#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

#### ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF SULLIVAN AND COMPANY "3D" PROGRAM I, L.L.C.



CASE NO. 11,319

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## EXAMINER HEARING

# ORIGINAL

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

July 13th, 1995

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, July 13th, 1995, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

## INDEX

July 13th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,319

PAGE **APPEARANCES** 3 APPLICANT'S WITNESSES: MARCUS D. GARRETT (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 4 Examination by Examiner Stogner 15 THOMAS F. ARMSTRONG (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 21 Examination by Examiner Stogner 29 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 32

\* \* \*

# EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	7 7 7	15 15 15
Exhibit 5	7	15
Exhibit 6	23	29
Exhibit 7	25	29
Exhibit 8	28	29

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

# FOR THE APPLICANT:

PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A. 1512 South St. Francis Drive P.O. Box 2523 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2523 By: ERNEST L. PADILLA

\* \* \*

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:24 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call Case Number 11,319.
4	MR. CARROLL: Application of Sullivan and Company
5	"3D" Program I, L.L.C., for directional drilling and an
6	unorthodox bottomhole oil well location, Lea County, New
7	Mexico.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call for
9	appearances.
LO	MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. Padilla for
11	the Applicant in this case.
L2	I have two witnesses to be sworn.
L3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other
L 4	appearances in this matter?
L5	Will the witnesses please step forward and remain
L6	standing to be sworn in?
L7	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
L 8	MARCUS D. GARRETT,
L9	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. PADILLA:
23	Q. Mr. Garrett, for the record please state your
24	name.
25	A. My name is Marcus Duane Garrett.

Mr. Garrett, where do you live? 1 Q. 2 Α. I live in Broken Arrow, Oklahoma. 3 Q. And are you employed by the Applicant in this case? 4 5 Α. Yes, I am. And who is the Applicant in this case? Ο. 6 7 Α. Sullivan and Company. 8 0. Can you tell us a little bit about Sullivan and 9 Company and the Applicant, Sullivan and Company "3D" 10 Program I and L.L.C.? 11 Sullivan and Company is a small oil and gas 12 company operated out of Tulsa, Oklahoma. They've been in 13 business for approximately 40 years. One of the principals of the company has formed a 14 sister company called Sullivan and Company "3D" Program I, 15 16 L.L.C. It's a limited liability company that goes out and 17 drills joint venture prospects. 18 Q. Mr. Garrett, the Applicant in this case is 19 Sullivan and Company; it's a limited liability company, 20 correct? Right, that's correct. 21 Α. 22 What do you do for Sullivan and Company? Q. My official title is assistant manager of 23 Α. 24 drilling production.

What do your duties include?

25

Q.

- 1 Α. Well, we are a small company. I primarily take care of the production and, when there's a well to be 2 drilled, I take care of the drilling of it. And in cases 3 where we are getting ready to form units, et cetera, well, 4 then, I involve myself in any land, legal or exploration 5 projects as necessary. 6 Are you primarily an engineer? Is that your 7 Q. 8 background?
  - A. That's correct.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Division in the past?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Would you tell the Examiner what your educational background is?
- A. I received a BS degree in petroleum from Oklahoma State University in 1972, and I've been in the oil and gas business for 23 years.
- MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we tender Mr. Garrett as an expert in petroleum exploration.
  - EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Garrett is so qualified.
- Q. (By Mr. Padilla) Mr. Garrett, have you prepared certain exhibits for introduction here today?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Can you briefly tell the Examiner what you have bought as far as your testimony is concerned?

1 Α. Do you want me to introduce all five exhibits? 2 Just briefly, yes. Q. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Give us an overview. Ο. 5 Α. Exhibit Number 1 is a land plat that shows 6 the proposed bottomhole location for the proposed well, the 7 Lowe Number 25-1, and I'll go into that in some detail in a few minutes. 8 Exhibit Number 2 shows the relative location 9 10 between the surface and bottomhole location of the Lowe 11 It's a blow-up of Exhibit Number 1. 12 Exhibit Number 3 is the standard form C-102 13 required for applications in the State of New Mexico. Exhibit Number 4 is the C-101. 14 15 And Exhibit 5 is a waiver that we got from the offset operator in Section 26 to drill this unorthodox 16 location. 17 18 Mr. Garrett, can you tell the Examiner why you're 19 asking for an unorthodox location in this case? 20 Α. Yes. The main reason that we would like to have an unorthodox location is because of the location of the 21 reef in the Devonian -- or excuse me, not a reef, but the 22 23 structure in the Devonian that we're going after. Basically, the structure is an elongated 2.4 structure, and it straddles Sections 25 and 26. 25

