		Page 1
NEW	MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
	EXAMINER HEARING	
	SANTA FE , NEW MEXICO	
	FEBRUARY 20, 1997	Time: 8:15 A.M.
	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
/	Bar Lose Cum Harry Court	Arteris
	MANDER O ON COMP	Presine 11
		,
	Samphel Jan Forge Juhn	South the
re	Bass Enterprises	Fund Worth
-	Hell De Billaki	in the
	hes Modelling	Midland
	PENWEIL ENERGY INC	MIT LAND.
L	KCS Medallion Des. Iac.	Tulsa
•		SE
	Bass Enterprises	F. Worth

Hearing Date
NAME
Crue / 2/ woll
ENICK Diffee
Mike Brown Sellain Fan.
1
David Bledsoe Cany A. Mis
Killeli
Bill Sinn the
The Thomas Mark Wheeler
ick Deftenbaugh
fin orne
Tim Druce Wayne Bailey
~ 1

Terry Payne

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

MAR 6 1997

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11,725

) OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF MANZANO OIL CORPORATION)
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND AN UNORTHODOX)
OIL WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY,)
NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

February 20th, 1997

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 20th, 1997, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

February 20th, 1997 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,725

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
ENICK DIFFEE (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4
Examination by Examiner Catanach	13
MIKE BROWN (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	16
Examination by Examiner Catanach	22
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	26

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	7 8	13 13
Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	10	13
Exhibit 5 Exhibit 6	12 17	13 22
Exhibit 7 Exhibit 8 Exhibit 9	18 19 20	22 22 22

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE and SHERIDAN, P.A. Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:20 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, at this time we'll
4	call Case 11,725.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of Manzano Oil
6	Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox oil
7	well location, Lea County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for appearances.
9	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
LO	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr,
L1	Berge and Sheridan. We represent Manzano Oil Corporation,
L2	and I have two witnesses.
L3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for additional
L 4	appearances.
L5	There being none, will the witnesses please stand
L6	to be sworn in?
L7	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
L8	ENICK DIFFEE,
.9	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CARR:
23	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
24	A. Yes, my name is Enick Diffee. Let me help you
25	with the spelling of that, please. The first name is

1 Enick, spelled E-n-i-c-k. Last name Diffee, D-i-f-f-e-e. And where do you reside? 2 Q. Roswell, New Mexico. 3 Α. 4 Q. By whom are you employed? 5 Α. I'm an independent petroleum landman, and I work 6 for Manzano Oil Corporation on a contract basis. 7 Q. Have you previously testified before this Division? 8 9 No, I have not. 10 Could you summarize your educational background for Mr. Catanach? 11 Yes, I have a bachelor's in business 12 A. administration. I am a certified professional landman. 13 Again, that requires ongoing educational seminars to, 14 again, maintain my certification, and for recertification 15 purposes. 16 17 Q. How long have you been a certified petroleum landman? 18 19 A. Sixteen years. Does your work involve properties in southeastern 20 Q. New Mexico? 21 Yes, it does. 22 A. Are you familiar with the Application filed in 23 Q. this case on behalf of Manzano Oil Corporation? 24

25

A.

Yes, I am.

Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands 1 in the subject area? 2 Α. 3 Yes. MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Diffee as an expert 4 5 witness in petroleum land matters. 6 EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified. 7 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Diffee, could you briefly 8 summarize what Manzano Oil Corporation seeks with this Application? 9 Yes, we are seeking pooling from the surface to 10 11 the base of the Strawn formation, underlying the spacing and proration units in Section 2 of Township 16 South, 12 Range 36 East, and as to the south half of the southeast 13 quarter of Section 2 for all formations spaced on 80-acre 14 spacing, which --15 What are the 80-acre spaced pools in this area? 16 0. 17 That would include the Undesignated North Α. Lovington-Wolfcamp Pool and also the Undesignated Northeast 18 19 Lovington-Pennsylvanian Pool. 20 Q. If you drill a well in a formation that's developed on 40 acres, what acreage would be dedicated 21 22 there? 23 That would be the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter. 24 25 Q. Ad what is the name of the well you propose to

drill?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

20

- A. The "SV" Killerbee Number 1.
 - Q. And where will it be located?
- A. It will be at an unorthodox location 487 feet from the south line and 1270 feet from the east line, being Unit O of Section 2.
 - Q. Have you prepared exhibits for presentation here today?
 - A. Yes, we have
- Q. Would you refer to what has been marked for identification as Manzano Exhibit Number 1, identify that and review it for the Examiner?
 - A. What you have before you is just simply a mineral ownership plat, prepared by Midland Map Company, and highlighted would be the proposed proration unit, being 80 acres, the south half, southeast, of Section 2. And also indicated on the map is the proposed well location.
- Q. This well is unorthodox by being too close to the south line; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And who is the offsetting operator to the south?
- 22 A. Manzano Oil Corporation.
- Q. What is the status of the land in the spacing unit?
- 25 A. It's fee acreage.

