

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 827-7131

August 13, 1997

Enron Oil & Gas Company P.O. Box 2267 Midland, Texas 79702

Attention: Mr. Randall S. Cate

Re: Production Allocation Sand Tank "7" Federal Com Well No. 1 Division Order No. R-10814

Dear Mr. Cate:

Pursuant to the production data submitted July 11, 1997 on the Sand Tank "7" Federal Com Well No. 1, the allocation of production from the well is hereby established as follows:

Pool	Oil %	Gas %	
Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool	26%	74%	
Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pool	50%	50%	

If you should have any questions, please contact Mr. David Catanach at (505) 827-8184.

Sincerely William J. LeMay

Director

WJL/DRC

xc: OCD-Artesia Case File-11782

# **ENRON** Oil & Gas Company

P. O. Box 2267 Midland, Texas 79702 (915) 686-3600

July 11, 1997

14

NMOCD 2040 S. Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505-6429

Attn.: Mr. David Catanach

Re.: Enron Oil & Gas Company Sand Tank "7" Fed. Com. No. 1 Allocation Formula for Downhole Commingled Production

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Order No. R-10814, EOG submits the following data and recommended allocation on the subject well:

- 1. Morrow and Chester production was downhole commingled on May 13, 1997 and has stabilized July 8, 1997 at a rate of 1,230 MCF, 20 BC, 8 BW.
- 2. July forecasted rate from individual production plots was 300 MCFD from the Morrow and 620 MCFD from the Chester.
- 3. The Morrow was expected to increase 300 to 500 MCFD after commingling due to improved liquid lifting velocities up the tubing.
- 4. It is recommended that the increase in production from commingling be attributed to the Morrow resulting in a July breakout of 610 MCFD to the Morrow and 620 MCFD to the Chester for a fixed gas allocation of 50% to each zone.
- 5. The condensate is recommended to be allocated based on the precommingled BBL/MCF yield resulting in a fixed 26% (Morrow) and 74% (Chester).7

The attached production plots, Form C-107-A, and copy of Order R-10814 are included for your use.

Mr. David Catanach July 11, 1997 Page 4

If you have questions or need additional information please call me at (915) 686-3698.

Sincerely,

ENRON OIL & GAS COMPANY

1.slat

Randall S. Cate Project Reservoir Engineer

RSC/krp

Attachments

cc: Tim Gum (NMOCD - Artesia)

m:\cate\kp133rsc.doc

DISTRICT_1	
P. O. Box 1980, Hobbs, NM 88241-1980	

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department **OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION** 

2040 S. Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6429

New 3-12-96

APPROVAL PROCESS:

Administrative X Hearing

DISTRICT III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410-1693

# APPLICATION FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING

EXISTING WELLBORE <u>X</u> YES \_\_\_ NO

Enron Oil & Gas Company	P. O. Box 2267, Midland, TX 79702		
Sand Tank "7" Federal	No. 1 D-	6-18-30	Eddy
Lease	Well No. Unit	Ltr Sec - Twp - Rge	County
OGRID NO Property Cod	e <u>18729</u> apino. <u>3(</u>	Spacing Unit I 0-015-28870 Federal X ,	.ease Types: (check 1 or more) State , (and/or) Fee
The following facts are submitted in support of downhole commingling:	Upper Zone	Intermediate Zone	Lower Zone
1. Pool Name and Pool Code	Sand Tank (Morrow) 84872		Sand Tank (Chester) 96573
2. Top and Bottom of Pay Section (Perforations)	11,189'-210'		11,673'-693'
3. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	Gas		Gas
4. Method of Production (Flowing or Artificial Lift)	Flowing		Flowing
5. Bottomhole Pressure Oil Zones - Artificial Lift: Estimated Current	(Current) a. 2,300	a.	a. 2,729 (1/5/97)
Gas & Oil - Flowing: Measured Current All Gas Zones: Estimated Or Measured Original	(Original) b. 4,687	b.	ь. 5,092
6. Oil Gravity (ºAPI) or Gas BTU Content	1,187		1,246
7. Producing or Shut-In?	Producing		Producing
Production Marginal? (yes or no)	Expected to be		Yes
If Shut-In, give data and oil/gas/ water rates of last production Note: For new zones with no production history, and out that the reduction	Date: Rates:	Date: Rates:	Date: Rates:
<ul> <li>If Producing, give date and oil/gas/ water rates of recent test (within 60 days)</li> </ul>	Date: 5/6/97 Rates: 457 MCF, 3 BC, 3 BW	Date Rates:	Date: 5/1/97 Rates: 750 MCF, 14 BC, 4 BW
8. Fixed Percentage Allocation Formula - % for each zone	0il: <b>26%</b> Gas: 50%	Oil: % Gas: %	Oil: 74% Gas: 50%
9. If allocation formula is based up submit attachments with support	oon something other than curre ting data and/or explaining meth	ent or past production, or is ba od and providing rate projection	sed upon some other method, s or other required data.
<ol> <li>Are all working, overriding, and r If not, have all working, overridin Have all offset operators been give</li> </ol>	oyalty interests identical in all c g, and royalty interests been no ven written notice of the propos	ommingled zones? tified by certified mail? ed downhole commingling?	X         Yes         No           Yes         No         No           X         Yes         No
11. Will cross-flow occur? Ye flowed production be recovered,	s X No If yes, are fluids c and will the allocation formula b	ompatible, will the formations no be reliable Yes No	ot be damaged, will any cross- (If No, attach explanation)
12. Are all produced fluids from all c	ommingled zones compatible wi	ith each other? <u>X</u> Yes	No
13. Will the value of production be de	ecreased by commingling?	Yes <u>X</u> No (If Ye	s, attach explanation)
14. If this well is on, or communitized United States Bureau of Land Ma	d with, state or federal lands, eit nagement has been notified in v	her the Commissioner of Public vriting of this application. X	Lands or the Yes No Verbal
15. NMOCD Reference Cases for Rul	e 303(D) Exceptions:	ORDER NO(S).	
16. ATTACHMENTS: * C-102 for each zone to * Production curve for ea * For zones with no prod * Data to support allocati * Notification list of all of * Notification list of work * Any additional statement	be commingled showing its spaci inch zone for at least one year. (If r uction history, estimated producti on method or formula. fset operators. ing, overriding, and royalty intere: nts, data, or documents required t	ng unit and acreage dedication. not available, attach explanation.) ion rates and supporting data. sts for uncommon interest cases. to support commingling.	
1		<u> </u>	

