

Letternead

**DRAFT**Single Phase

Date

Avalon (Delaware) Field Unitization Eddy Co., N. M.

### Working Interest Owners:

The last correspondence you received was my letter dated June 20, 1994, which summarized the June 17 Working Interest Owners (WIO) meeting. Several WIO's expressed a strong interest for a single phase formula. An action item of the meeting was for Yates Petroleum to develop an alternative participation formula from the one we proposed.

Letters that summarize the Yates formula and our suggested modifications are attached, dated September 6, October 10 and December 5, 1994. Our single phase proposal, along with modifications to the previously transmitted (April 1994) Unit and Unit Operating Agreements is described in Attachment II and resulting participation in Attachment III of this letter.

This single phase proposal is a significant concession of equity for Exxon compared to our original 2 phase proposal with a vote required for Phase 2. If the single phase proposal is not acceptable, we would prefer to return to a 2 phase formula similar to our original recommendation.

At this time we are requesting either 1.) your concurrence with provisions that are now acceptable to both Exxon and Yates Petroleum or 2.) your suggested changes (return Attachment I). Should you have questions feel free to call me at (915) 688 - 7841. We appreciate your continued support.

1/31/95

Sincerely,

Ronald E. Mayhew Avalon Project Manager

Attachments I-III

1

# Attachment I: Response to Exxon on Revised Unitization Proposal

### Responses requested by February 24, 1995

2

Mail to:

R. E. Mayhew, Avalon Response

Exxon USA P.O. Box 1600

Midland, TX 79702-1600 or fax to (915) 688-6258

Please provide your response by checking one box and completing the information below:

Cor	npany Name (s):				
 a	We agree with the unitization proposal as described in this letter (Attachment II). Exxon should proceed with incorporating these modifications into revised Unit and Unit Operating Agreements.				
	By (typed or wriπen):	Title:			
	Signature:	Phone:			
	like consideration of the issue(s) in	osal as described in this letter (Attachment II), but would our attached letter.  Title:			
	Signature:	Phone:			
0	We disagree with the unitization proposal as described in this letter (Attachment II). Our proposal for changes along with our rationale is provided in the attached letter.  By (typed or written): Title:				
	Signature:	Phone:			

rem/wia195.doc 1/31/95

## Attachment II: Summary Description of Proposed Modifications to Avalon Field Unit and Unit Operating Agreements

### Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used:

WI working interest

WIO working interest owners AFE

Authority For Expenditure

### Equity

The table below describes proposed revisions to equity participation in the Avalon (Delaware Field) Unit from what Exxon proposed in the previously provided Unit and Unit Operating Agreements.

Feature	Description					
Phases	Participation will be in a single phase only; which was requested by several attendees at the June 94 WIO meeting.					
Reserve Factors	Participation will be based on tract reserves with the following Reserve Factors:  25 % Remaining Primary  50 % Waterflood  25 % CO <sub>2</sub> Note: These percentages are multiplied by each owners tract reserves percentage (by Primary, waterflood, and CO <sub>2</sub> ), then summed to obtain a Unit Equity (percentage) as shown on Attachment III.					
Rationale behind Reserve Factors	<ul> <li>The rationale used in developing the reserve factors was based on several items listed below:</li> <li>Primary reserves have a higher value/barrel by orders of magnitude (i.e. investments have been made and production is indicator of future potential)</li> <li>the Unit is being put together to repressure the reservoir, implement a waterflood and gather data for evaluating CO2 potential</li> <li>CO2 reserves are high, but have a relatively low value due to risks because of unknowns associated with the reservoir and of doing a CO2 project</li> <li>CO2 reserves have substantially lower discounted profit per barrel</li> <li>Note: see Technical Report Vol. 1, Exhibit H5 for more information</li> </ul>					
Why include CO <sub>2</sub> reserves	About 2/3 of the CO <sub>2</sub> reserves are within the area to be unitized for water injection. A CO <sub>2</sub> flood would likely be initiated in this portion of the field and if successful expanded to the outer ring (tracts outside the waterflood area) that was not waterflooded. As potential operator we see some planning and development advantages of including the outside ring in the unit. This outer ring has no commercial primary and/or waterflood potential.					
Owner participation	A summary of owner participation is provided in Attachment III.					

# Attachment II: Summary Description of Proposed Modifications to Avalon Field Unit and Unit Operating Agreements, Continued

# Capital Expenditures & Contributed Investments

All expenditures (capital and operating expense), along with existing investments of use to the Unit would be at the Single Phase participation percentage shown on Attachment III.

### Examples:

- <u>Capital Expenditure</u>: \$13M waterflood and/or future AFEs/ballots: Each owner pays WI% times \$13M and/or ballot amounts
- Operating Expense: Each owner pays WI% times monthly operating cost
- Investment Equalization: If a well or portion of surface facility equipment can be utilized in waterflood or CO<sub>2</sub> operations each owner will be credited with the determined value. Then each owner will be debited with an amount equal to their WI% times (Sum of the values of all wells/equipment brought into the Unit).

Note: Under a single phase formula an owner cannot go non-consent on investment equalization and participate in the unit development or vice versa.