- 1 Q. Now, your geologic witness is going to go more into detail? 2 Α. That's correct, he'll get into the detail of that 3 in a minute. 4 But to drill a standard location in Section 25, 5 we believe that we would completely miss the structure 6 altogether. So an unorthodox location is needed in order 7 to be able to hit this. Okay, Mr. Garrett, let's get into Exhibit Number 9 Q. 10 1 and have you get into more detail about that, and I'd 11 like for you to discuss the exact location where that's
  - shown on Exhibit Number 1.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Basically Exhibit Number 1 is an area map Α. that comprises portions of Section 25, 26, 35 and 36 of Township 13 South, Range 37 East, in Lea County, New Mexico.

The two circles on the map is the proposed bottomhole location of the Lowe 25 Number 1, which is located at 2205 feet from the north line and 100 feet from the west line.

In the legend down in the lower left, you can see that the well locations that have the square around it are Wolfcamp producers, the wells that just have the circle around it are existing Devonian producers.

Also underneath each well spot you'll see a

number which represents the total depth reached in that particular well.

For instance, on the well that's the closest to our proposed Lowe Number 25-1, it's a dryhole that was drilled to the depth of 10,145 feet, insufficient to test the Devonian.

The dotted lines that you see, for instance, in the west half of Section 25, are lines that depict the existing leases that we have out there.

For instance, in the lease that is a portion of the area where we're going to drill is a 240-acre lease that is -- that Sullivan and its partners have the right to be able to drill in.

To the north of there is a laydown 80, and it too -- Sullivan also has the rights to drill in it.

In the east half of Section 26 we have a farm-in, which gives us the rights to be able to drill in the east half of Section 26, given to us by BTA, and so on.

- Q. Mr. Garrett, is the royalty ownership common in the west half of Section 25 and the east half of Section 26?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And Sullivan controls both of those tracts; is that right?
  - A. That's correct, we do.

- Q. So in this case you'd be offsetting yourself?
- 2 A. That's right.

- Q. What is the proposed depth of the well?
- A. The proposed depth of the well is 12,600 feet.
- Q. Okay. I notice a well to the south of your proposed location that straddles the section line. Can you tell the Examiner a little bit about that well?
- A. The well that -- The well in question right there is the BEI Lowe Number 1. It was drilled in 1993 by Bahlburg Exploration. The exact location of that well is 900 feet from the south line and 50 foot from the west line.

As you can see, the total depth reached on that well was 12,272 feet, which is deep enough to test the Devonian.

However, you can see from the legend that this is a Wolfcamp well. The well went down to the Devonian, and it was found to be dry, so they plugged back and made a Wolfcamp producer out of it.

- Q. Do you have anything further on Exhibit Number 1, Mr. Garrett?
  - A. No, that's it.
- Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2 now and have you tell the Examiner what that is and what it contains.
  - A. Okay. This, as I said before, is the relative

locations in a blown up -- in a blow-up of the surface location and the bottomhole location of the Lowe Number 1.

As you can see, the surface location is located 60 feet from the section line to the east, and the bottomhole location is located 100 feet to the east of the section line. The --

Q. What -- Go ahead.

- A. I was just going to say, the dashed circle around the bottomhole location is the area that we have to hit inside of in order for this to be an accepted bottomhole location, per the rules of the State.
- Q. Mr. Garrett, why is the surface location different from the bottomhole location?
- A. There's a couple of reasons that we elected to move it.

If we were to have put the surface location directly above the bottomhole location, we would have been out in the middle of a farmer's peanut field. We wanted to move the well to the west in order to be able to have our permanent well off his peanut acreage out there.

Now, the reason that we moved it to the north was because in the Bahlburg well that was drilled in 1993, they ran a directional survey at 7000 feet, and this survey indicated that the bit had naturally drifted approximately 70 foot south and 10 foot west of their surface location.

And in order for him to get back to where he wanted to get, he had to directionally drill back to his -- back underneath his surface location.

So I wanted to take into account the natural drift in that area by moving the surface location 100 foot north, so that by the time that we get down to around, say, 7000, 8000 or 9000 feet, and we do our survey, then we'll be closer to our intended bottomhole location.

