

* * *

INDEX April 20th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,271 PAGE APPEARANCES 3 **APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:** WILLIAM A. SIRUTA Direct Examination by Mr. Carr 5 Examination by Examiner Stogner 13 **REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE** 18 * * * EXHIBITS Identified Admitted Exhibit 1 7 13 Exhibit 2 8 13 Exhibit 3 13 13 Exhibit 4 10 13 Exhibit 5 13 13 * * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR & BERGE, P.A. Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

*
WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
12:18 p.m.:
EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call next
case, 11,271.
MR. CARROLL: Application of Medallion Production
Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas well
location, Lea County, New Mexico.
EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call for
appearances.
MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr
and Berge.
We represent Medallion Production Company, and I
have one witness.
EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances?
Will the witness please stand to be sworn?
(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, initially I can report
that Medallion has reached agreement with the other
interest owners in the proposed spacing unit, so the
portion of this case related to compulsory pooling may now
be dismissed.
We will present only a geological presentation in
support of the unorthodox well location.
EXAMINER STOGNER: Let me make sure we got that

location right. That's 660 from the south, 1980 from the 1 east, being standard for 40-acre and 160-acre spacing but 2 unorthodox for 330. 3 4 What formation are we to be looking at on the 5 unorthodox portion? I take it --THE WITNESS: Atoka-Morrow. 6 EXAMINER STOGNER: The Atoka -- The Atoka-Morrow? 7 In this area it's unclear as THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 9 to whether the sands here are Atoka or Morrow age. 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Have you -- well, I'm -- Why don't we go ahead and call it, and perhaps I'm getting a 11 little too far advanced. 12 Okay, so the compulsory pooling portion of the 13 14 case --MR. CARR: -- can now be dismissed. 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, and we swore the witness 16 17 in. Yes, sir, we have. 18 MR. CARR: EXAMINER STOGNER: And Mr. Carr, it's your turn. 19 WILLIAM A. SIRUTA, 20 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 21 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. CARR: 24 25 Would you state your name for the record, please? Q.

1	А.	William Siruta.
2	Q.	Mr. Siruta, Where do you reside?
3	А.	Midland, Texas.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed?
5	А.	Medallion Production Company.
6	Q.	And what is your current position with Medallion?
7	А.	Geologist.
8	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Oil
9	Conservati	ion Division?
10	А.	Yes.
11	Q.	At the time of that prior testimony, were your
12	credential	ls as a petroleum geologist accepted and made a
13	matter of	record?
14	А.	Yes.
15	Q.	Are you familiar with the Application filed in
16	this case	on behalf of Medallion?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And are you familiar with the subject area and
19	the propos	sed well?
20	Α.	Yes.
21		MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications
22	acceptable	e?
23		EXAMINER STOGNER: They are.
24	Q.	(By Mr. Carr) Mr. Siruta, could you briefly
25	state what	t Medallion is seeking with this Application?

1	A. Requesting an unorthodox location for the Atoka-
2	Morrow sands.
3	The location will be 660 from the south line and
4	1980 from the east line of Section 31, Township 16 South,
5	Range 35 East, with an east-half proration unit dedicated
6	to the well.
7	Q. And you have prepared exhibits for presentation
8	here today?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Let's go to what has been marked as Exhibit
11	Number 1, the structure map, but initially let's use this
12	to review the status of the acreage in this area.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. On whom is this proposed location actually
15	encroaching to the south in Section 6?
16	A. Three parties: Marathon Oil, Mobil Oil and BTA.
17	Q. And what does Marathon own in the north half of
18	Section 6?
19	A. They own the north half of the north half and the
20	southwest of the northwest.
21	Q. And you are two-thirds too close to them by
22	virtue of having a standup unit?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Have you been in negotiations with Marathon?
25	A. Yes, we have a signed waiver from Marathon.

