STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING)	
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION)	
DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF)	
CONSIDERING:)	CASE NO. 11,371
)	
APPLICATION OF ANSON GAS)	
CORPORATION)	
)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

ORIGINAL

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner RECEIVED

SEP 7 1995

August 24th, 1995

Oil Conservation Division

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, August 24th, 1995, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

August 24th, 1995 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,371

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
AL SWANSON (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Catanach	4 9
<pre>HAL E. HAWTHORNE (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Catanach</pre>	11 15
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	17

EXHIBITS

* * *

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	6	9
Exhibit	2	7	9
Exhibit	3	7	9
Exhibit	4	8	9
Exhibit	5	8	9
Exhibit	6	13	15

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR & BERGE, P.A.
Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	1:00 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call the
4	hearing back to order and call Case 11,371, the Application
5	of AnSon Gas Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea
6	County, New Mexico.
7	Are there appearances in this case?
8	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
9	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr
10	and Berge.
11	We represent AnSon Gas Corporation in this
12	matter, and I have two witnesses.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: Will the witnesses please
14	stand to be sworn in?
15	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
16	<u>AL SWANSON</u> ,
17	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
18	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CARR:
21	Q. Will you state your full name and place of
22	residence?
23	A. My name is Al Swanson, and I reside in Roswell,
24	New Mexico.
25	Q. Mr. Swanson, by whom are you employed?

I am employed by J.O. Easley, Inc., Roswell, New 1 Α. 2 Mexico, representing AnSon in this case. And what is your position with J.O. Easley? 3 0. Α. I'm a landman. 4 Have you previously testified before this 5 Q. Division? 6 7 Α. Yes, I have. 8 At the time of that testimony, were your 9 credentials as a professional petroleum landman accepted and made a matter of record? 10 11 Yes, they were. Α. Are you familiar with the Application filed in 12 Q. this case? 13 Yes, I am. 14 15 And are you familiar with the status of the lands 16 in the subject area? 17 Α. Yes, I am. 18 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 19 acceptable? EXAMINER CATANACH: 20 They are. 21 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Swanson, would you briefly 22 state what AnSon seeks with this Application? 23 This Application seeks an order pooling all Α. minerals from the surface to the base of the Strawn, for 24

the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section

9, Township 17 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

And this is to be dedicated to what is now called our Schaap 9 Number 1 well, to be drilled at a standard location of 330 from the north line and 330 from the west line of the northwest quarter.

- Q. Have you prepared exhibits for presentation here today?
 - A. Yes, we have.

- Q. Let's refer to what has been marked AnSon Gas
 Corporation Exhibit Number 1. I'd ask you to identify this
 and then review it for Mr. Catanach.
- A. Okay, Exhibit Number 1 is a land map. Shaded in blue is the proration unit that we seek to establish. It also shows our location of our proposed well, and it also represents AnSon's percentage of working interest to date.
- Q. Attached to this exhibit is an application for permit to drill?
- A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - Q. And it has been approved by the Oil Conservation Division?
 - A. That is correct, it has.
- Q. What is the primary objective in the proposed well?
 - A. Our primary objective is the Strawn formation.

- Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2, and I'd ask you to refer to this exhibit and review the status of the ownership in the proposed spacing unit.
- A. Okay, Exhibit Number 2 is the mineral interest breakdown, the first part of that being all parties that are leased to AnSon that we have currently in hand, showing our leased acreage in percentages, next set showing all those parties that have leased to someone else other than AnSon, being William F. Smith, and the bottom part being all mineral owners that are unleased as of the date of this Application.
- Q. And what percentage of the working interest is voluntarily committed to the well at this time?
 - A. That will be 71.4464 percent.
- Q. Would you identify and review for Mr. Catanach
 AnSon Exhibit Number 3?
- A. Exhibit Number 3 is an AFE for the captioned well. The AFE shows that dryhole costs for this well will be \$459,000, with an additional \$295,500 for completion, making the total well cost of \$754,500.
- Q. Are these costs in line with what has been charged for other similar Strawn wells in the area?
- A. Yes, they are.