- Q. So you'll be doing a directional drilling survey on the way down?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Mr. Garrett, suppose you don't bottomhole within the 50-foot radius as shown on this exhibit. What happens?
- A. Well, it depends on exactly where we'll be. If, for instance, we're to the east at all of this proposed bottomhole location, before we get to that point we will come back up and set a whipstock or turn our motors, and somewhere or another intentionally move the direction of that bit to try to get to the exact bottomhole location, or a reasonable proximity thereof.
- Q. Mr. Garrett, suppose that you drift west and it's your estimate that you're going to wind up being outside that circle to the west. Would that be favorable in terms of geology?
  - A. As the testimony that will be coming up next will

show, there is room for us to the west of the circle, for us to be able to encounter the reef, and there is a possibility that if the bit hits someplace west of this circle, that that would be an acceptable location for geological purposes.

However, if we're outside of the circle, then that will mean that I'll have to come back and get an amended location.

- Q. In light of the fact that the ownership Is common, both royalty and working interest, throughout this area, would you request of the Examiner some provision in the Order that you could get administrative approval to produce a well at a bottomhole location that would be outside the circle?
- A. Provided that I would meet the rest of the terms of the laws in the State of New Mexico, yes, as long as --
  - Q. You couldn't --

2.3

2.4

- A. For instance, as long as I'm to the east of Section 25 and within, you know, the rest of the drilling and spacing unit, I would ask that it be administratively approved.
- Q. Okay. Do you have anything further concerning this Exhibit Number 2?
- A. No, that's it.
  - Q. Let's go on to Exhibits Number 3 and 4 and have

you identify those, please.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- A. These are the C-101s and -102s that are required to be filled out in order to be able to get an application in the State of New Mexico.
- Q. And the dedicated acreage would be unit letter E, which is the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of section -- the well of the section; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And Exhibit 4 is just the permit to drill, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 0. What is Exhibit Number 5?
- A. Exhibit Number 5 is a waiver that was given to us
  by BTA, who is the original leasors in Section 26 --
- 15 Q. Original leasors or lessees?
- A. Lessees, excuse me. And they in effect gave us
  permission to go ahead and drill an unorthodox location in
  Section 25.
- 19 Q. In the meantime, you've obtained a farmout from 20 BTA?
- 21 A. That's correct, we have.
- Q. Who is Bahlburg Exploration, Inc.?
- A. Bahlburg Exploration is a partial owner in the drilling of this Lowe Number 25-1.
- He's also a geologist, and he assisted us in

1 putting together this deal. 2 0. Bahlburg had also filed a similar application originally at one point? 3 Α. That's right. 4 Okay. And that case was dismissed from the last 5 Q. 6 docket? 7 That's correct. Α. Mr. Garrett, in your opinion would approval of 8 Q. the Application, as far as your testimony is concerned, be 9 in the best interests of conservation of oil and gas? 10 11 Α. Yes. Would you elaborate on that, please? 12 Q. If we had to drill a standard location in Section 13 Α. 25, there would be significant quantities of oil and gas 14 that would not be able to be recovered, and unless we're 15 16 able to drill in an unorthodox location, those hydrocarbons will not be recovered. 17 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, that completes the 18 testimony of Mr. Garrett, and we tender Exhibits 1 through 19 20 5 and tender Mr. Garrett for questioning. Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: admitted into evidence at this time. 22 23 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 24

Mr. Garrett, you said that the royalty interests

25

Q.

were the same, the east half of 26, as the west half of 25; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. But you failed to mention who that was. Who is the royalty interest?
- A. It's divided among the Lowe families. I don't have a list of them, but there's about five or six descendants of Mr. Lowe.
- Q. Now, when you say that they are the same, do they share in the same percentage, or is it just the same people that are involved and that have different ownership?
- A. It's an undivided interest on both sides of the section, and the ownership is the same.
  - Q. So they're identical in every aspect?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. Inasmuch as this really isn't a directional drilling as the strict terms, you're going to rely on the geological and the bedding formations to reach your objective; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. But you're going to be using measured -- Well, are you going to be measuring it while you're drilling, or are you going to stop periodically and run a survey?
- A. We'll run a gyro, which will give us the exact location relative to the surface, and we'll run that -- As

a matter of fact, we've already run that.

And then from that point on, we'll use singlepoint surveys to take us all the way to TD. And we will
use a motor to directionally drill as necessary from this
point forward.

And at TD we'll go in and run a multi-shot survey, which is what's required by the State, and we'll have that information and give that to the State on completion of the well.

And that will give us the exact bottomhole location.

