q. You've had pretty good success with that completion technique in the Devonian?
 - A. Yes, sir, we have. We feel like that's a better

way to attempt completing Devonian wells. We typically can 1 2 effect water-free completion that way. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: One quick verification to the landman. Looking at Exhibit Number 3 -- and this is in 5 response to that unorthodox location -- all the interests in the northeast quarter and the north half of the 6 southeast quarter are common as per your exhibit? MR. WHEELER: Yes, sir, they are. 8 EXAMINER STOGNER: So essentially you're 9 offsetting your own property all the way through? 10 MR. WHEELER: Yes, sir, ours and Brigham's. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: But this agreement, or the 12 agreement that you have reached, is for all the property 13 with those parties like Brigham and --14 15 MR. WHEELER: Yeah, Brigham and the other owners that have contractual interest owners. 16 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: And not just the proration unit? 18 MR. WHEELER: That's correct. 19 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: As with all the other parties, all except the ones that you haven't found? 21 MR. WHEELER: Yes, sir. 22 23 Q. (By Examiner Stogner) How many wells has Maralo drilled out in this area? 24 (By Mr. Lough) We've drilled -- Let me think. 25 Α.

Just roughly. Q. 1 About six. 2 Α. Most of them down in the Devonian? 3 Q. Yes, sir, Devonian tests. 4 Α. Do you have any feeling what kind of natural 5 Q. drift wellbores in this area have? 6 7 Well, they typically will drift updip. They'll 8 want to climb toward the structure. That is, unless they 9 get caught up in a fault. We had one that got caught up in 10 a fault, and yes, that's what it did, real quick --Real --Q. 11 Real suddenly. 12 Α. We need to describe that. I made a --Q. 13 It deviated very rapidly at a very high angle. 14 Α. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, with this all being one 15 lease, even that shouldn't be too concerning at this point. 16 I have no other questions of this witness. 17 MR. CARR: We have nothing further in this case 18 of Mr. Lough. 19 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Lough. 21 Mr. Carr, I'll take Case Number 11,213 under 22 advisement. 23 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 24 1:39 p.m.) 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 4th, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby constitution that the foregoing is a constitution of the foregoing in

he of the same of the so. 1/2/3, near district the same of the sam

, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division