

## ATTORNEYS AT LAW

506 WEST ARRINGTON • P. O. DRAWER 1259 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87499

SCOTT M. CURTIS JOHN A. DEAN, JR.

OFF.: (505) 327-6031 FAX: (505) 327-6034

October 11 1996

Rand Carroll
Attorney at Law
Roger Anderson
Environmental Bureau Chief
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: Case 11604 Application of Sunco 7

Dear Rand and Roger:

Sunco Trucking Water Disposal received a Not 1996. On behalf of Sunco, by letter dated May 2 Violation and Civil Penalty. That hearing has bee scheduled for October 17, 1996.

Sunco proposes to enter into an agreement with environmental education program. The terms of the division. The performance of this program v the notice of violation.

We foresee that the environmental program wou industry and other sources of waste that are req

facility. We would anticipate that this program would want to have as part of the program a speaker from the Oil Conservation Division to present the regulatory and enforcement aspect of waste disposal and other topics as may be agreed upon. The technical assistance of the Division is also requested by

Sunco.

Who want of Spendig = 
Air manifely, Haz. Marke ?

also lake at if

hiring a consultant

Such - Philip of

expering from to

Facilities and put topphor

The program would cover the types and sources of wastes that are regulated, the handling of the waste, and the proper disposal of the waste. Sunco anticipates developing a pictorial aspect of the program to illustrate the subject matter. A hard copy of the subject matter is another component that Sunco is willing to develop which attendees would be provided to use as a reference. This handout is where Sunco would particularly see the need for the technical assistance of the division. Other parts may be added as the plan for the program is fully developed and agreed to.

Sunco would anticipate that the effort, in terms of time, materials, and money, it will put into the presentation of such a program will exceed the proposed fine.

Sunco may also wish to put of record in this cause of action a written account of the violation from it's perspective prior to the time that an agreement incorporating the proposed environmental program is approved by the division. This written response would be a narrative of the evidence that we would present at a hearing and would serve to memorialize our position in this case.

If such a proposal is of interest to you, Sunco proposes that Case Number 11604, the notice of violation, be continued for a period of one hundred and twenty days while the program is agreed to.

I would appreciate your input on this proposal at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely:

John A. Dean, Jr.

yea Iv

JAD\jv

xc: client

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe. New Mexico 87505 (505) 827-7131

## September 30, 1996

John A. Dean, Jr., Esq. Curtis & Dean P.O. Drawer 1259 Farmington, NM 87499 
 To Developet.
 From Co./Dept.

 Co./Dept.
 Co.

 Phone #
 Pax #

RE: OCD Cases 11604 and 11518 (Sunco)

Dear Mr. Dean:

Case 11604 concerning the fine has been continued to the October 17 hearing. According to your letter, Mr. Coleman is available on that date.

Case 11518 concerning the landfarm permit is also set for that date but Roger Anderson has indicated to me that he wants to continue that case to a hearing that will be held in Farmington which will also include the Envirotech landfarm application. If you have an objection to that continuance, please let us know.

If you have any questions, please call me at 505/827-8156 or Roger at 505/827-7152.

Rand Carroll Legal Counsel

cc: Roger Anderson, OCD

## **CURTIS & DEAN**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

506 WEST ARRINGTON • P. O. DRAWER 1259 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87499

SCOTT M. CURTIS JOHN A. DEAN, JR.

OFF.: (505) 327-6031 FAX: (505) 327-6034

September 25, 1996

Rand Carroll Attorney at Law 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

VIA TELEFAX

RE: Case 11604 Application of Sunco Trucking

11518

Dear Mr. Carroll:

Than you for continuing this matter from September 26, 1996 due to the death in Mr. Colemans family. I received you note that it would be reset for October 3, 1996.

I have a prior setting in a guardianship case entitled Paul and Anita Russell vs Diane Wilson and Johnson Russell, DM-411-1, for October 3, 1996 at 11:30. This is a review hearing that has been set just prior to the expiration of the guardianship. In addition Mr. Coleman will be out of town the following days in October. The 2nd through the 5th; the 18th through the 28th; and the 31st.

Your consideration in resetting this matter would be greatly appreciated.

I also believe that Sunco's application for a landfarm permit is also scheduled in October but I do not have a copy of the docket and do not see it on the OCD internet page.

Sincerely:

John A. Dean, Jr.

JAD\jv

xc: client