STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11211 ORDER NO. R-10328

GAS ALLOWABLES FOR THE PRORATED GAS POOLS IN NEW MEXICO FOR APRIL THROUGH SEPTEMBER, 1995.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on February 23, 1995, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 27th day of March, 1995, the Commission, a quorum being present and having considered the testimony, the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

- (1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) This hearing was called for the purpose of accepting nominations and other evidence and information to assist in determining April through September, 1995 gas allowables for the prorated gas pools in New Mexico. Thirteen of the prorated gas pools are in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties in Southeast New Mexico, and the other four prorated gas pools are in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties in Northwest New Mexico.
- (3) Amendments to the Gas Proration Rules approved by the Commission Order No: R-8170-H in December, 1990 provide for allowables to be established for six month allocation periods beginning in April and October of each year.
- (4) Average monthly allowables for April through September, 1995 for each pool should be based on the monthly average individual pool production for April through September, 1994, with administrative adjustments where appropriate.

- (5) Production information for 1994 was not available because of delays in getting C-115 production information into the new system. Estimates based on 1993 data were therefore used as a basis for preliminary allowables. Producers, purchasers, and transporters of gas were asked to review these preliminary allowables and to participate in the February 23, 1995 hearing by providing information which would assist in arriving at the final allowable assignments.
- (6) Chevron and Conoco presented evidence at the hearing to support the OCD recommended allowables for the prorated pools in Southeast New Mexico: Exxon and Oryx entered statements supporting the proposed allowables.
- (7) Amoco submitted testimony at the hearing to support increased allowables for the Northwest New Mexico Pools. They requested the following monthly increases:

Basin Dakota - 10,000 MCF; Blanco Mesaverde - 535,491 MCF; Blanco P.C. South - 9,540 MCF; and Tapacito Pictured Cliffs - 2,509 MCF. Phillips entered a statement requesting essentially these same allowables for the Basin Dakota and Blanco Mesaverde Pools. Meridian submitted a statement supporting the OCD allowables but indicating no objection to increases proposed by others. The increases proposed by Amoco are in addition to the adjustments proposed by OCD.

- (8) Testimony by OCD indicates that gas production in New Mexico continues at record levels in spite of low prices. Production was 1.4 TCF in 1994 and an estimated 1.55 TCF in 1995. This indicates there is sufficient demand for New Mexico gas to accommodate the proposed allowables.
- (9) The allowable increases proposed by Amoco for the Northwest New Mexico Pools should be approved.
- (10) OCD Exhibit No. 1 shows that there are currently no prorated wells in the Burton Flat Strawn; Carlsbad Morrow, South; Catclaw Draw Morrow; and Monument McKee Ellenburger Pools. This condition has existed for the last several years. Proration in these pools should therefore be suspended until such time as produc tion data or other information indicates that the pools should be prorated.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) Exhibits "A" and "B" attached to and incorporated herein, including the increased allowables requested in Finding Paragraph No. (7) are adopted for the purpose of making allowable assignments for the prorated gas pools in New Mexico for the months of April through September, 1995.

- (2) The Oil Conservation Division is hereby directed to prepare proration schedules for the April through September, 1995 allocation period in accordance with this order and other Division Rules, Regulations and Orders. Copies of this order shall be included in each proration schedule.
- (3) Proration is hereby suspended in the Burton Flat Strawn; Carlsbad Morrow, South; Catclaw Draw Morrow; and Monument McKee Ellenburger Pools until such time as production data or other information indicates the pools should again be prorated.
- (4) Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

Marlen

Bill Mein

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

GARY CARLSON, Member

WILLIAM W. WEISS, Member

WILLIAM J. LEMAY, Chairman

SEAL

ORDER NO. R-10328

E NO. 11211

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE DETERMINATION SCHEDULE
PRORATED GAS POOLS OF NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO
FOR APRIL 1995 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1995

as Volumes Shown in MCF

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE DETERMINATION SCHEDULE
PRORATED GAS POOLS OF NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO
FOR APRIL 1995 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1995