Q. Mr. Swanson, could you summarize the efforts you have made to obtain the voluntary joinder of all working

interest owners and mineral interest owners in this proposed spacing unit?

- A. Yes, we have, by letter prior to -- in our leasing activity, we did notify everybody that we had addresses for in writing, explaining to them that we would be pooling this. We also followed that up with company letters from AnSon directly, and then they were also followed up by letters that were sent out by Counsel's office.
- Q. Can you identify what has been marked AnSon Exhibit Number 4?
- A. Yes, I can. Exhibit Number 4 is copies of all correspondence to unleased mineral owners or other working interest parties, showing our offer to them to lease prior to pooling, and showing that they have responded either by signature of certified card or by letter response.
- Q. Is Exhibit Number 5 an affidavit confirming that notice of today's hearing has been provided to each of these interest owners, as required by OCD rule?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Have you made an estimate of the overhead and administrative costs to be incurred while drilling this well and also while producing it if in fact it is successful?
- A. Yes, we have. We have imposed a cost while

- drilling of \$6000 per month and while producing \$600 per month, and this coincides with other orders that we have done in the area, as well as coincides with *Ernst & Young* surveys that we have used on prior orders.
- Q. Are you recommending that these figures be incorporated into any order which results from this hearing?
 - A. Yes, we are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

21

22

- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared by you or compiled under your direction?
- 11 A. Yes, they were.
 - MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move the admission into evidence of AnSon Gas Corporation

 Exhibits 1 through 5.
- EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be admitted as evidence.
- MR. CARR: That concludes my direct examination of this witness.
- 19 EXAMINATION
- 20 BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
 - Q. Mr. Swanson, was the -- Your initial well proposal letter dated July 21st, was that actually the initial letter sent to these interest owners?
- A. No, sir, except for Texaco, we contacted

 everybody else by telephone, and at that time they told us

that they were either not interested in leasing or were willing to wait till a formal request was made by either AnSon or Counsel.

Q. What was the date of that telephone correspondence?

- A. There were various dates. This prospect has been going on for about a year prior to this, and we had been making contact all the way along in this prospect that we've been working on. There are various dates.
- Q. So for the most part, these interest owners had more than a month's notice to think about this?
- A. Yes, sir, we've been buying leases in this particular area for approximately a year and a half, so we have been communicating with them all along, all the way through this.
 - Q. What was the situation with Texaco?
- A. Texaco was notified, we were trying to obtain a lease from them, they wrote us back and said that they were unwilling to lease their interest. And then after discussions with Ron Lanning, the landman at Texaco, he informed me that they were wanting to be pooled and would make an election underneath the order.
 - Q. Do you anticipate signing anybody else up?
- A. At this time I do not see anybody that will lease. There is a possibility that the parties that are

1	shown as William F. Smith that is what is of record
2	they are going to be assigned to Maralo. Maralo has
3	indicated that they will participate in a well, but nothing
4	has been formally signed at this time.
5	Maralo was courteously sent a letter as well, to
6	show that we were proposing a well.
7	Q. Has AnSon drilled a Strawn test in this area
8	recently?
9	A. We have drilled several unsuccessful Strawn wells
10	in the area, yes.
11	Q. So your drilling costs are pretty much in line
12	with what
13	A. Yes, sir, we have been in pooling orders off and
14	on over the last year, and the costs are relatively close
15	on all of them.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: Nothing further.
17	MR. CARR: At this time we call Hal Hawthorne.
18	HAL E. HAWTHORNE,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CARR:
23	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
24	A. My name is Hal Hawthorne.
25	Q. Where do you reside?

Edmond, Oklahoma. Α. 1 By whom are you employed? 2 Q. 3 Α. AnSon Gas Corporation. And what is your current position with AnSon? 4 Q. 5 Geologist. Α. 6 Mr. Hawthorne, have you previously testified Q. before this Division? 7 8 Α. Yes, I have. 9 At the time of that testimony, were your 10 credentials as a petroleum geologist accepted and made a matter of record? 11 12 Yes, they were. 13 Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in this case? 14 15 Α. I am. 16 Are you familiar with the proposed well? Q. 17 Α. Yes, I am. MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 18 19 acceptable? 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes, they are. 21 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Have you prepared certain exhibits 22 for presentation here today? 23 Yes, I have. Α. 24 And are you prepared to make a recommendation to 25 the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be assessed against any nonconsenting interest owner in this spacing unit?