_	
1	Q. And is a copy of that waiver what has been marked
2	as Medallion Exhibit Number 2?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What interest does Mobil own in the north half of
5	Section 6?
6	A. Mobil has the south half of the northeast
7	quarter.
8	Q. And have you been in negotiations also with
9	Mobil?
10	A. Yes, we have reached a verbal commitment from
11	them that's identical to the Marathon commitment.
12	Q. And we are prepared at this time to advise Mr.
13	Stogner that we will enter a letter agreement with them,
14	and are willing to so, that extends to them the same
15	information provides to them the same information as we
16	have agreed to provide to Marathon in our letter of April
17	11th?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And we advised Mobil that we would confirm that
20	we had reached this agreement on the record here today?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What about BTA?
23	A. We also have reached an agreement and have a
24	signed waiver from BTA.
25	Q. And BTA not only operates the west half of the

1	section, but has a 40-acre tract in the north half of
2	Section 6?
3	A. Yes, they have the southeast to the northwest.
4	Q. And we have therefore reached agreement with all
5	offsetting operators on whom this well is encroaching?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. What is the status of the acreage in the east
8	half of Section 31?
9	A. Medallion Production Company has the southeast
10	quarter, and BTA is the owner of the northeast quarter, and
11	we have obtained a farmout from BTA on the northeast
12	quarter at this point.
13	Q. If you were able to develop Section 31 with a
14	laydown unit, this in fact would be a standard well
15	location, would it not?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. That acreage is not available to you in the
18	Atoka-Morrow because at the present time BTA has a well in
19	that formation and has dedicated the west half of the
20	section; is that correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. All right. Let's now take a look at the
23	structure map, the structure map portion of Exhibit Number
24	1, and I would ask you to review that information for Mr.
25	Stogner.

	10
1	A. This is a structure map on the base of the Atoka
2	Morrow shale marker. It's contoured on a 100-foot
3	interval. It's based on subsurface data only.
4	And what it basically shows is, to the southwest
5	part of the map you can see the northern end of the Vacuum
6	high, which produces in many shallow horizons and plunges
7	to the north and dips very strongly to the north and to the
8	northeast.
9	The two key wells, really, that affect our well
10	are the BTA Vacuum Number 1 well, which has a datum of
11	8373, and the Mobil State Section 30 has a datum of 8425,
12	minus 8425.
13	Our proposed location is marked by a red dot and
14	would be flat to the BTA well.
15	Q. Okay, you're upstructure from the Mobil well
16	which is wet, the well in Section 30?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. All right, let's go to what has been marked as
19	Medallion Exhibit Number 4, the cross-section. Would you
20	review that for the Examiner, please?
21	A. This is a cross-section that is marked on the
22	structure map by the line connecting the various wells.
23	The heavy marker shows the base of the Morrow
24	shale marker, which is what I base my structure map on.
25	The yellow sections colored on the map are the

Atoka-Morrow sands that are the main producing horizon out 1 here. 2 The important issue here, I think, is that the 3 well on the left-hand side of the cross-section, the Mobil 4 5 well, has a calculated saltwater saturation of 49 percent. The well was drilled and abandoned. 6 7 The BTA well, which is the next well on the 8 cross-section, has a saltwater saturation in the Atoka-9 Morrow interval of 33 percent. It was completed as an 10 Atoka-Morrow producer. 11 It appears that anything that has greater than 45 12 percent SW is probably wet in this zone. 13 The well next to the location -- to the right of the location marker is a Marathon well in Section 6. 14 There was no Atoka-Morrow sand present in that well. 15 The last well on the cross-section is the Mobil 16 Oil State UU Com, which is in Section 7. Had a very thick 17 section of Atoka-Morrow and is productive. 18 Q. Mr. Siruta, if you were required to develop the 19 standup unit in the east half of Section 31 at a standard 20 location of 1980 feet from the east line, would you 21 22 recommend to your management that that well in fact be 23 drilled? Α. 24 No. 25 Q. Why would that be?

	12
1	A. I believe that somewhere between the BTA Vacuum
2	well and the Mobil State Section 30 well is a gas-water
3	contact in this reservoir.
4	If you take the halfway point and say that it's
5	halfway between those two wells, that would put it at a
6	datum of a minus 8400, which is very close to what the
7	legal location would be, and I believe you would run
8	extreme risk of being wet.
9	Q. In your opinion, is a well at the proposed
10	unorthodox location necessary to enable the interest owners
11	in the east half of this section to produce their fair
12	share of the reserves in the Atoka-Morrow formation?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Would approval of this Application impair the
15	correlative rights of any of the offsetting operators?
16	A. No.
17	Q. In fact, the operators in the north half of
18	Section 6 could develop it from the laydown unit and be as
19	close to you as you, in fact, are to them; is that not
20	right?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you?
23	A. Yes.
24	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we move the
25	admission of Medallion Exhibits 1 through 4.