| > S | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| MONTHLY ACR.*BLV. FACTOR | 14.04 | 26.14 | 28.18 | 19.79 |
| MONTHLY ACREAGE ALLOC: A FACTOR | 11,163 | 1.77.5 | 440 | 467 |
| NUMBER OF NON-MARGDAL ACR.*DLV. | 7,978 | 85,529 | 3,974 | 3 |
| NUMBER OF NON- MARGINAL ACE. FACTORS | 15.05 | 129.16 | 84.80 | 8 :00 |
| MONTHLY NON- MARGINAL POOL ALLOW- ARLE APUSSEPSS | 280,000 | 2,981,333 | 149,333 | 14,933 |
| MONTHLY MARGENAL POOL ALLOW- ARLE APROSSEP95 | 9,377,498 | 14,049,750 | 1,106,658 | 314,232 |
| MONTHLY FOOL ALLOWABLE APR-95-SEP95 | 9,657,498 | 17,031,083 | 1,255,991 | 329,165 |
| POOL | 108,958 | 535,491 | 39,353 | 2,509 |
| AVG MONTHLY POOL SALES APRIS-SEPS | 9,548,540 | 16,495,592 | 1,216,638 | 326,656 |
| WL NAME | asin Dakota | anco Mesaverde | anco P.C. South | spacito Pictured Sliffs |

All Gas Volumes Shown in MCF

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

RECEIVED

SEP 1 9 1997

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CAMPBELL. CARR. of al.

Case No. 11723 (de novo)

APPLICATION OF FASKEN OIL AND RANCH, LTD. FOR A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION AND SPACING UNITAND TWO ALTERNATE UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATIONS, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case No. 11755 (de novo)

Order No. R-10872

MOTION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR A STAY OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-10872 AND TO SHUT-IN AN EXISTING WELL

Mewbourne Oil Company ("Mewbourne") moves the Oil Conservation Division ("Division") and the Oil Conservation Commission ("Commission") for an order staying Division Order No. R-10872 pending a de novo review by the Commission, and requesting that an existing well be shut-in, and in support thereof, states:

A. MOTION FOR A STAY.

1. Denving A Stay Negates Mewbourne's Right To An Appeal.

The above cases were heard by the Division on April 3, 1997. On September 12, 1997 the Division entered Order No. R-10872, granting the application of Fasken Oil and Ranch, Ltd. ("Fasken Oil") and denying the application of Mewbourne. An Application for Hearing De Novo was filed with the Division by Mewbourne on September 17, 1997. Mewbourne has an absolute right to a de novo hearing before the Commission pursuant to statute. N.M. Stat. Ann. \$70-2-13 (1995 Repl. Pamp.).

If a stay is not granted, Fasken may drill its proposed well. As a result, by the time this matter is decided by the Commission the issue may be moot, and Mewbourne's right to a de novo hearing will effectively be negated. As a result, a stay of Order No. R-10872 is proper.

2. Order No. R-10872 Is Contrary To Division Policy And Law.

Order No. R-10872 approved Fasken's well location essentially because it was unopposed by offsetting interest owners. Order No. R-10872, Finding ¶(16). Division Memorandum 3-89 states that unorthodox locations will not be granted merely because they are unopposed. Thus, Order No. R-10872 is contrary to Division policy, and needs to be reviewed by the Commission before a well is commenced.

Moreover, no geologic justification was given in Order No. R10872 for denying one application and granting the other, and the
order does not disclose the reasoning of the Division, as required
by law. Fasken v. Oil Conservation Comm'n, 87 N.M. 292, 532 P.2d
588 (1975); Viking Petroleum, Inc. v. Oil Conservation Comm'n, 100
N.M. 451, 672 P.2d 280 (1983) (findings must be sufficiently
extensive to show the basis of the order and disclose the reasoning
of the Division). Therefore, Order No. R-10872 is legally
defective, and must be reviewed by the Commission.

3. Order No. R-10872 Ignored The Operating Agreement.

The property at issue in this case, the S% of Section 1-21S-25E, is subject to an Operating Agreement (Mewbourne Exhibit 3), under which Mewbourne and Fasken Land and Minerals, Ltd. ("Fasken

Land") are interest owners.¹ There was substantial evidence presented at hearing that the operator under the agreement <u>must</u> be an interest owner, and thus Fasken <u>Oil</u> is not a proper applicant. Nonetheless, Order No. R-10872 appointed Fasken <u>Oil</u> as operator. Order No. R-10872, Decretory ¶(3). Therefore, the order is defective because Fasken Oil cannot be operator of a well in the S% of Section 1.

