COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS

2.

	POOL:  Name: 6 ynn Broussard  Address: f.o. Box 2880  City/State/Zip: ballas Tx 75221-2880  Telephone No: 214-7/5-8335
3.	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: [ ] Oppose: [ ] Agree in Part: [ ] No Opinion: [ ]
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes [] No []
	If yes, list lands:
<b>5</b> .	DO YOU BELIEVE PROPATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: [ ] Terminate: [ ] No opinion: [ ]
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?

INDIAN BASIN ASSET TEAM
MID-CONTINENT REGION

Marathon Oil Company

SEP 0 1 1995

Yes:

No:

1.	COMPANY NAME: Kerr-McGee Corporation
2.	COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS POOL:
	Name: David Henke
	Address: POR 25861
	City/State/Zip: OKC OK 73125
	Talaphone No: (405) 270-6196
3.	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: [xi However, we have no objection to the deletic Oppose: [] discussed in 6 below.  Agree in Part: []  No Opinion: []
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes  [] We have interest in lands we would like to develop on 160 or 320 spacing. We would prefer this be done by eliminating prorationing and changing field rules to allow for 4 wells per section in different quarter sections at least 660' from section lines. The sections most important to KM are Sections 19, 30 & 31 T21S-R24E.
5.	DO YOU BELIEVE PRORATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: []  Prorationing in the field should terminate if the field rules are changed to permit multiple wells as described in 4.  No opinion: []
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?
	Yes: [ ] No: [ x]

1.	COMPANY NAME: <u>Barbara Fasken</u>
2.	COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS POOL:  Name: Carl Brown
	Address: 303 W. Wall Ave., Suite 1900
	City/State/Zip: Midland, TX 79701
	Telephone No: (915) 687-1777
3.	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: [] Oppose: []
	Agree in Part: [X] Freeze as is in T-21-S, R-24-E . No Opinion: []
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes [ ] No [x]
	If yes, list lands:
5.	DO YOU BELIEVE PRORATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: [ ] Terminate: [x ] No opinion: [ ]
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?

INDIAN BASIN ASSET TEAM MID-CONTINENT REGION

Marathon Oil Company

SEP 0 6 1995

Yes: []

[x]

No:

1.	COMPANY NAME: Citation Oil & Gas Corp.
2.	COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS POOL:
	Name: Robert W. Unger, Chief Geologist
	Address: 8223 Willow Place South, Ste. 250
	City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77070-5623 Telephone No: (713)469-9664
	relephone No: (713)469-9864
3.	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: [ ] Oppose: [ ] Agree in Part: [ ] No Opinion: [X]
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes [ ] No [ ೱ]
	If yes, list lands:
5.	DO YOU BELIEVE PRORATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: [ ] Terminate: [ x] No opinion: [ ]
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?
	Yes: []

1.	COMPANY NAME: SWITA FE EMERGY KESSURCES
2.	COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS POOL:  Name: Don Pogers  Address: 550 W. Texas Suite 1300  City/State/Zip: Midland Tr 79701  Telephone No: (915) 684-6709
3	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: [ ] Oppose: [ ] Agree in Part: [ ] No Opinion:
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes Mo []
	If yes, list lands: Section 14 - T215 - R23E
5.	DO YOU BELIEVE PRORATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: [] Terminate: M No opinion: []
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?
	Yes: [ ] No: ▶4

1.	COMPANY NAME: JATES VETROLEUM ORPORATION
2.	COMPANY CONTACT WITH REGARD TO THE INDIAN BASIN MORROW GAS POOL:  Name: Randy G. Patterson  Address: 105 S. 4th St  City/State/Zip: Artasia NM 88210  Telephone No: 505/748-4355
3.	HOW DOES YOUR COMPANY FEEL ABOUT FREEZING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE POOL AS THEY CURRENTLY STAND?
	Agree: 🔀 Oppose: [ ] Agree in Part: [ ] No Opinion: [ ]
4.	DO YOU CURRENTLY OPERATE OR OWN AN INTEREST IN ANY LANDS WITHIN THE POOL WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE REMOVED FROM THE POOL SO THAT THEY MAY BE DEVELOPED ON A 320-ACRE SPACING?
	Yes [ ] No [ ]
	If yes, list lands: <u>See attached letter</u>
5.	DO YOU BELIEVE PRORATIONING IN THE POOL SHOULD CONTINUE?
	Continue: [ ] Terminate: 🂢 No opinion: [ ]
6.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO OCD APPROVING MARATHON OIL COMPANY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 2, WEST HALF OF SECTION 11, AND ALL OF SECTION 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E, FROM THE POOL AND FREEZING THE BOUNDARY OF THE POOL ALONG THE WESTERN SIDE OF THOSE SECTIONS AND THE SOUTHERN SIDE OF SECTION 13 AND 14 OF T-21-S, R-23-E?
	Yes: X No: [] Secattachelletter

PLEASE ATTACH ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU MAY HAVE.

Randonthatheran

MARTIN YATES, III 1912 - 1985 FRANK W. YATES 1936 - 1986



105 SOUTH FOURTH STREET ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 TELEPHONE (505) 748-1471

S. P. YATES CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD JOHN A. YATES PRESIDENT PEYTON YATES EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT RANDY G. PATTERSON SECRETARY DENNIS G. KINSEY TREASURER

September 1, 1995

Marathon Oil Company P. O. Box 552 Midland, Texas 79702-0552

Attention: Mr. Robert A. Biernbaum, Project Manager Indian Basin Asset Team

Re:

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Case 11333; Application of Marathon Oil Company for the Expansion of the Cemetery Morrow Gas Pool and the Contraction of the Indian Basin Morrow

Gas Pool

Eddy County, New Mexico and

Pool Rules for Indian Basin Morrow Gas

Pool in General

Dear Mr. Biernbaum,

In response to your letter dated August 11, 1995 and your Indian Basin Morrow Gas Pool questionnaire we would offer the following:

- Yates companies would not oppose an application to request drilling of additional wells within existing 640-acre spacing units. If it is Marathon's desire to drill on an effective 320-acre type spacing, then one additional well per 640-acre spacing unit would be appropriate.
- Yates companies would strongly oppose down-spacing from 640 acres to 320 acres, or any other smaller unit areas, of existing producing spacing units. We believe it has been a long standing yet unwritten policy of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division to not down-space producing spacing units and we concur with this philosophy. You referred to the problems which could be encountered from such down-spacing in the third paragraph of your August 11, 1995 letter, therefore we would oppose a downspacing of producing spacing units.
- Yates companies would not be opposed to freezing the boundary of the Indian Basin Morrow Gas Pool and doing future development on 320-acre spacing for any non-producing spacing units.

Marathon Oil Company

SEP 0 5 1995

Marathon Oil Company September 1, 1995

Page 2

In conclusion, Yates companies believe that the proper way to accomplish your goal is to 1) Freeze the boundary of the Indian Basin's Morrow Pool and retain 640-acre spacing only in that area, 2) Make application to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division to provide for one additional well on each 640-acre spacing unit within the Indian Basin Morrow Pool (making an effective 320-acre spacing), 3) Develop non-producing acreage on state 320-acre spacing.

Your questionnaire requested opinions on prorationing. It is our belief that prorationing of this field does not protect any correlative rights nor does it assist or enhance the development of the field. We therefore could support discontinuance of proradoning.

Please give us notice of any further hearing you may have on this subject.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Randy G. Patterson Land Manager

RGP/mw

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Michael Stogner - New Mexico Oil Conservation Division