1	Q. And what is the primary objective in this
2	proposed well?
3	A. It would be the Strawn formation, at
4	approximately 11,800 feet.
5	Q. Let's go to what has been marked as Manzano
6	Exhibit Number 2. Would you identify this, please?
7	A. Yes, we have a mineral leasehold takeoff covering
8	the south half, southeast, of Section 2. We've made
9	notice, or just a note, on the leasehold takeoff that the
10	subject lands are within the Schenck-Lovington subdivision.
11	Q. And of the 80 acres, what percentage of the
12	acreage has been committed to the spacing unit voluntarily?
13	A. Voluntarily, 90.05 percent.
14	Q. And of the other 9.95 percent, are you still
15	working with some of those interest owners?
16	A. It's an ongoing process. Yes, we are.
17	Q. Let's go to the second page of that exhibit, and
18	could you just identify what the pages immediately behind
19	the cover sheet show?
20	A. Yes, again we begin with just various owners. As
21	you can see, Manzano Oil Corporation has a substantial
22	leasehold in this 80-acre tract of land, and again, there
23	are a number of individuals that we have identified and
24	have had some contact with, but we presently do not have an

oil and gas lease from.

- Q. And the last page of this exhibit, what is that?
- A. If you're referring to the plat being the Schenck-Lovington addition, this again was just something that we felt would assist you in better understanding our land position.

Again, in 19 and 30 this 80-acre tract of land was subdivided into town lots, and as you can see, there are a number of lots which total 768 town lots, most of these lots being approximately 25 feet by 135 feet.

- Q. And this was done when?
- A. In 19 and 30 is when it was subdivided, and the minerals were retained by various owners.
- Q. Okay, and so your job has been to go out and try and try and voluntarily find the heirs of these people and get this all voluntarily committed?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And who's going to actually be subject to pooling in this case? Everyone -- all the interests shown as currently unleased on Exhibit 2?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you get other interest owners voluntarily committed to the well, will you advise the Division of that fact so they're not subject to pooling?
 - A. Yes, we will.
- Q. Generally, what efforts have you made to locate

these -- Have you been unable to locate some of these owners?

A. In some cases we have.

- Q. And what efforts have you made to locate all individuals in this 80-acre tract?
- A. Well, of course we first of all relied upon all of the records contained within Lea County, New Mexico, being both in the county records and as well as within the district court office. We've made inquiries through just the tax assessor's office in Lea County, depending greatly upon the tax roles. We've contacted people within the town site of Lovington. We've tried to locate probate files. We feel like we've done a very extensive search as to the records to be able to identify and then also locate these people.
- Q. How many owners, approximately, still would be subject to pooling?
 - A. Thirty-two.
 - Q. And have you located some of those individuals?
- A. Yes, we have. Out of the 32 individuals that are presently unleased, we have negotiated verbal trades to acquire leases from 19 of those 32 individuals.
- Q. Can you identify for me what has been marked as Manzano Exhibit Number 3?
 - A. Yes, this is the authority for expenditure.

1	Q. Would you review the totals shown on that
2	exhibit?
3	A. Yes, the dryhole costs would be at \$481,500.
4	Completion costs were \$253,600, for a total cost of
5	\$735,100.
6	A. And are these costs in line with what's been
7	charged by other operators for similar wells in the area?
8	A. Yes, they are.
9	Q. Mr. Diffee, let's go to what has been marked
10	Exhibit Number 4. Would you advise Mr. Catanach when you
11	first proposed this well to the owners you could locate?
12	A. Yes, we have just an example of letters that we
13	mailed, dated March the 28th of 1996. This one particular
14	letter is the exhibit is again referencing Mr. and Mrs.
15	Robert S. Leonard. Again, this is just representative of
16	the letter that we have sent to them.
17	In this letter we explain very thoroughly what we
18	were attempting to do as to acquiring oil and gas leases on
19	the numerous town lots. And also, at the same time, we
20	extended an offer to acquire an oil and gas lease.
21	Q. And have you made a good-faith effort to identify
22	and obtain the voluntary participation of the owners of all
23	768 town lots in this tract?
24	A. We feel that we have.
25	Q. What have you offered these people?