In addition, Mewbourne's well location was proposed first. As a result, Fasken Land, as operator, had a duty under the Operating Agreement to proceed with obtaining regulatory approval of Mewbourne's location, instead of opposing it. Order No. R-10872 ignored these facts. Again, the order is defective and must be reviewed by the Commission before any well is drilled.

4. The Division Did Not Have Jurisdiction Over Case 11755.

Fasken Land, <u>not</u> Fasken Oil, is the proper applicant in Case 11755. Notice of Case 11755 was never published naming Fasken Land as applicant, as required by Division Rule 1205.B. Thus, notice was defective, the Division never had jurisdiction over Case 11755, and granting relief in Case 11755 was improper.

B. MOTION TO SHUT-IN WELL.

Texaco Exploration and Production Inc. ("Texaco")² operates two wells in Section 12-21S-25E, one located in Unit N (drilled in 1972) and one located in Unit F (commenced in October 1995 and

¹Fasken <u>Oil</u> is <u>not</u> an interest owner under the Operating Agreement.

²Texaco entered an appearance in this action in opposition to Mewbourne's application.

completed in early 1996). Order No. R-10872, Finding ¶(9); Mewbourne Exhibit 10; Texaco Exhibit 6. Prorationing was suspended in the Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool in March 1995, by Commission Order No. R-10328. As a result, when the Texaco well in Unit F was drilled, it was subject to Division Rule 104.D(3), which limits the number of producing wells in a gas spacing and proration unit within non-prorated pools to one. Order No. R-10872, Finding ¶(5).

Thus, Texaco's E.J. Levers Fed. "NCT-1" Well No. 2, in Unit F of Section 12, was illegally drilled. Moreover, this well may be draining the S% of Section 1, giving Texaco an unfair advantage over the interest owners therein. Therefore, Mewbourne requests that Texaco's well in Unit F of Section 12 be shut-in pending the hearing de novo and until Texaco applies to and obtains an order of the Division allowing it to produce the well.

wherefore, Mewbourne requests that Order No. R-10872 be stayed pending a decision in the hearing de novo, and that Texaco's E.J. Levers Fed. "NCT-1" Well No. 2 be shut-in pending a proper application to and decision by the Division.

Respectfully submitted,

James Bruce

P.O. Box 1056

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(505) 982-2043

Attorney for Mewbourne Oil Company

³Texaco's Well No. 2 produces at a rate of several million cubic feet of gas per day.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the forgoing pleading was served upon the following counsel of record this day of September, 1997, by United States mail:

W. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin P.O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

William F. Carr Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan, P.A. P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

Marilyn S. Hebert Rand L. Carroll Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

