STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11,612

IN THE MATTER OF CASE NO. 11,612 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-10,679-A, WHICH ORDER PROMULGATED TEMPORARY SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS IN THE EAST STALLION-DEVONIAN POOL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING A PROVISION FOR 80-ACRE SPACING

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

March 19th, 1998 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 19th, 1998, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

I N D E X

March 19th, 1998 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,612

Examiner Hearing	
CASE NO. 11,612	
	PAGE
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<u>CARL W. BROWN</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	6
	15
Examination by Examiner Stogner	15
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	19
* * *	

E	Х	Н	~	В	т.	Т	S
r.	А.	п		ъ.	ı	.1.	

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	7	15
Exhibit 2	8	15
Exhibit 3	9	15
Exhibit 4	10	15
Exhibit 5	10	15
Exhibit 6	11	15
Exhibit 7	12	15
Exhibit 8	13	15

* * *

Additional submissions by Fasken, not identified, offered or admitted:

Identified

Nunc pro tunc Order, Nomenclature
Case No. 11,612, Order No. R-10,679-A 6

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR FASKEN OIL AND RANCH, LIMITED:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
117 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265
By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:12 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: I quess at this time we're 3 ready to go on the third page, down at the bottom, and call 4 5 Case Number 11,612. MR. CARROLL: In the matter of Case Number 11,612 6 7 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Division Order Number R-10,679-A, which order promulgated temporary 8 special rules and regulations in the East Stallion-Devonian 9 Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances. 11 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of 12 the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing 13 on behalf of Fasken Oil and Ranch, Limited. I have one 14 witness to be sworn. 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: No objecting parties? 16 17 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. EXAMINER STOGNER: Will the witness please stand 18 to be sworn? 19 20 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, this is a one-well 21 Devonian oil pool. 22 Mr. Brown and I presented this case to Examiner 23 Catanach back in October of 1996. The request was to seek 24 an 80-acre oil spacing for this discovery well. It was 25

approved by Order Number R-10,679-A. I've given you a copy of the order.

Mr. Brown is back before you again this morning to ask that these rules now be made permanent.

As part of his presentation, we're going to summarize what the technical position was back in 1976, demonstrate for you what Mr. Brown has concluded concerning the productivity of the single well, and then ask you to approve these special pool rules on a permanent basis, based upon his conclusions.

With that introduction, Mr. Stogner, we will ask Mr. Brown to testify.

CARL W. BROWN,

the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q. For the record, sir, would you please state your name and occupation?
- A. My name is Carl W. Brown. I'm a petroleum engineer.
- Q. Mr. Brown, on prior occasions and in the previous hearing of this case, you qualified and testified as a reservoir engineer, did you not?
 - A. That's correct.

And pursuant to your employment by Fasken, you Q. 1 have continued to study the reservoir engineering data that 2 surrounds this well? 3 Yes, I have. Α. 4 You reside in Midland, Texas, do you not, sir? 5 Q. That's correct. 6 Α. The presentation we're going to make today 7 Q. represents your work product and your conclusions and 8 opinions? 9 Yes, it does. Α. 10 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Brown as an expert 11 witness. 12 Mr. Brown is so qualified. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: 14 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Brown, let me have you turn, sir, and let's look at Exhibit 1 -- it's a locator map --15 16 and identify for the Division the subject well that we're 17 talking about this morning. Α. The subject well is the Fasken-operated Grande 18 Number 1, located in Section 3 of Township 13 South, Range 19 20 38 East. Identify for us the other Devonian pools that you 21 Q. have located on the map. 22 To the west -- or the east, is a Bronco-Siluro-23 Devonian Pool. 24

And to the -- about three miles west, is the

8 Bronco West-Devonian and the Stallion-Devonian field. 1 And up to the north and east -- north and west, 2 is the Gladiola-Devonian. 3 Okay. Let's set the locator map aside, and we'll 4 come back to it again in a moment. 5 6 At the prior hearing, we submitted to the Division what is again marked as Exhibit 2. Would you 7 identify that for us? 8 9 Α. This is a type log, a compensated neutron, lithodensity log, showing the completion interval as 12,210 feet 10 to 12,218 feet, perforated interval. 11 12 0. Let's turn to the structure map, now, Mr. Brown. This is also a reproduction of the same structure map that 13 was presented to the Division back in 1996? 14 15 Α. That's right, it is a structure map developed from three-dimensional seismic data by our in-house 16 geologist, David Brown. 17 18 Q. Mr. Brown, in all reasonable probability, is this going to be a one-well pool? 19 Yes, I believe it is. 20 Α. 21 Give us a summary of the characteristics of this Q. reservoir. 22 At the testimony originally in 1996, we presented 23 the information about -- from the drill stem test 24

permeability in the zone, is around 236 millidarcy perm.

