#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. 11,705, 11,807, 11,838, 11,809 (Continued)

CASE NOS. 11,579, 11,844, 11,894 and 11,808 (Dismissed)

CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: LORI WROTENBERY, ACTING CHAIRMAN WILLIAM J. LEMAY, COMMISSIONER JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

February 26th, 1998 Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, LORI WROTENBERY, Acting Chairman, on Thursday, February 26th, 1998, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

#### INDEX

February 26th, 1998 Commission Hearing CASE NOS. 11,705, 11,807, 11,838, 11,809 (Continued) CASE NOS. 11,579, 11,844, 11,894, 11,808 (Dismissed)

	PAGE
Introduction of new Oil Conservation Commission by Mr. LeMay	3
Opening remarks by Commissioner Wrotenbery	3
Minutes of the last meeting	5
Chairmanship of the Commission	5
Appointment of a hearing officer to hear preliminary matters before the Commission in adjudicatory hearings	8
Annual resolution regarding notice standards for Commission public meetings	9
Continued cases	13
Dismissed cases	14
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	16

\* \* \*

# APPEARANCES

### FOR THE COMMISSION:

LYN S. HEBERT
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 9:05 a.m.:

MR. LEMAY: Good morning, this is the first meeting of the new Oil Conservation Commission.

And by way of introduction you know my colleague to the far right, Jami Bailey representing the Commissioner of Public Lands.

To my immediate right is Lori Wrotenbery, who's the new Division Director of the Oil Conservation Division and, by virtue of that position she is on the Oil Conservation Commission.

The reason why I'm still here is, I am the appointment of the -- I am representing the Secretary of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources, and I am her designee.

So the makeup of your new Oil Conservation Commission will be Lori and Jami and myself.

With that, I relinquish this gavel to Lori Wrotenbery, who will be conducting the meeting. And congratulations on your position, and we're happy to have you on this Commission. I'm happy to be on it too.

MS. WROTENBERY: Thank you very much, Bill.

And I wanted to say to everybody how happy I am to be here and how excited I am to be here. I look forward to working with my colleagues here on the Commission and

the staff here at the Oil Conservation Division and the representatives of industry and of the bar who practice before the Commission. I feel honored to be here.

1.4

And I have to tell you, I've had a very warm reception the last few weeks from everybody here, warmer than I could have ever expected it to be, and I'm really appreciative of that. I can't express how much that means to me, and I really look forward to continuing the association with all of you folks.

I also would like to ask your indulgence a little bit. One of the reasons I'm so excited to be here is because it gives me an opportunity to use the skills and experience I have in oil and gas issues here in the context of New Mexico. I also understand, though, that I've got a lot to learn here in the next few months in particular about the way things work in New Mexico and the particular practices and procedures of the Oil Conservation Division and the Oil Conservation Commission, and I'm hopeful that you'll help me out in that regard.

I know the people in this room right now have many years of experience and, as a result, are the experts on the oil and gas practices in New Mexico, and I hope you'll be willing to help me out and share some of that knowledge with me. And please know that I welcome that kind of advice or suggestions that you might have, as well

as just any instruction you might want to give me in the 1 2 way things work here. So I hope you'll be willing and feel free to do 3 that for me. 4 With that, I think we have a few items of 5 business that we need to take care of before we go on and 6 7 discuss the cases that are on the docket today. 8 9 MS. WROTENBERY: The first item, I guess, traditionally, is the minutes of the last meeting, and we 10 have those here. 11 MR. LEMAY: Yes, I move acceptance of the minutes 12 of the previous meeting. 13 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I second. 14 MS. WROTENBERY: All in favor? 15 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 16 17 MR. LEMAY: Aye. MS. WROTENBERY: And I believe it would be 18 19 appropriate, Bill, since you were the Chairman of that 20 meeting, for you to maybe sign these minutes --21 MR. LEMAY: I'd be happy to. MS. WROTENBERY: -- acceptable to you. 22 23 24 MS. WROTENBERY: Also, there is the issue of the 25 chairmanship of the Commission, and that particular item we

will be taking up at the next scheduled meeting of the Commission. It was not something that was included in the notice of this particular meeting. But I did want to maybe open the issue up for a little bit of discussion, if that would be okay at this point, and ask for some input from the other members of the Commission.