James Bruce

OPERATOR'S COPY

| Form 3160-3; (December 1990) | DEPART | UNITED STATES MENT OF THE INTER | IOR ENT | | Budg | FORM APP | | 138 | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|
| SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE 31 Burget Bureau No. 1004-0138 Expires: December 31, 1991 | | | | | | | | | | |
| huc , , , , | 0E 25 | | | | 5. Lease Designs | | iai No. VI 04542 | 28 | | |
| ÇÎ Ş | APPLICATION FO | R PERMIT TO DRILL | OR DEEPEN | 1 | 8. If Indian, Alotte | e or Tribe N | | | | |
| 1a. Type of Work 1b. Type of Well | DRILL 🛛 | DEEPEN | | | 7. If Unit or CA, A | greement De | reignation |) | | |
| OIL GAS | M | | SINGLE ZONE | ◪∤ | 8. Well Name an | d Number | | | | |
| MEIT MEIT | OTHER | | MULTIPLE ZONE | | E. J. LEVERS | 12 FEDER | AL NCT | -1 | | |
| 2. Name of Operator | TEXACO EXPL | ORATION & PRODUCTIO | N INC. | | 2 | | | | | |
| 3. Address and Telepho | ne No. P.O. Bax 3109, | Midland Texas 79702 | 688-4606 | | B. API Well No. | | | | | |
| 4. Location of Well (Rep | port location clearly and in a | coordance with any State requi | rements.") | | 46. 5-11 15 | | | | | |
| At Surface 2448 CATCLAW DRAW MORROW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unit Letter F: 1009 Feet From The NORTH Line and 1980 Feet From The WEST Line At proposed prod. zone 11. SEC., T., R., M., or BLK, and Survey or Area | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | A District A District And A District | |
| 14. Distance in Miles and | 7 MILES NW FROM CARLSBAD EDDY NM | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Distance From Proposed* Location to Necrest Property or Lease Line, Pt. (also to necrest drig. unit line, if any) 1980* 16. No. of Acres in Lease 17. No. of Acres Assigned To This Well 632.38 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | peed Location* to Nearest W | | 19. Proposed Depth | | 20. Rotery or Cab | | | | | |
| Completed or Applied Fo | | 2942 | 10680 | ľ | io. Noisy or Gel | ROTA | RY | | | |
| 21.Elevations (Show who | iter E | Besin | r | | rk Will Start* | | | | | |
| 23. | | PROPOSED CAS | ING AND CEMENT PRO |)GR/ | W | <u> </u> | | | | |
| SIZE OF HOLE | GRADE, SIZE OF CASE | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 1/2 | WC50, 13 3/6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | WC50, 8 5/8 286 2250' 600 SACKS - CIRCULATE. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 7/8 K55,L80, P110 , 5 1/2 17 & 238 105807 1350 SACKS - TOC @ 29007 (SEE ST) PS) CEMENTING PROGRAM: SURFACE CASING - 300 SACKS CLASS C W/ 4% GEL, 2% CACL2 (13.5 PPG, 1.74 CF/S, 9.11 GW/S). F/B 100 SACKS CLASS C W/ 2% CACL2 (14.8 PPG, 1.34 CF/S, 6.3 GW/S). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ing - 500 Sacks 35/65 .6 PPG, 1.19 CF/S, 5.2 (| | il, 5% salt, 1/4# floceli | E (12.4 | 4 PPG, 2.14 CF | F/S, 11.9 G1 | M/S). F/ | B 100 | | |
| TOOL @ 8000. 2ND | STAGE - 750 SACKS 35 | 5/85 POZ CLASS H W/ 6% | H W/ 2% GEL, 5% SALT, 1 GEL, 5% SALT, 1/4# FLOO | /40 FL ELE (| 12.4 PPĠ, 2.14 | CF/S, 11. | GW/S) | . F/B 100 | | |
| | SACKS CLASS H (15.6 PPG, 1.19 CF/s, 5.2 GW/s). APPROVAL SUBJECT TO | | | | | | | | | |
| ESTIMATED DAYS TO T.D.: 30 DAYS GENERAL REQUIR | | | | | | | NKEWE | KIO VOTA | | |
| THERE ARE NO OTH | IER OPERATORS IN T | HIS QUARTER QUARTER | SECTION. | | SPECI. | AL STIPU | LAHUI | 77 | | |
| | | | n present productive zone and pure verticle depths. Give blowou | | ed new productly | e zone. If pr | oposal is | to drill or | | |
| 24. I harsty eartly that the foreg | | \ = | ing. Assistant | | | DATE | | 70400 | | |
| SIGNATURES | The state of the s | ide Howard | ing. / tooleant | | | DATE | | 7/31/95 | | |
| TYPE OR PRINT NAME | | Me unaid | | | | | | | | |
| (This space for Federal or State of | | | APPROVAL DATE | | | | | | | |
| PERMIT NO | not yearrant or certify that the sea | | APPROVAL DATE to those rights in the subject lease v | which we | ould entitle the spai | icent to condu | t operation | is thereon. | | |
| APPROVED BY | Themile | / CO_TITLE / | last /hie | 27 2 | Exa. | , DATE_ | 9-1 | 15-51- | | |
| CONDITIONS OF APP | OVAL, IF ANY: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1, makes it a crime for any pers | on knowingly and willfully to make | to any department or agency of the | United S | Nates any false, flot | Mous or fraudi | dont states | nents or | | |