We've offered them either an opportunity to 1 Α. participate in the drilling of a well or to lease their 2 mineral interests to us. 3 Q. Is Exhibit Number 5 a copy of an affidavit confirming that notice of today's hearing has been provided 5 to those owners subject to a pooling required by OCD rules? 6 7 A. Yes. Q. Has Manzano made an estimate of the overhead and 8 9 administrative costs to be incurred while drilling this 10 well and also producing it, if it is successful? 11 A. Yes, they have. For a drilling rate it would be \$5000 per month and \$500 per month for producing rates. 12 And what is the basis of these figures? 13 Q. 14 A. Obtained from the Ernst and Young survey. 15 Are these the same figures that were approved by an order of this Division on the 17th of this month for the 16 17 Chipshot Number 1 well? 18 A. Yes, they are. 19 Q. And that's a well in the offsetting section? Correct. 20 A. 21 Q. Do you recommend that these figures be 22 incorporated into the order that results from today's 23 hearing? 24 A. Yes, we do.

Does Manzano seek to be designated operator of

25

Q.

1	the proposed well?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared by you
4	or compiled at your direction?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we would
7	move the admission into evidence of Manzano Oil Corporation
8	Exhibits 1 through 5.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be
10	admitted as evidence.
11	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
12	examination of Mr. Diffee.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
15	Q. Mr. Diffee, you said that you've got 32 unleased
16	owners; is that correct?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. At this point?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And these are listed on your Exhibit Number 2
21	A. Yes, they are.
22	Q as unleased? Okay.
23	Out of those unleased owners, you say that you
24	verbally you got a verbal commitment from 19?
25	A. We have verbal trades with 19. Those oil and gas

1 leases have been mailed out to them, they've been mailed out within the last two to three weeks. We continued to 2 stay in close contact with those individuals, answering 3 questions that they might have when they phone us. We anticipate those leases coming in over the 5 6 next several days, or even a few weeks. 7 Can you identify for me the interest owners that were not locatable? 8 9 A. Let me refer to my file just one second so I can be exact for you. 10 11 Okay, on page 2 we'd begin with Joseph and Allan 12 Again on page 2, Russell A. Braun. Also page 2, 13 Beverly Powers, et al. 14 Then on page 3, Louise M. -- the spelling of the last name is A-u-g-e-r-e-a-u. The estate of E.D. Baring-15 16 Gould, again trying to locate their heirs. 17 Page 4 would be Lucy P. Mitchell. Also on page 18 4, Church of Four Square Gospel. Lloyd B. Hill. Also on page 4, the estates of Charles and Hilda St. Martin. 19 20 4, Charles Aniser, spelled A-n-i-s-e-r. 21 And I believe that's it. 22 Q. And these are the last known addresses for these 23 people? 24 Α. Yes, they are. They were obtained from the most

current listing of the Lea County tax rolls.

1 individuals also own the surface of these town lots, and so again we've relied upon the tax rolls in Lea County as to 2 the last known address, of which the Lea County Assessor's 3 Office sends their tax notices to these addresses. 5 So you've accounted for 100 percent of the Q. interest owners within the --6 7 A. Yes, we have. -- proration unit? Okay. 8 Q. Mr. Diffee, who is Omni Oil Properties? 9 10 A. Omni Oil Properties os a sole proprietorship, and 11 I'm the sole owner. 12 Q. And you're just employed by Manzano to do --13 On a contract basis, yes. A. 14 These well costs are ion line with wells Q. Okay. 15 that Manzano has recently drilled in this area? 16 A. That's correct, they've drilled two wells just within the last year. 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I have nothing further 18 of this witness. 19 20 Mr. Carr, we did notice there is a mistake in the advertisement of this case. The 40-acre unit sought to be 21 22 pooled by the advertisement says the southwest of the southeast It's actually southeast southeast. 23 24 MR. CARR: Right. 25 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