- Q. Back to the royalty interest question, is that from surface to the base of the Devonian, or could you have requested also the Pennsylvanian and Wolfcamp Pools be included?
- A. Yes, that's all zones.
- Q. And the King-Wolfcamp Pool, that's an oil pool?
- 18 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 Q. And that's on what spacing?
- 20 A. Forties.
- 21 Q. And how about the King-Pennsylvanian Pool?
- 22 A. Forties.
- Q. Is there any Pennsylvanian production out there?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Any within a mile?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

- Q. Have you looked at the King-Pennsylvanian Pool boundaries?
- A. Yes, and I didn't see any on there, but I was looking --
- Q. You said you looked at the pool boundaries, and it's not within a mile?
  - A. No, it's not.
- Q. I don't think I would have included it on there if it wasn't.

Do you have those pool boundaries with you?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. As you're drilling, you see that you may not hit that 50-foot target, you don't have any intentions of straightening it out, you're just going to let it wander?
- A. No, we're not -- That's the reason for running the multi-shot survey, is to find out exactly where we are, and then we'll direct the bit as close to the target as is practical, and our intentions are to hit the exact target.

Our primary intention, of course, is to be able to be with -- to be someplace in the area of that 50-foot radius, and -- but if we're outside of it, say 10 or 15 or 20 feet, from a practical sense, it would be better for us to go ahead and come back for an amended location, as to try to -- you know, to try to spend the extra money to try

to get it inside the target area.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

23

24

25

Q. Now, have you been in contact with Mr. Bahlburg?
Because your May 5th letter mentioned that you all would
more than likely be closer than the minimum of 330.

Does he know exactly where you're going to be?

- A. I don't think I understand the question.
- Q. In your letter of May 5th -- that's Exhibit
  Number 5 --
  - A. All right.
- Q. -- in there, "We intend to drill one or more well locations which would most likely be closer than the minimum 330-foot distance from the section line."

And indeed, you are. Does he -- Was he made aware of how close he was going to be?

- A. Yes, he's got copies of all the exhibits.
- MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I think your concern is with BTA and not with Bahlburg.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, what is BTA's interest, then?
- MR. PADILLA: BTA was the operator to the west.

  And since that letter has been written, there has been a

  farmout from BTA to Sullivan and Company.
  - Mr. Bahlburg is simply a partner with Sullivan to drill the wells, and it was Bahlburg who was asking for the waiver at the time.

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: But BTA has sold out,
2	Bahlburg
3	THE WITNESS: He's farmed out.
4	EXAMINER STOGNER: He's farmed out, all right.
5	THE WITNESS: Right. Can I have just a minute to
6	confer with Mr. Padilla?
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Sure.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	(Off the record)
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other questions, Mr.
11	Padilla.
12	You may be excused.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	MR. PADILLA: Mr. Garrett just Go ahead.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Padilla?
16	MR. PADILLA: Yes, sir.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: You may continue.
18	MR. PADILLA: We'll check the pool boundaries on
19	the Pennsylvanian and make sure that they're outside
20	that the proposed well is outside a mile.
21	If it's not, then obviously we can't then we'd
22	have to conform with the Pennsylvanian rules.
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay.
24	MR. PADILLA: We'll call Tom Armstrong at this
25	time.

## THOMAS F. ARMSTRONG, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 3 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. PADILLA: Mr. Armstrong, please state your name. 6 0. Thomas F. Armstrong. Α. 8 Q. And Mr. Armstrong, are you a consultant for the Applicant in this case? 9 I am. 10 Α. 11 Q. Mr. Armstrong, have you testified before the Oil 12 Conservation Division and had your credentials accepted as 13 a matter of record in the past? Not in New Mexico. 14 Can you tell the Examiner what your educational 15 16 background in geology is? I graduated from the University of Texas at 17 Austin with a bachelor's degree in 1975. 18 19 Q. What is your experience in the oil and gas 20 industry since your graduation? 21 I've worked as an exploration geologist since 22 1975 until today. For whom? 23 0. Several companies, among them Union Oil of 24 California, Enserch Exploration, Sul Petro, then other 25

smaller independents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Do you now have your own consulting firm? Is that --
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Have you made a study of the geologic features and the geology of the Devonian formation and the formations above the Devonian in your study of this area?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Can you be a little bit more specific, briefly, as to what kind of study you did to familiarize yourself and prepare exhibits for this hearing?
- A. We began with a geologic map of the original King field area and extended that in all directions to see if there wasn't a larger pool than what had been discovered by drilling in the Fifties.