I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.					
SIGNATURE TITLE	Project Reservoir Engineer	DATE 7/11/97			
TYPE OR PRINT NAME Randall S. Cate	TELEPHONE NO. (	) 686-3698			

DISTRICT II

811 South First St., Artesia, NM 88210-2835





## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

# IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11782 Order No. R-10814

## APPLICATION OF ENRON OIL & GAS COMPANY FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on May 15, 1997, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner David R. Catanach.

NOW, on this 4th day of June, 1997, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

(1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) The applicant, Enron Oil & Gas Company, seeks authority to downhole commingle the Morrow and Chester formations, Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool and Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pool, within its Sand Tank "7" Federal Com Well No. 1 located 990 feet from the North and West lines (Unit D) of Section 7, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) According to applicant's evidence and testimony, the subject well was drilled in April, 1996, to a total depth of approximately 11,835 feet. The well was dually completed in the Sand Tank-Morrow and Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pools during May-June, 1996. Initial potential in the Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pool was approximately 2.8 MMCFGD and 98 BOPD. Initial potential in the Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool was approximately 3.6 MMCFGD and 100 BOPD.

(4) Production rates as of May, 1997, are summarized as follows:

	Producing Rates			
Pool	<u>Oil</u>	Gas	Water	
Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool	3 B/D	457 MCF/D	3 B/D	
Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pool	14 B/D	750 MCF/D	4 B/D	

JUN UU JI

5.02 NO.001 F.05

(5) The applicant seeks authority to downhole commingle the subject well primarily due to liquid loading problems associated with the Morrow completion.

(6) The current wellbore configuration of the Sand Tank "7" Federal Com Well No. 1 is such that the Chester formation is producing through 2 7/8 inch tubing, and the Morrow formation is producing through the tubing/casing annulus.

- (7) Applicant's engineering evidence and testimony indicates that:
  - a) the Morrow formation has exhibited a steep decline in production which applicant attributes to liquid loading problems within the casing/tubing annulus;
  - b) the Chester zone is marginal in this area both in terms of current producing rate and estimated ultimate gas recovery; and,
  - c) producing the well in a downhole commingled configuration will improve the producing efficiency of the Morrow formation and should result in a production increase of 300-500 MCF gas per day from the Morrow formation.
- (8) Applicant's engineering evidence further indicates that:
  - a) the bottomhole pressure of the highest pressured commingled zone does not exceed the original reservoir pressure of any other commingled zone in the wellbore, adjusted to a common datum;
  - b) commingling will not result in the permanent loss of reserves due to crossflow in the wellbore;
  - c) neither zone appears to be fluid sensitive;

- d) the fluids from each zone are compatible with the fluids from the other, and combining the fluids will not result in the formation of precipitates which might damage any of the reservoirs;
- e) the interest ownership between the zones is common.
- (9) No offset operator appeared at the hearing in opposition to the application.

2704 NU.UUL F.U4

(10) Approval of the proposed downhole commingling will allow the applicant the opportunity to recover additional oil and gas reserves from the Morrow and Chester zones which may otherwise not be recovered, thereby preventing waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

(11) The applicant proposed that the allocation formula be determined after a stabilized commingled production rate is obtained and after consultation with the supervisor of the Division's Artesia District Office.

(12) After consultation with the supervisor of the Division's Artesia District Office, the applicant should be required to submit the approved allocation formula to the Santa Fe Office of the Division.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) The applicant, Enron Oil & Gas Company, is hereby authorized to downhole commingle the Morrow and Chester formations, Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool and Sand Tank-Chester Gas Pool, within its Sand Tank "7" Federal Com Well No. 1 located 990 feet from the North and West lines (Unit D) of Section 7, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(2) The applicant shall consult with the supervisor of the Divisions's Artesia District Office in order to determine a formula for the allocation of production from the subject well.

(3) After consultation with the supervisor of the Division's Artesia District Office, the applicant shall submit the approved allocation formula to the Santa Fe Office of the Division.

(4) Jurisdiction is hereby retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

ł.

CASE NO. 11782 Order No. R-10814 Page -4-\_\_\_\_

÷

. . .

י ע ד

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION )Q a WILLIAM . LEMAY Director

S E A L

. •.

1