A. I am.

- Q. And what is the recommendation?
- A. The recommendation is the maximum 200-percent penalty.
- Q. Mr. Hawthorne, I'd ask you at this time to go to Anson Exhibit Number 6, and using this exhibit I would like to have you review for Mr. Catanach the risk associated with the development of this project.
- A. Okay, this exhibit is a four-section plat, highlighting Section 9, with the diminishing yellow squares being 160 acres down to our 40 acres with the little 10-acre box around our proposed Schaap well, which I refer to as the High Lonesome.

This is a porosity isopach which honors the well control to the west where I have some well control data.

As a matter of fact, AnSon, ourselves, last year drilled the Gipson dryhole to the west.

But this is a porosity map inferring from seismic the size of the algal mound feature we feel that sits in here in Section 9. And it is a two-mile stepout from commercial production from the Strawn, across a regional fault into an area that is a wildcat for Strawn production. And because we've had one unsuccessful well, we feel like

the riskiness of this venture merits the maximum penalty. 1 2 0. Do you believe there is a chance that at this location you could in fact drill a well that would not be a 3 commercial success? 4 That's correct. 5 Α. You say you call this well the High Lonesome. 6 Q. 7 Are you changing the name of the well? Yes, I believe we're trying to change the name. 8 Α. And you'll be filing appropriate forms to change 9 Q. it from the Schaap to the High Lonesome? 10 That's correct. 11 12 Q. Does AnSon seek to be designated operator of the 13 proposed well? 14 Yes, we do. Α. 15 In your opinion, will the granting of this 16 Application and the drilling of this well result in the 17 recovery of hydrocarbons that otherwise may be left in the ground? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Will approval of the Application also be in the 21 best interest of conservation and the protection of correlative rights? 22 Yes, I believe --23 Α.

Was Exhibit 6 prepared by you?

Yes, it was.

24

25

Q.

Α.

1 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move the admission into evidence of AnSon Exhibit Number 6. 2 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 6 will be admitted as evidence. 4 MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of 5 this witness. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 8 Mr. Hawthorne, what data did you use to map that 9 Q. 10 algal mound? 11 Α. We've shot some three-dimensional seismic in 12 here. The well to the west, the two wells -- Well, 13 Q. there's three wells in that -- in Section 8? 14 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Are those all dry holes in the Strawn? 17 Well, you see the Hood well that is an oil well Α. with a hached symbol through it. It was a -- It recovered 18 19 a slight show of oil from an algal mound over there. 20 just -- It proved to be tight. That was in the late 21 Eighties. 22 And the one west of that, the Gipson? Yes, sir, that's a well we drilled trying to 23 Α. offset the Hood well. We felt there was a larger feature 24

in there, and we attempted to offset the Hood well, and we

encountered 21 foot of porosity and basically tested salt 1 water from it. 2 And it was at that point that we shot a three-3 dimensional seismic survey and exposed this larger feature 4 to the east. 5 From what you know of these porosity mounds, is 6 it possible that if you encounter one of these mounds at 7 8 your proposed well site, is that likely to drain an area of greater than 40 acres? 9 Historically, in the play proper to the west, I 10 11 would say yes. It's spaced on 80 acres to the west. 12 This being a wildcat area, I've already got a 13 couple of wells that are showing some perm problems, and 14 I'm not totally sure as to ultimately if we establish commercial production, will it be supported on 80s or 40s? 15 I don't know until we establish commerciality. 16 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further. 18 MR. CARR: That concludes our presentation in 19 this case. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further, Case 11,371 will be taken under advisement. 21 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 1:15 p.m.) 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
, ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL August 30th, 1995.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 137

neard by me on

Examiner

Oil Conservation Division