We created cross-sections, primarily a northsouth cross-section that indicated that there very well was a possible extension of the structure in a north direction, approximately a mile north of the existing production.

- Q. Mr. Armstrong, have you testified before other oil and gas regulatory agencies?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Which agencies?
- A. Oklahoma.
- 25 Q. Are you a member of any geologic societies?

I'm a member of the American Association of 1 Α. Petroleum Geologists and am certified as a professional 2 3 geologist by the AAPG; also by the American Institute of Professional Geologists, I'm also certified by them. 4 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we tender Mr. 5 Armstrong as an expert in geology. 6 Mr. Armstrong is so qualified. EXAMINER STOGNER: 7 (By Mr. Padilla) Mr. Armstrong, let's start with 8 0. Exhibit Number 6 and have you jump right into that and tell 9 10 the Examiner what that is. Number 6 is a geologic map at a horizon, being 11 the top of the Devonian formation. It is based primarily 12 on subsurface data in the numerous wells shown on the map. 13 It is complemented by seismic data that initially was a 14 series of east-west 2-D seismic lines, and one key north-15 south seismic line. 16 Where is the proposed location in this Exhibit 17 18 Number 6? 19 The proposed location is noted in the southwest of the northwest of Section 26. It's noted with a circle, 20 and words "Proposed Location". 21 The structure here is flanked by faults; is that 22 Ο. correct? 23

Would you point those out to the Examiner --

That is correct.

Α.

Q.

24

A. There are two --

2.1

- Q. -- in terms of the proposed location?
- A. There are two major north-south trending faults, parallel, approximately, on this map scale, a half inch east of the location and approximately an inch west of the proposed location. Those are large, approximately 1000-foot, faults that define the main structure.

Within the main structure, there are numerous antithetic faults that generally will run at angles approximately 60 degrees to the main east-west bounding faults.

- Q. Where is the well on this exhibit that Bahlburg drilled in 1993 and was a dryhole and hugs the section line?
- A. Immediately south of our proposed location, approximately two inches on this map, you'll see a well symbol, and south of that an open symbol with a dashed line connecting the two. The bottomhole location is indicated by the open circle with the letters BHL next to it.
- Q. Mr. Armstrong, Mr. Garrett testified that the Bahlburg well was a dryhole in the Devonian. Can you elaborate as to why it was a dryhole in the Devonian?
- A. Our belief is that someplace shortly before they got to the Devonian, they got caught in the fault zone depicted at the bottomhole location, they were unable to

control the well, it drifted along with that fault to a location several hundred feet south of where they wished to bottomhole that well, and our expertise in the area would indicate that getting caught in a fault zone like that will give you irreparable communication with water. He tested over 9000 feet of saltwater, and that tends to corroborate that.

- Q. In terms of your proposed location, and looking at Exhibit Number 7 in conjunction with Exhibit Number 6, what have you done to locate the proposed location to not experience the same thing that happened in the Bahlburg well?
- A. We have identified on a 3-D seismic survey a fault zone east of our proposed location. The mapping and interpretation indicates that this is not a distinct line, as depicted on the given map, but that it is a fault zone, approximately 200 feet in thickness. We've tried to indicate that on this seismic line.

And what we are concerned about is that at shallower depth above the Devonian formation, it is entirely possible that we could encounter that fault and experience the same problems that Bahlburg exploration had -- that is, to get caught in the fault and not be able to get the bit to veer out of it.

Q. Would you explain Exhibit Number 7 in more detail

in terms of the proposed location and the faulting you see in that seismic depiction?

2.4

- A. Exhibit 7 is a seismic line extracted from the 3-D volume of data that we acquired as a 3-D survey to confirm the geology in this area. It is an east-west line running through our proposed location. It shows the highly faulted and high throw of the faults at the Devonian and shows how they extend up above the Devonian section into the shallower beds.
- Q. Where is the Devonian shown? How is the Devonian shown in this Exhibit 7?
- A. There is a yellow line that runs horizontally across the section at a level between the 1700- and 1800-feet markers, in the downthrown position, and that same horizontal line jumps up to a position, up to about 1600 at the proposed location.
- Q. Looking at right above the 1500 line, is that where you're going to -- where you think you're going to miss this fault, or the fault -- Is this the fault that you're trying to miss?
- A. That's correct, we think that that is the approximate upwards termination of the fault.
- Q. So a location east of the proposed bottomhole location of the target area would -- Are you afraid that would get you into that fault?