The radius of investigation of the pressure buildup data on the drill stem test was calculated to be 1400 feet, which is a 143-acre circle.

And the productivity index at the time was -with the drill stem test, it was excellent. We have -since have production established with approximately 200 or
almost 200 barrels a day of total fluid production, with
only 200 p.s.i. drawdown, indicating excellent productivity
in the reservoir, and capable of draining beyond the 80acre spacing.

- Q. What type of reservoir is this?
- A. This is a carbonate water drive reservoir.
- Q. When we look at Exhibit 3, for purposes of your engineering work, have you made an assessment of where the oil-water contact might be?
- A. We have estimated the oil-water contact very near to minus 8450 subsea contour, which is the outermost contour that encloses the whole feature.

The mud log and electric log shows indicate oilwater contact was at -- below the 8450 level, and I've included that in my volumetric analysis.

- Q. Have you made an assessment of what you estimate to be the original oil in place within the feature above the minus 4850 (sic) contour lines?
 - A. I did make an estimate of the ultimate recovery,

based on the recovery factor.

- Q. Okay, and what was that estimate?
- A. It would be 331,000 barrels, assuming a 5-percent porosity, a 40-percent water saturation and a 35-percent recovery factor, typical of some water drives. If the oilwater contact was 8450, then we conceivably could produce 331,000 barrels, ultimately.
- Q. You show that summary calculation on your Exhibit Number 4?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Let's turn now to the production information on the specific well involved. If you'll turn to Exhibit 5, identify and describe that display.
- A. Exhibit Number 5 is a production plot of a monthly oil and water and gas rate versus time. And the oil rate in -- monthly oil rate is in green.

And I've also established a production forecast, a hyperbolic forecast, that starts from an initial decline rate of 45 percent per year and ending at a 15 percent per year.

This forecasted decline results in an estimated ultimate recovery for these current conditions of 71,000 barrels of oil a day -- of ultimate recovery.

Q. What's your engineering basis for concluding that the decline curve for this well is going to take this

hyperbolic shape that you've shown on Exhibit 5?

- A. It's by analogy from the Bronco West-Devonian and the Stallion West-Devonian.
- Q. Okay. Before we leave Exhibit 5, would you come back and explain to us what has occurred with this wellbore in 1997 to explain the dramatic change in production during that period of time?
- A. Okay, initially the well was flowing. In 1996 we did begin cutting water, and it wouldn't flow any longer. We had indication we might have some behind-pipe channeling and did some remedial squeeze work. I think that's a minimal contribution of our water now, but we did put it on a rod pump in early 1997.
- Q. So that change in production is not a reflection of the productivity of the ell, it's simply attributed to other issues.
 - A. Yes, it's a production method.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about your analogy. If you'll turn to your Exhibit Number 6, identify and describe what we're looking at here.
- A. Exhibit Number 6 is a water-oil ratio-versus-cumulative-oil plot, and I've extrapolated to approximately 70,000 barrels of ultimate recovery along this trend currently, which agrees with the decline forecast.
 - Q. Do you have plans, then, to increase the pump

capacity of this well and to also obtain additional water disposal facilities in order to move more fluids through this wellbore?

- A. Yes, we wanted to see how the well produced under the initial pumping conditions at the -- We have a pumping fluid level around 2000 feet from the surface, and we can increase our total fluid production, but we do need an additional disposal and reduce our disposal costs, before we do that.
- Q. All right. Let's look at your two analogies now.

 If you'll turn to Exhibit 7, before we discuss that

 specific display identify for us the well, and then let's

 go back to Exhibit 1 and locate for the Examiner where that

 well is.
- A. Okay, Exhibit 7 is a production-rate-versus-time plot of the Stallion-Devonian field. It's a one-well field. That's located to the west, approximately three miles from our Grande Number 1.
 - Q. That's a well that Fasken operates?
- A. No, it's not. It's operated by Fagadau Energy Corporation.
- Q. Okay. Give us the conclusions, then, from Exhibit 7 as we look at the decline curve for this well.
- A. Well, initially it was producing at a high oil cut and at a steeper decline. And then as the well has

matured, water production in a water-drive reservoir similar to -- in Devonian, it's flattening from 40-percent decline to a 14-percent decline later in life.

- Q. The Stallion-Devonian Pool exhibits similar reservoir characteristics as the subject pool that we're in now?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And the well producing in the Stallion

 Pool west of the subject well exhibits this hyperbolic

 decline where after a few years the 40-percent decline rate

 changes, and, as shown on this display, it averages about

 14 percent?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What accounts for that?
- A. Whether a reservoir is a natural water drive or being water-flooded, the more permeable rock provides earliest and highest oil rate production, as well as the earliest water breakthrough.

