STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MANZANO OIL CORPORATION

CASE NO. 11,308



ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

June 15th, 1995

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil
Conservation Division on Thursday, June 15th, 1995, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New
Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

3

INDEX

June 15th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,308

PAGE

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:

MIKE BROWN (Geologist)

Direct Examination by Mr. Carr 4

Examination by Examiner Catanach 12

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 15

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	6	12
Exhibit 2	7	12
Exhibit 3	8	12
Exhibit 4	10	12
Exhibit 5	11	12

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR & BERGE, P.A.
Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:45 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, at this time we'll call
4	Case 11,308.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of Manzano Oil
6	Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea
7	County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there appearances in this
9	case?
10	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
11	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr
12	and Berge.
13	We represent Manzano Oil Corporation in this
14	matter, and I have one witness.
15	EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional appearances?
16	Will the one witness please stand to be sworn?
17	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
18	MIKE BROWN,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CARR:
23	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
24	A. Mike Brown.
25	Q. And where do you reside?

Roswell, New Mexico. 1 Α. 2 Q. By whom are you employed? 3 Α. Manzano Oil Corporation. And what is your current position with Manzano? Q. 5 Α. Geologist. Q. Mr. Brown, have you previously testified before 6 this Division? 7 I have. 8 Α. At the time of that testimony, were your 9 credentials as a petroleum geologist accepted and made a 10 matter of record? 11 12 Α. They were. Are you familiar with Manzano's proposed "SV" 13 Q. Bobwhite Federal Number 1 well? 14 Α. Yes, I am. 15 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 16 17 acceptable? EXAMINER CATANACH: They are. 18 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Brown, would you briefly state 19 Q. what Manzano seeks with this Application? 20 We seek approval of an unorthodox oil well 21 Α. location for the proposed "SV" Bobwhite Federal Number 1 22 23 well, to be drilled 2355 feet from the south line, 400 feet 24 from the west line of Section 4, 19 South, 34 East, Lea

25

County, New Mexico.

And to what formation are you proposing to drill? 1 Q. 2 We propose to drill to the Devonian. Α. Are there secondary objectives in this well? 3 ο. Yes, there is. We'll be looking at the Bone 4 Α. Spring and the Wolfcamp in particular. 5 Is this a wildcat Devonian prospect? 6 Q. 7 Yes, it is. Α. And what are the spacing requirements for 8 Devonian wells in this area? 9 On the wildcat, it would be 40-acre spacing, 330-10 Α. foot setback. 11 How much unorthodox is this well? 12 It's 45 feet too close to the center lease line 13 Α. there in Section 4. 14 And why is Manzano proceeding to drill at an 15 Q. unorthodox well location? 16 We believe that geologic evidence dictates that 17 Α. we drill in this location. 18 Let's go to what has been marked for 19 Q. identification as Manzano Oil Corporation Exhibit Number 1. 20 Would you identify this and review it for Mr. 21 Catanach? 22 23 This is the land situation directly surrounding 24 the proposed well. I've colored Manzano-operated acreage 25 in yellow.

The Bobwhite Federal Number 1 is shown there in Section 4, once again, 2355 from the south line, 400 from the west line.

- Q. What acreage are you going to dedicate to the well?
- A. It would be a 40-acre northwest-of-the-southwest unit.
 - Q. And at this location there is no other offset operator on whom the well is encroaching?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2. Would you identify 12 that?
- A. This is a seismic map on the top of the Devonian.

 It has been converted to depth. The scale is 1 to 1000.

 Your contour interval is 25 feet. And I've indicated the

 Bobwhite Federal Number 1 with the arrow.
 - Q. Basically, what you've got is a Devonian ridge running through the area; is that not correct?
- A. That is correct, and the proposed well is at or near the top.
 - Q. By moving to this unorthodox location from a standard location, are you gaining any structural advantage?
 - A. No, we'll be possibly slightly downdip.
 - O. And so what is the basis for the unorthodox

location?

- A. The basis is porosity development. The feature appears tighter on the top, and we show that on the next exhibit.
- Q. Now, you're proposing to drill this -- This is the first well you're drilling in this area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're proposing to drill in the southwest quarter of Section 4?
 - A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. And why are you locating the first well in the 12 southwest of Section 4?
 - A. The agreement with Unocal dictated that this would be our first location, would be in the southwest quarter.
 - Q. All right, let's move to Manzano Exhibit Number

 3. Would you first explain to the Examiner what this shows
 and then review the significance of this exhibit?
 - A. This is a line of section, seismic line of section, that runs north-south through the Bobwhite Federal Number 1, which is noted.

