KELLAHIN AND KELLAHIN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW EL PATIO BUILDING

117 NORTH GUADALUPE

POST OFFICE BOX 2265

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

JASON KELLAHIN (RETIRED 1991)

*NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION RECOGNIZED SPECIALIST IN THE AREA OF NATURAL RESOURCES-OIL AND GAS LAW

W THOMAS KELLAHIN*

December 11, 1995

HAND DELIVERED

RECEIVED

TELEPHONE (505) 982-4285

TELEFAX (505) 982-2047

Mrs. Jamie Bailey Office of the Commissioner of Public Lands State Land Office Building 310 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

DEC 1 1 1995

Oil Conservation Division

Re: NMOCD Cases 11297 and 11298

Application of Exxon Corporation for Waterflood Project,

Carbon Dioxide Project and Statutory Unitization Avalon-Delaware Unit, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mrs. Bailey:

On December 14, 1995, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission is scheduled to hearing the subject case which involves Exxon's desire to include State of New Mexico Oil & Gas Lease No. K-6527-1 (E/2E/2 of Section 25, T20S, R27E) in both its proposed waterflood project and its carbon dioxide flood project.

My client is Premier Oil & Gas Inc. who is the current lessee of this lease and who is opposed to its inclusion in the unit.

I am aware that your responsibilities as an employee of the Commissioner of Public Lands ("Land Office") have involved gathering information and making recommendations concerning whether it is in the best interests of the Land Office to include certain State of New Mexico oil & gas leases in units such as this.

While I have the greatest respect for your expertise and your professionalism, I am concerned that your responsibilities to the Land Office this particular case have created a conflict of interest which would preclude you from participating as a member of the Oil Conservation Commission.

Mrs. Jamie Bailey December 11, 1995 Page 2

The Land Office has already granted preliminary approval of this unit which includes the disputed tract. Should you ultimately decide in favor of my client, then your actions would be contrary to the decision made by the Land Office.

I would appreciate knowing (a) if you have any reservations about participating in this case, and (b) if you have had any personal involvement on behalf of the Land Office with this unitization effort by Exxon. If so, can you ignore that past involvement and decide this case regardless of the affect that decision might have upon the Land Office and its prior approval to include this tract in this unit.

ery truly yours

W. Thomas/Kellahin

cc: Ken Jones (Premier)

cc: William J. LeMay (Chairman-OCC)

cc: Jim Bruce, Esq. (Exxon) cc: William F. Carr, Esq. (Yates)