#### Overhead

Overhead rate will be charged as follows:

- initial overhead rate will be \$550/producing well
- overhead will increase 27% when a CO<sub>2</sub> project is approved by Unit Owners
- overhead will decrease 22% when CO<sub>2</sub> injection ceases

Exception: Exxon may submit AFE's prior to approval of a CO<sub>2</sub> Project to Working Interest Owners to conduct CO<sub>2</sub> Project studies that will be considered as an operating expense outside the overhead category. Approval must be voted in similar to other AFEs.

Examples: These studies could include computer modeling, injectivity test planning & analysis, cost/production estimates, etc.

### Voting

Ballots submitted for approval to Working Interest Owners will be considered approved if either of the following conditions are met:

- 80% of the WIO participation approves the ballot
- If one owner has interest > 70%, that owners interest plus 10% of the remaining interest.

Approval = largest owner WI(if > 70%)+0.10 (100% - Largest Owners WI)

4

> 2.5 % 2 bayond Yates, ANPC — 3 bayond Yules, ANPC, Handson

Attachment III: Avalon (D	elaware) P		on (25,50	Sloyle Phase Formula Farncipages: 25%	
		G-24 Tract	C 24 T	Primary, 50%	
	G-24 1/1/93	Waterflood		Weterstood, and 25% CO2	
	Rem Primary		COZ Reserves	<del></del>	
Abo Peroleum Corporation	0.6731%1	1.3313%		<del></del>	
American National Per Corp.	2.8177%	5.5317%		<del></del>	1.3566
Ard, Mary H.	0.8259%1	0,0088%			1,3302
Beilo, Erme Bunn, Mrs. Francis B	0.0046%	0,002874			
Chevron PBC. Inc.	0.4236%	0.6857%		<del></del>	0.9019
Claremons Corporation	0.1549%	0.3063%4		<del></del>	1 3
Devon Energy Corporation (NV)	0.0691%	0,1367%			0.1136
Devon Energy Partners L.P.	0.3916%	0,77467			0.6435
Exxon Corporation	85,0939%	70.7855%			
Fox, Fred A. & D. Mariean Living Trust	0.0005%	3.0000%		<del></del>	
Gendron Family Revocable Trust	0.0069%	0.0132%		<del></del>	
Goodnow, David	0.0046%	0.0088%		<del></del>	
Hayes Parmers i	0.0000%1	0.0000%		0.0103%	j
Hodge, Joseph R.	0.0008%1	0.0015%	0.0012%	0.0013%	
Hodge, Sanford I III	0.0008%	0.0015%	0.0012%	0.0013%	
Holden, E. G. Tesomentary Tr	0.0023%	0.0041%	0.0037%	0.0037%	j
Hudson, Edward R. Jr.	0.8259%1	1.6336%	1.3331%	1 3566% 14.	
Hudson, William A. II	0.8259%1	1.6336%	1.1331%	1 3566%	
Kawasaki, Isaac A.	0.0046%1	0.0088%	0.0075%	0.0074%	
Keller, Bersy H	0.0023%1	0.0044%		<del> </del>	
Kerr-McGee Corporation	0.1493%1	0.2953%			
LAJ Corporación	0.0010%	0.0000%		<del></del>	
Las Chicos	0 0000%1	0.0000%		<del></del>	
Marun, James L. Jr., Trust	0.0005%1	0.0000%			0.5893 INVESTMENT = GAIN
Martin, Williams, & Judson	0.3496%1			<del></del>	10'28d7 The
McCail, Jack O Estate of	0.0000%	0.0000%		<del></del>	
Ment Energy Parmers, II. L.P.	0.0000%1	0,0000%		<del></del>	1
Ment Energy Parmers, III. L.P.	0 0000%.	0,0000%		<del></del>	
Ment Energy Purcers, L.P.	0.0000%.	3,0000%			1
Moore, Charles Cline	0.0115%				
Munroe, Vernon	0.0046%1	0.0088%		<del></del>	j
Myco industries, Inc.	0 0000%1				<del> </del>
Napeco Oliver, Angus Cluthe Tr	0.0046%				1
Oliver, William B. Tr	0.0046%1			<del></del>	1
Oxy U.S.A., Inc.	0.0000%			<del></del>	1
Permier Oil & Gas. Inc.	0.0000%				1.0192
				<del> </del>	1
Redfern, John J. III, Indep Exec of Est of Redfern, Rosalind	0.0725%			<del></del>	1
Schlagal, John L.	0.0005%				•
Mard, Adolph & Schuman Tr	0.0046%1			<del></del>	†
Seventy-Seven Corporation	0.0000%			<del></del>	1
Sigmar, inc.	0.0016%			<del></del>	1
Space Building Corp.	00115%1			<del></del>	†
Tipperary Oil Corporation	0.3199%			<del></del>	0.5197
TR Oil Corporation	0,0000%1	0.0000%	0 0042%	0.0011%	]
Van Vranken, J. F. Jr.	0 0046%	0.008874	0 0075%	0 0074%	
Whiting Petroleum Corporation	0.3199%1			<del></del>	0.5197
Yates Drilling Company	2.0192%1			<del></del>	1
Yerza Petroleum Corporation	2,4800%			<del></del>	.]
Yates, John A	0.0049%			<del></del>	1
Yates, S. P	0.0049%				4
	1 100.0000%	100.0000%	100.0000%	100 0000%	4
1000	1		12 200 12	100.5	· ·
YPC Et al	7.206234				4
Exxon with Merit	85 0939%	70.7855%	69 01659	73 9204%	1