STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

) CASE NO. 11,749

APPLICATION OF KCS MEDALLION RESOURCES, INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH Hearing Examiner

April 17th, 1997

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, April 17th, 1997, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,
Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico,
Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the
State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

April 17th, 1997 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,749

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:

DAVID C. SHATZER (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 4 Examination by Examiner Catanach 10

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

16

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	5 6 7	10 10 10
Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5	7 8	10 10
Exhibit 6 Exhibit 7 Exhibit 8	9	10 10 10

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 612 Old Santa Fe Trail, Suite B Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:51 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, at this time we'll call
4	Case 11,749.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of KCS Medallion
6	Resources, Inc., for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox
7	well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for appearances.
9	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce from Santa
10	Fe, representing the Applicant.
11	I have one witness to be sworn.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Additional appearances?
13	There being none, swear in the witness, please.
14	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
15	DAVID C. SHATZER,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BRUCE:
20	Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
21	A. My name is David Shatzer.
22	Q. And where do you reside?
23	A. Midland, Texas.
24	Q. Who do you work for an in what capacity?
25	A. KCS Medallion Resources as a geologist.

- Have you previously testified before the 1 Q. 2 Division? Yes, I have. 3 Α. And were your credentials accepted as a matter of 0. 4 5 record? 6 Α. Yes, they were. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Mr. Shatzer 7 8 as an expert petroleum geologist. 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, KCS Medallion 10 originally sought an order pooling the east half of Section 11 14, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, from the surface to 12 13 the base of the Morrow formation and approving an unorthodox well location 660 feet from the south line and 14 1330 feet from the east line. 15 The parties being pooled have come to terms, and 16 so we are not seeking pooling any longer. We are here 17 today only for the unorthodox location. And this could 18 probably be processed administratively, but it would 19 require massive re-notice and delay in the drilling plans, 20 and that's why we're going forward today. 21 (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Shatzer, would you refer to Q. 22 23
 - your Exhibit 1, identify it for the Examiner, and discuss the primary zone of interest of the well?

24

25

Exhibit 1 shows the -- is a production map of the Α.

area, showing the -- only the wells that penetrated the Morrow formation, and the Morrow wells are color-coded in green, and the production cumulative and daily below the lines are shown.

And you can see that the closest well at B on the cross-section is a rather poor producer, that the better two producers are over a mile to the south and southeast of the proposed location, and that there's very little control moving to the north and northwest.

So this location is a northwest stepout of some existing Morrow production, and therefore that is the reason that we are asking for this unorthodox location.

- Q. Okay. Would you refer next to your Exhibit Number 2 and discuss a little bit more of the Morrow geology and the basis of your proposed location?
- A. Okay. Exhibit 2 is a Morrow sand isopach on net pay thickness in this area, and the general trends on the area are based on the well control that we have to the east and southeast, and we're -- they trend northwest-southeast, and that generally to make a good well in this zone, you need at least ten feet of net-pay sand, and that the wells in Section 13 and the northeast-northeast of Section 24 don't meet that criteria with only two feet, and zero feet in several instances, and that the one good well in this zone in the southwest of 24 meets that pay criterion of 12

feet of net pay sand, and shows that we want to drill in the extreme southern portion of Section 14 to step out from this production at a location with some amount of risk, due to the fact that it is moving away from control.

Q. Okay. What is Exhibit 3?

A. Exhibit 3 is the Morrow structure map, structure on top of the lower Morrow shale --- the base of the lower Morrow shale, excuse me, base of the lower Morrow shale, top of the lower Morrow, and that it shows the general trend of dip to the east southeast.

And basically, the dip and structure in this area is rather inconsequential to the stratigraphic production.

- Q. Okay, why don't you refer to your Exhibit 4, the cross-section, and maybe discuss in a little bit more detail the Morrow wells in the immediate area of your proposed --
- A. All right, the Morrow wells in the immediate area on B-B', you have that -- or A-B, the well at A is the one with the net pay of 12 feet, and it only had a cased hole log on it, but that's where it's pay coming out of this middle Morrow, and that we don't see it in the other two -- In the next two sands, the middle two sands, this particular zone is extremely thin and noncommercial, and those were dry holes.

And then we're trying to extend this trend to the

north and moving into our proposed location in 14.

And then the final well in Section 13 at B shows two feet of net sand, and at least there's a little bit more sand developing up there that we feel like identifies the trend that we're trying to drill for in Section 14.

- Q. Okay. What is Exhibit 5, Mr. Shatzer?
- A. Exhibit 5 is the land plat showing offset ownership.
- Q. Okay. And is Exhibit my affidavit of notice giving evidence of the written notice to all of these parties of the Application?
 - A. Yes, it is.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Mr. Examiner, if you'll look at Exhibit 6, I'm not sure all of the proper notice has been given. If you'll turn to page 1, it's kind of suspect.

MR. CARR: Only the signature.

MR. BRUCE: Now, for your information, they found there are -- If you'll go through this, there are three or four notice letters, because additional parties were found, and I think we've gotten everyone pretty much well notified over the course of several weeks.

Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Now, Mr. Shatzer, did KCS Medallion request waivers from the offset lessees or operators?