15
EXAMINER STOCNER! Exhibits 1 through 4 will be
admitted into evidence.
Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Siruta, Exhibit Number 5 is a
copy of an affidavit confirming that notice of this
Application was provided on March 30th to Marathon and BTA;
is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And since that time, agreements have been reached
with both Marathon and BTA whereby they waive objection to
this Application?
A. That's correct.
MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, I'd also move the
admission of Medallion Exhibit Number 5.
EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit Number 5 will be
admitted into evidence.
MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of
Mr. Siruta.
EXAMINATION
BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
Q. I'm referring to Exhibit Number 3, and this is
the BTA agreement.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. It appears to me that BTA And they signed this
agreement, correct?
A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of the letter you sent 1 to them that this letter transpired from? 2 Α. I think the contact we had was verbal. They have 3 an individual that works in what's called their 4 governmental affairs group, and I called him, discussed 5 this situation with him, and from that discussion he 6 7 prepared that agreement. Q. Okay --8 9 MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner --(By Examiner Stogner) -- who was assigned by --10 Q. from BTA? 11 12 Do you -- Can you make that out? Was this the person you talked to? 13 No, I believe that's one of their managers. 14 Α. The person I talked to didn't have the authority to sign. 15 Ι don't have that in front of me. 16 17 Can you read that, Bill? 18 MR. CARR: The signature? THE WITNESS: Yeah. 19 20 MR. CARR: BTA was signed by Pete Wilkinson, and we were signed by Mr. --21 THE WITNESS: Oh -- BTA or Marathon? I'm sorry, 22 BTA is who we're talking about? 23 MR. CARR: Yes. 24 I'm sorry. Wilkinson is the land 25 THE WITNESS:

manager over there, the senior land manager.
Q. (By Examiner Stogner) According to this, it
appears that they have given you their agreement for
unorthodox location to the Atoka formation and not the
Morrow formation.
But on all your exhibits you have the marker
shale, the Morrow marker shale, and we're even not next to
an Atoka-Morrow pool.
A. Well, the pool that we're next to here is the
Vacuum Atoka Morrow, I believe.
Q. Yeah.
A. Isn't that what it's I think that's what it's
designated as.
Q. And that would indicate that it takes both the
Atoka and the Morrow into consideration. But they just
signed off on the Atoka. But you're going to test the
Morrow too, aren't you, in that pool?
A. Well, I guess where the confusion lies is that
is that geologically, I guess, when we talk about this
particular zone, this sand, we call it Atoka dash Morrow
because we're really not sure what the age is of this.
And I guess part of the reason why I call it that
is because that's what the field designation calls this
sand. It's Vacuum Atoka dash Morrow.
Q. Did they understand that, BTA, when you discussed

_	16
1	it with them?
2	A. Yes, I talked to their geologist also. They were
3	in the meeting when I talked to them. Jackie Wilson was
4	her name.
5	Q. As Mr. Carroll pointed out, this waiver, however,
6	applies to all formations spaced on 320.
7	Now, that could be taken several different ways
8	too. All formations above the Atoka on 320, or does that
9	mean everything on 320 from the surface to China?
10	I just I want to make sure that they were
11	clear on it. I think the advertisement is broad enough,
12	because we do mention the undesignated
13	MR. CARR: Yes, sir.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: North Vacuum Atoka-Morrow
15	Pool, and you're right up against it.
16	And yeah, most operators But it's one of those
17	deals that you might have a technical somebody may
18	technically come up and say, Well, I agreed to the Atoka
19	but not the Morrow, even though it's one pool.
20	And if you feel it's broad enough, then I'll take
21	it at that and take this case under advisement at this
22	time.
23	MR. CARR: All right, Mr. Stogner, I do think
24	we're comfortable with that, and we have nothing further to
25	present in this case.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I will take Case Number 11,271 under advisement at this time. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 12:34 p.m.) * * * I do hereby certify that the foregoing is dings in ine the Exc heard h Examiner Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 3rd, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

Dur 1

My commission expires: October 14, 1998