1	EXAMINER CATANACH: We're probably going to have
2	to readvertise the case for the 20th of March, continue and
3	readvertise it to correct that mistake.
4	MR. CARR: Okay.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you for your time.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you.
7	MR. CARR: At this time we would call Mike Brown.
8	MIKE BROWN,
9	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
10	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. CARR:
13	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
14	A. Mike Brown.
15	Q. Where do you reside?
16	A. Roswell, New Mexico.
17	Q. By whom are you employed?
18	A. I'm employed by Manzano Oil Corporation.
19	Q. Mr. Brown, what is your current position with
20	Manzano?
21	A. I'm a geologist.
22	Q. Have you previously testified before this
23	Division?
24	A. Yes, I have.
25	Q. At the time of that testimony, were your

credentials as an expert in petroleum geology accepted and 1 made a matter of record? 2 They were. 3 Are you familiar with the Application filed in 4 Q. 5 this case on behalf of Manzano Oil Corporation? 6 A. I am. 7 Have you made a geological study of the subject Q. area? 8 Yes, I have. 9 A. 10 Are you prepared to review the results of that Q. work with the Examiner here today? 11 12 A. Yes, I am. MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 13 14 acceptable? 15 EXAMINER CATANACH: They are. 16 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Brown, have you prepared Q. 17 exhibits for presentation here? I have. 18 A. 19 Let's go to what has been marked Manzano Exhibit 20 Number 6. I'd ask you to identify this and review it for Mr. Catanach. 21 22 This is a land plat of the Lovington area, in A. 23 particular, Township 16 South, R 36 East. What I've shown on this exhibit in the 24 25 fluorescent orange dot is the location of the Manzano Oil

"SV" Killerbee Number 1, located 487 feet from the south line and 1270 feet from the east line. I've shown in red the approximate locations of regular locations within the 80-acre proration unit that I'm showing in fluorescent orange -- or fluorescent yellow.

I've also located the proration units and locations of the other Manzano wells that have been drilled in the past year, the Chipshot Number 1 and the Double Eagle Number 1, and also the location of the Chipshot Number 2, which is currently drilling.

- Q. And this shows the trace for the two seismic sections or lines that you're going to show?
- A. Yes, I'm going to show two seismic lines, A-A', which is an east-west seismic line that runs through the Killerbee location, and then I'll show the B-B' which runs through the two regular locations and also the proposed location.
- Q. All right, let's go to Exhibit Number 7, your seismic line A-A'. Would you review that for Mr. Catanach?
- A. Yes, this is a west-to-east seismic line that runs through our proposed location, which I've shown in red. I've shown the regular location, which is projected onto this line. It would be to the north about, you know, 30 feet, but would essentially be projected into this spot, and it's shown in light blue.

I've noted the Strawn peak horizon with the symbol "STN", and I've noted our amplitude anomaly that we're chasing in the fluorescent yellow.

If you'll look at the Killerbee location, we have the classic signature for a Strawn porosity mound; we have a two-peak signature.

As you move to the east, into the regular location, you'll see that it's a tight -- it's shown as tight Strawn. A big complication here, that we haven't had in past wells, is that we have a deep-seated fault, that's actually a Devonian fault that runs through the Strawn, and you see it cuts the Strawn, just west of a regular location, or just due east of the proposed location.

Q. Let's go to B-B.

A. B-B' is essentially a west-to-east cross-section. It runs through the two regular locations that could be proposed on this 80-acre tract and also the proposed location. Once again, I've shown the proposed location in red, and showing the nice Strawn amplitude feature.

The regular location to the east is on the wrong side of the fault. And it also shows to be tight and unproductive.

As we move west, towards the western regular location, it's quite obvious that we move downstructure considerably. So we feel very strongly that the proposed

location is as far east as we can go and be comfortable.

We're less than 300 feet from the projected fault, and to

move west into the other 40-acre tract we'd be losing

structure.

- Q. So this is the best possible location in the Strawn on this spacing unit?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. All right. Let's go to your next exhibit,
 Exhibit Number 9, your isopach, and have you review that
 for Mr. Catanach.
- A. Exhibit 9 is the map view of the 3-D survey that we took, but I've shown the outline of the amplitude anomaly with a light blue. The best part of the amplitude anomaly is shown in green. And then I've shown the fault, essentially how it runs through this 80-acre tract, in red.

Now, as you see, the proposed location is very close to the fault, and it's as far east as we can get and stay within the best part of the Strawn. The regular location to the east is on the wrong side of the fault. The western location, as we noted before, has -- would probably have decent Strawn development but will be downstructure.

Q. Are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner concerning the risk associated with the drilling of this well?

1 A. Yes, I am.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

- Q. And what do you recommend?
- A. I recommend the 200-percent penalty.
 - Q. And what do you base that on?
 - A. This Strawn feature here are very -- they're very small, and they're very difficult from a stratigraphic standpoint. There's extreme stratigraphic risk.

And then here, this location, we also have a major structural risk, not only with the fault, but also we are regionally structurally low to some wet Strawn wells. Each individual pod will have its own oil/water contact, and until we put a well, we really don't know what the fluids will be in this particular pod.