A. Yes, they did.

- Q. And does Exhibit 7 contain copies of signed waiver letters from various lessees or operators?
- A. Yes, Exhibit 7 is many of the people we contacted for waivers and their signed agreement to that waiver.
- Q. And does Exhibit 8 contain copies of unsigned waiver letters that were sent to the remaining offsets?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. But at this time you haven't heard any objection; they just haven't signed the waiver letters?
- A. Right, they haven't voiced any objection, just haven't done anything.
 - Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this Application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And regarding Exhibits 1 through 4, these were originally prepared by Mr. Siruta, a geologist in your office; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you reviewed the data, and do you agree with their geological interpretation?
- A. Yes, I have; I've worked the area and agree with the interpretation of the data.
 - Q. And were Exhibits 5 through 8 prepared by you or

compiled from company business records?

A. Yes.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission of KCS Medallion Exhibits 1 through 8.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 8 will be admitted as evidence.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

- Q. Mr. Shatzer, is there a minimum amount of sand you need in this well to make -- that you feel would make a good completion, commercial completion?
- A. Well, porosity is generally the determining factor. But usually if you don't have close to ten feet of net sand, the associated porosity/permeability is not great enough to make an economic well.

And even the well that had 12 feet, I feel like, must be close to even greater reservoir thickness to have that production, because it's a pretty good well at 2.8 BCF -- 2.6 BCF.

- Q. Is this -- What pool is this in, do you know?
- A. I believe it would be in the Empire, Empire North, I would think.

Production forms -- But I've worked the area, that I know the wells in 13 and 24 would be in Empire. I know that the wells, the cluster of three just due east are

- in Empire North. I know that, I've worked those. And I believe that well in the southwest of 24 is also Empire North Morrow.
 - Q. Okay. The well in the east half of Section 23, that was a well that was completed and then produced in the Morrow for a time?
 - A. Yeah, there is a well on the cross-section at -the well that will look like it would be in -- it would
 about in the J location, J unit, that was perf'd in the
 lower Morrow, and also some perfs in the middle Morrow, and
 it was swabbed dry, never completed as a flowing well. But
 it was perforated.
 - Q. Okay, the interval that you're targeting is the middle Morrow?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Well, I show -- You show some cumulative production out of that well, 268 million, so it didn't produce very long, probably.
- A. Yeah, I would -- The pay doesn't look very good in the middle Morrow. I would think that what pay came out of that well came out of the lower Morrow, just as far as contribution.
- Q. Do you have any potential in your -- at your proposed location for lower Morrow production?
 - A. Yes, there's -- yeah -- Yes, there's definitely

potential for the lower Morrow. I think that it's definitely the secondary target behind the middle Morrow, but I'm sure there could be some lower Morrow sands as well.

- Q. You just didn't map any of those lower Morrow sands?
 - A. No.

- Q. Does that have any effect, your unorthodox location, have any effect on the lower interval, or is this simply --
- A. No, not really. I mean, obviously the wells over in 13 have nearly no net.

Over to -- The wells to the due east, obviously those are lower Morrow completions, and so their sand trend is kind of just off of the middle Morrow trend, and I think that the location was placed and positioned nearly -- solely for the middle Morrow, rather than lower Morrow.

- Q. Is there any additional potential in the Pennsylvanian?
- A. The Atoka has got some mobility in this area, but that's just very erratic and very scattered.

I don't believe it was seen in any of the nearby wells, but -- and it could be -- an Atoka sand could come up in the proposed location, at the proposed location.

But that's about it. I don't -- The Penn and

Cisco, I don't believe, are prospective.

Q. How about up from that? Anything else uphole?

A. I don't believe -- the other were out of the Abo trend and were -- the shallow -- this area has been tried for some shallow oil wells, and there are some to the north.

But right at this location I think that it's not something we're -- that we think we'll encounter.

- Q. So really the unorthodox location probably just applies to the Pennsylvanian?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Currently there's no producing wells in Section
 23? Those two wells are both P-and-A'd?
- A. Yes. And since he did not put shallow production on here, I couldn't say that there isn't any shallow oil producers to the south half it.

I know in the north half of 23, there's not much shallow production.

Now, the south half I'm not sure, because this map just shows Morrow penetrations and leaves off any Permian oil wells.

But I know in the north half there's really not much production, north half of 23, much of 15 and 14 in the Queen Grayburg zones that produce farther to the west.

Q. You had no objections from any offset interest

1 owners and operators? No, none to date. 2 Α. The only -- Exhibit Number 8 are the interest 3 owners who have not signed a waiver; is that correct? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 All the others have? Q. 7 Yes. Α. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, for your information, I 9 10 think on some of those -- Let me double-check. On Exhibit 11 8, Conoco has either assigned or has some interest in the well being drilled, as does Fina. 12 13 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. BRUCE: And the other three companies or 14 individuals are offsets. 15 (By Examiner Catanach) Who operates the wells in 16 Q. 17 Section 13, do you know? 18 Α. No, I don't. 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: The interest owners that were 20 notified were simply in Sections 23 and 24, Mr. Bruce; is 21 that correct? 22 MR. BRUCE: From what I'm looking at, yes. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. BRUCE: I think the well in Section --24 25 southwest quarter of Section 13 is operated by Yates, Mr.

1	Examiner.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I have nothing
3	further.
4	Anything further, Mr. Bruce?
5	MR. BRUCE: Not in this case.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. There being nothing
7	further, Case 11,749 will be taken under advisement.
8	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
9	9:10 a.m.)
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 21st, 1997.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1729, neard by me on 1997.

., Examiner

Oil Conservation Division