This is a color inversion map, and what color inversion is, it's an advanced processing technique that you can apply to the 3-D survey that converts the seismic traces to velocity. And by doing that, you can use

velocity to infer porosity. It's closely similar to what a sonic log does.

- Q. You're not measuring time here; you're actually measuring the velocity?
 - A. We are measuring the velocity.

- Q. Okay, and what does this exhibit show you?
- A. Okay, what I've got shown, if you look on the right-hand side, I've noted the Devonian and the Woodford shale. The Devonian top is noted in a yellow line.

The velocities have been color-coded. That's why it's called color inversion. The purples and blacks indicate the highest velocities that you see. The higher the velocity, the lower the porosity you would expect.

As you move up in the color scale, the darker blues are a little lower velocity, the light blues have probably the most porosity within a limestone unit. Up in the Woodford shale you have shales of very low velocities, and they show up in reds and browns.

What we're showing here is, in the Devonian, in the Bobwhite Federal Number 1, as you enter into the Devonian, you come into the lowest-velocity rock that you see within the Devonian, and what should be the highest porosity. And then as you move through the Devonian, you go into very, very tight rock.

As you move south from that well, which would be

to your left, you move into dark blues, and then into purples.

And what we're most concerned about in the drilling of this well, is to be high on the structure without any porosity to get the oil to the reservoir. We feel certain we'll have an oil column, but the productivity of the well will be directly related to how much porosity that we have in that upper part.

- Q. And so this location in the southwest quarter gives you both structural advantage, but primarily gives you porosity?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Let's move to what has been marked Manzano Oil Corporation Exhibit Number 4. Would you identify and review that?
- A. This is a time slice that goes through the Devonian at two seconds down, which will really reflect that light blue that we saw in the previous exhibit. I just wanted to show it on a map basis.

And north -- The north and south relationships off our shoot was tilted, so...

I've noted the Bobwhite Number 1. Once again, you see it's in the light blue porosity development. And if you go immediately south or southeast, you move into tighter rock.

And so what we have in the top of the Devonian is
just a series of porosity developments with tight spots
within it. And we want to be within a good porosity
interval.

Q. Can you identify what's been marked as Exhibit

- A. That is the affidavit.
 - Q. It shows that notice has been provided to --
- A. Yes.

Number 5?

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

23

10 | O. -- Unocal?

And that's just to keep them advised of your

plans to comply with the agreement and in fact locate the

well in the southwest guarter?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. In your opinion, will approval of this

 Application and the drilling of the proposed well result in
 the recovery of hydrocarbons that otherwise would be left
 in the ground?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- Q. Will approval of the Application otherwise be in the best interest of conservation and the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?

They were. 1 Α. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move 2 the admission of Manzano Oil Corporation Exhibits 1 through 3 4 5. EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 5 admitted as evidence. 6 MR. CARR: That concludes my examination of Mr. 7 Brown. 8 9 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 10 Mr. Brown, Manzano is the operator in Section 5? 11 Q. Yes. We have a farmout from Unocal of the entire 12 13 pipeline federal unit, which extends even off the map and to the south. 14 Have you utilized this kind of technology in 15 0. 16 drilling previous wells? 17 Α. Yes, we've just finished our third well in Midland County using this -- in the Canyon formation, and 18 it has been extremely impressive. We've hit exactly what 19 we anticipated, based on the velocity. 20 Do you have sufficient confidence in it to 21 Q. believe that a difference of 45 feet will make that big a 22 difference? 23 The movement to the south is what we were 24

concerned with. We could move farther north, but yes,

25

we're as far south as we're comfortable with.

And I will say, when we proposed the well, we did not believe it was going to be unorthodox. And once we got the survey back, there was a little bit of difference between the 3-D surveying and the on-ground, and it ended up that it was unorthodox, so...

- Q. Your data indicates that at the highest point in that structure you lose some porosity; is that correct?
- A. That is correct. At the very, very tip-top, it appears to be a lot tighter.

Really, the Devonian out here and in Lea field is just a series of porosity developments. There's tight spots, and there's very porous spots.

We will -- Over time, if we get into a porous spot, we'll be able to see -- you'll see all that catacomb of porosity.

But if you get into a tight spot initially, you just can't see the reservoir. You'll be within the oil column, but just won't be able to drain it.

- Q. How much structure are you losing at your proposed location?
- A. It all is basically flat. We're probably within five feet.
- EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I have nothing further of the witness.

```
1
               MR. CARR: That concludes our presentation in
 2
     this case.
               EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, there being
 3
     nothing further, Case 11,308 will be taken under
 4
 5
     advisement.
                (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 6
 7
     9:57 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 18th, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is

a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No.

Lune 1.

heard by me on_

, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division