- A. Historically, that is what has happened to many operators. You can see several wells in this area that have fallen off the edge. Some \$2 million worth of drilling has experienced just that problem of getting too close to the fault, getting caught in it and being thrown on the downthrown side.
- Q. Okay, you said several wells, and you pointed to Exhibit Number 6. Can you identify some of those wells?
- A. On Exhibit Number 6, you can see on the east flank of the field there's a well shown at a depth of 9217.

Immediately west of that, on the west flank of the feature, is a well that also found itself on the downthrown side. It came in with a subsurface depth of 8803.

North of that is another well that literally did get caught in the fault, as we're concerned. It came in at 8770.

All of those wells were drilled with anticipation of staying on top of the structure, and by getting too close to the edge found themselves off this feature.

- Q. Mr. Armstrong, do you have anything further concerning Exhibits 6 and 7?
  - A. No, I don't.

2.0

Q. You've also prepared a cross-section. Would you tell the Examiner what that cross-section shows? And that

is Exhibit Number 8.

A. Cross-section Number 8 was a cross-section that we prepared to show the relationship of our area of interest to the King field proper.

We were able to determine from the abundant well control that the Mississippian section that overlies our zone of interest was very thick in the King field producing area and that it thinned rapidly to the north. This gives inescapable evidence that the paleostructure, the highest portion of the paleostructure, existed north of where the existing production is.

We see a fault that separates the two areas, and we believe that the area we will be drilling was the highest portion of the structure at the time of deposition.

- Q. Mr. Armstrong, do you have anything further to -- on Exhibit Number 8?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. In your opinion, would approval of this

  Application be in the best interests of conservation of oil

  and gas?
  - A. Very much so.
- Q. Do you agree with the testimony to the same effect of Mr. Garrett, is that -- I believe he testified that you'd be recovering oil that would not otherwise be recovered?

A. That is true.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we offer Exhibits 6, 7 and 8 and tender the witness.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 6, 7 and 8 will be admitted into evidence at this time.

EXAMINATION

#### 7 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. In looking at Exhibit Number 7, you show the -- and this is essentially an upthrown fault block; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Over to the west -- and I'm assuming that when I'm looking at Exhibit Number 7, it is a northwest across that area; is that correct?
  - A. Exhibit Number 7 is a due east-west line.
  - Q. Across your proposed location?
- A. Yes. There are two vertical dashed lines, one representing a well pretty far to the west of our structure. The more centrally located dashed line is indicating our proposed location.
- Q. Okay. But I was trying to find which of -- on Exhibit Number 6, which of the two parallel lines to the west represents the fault: the one further out, about a half mile away, or the one about a quarter of a mile?
  - A. Both of those are down to the west faults.

- Q. One represents the top and the bottom?
- A. No, it's stairstepping down. The major fault is the one that is coincident with the well that has a top showing 8770. The line just outside of that is a contour line.
- Q. I was trying to see where the stairstep that you're trying to represent on Exhibit Number 7 -- Is that depicted?
- A. The -- If you start at the proposed location and head due west, about a half inch west on that map you will see a small drop down again within the main portion of the structure. These are small faults. And then the next fault you come to is the major fault that is depicted on Exhibit Number 7 with the vertical yellow line. We have not attempted to depict with yellow lines the small antithetic faults within the main structure.
  - Q. But the two main ones are depicted?
- 18 A. That's correct.

- Q. The 1000-foot thrown is the furthest one west, with the little stairstep indicated with the one that essentially bisects your --
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. -- your structure?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. So even if you step off the stairstep, there are

wells that are -- have produced or are producing? 1 Α. Within the central horst block, that is correct. Now, this is quite a stepout to the north, then, 3 0. for this little structure, isn't it? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. On Exhibit Number 6 you show some survey holes, 6 Q. 7 essentially, down to the north and south and east and west. Are those some old two-dimensional survey lines? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 And when were those run? 10 0. Those were run in the early Eighties. I can't 11 12 say exactly when. 13 0. Did you utilize that information to compare with your three-dimensional survey? 14 We used that information to determine whether 15 16 this area merited the cost of a 3-D survey. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I have no further 17 questions of this witness. You may be excused. 18 MR. PADILLA: We have nothing further. 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, does anybody else have 20 anything further in Case Number 11,319? If not, then this 21 case will be taken under advisement. 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 9:07 a.m.) 24 \* \* \* 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO SS. COUNTY OF SANTA FE

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 15th, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is

silver There

a complete manage of the proceedings in

the Exercise hearing of Case No. 1/3/9.

1945

Sxaminer

Oll Conservation Division