And then through time, the lower-permeability zones will provide a greater portion of the total oil-production rate, and that results in a flattening of the curve over time.

Q. All right, let's look at your last analogy. If you'll turn to Exhibit 8, again identify the well for us and then show where the well is located on Exhibit 1.

Okay, this is a production-rate-versus-time plot A. 1 2 of oil, water and gas of the Bronco West-Devonian Pool, which is a four-well summary. 3 It does indicate also a steep 18-percent 4 5 production rate decline early in its life and flattening to a five-percent decline --6 7 Does Fasken operate any of the wells in this Q. 8 pool? 9 No, we do not. Α. Summarize for us, then, what you see from an 10 Q. engineering perspective concerning the summary of the four 11 wells and their decline curve. 12 Well, I believe this is indicative of water-drive 13 reservoirs and how they will perform in a hyperbolic 14 decline fashion. 15 So based on those analogies from the pools to the 16 17 west, you've come back to your Exhibit 5, and you have forecast the same type of hyperbolic decline for the 18 subject well? 19 20 Α. Yes, I have. Do you have an opinion at this point as to 21 Q. 22 whether these rules should be made permanent and thereby adopting 80-acre spacing for the production from this well? 23 Yes, I believe the 80-acre spacing should be made 24

25

permanent.

I believe the productive capacity of the well and 1 the permeability and the area it can drain is beyond 80 2 3 acres and can be drained efficiently and effectively. Do you see any useful purpose to have spacing 4 5 reduced to 40 acres and therefore require -- or at least afford the opportunity for another well in this little 6 reservoir? 7 No, I do not. 8 Α. That would be wasteful in your opinion? 9 Q. In my opinion, it would be wasteful. 10 Α. 11 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination of 12 Mr. Brown, Mr. Stogner. We move the introduction of his Exhibits 1 13 through 8. 14 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 8 will be admitted into evidence at this time. 16 17 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 18 In referring back to Exhibit Number 5, now, you 19 ο. refer to a remedial squeeze work that was done to it. 20 21 was the pump actually put on line? In June or --I believe that's probably around May or -- April 22 Α. 23 or May. It's where the production rate, water and oil, 24 25 increase dramatically there in approximately May, 1997.

1	Q. When you were doing your research for your
2	Exhibits 8 and 7, were those wells or any of those wells
3	Did they have a similar show initially? Did they flow, or
4	did they put the pump on them already.
5	A. I believe I do not know for sure on the Bronco
6	west.
7	I think the evidence shows that the and I'm
8	assuming the Stallion-Devonian field, one-well field,
9	flowed originally for a few at least a year or so.
10	Q. Was that prior to 1986, or is that included in
11	that?
12	A. I would think it would have it may have flowed
13	up until the water started increasing there, and I'm sure
14	they had to put it on artificial lift eventually there.
15	Q. Is that one well and I'm assuming Let me
16	make sure that I've got the right well, per your Exhibit
17	Number 5.
18	That's the one in the northwest quarter,
19	northwest quarter, Section 7?
20	A. Not Exhibit 5, that Exhibit 5 is the well in
21	question, Stallion East.
22	Exhibit 7 is the one you're referring to.
23	Q. Exhibit 7. And that is the right well; is that
24	correct?
25	A. Yes, in Section 7.

Is that reservoir similar to yours? Okay. 1 Q. It's similar in the way it produces as a Devonian 2 Α. carbonate water drive reservoir, although it is much more 3 prolific. 4 Does it have the same properties as far as 5 Q. permeability and porosity, or were you able to study that? 6 I have not studied that, I do not know. But it 7 Α. exhibits approximately 500,000 barrels of ultimate recovery 8 or better. 9 And what's the gas-oil ratio on your particular Q. 10 well at this time? 11 Oh, it's --12 A. 13 Roughly. Q. -- very minimal. We're looking at, oh, 150 MCF 14 A. of gas and around 1200 or 1400 barrels of oil, so that's 15 maybe a .3, or 300 to 1. 16 So you're well within your gas-oil ratio 17 Q. limitation? 18 Yes, sir. 19 A. 20 Q. Of about what? A thousand MCF a day, is your casinghead gas allowable? 21 Yes, I think we're producing only about 7 or so. 22 A. EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other questions of 23 24 Mr. Brown. 25 You may be excused.

1	Anything further, Mr. Kellahin?
2	MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: If there's nothing further in
4	Case Number 11,612, then this matter will be taken under
5	advisement.
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
7	10:30 a.m.)
8	* * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	i do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
14	the Examiner bearing of Case No. 116/2 Cheofined) heard by my on 18/18 1998.
15	, Examiner
16	OH Conservation Division
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 22nd, 1998.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998