- Q. In your opinion, could you drill a well at this location that would not be a commercial success?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Do you believe that drilling a drill at the proposed location to test the Strawn is, however, in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
- 21 | A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Were Exhibits 6, 7, 8 and 9 prepared by you?
- A. Yes, they were.
 - MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we would move the admission into evidence of Exhibits 6, 7, 8 and 9.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 6, 7, 8 and 9 will 1 be admitted as evidence. 2 MR. CARR: An that concludes my direct 3 4 examination of Mr. Brown. 5 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 6 7 Mr. Brown, the concern about drilling downstructure is the oil-water contact? 8 9 Yes, it would be. We found in our Chipshot 1 an Α. 10 oil-water contact in the Strawn, and the Killerbee will be downstructure of that. But the Strawn, each of the pods 11 12 have their own contact, and we don't know what it's going 13 to be here. But you definitely want to drill on your 14 highest possible spot. 15 Q. You don't have a well drilled into this producing 16 pod as of yet? 17 No, we do not. Α. 18 Q. Have you guys used seismic data in this area before? 19 Yes, this -- All the wells we've drilled in the 20 21 last year have been based on a 3-D survey, 7-1/2-mile survey that we shot. 22 23 Do you feel like you guys can interpret that data 24 well enough to drill these things? 25 We feel like we're gaining sufficient knowledge Α.

from it to predict fairly well what we're going to find.

- Q. Is that a dry hole in the northwest of Section 11?
- A. Yes, it is. That well was drilled -- I'm not sure of the exact date; it's been about four years ago -- based on 2-D data, and that was a Wolfcamp penetration. We didn't get to the Strawn.
- Q. What about the well offsetting it to the east in Section 1? Was that a Strawn test?
 - A. Yes, sir, it was. It was tight and unproductive.
- Q. That was targeted for this pod?
 - A. They were seeing something. You see sideswipe on 2-D data. They may have been seeing this pod. There's another pod to the east. There's a productive well in the southeast corner of 1, and that's in a Strawn pod that may extend westward a little bit that they might have seen.
- Q. I believe that it was testified -- Does Manzano own the acreage to the south in Section 11?
 - A. Yes, we do.

- Q. Okay. In the northeast quarter?
- 21 A. The northeast quarter, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. The interest in this 80-acre unit is undivided, it's all -- Is that your understanding?
- MR. DIFFEE: No, the only undivided interest that
 we have in this 80 acres is Wiser Oil Company, which we

have under lease, has an undivided one-fourth mineral interest, the lands themselves, as to the remaining three-quarter mineral interest, is subject to the various conveyances as to the 768 town lots.

So you have Wiser Oil Company with a quarter under the entire 80-acres, and then those other individuals that we have on our leasehold takeoff, they all own a three-quarter mineral interest under those various town lots. Each town lot has a separate chain of title.

- Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Mr. Brown, what are the prospects of making a completion in something other than the Wolfcamp and Strawn, uphole from there?
 - A. We haven't seen anything in the other wells.
- Q. It's really not likely that you might have a 40-acre unit in there anyway?
- A. No, as far as I know, there's no wells in this general area that have anything other than Strawn and Wolfcamp -- or Atoka, which would on a bigger spacing.

MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, instead of delaying the order, we would prefer to dismiss the portion of the case relating to a 40-acre spacing unit. And that would, I believe, eliminate the problem with the legal advertisement, so we can proceed with this matter today.

I understand they do need to drill the well sometime during the second half of March, and that will

1	alleviate that problem as well. And since there are really
2	no 40-acre prospects out here, we would prefer to dismiss
3	the 40-acre portion of the case.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Carr, have you examined
5	Division records and are you satisfied that this well is,
6	in fact, within a mile
7	MR. CARR: Yes.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: both of the Strawn and
9	Wolfcamp 80-acre pool?
10	MR. CARR: Yes, yes, the ones that are
11	identified.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
13	MR. CARR: As you know, we've had that problem
14	before, and we have checked that problem.
15	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, that's fine. If that's
16	what you guys want to do, we'll go ahead and dismiss the
17	40-acre portion of the case.
18	Is there anything further?
19	MR. CARR: Nothing further.
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, there being
21	nothing further, Case Number 11,725 will be taken under
22	advisement.
23	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
24	8:54 a.m.)
25	* * *

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 24th, 1997.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

de hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No.

heard by me on februar 20.

Examiner

Oil Conservation Division