Form 3160-6

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

| | BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | Budget Bureau No. 1004-0135 Espires: Merch 31, 1883 | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|---|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Do not | | | | | S ON WELLS | rent reservoir. | 5. Lease Designation and Serial No. NM 0454228 | | | | | |
| | | | • | | such proposals | | 6. If Indian, Alotte | e or Tribe Name | | | | |
| | | SU | BMIT IN TRIPLI | CATI | | | 7. If Unit or CA, A | greement Designation | | | | |
| 1. Type of Well: | □ WEIT | ⊠ GAS WELL | OTHER | · | | | 8. Well Name an E. J. LEV | d Number /ERS '12 FEDERAL NCT-1 | | | | |
| 2. Name of Operator | TE | (ACO EXPLO | RATION & PRO | DUC | TION INC. | | | 2 | | | | |
| . Address and Telepho | one No. P.C | D. Bax 3109, | Midland Texas 7 | 9702 | | 688-4606 | 9. API Well No. | | | | | |
| 4. Location of Well (Fo Unit Letter <u>F</u> | otage, Sec., T : 2448 | | The NORTH | Line s | and <u>1980</u> | Feet From The | 10. Field and Poo CATCLAW DRA | ol, Exploratory Area W MORROW | | | | |
| WEST Line | | | | | | | 11. County or Parish, State EDDY , NM | | | | | |
| 2. | Check / | Appropria | te Box(s) To | Ind | icate Nature | of Notice, Re | eport, or Oth | ner Data | | | | |
| TYPE OF SUE | BMISSION | | | | | T | YPE OF ACTION | | | | | |
| Notice of Int Subsequent Final Aband | | | | | Abandonment Recompission Plugging Back Casing Repair Altering Casing OTHER: | MOVE LOCATION | | Change of Plens New Construction Non-Routine Fracturing Water Shul-Off Conversion to injection Dispose Water the Report reads of multiple completion on Watershallon or Recomplation Report and Log Form.) | | | | |
| | give subsurfe | oe locations an | d measured and tr | nev eu | ical depths for all n | narkers and zones pe | rtinent to this work, | starting any proposed work. If well is ". | | | | |
| THE 400 COO TUR | 0 14/ELL 14/A | 6 EII EN 7/24 | me | | | | | • | | | | |

ATTACHED IS A REVISED C-102, TOPO MAP, DRILLING RIG LAYOUT, AND SURFACE USE PLAN.



| SIGNATURE C. Wash Howard TITLE Eng. Assists YPE OR PRINT NAME C. Wade Howard | ant DATE 8/7/95 |
|--|--|
| PPROVED BY // Land Land Land TITLE (132/+ CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY: | Gia 7/2, - DATE 9-15-95 |
| No 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department opresentations as to any matter within its jurisdiction. | or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or |

DISTRICT 1
P. 0, 8ex 1980, Hobbs, NM 68240

DISTRICT II P. O. Drawer OO, Artesia, NM 88210

DISTRICT III 1000 Rio Brozos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410

DISTRICT IV
P. O. Box 2088, Sente Fe, NM 87504-2088

State of New Mexico
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

PO Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2088 Form C-102 Revised February 10, 1994

Instructions on back

Submit to Appropriate District Office

State Lease-4 copies
Fee Lease-3 copies

AMENDED REPORT

Water Supply Well - = Plugged & Abandor

WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

| T AF | Number | | 1 | ² Pool Code | | ³ Pool Name | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Ĺ | | | | | | Catclaw Draw Morrow | | | | | | | |
| Property Cod | • | | | | Property N | | | 1 | | Well Number | | | |
| 10982 | | E. J. Levers "12" Fed. (NCT-1) 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OGRID No. | | Operator Name | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22351 | | | | 3276' | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 Surface Location UL or lot no. Section Township Range Lot Idn Feet from the North/South line Feet from the East/West line *County 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UL or lot no. | Section | Township | Ronge | Lot Idn | Feet from the | North/South line | rt line | County | | | | | |
| F[| 12 | 21 - S | 25-E | | 2 44 8' | North | 1980' | West | : [| Eddy | | | |
| | Bottom Hole Location If Different From Surface | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UL or lot no. | Section | Township | Range | Lot Idn | Feet from the | North/South line | Feet from the | East/Wee | rt line | ⁷ County | | | |
| 1-Dedicated Acres 632.36' | 13 Joi | nt or Infill | 1 Consolid | ation Code | ¹⁵ Order No. | 1 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | L | | | |

NO ALLOWABLE WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THIS COMPLETION UNTIL ALL INTERESTS HAVE BEEN CONSOLIDATED OR A NON-STANDARD UNIT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE DIVISION.