I understand that the tradition has been that the Oil Conservation Division Director serves as the Chairman. I know that practice is not only grounded in tradition but also in some considerations of convenience and efficiency, if not practical necessity. And so I would hope to be able to step into that role here, with the -- obviously, the consent of the other members of the Commission, and serve as Chairman.

But I wanted to also tell you that obviously I'll look for any assistance and guidance and support that the other Commissioners would be willing to offer.

MR. LEMAY: Speaking for myself, I'll certainly give you the support, any support I can. And I think historically it's been the -- not necessarily; if you go further back there's been other chairmen. But since, I think, I was Division Director I served as Chairman of the Commission. And for logistical purposes, sometimes having that in the Division office here lent itself to a smooth transition. I'm in the business, mainly, although going

1 back in the past, I know there's been other chairmen, and also the conducting of the meetings wouldn't have to be 2 done by the Chairman. That in the past has changed too. 3 But for the last 11 years, there's reasons for 4 that, I think. It serves the Commission well to have the 5 Division Director as Chairman. I certainly would support 6 your candidacy in that regard, Lori. 7 MS. WROTENBERY: I appreciate that. 8 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, it's certainly 9 logical for the Division Director to be the Chairman of the 10 Commission, not only for the support staff but because of 11 12 the intimate knowledge of the cases that are presented to the Commission. 13 And so for those reasons I have no objection at 14 15 all to continuing that 11-year, so far, tradition. MS. WROTENBERY: I appreciate that. We'll take 16 formal action, then, on that particular issue at the next 17 meeting after it's been duly posted. But I think that 18 19 probably means, Lyn, that it would be okay for me to continue to serve at this meeting in the role --20 MS. HEBERT: Acting --21 MS. WROTENBERY: -- as Chairman, as a practical 22 23 matter. 24 MS. HEBERT: Acting Chairman, yes. 25 If you need a motion, I so move, MR. LEMAY:

that --1 MS. WROTENBERY: Okay, that sounds great. 2 3 Let's see. MS. WROTENBERY: There's also the 4 matter of the appointment of a hearing officer to hear 5 preliminary matters before the Commission in adjudicatory 6 7 hearings, and at this point the type of preliminary matters 8 that I have seen come across my desk in the first few weeks here have been matters such as subpoenas, requests for 9 continuances. Bill, you may be able to think of some other 10 examples. 11 MR. LEMAY: We'll we certainly get plenty of this 12 recently, subpoenas, motions to quash subpoenas and other 13 adjudicatory matters. 14 So if it's appropriate, I think now would be the 15 time to take up the hearing officer issue. 16 17 MS. WROTENBERY: Okay. And I also understand, I think, again, that the Oil Conservation Division Director 18 has been serving in that role to try to facilitate the 19 process of moving these cases along. Is there any 20 discussion on that particular issue? 21 MR. LEMAY: That works well, it has worked well 22 in the past. 23 24 MS. WROTENBERY: I guess I'm open to a motion to

designate the Oil Conservation Division Director as the

25

1 hearing officer to hear preliminary matters. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I so move. 2 MR. LEMAY: Second. 3 MS. WROTENBERY: All in favor? 4 5 MR. LEMAY: Aye. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. 6 7 8 MS. WROTENBERY: Okay. As a fourth item of business, we have an annual resolution regarding notice 9 standards for Commission public meetings. And Lyn, were 10 you prepared to address that particular issue? 11 MS. HEBERT: Yes, Commissioners, every year 12 13 you're required to adopt your resolution on what is the notice you're going to provide for your public meeting. 14 And in the past your notice for your regular meeting has 15 been notice published at least 30 days in advance in a 16 paper of general circulation in the state, posting the 17 notice and providing the notice to any broadcast 18 19 stations -- radio, television -- that have requested such 20 notice. Now, your practice has also been to mail out a 21 22 docket of -- hundreds of the docket and agendas that include the notice of the meeting, of course, to anyone who 23 requests that, and I think that that mailing list just 24 25 continues to grow. I'm not sure anybody ever falls off of

it; I think it just continues to be added to.

So this resolution before you today, the only change there is from the resolution that was adopted last year is the provision that any other person, in addition to the broadcast station that is licensed by the FCC, that any other person who requests notice will be mailed that notice. And it's just formalizing what your practice has always been.

We may be a little bit more sensitive to this issue this year because of a recent district court case where the district court judge in Aztec, Judge Byron Caton, stated that he wanted the Commission to understand he did not believe the notice that we were writing for our public rule-making was adequate due process.

And we argued to the judge that in the instance where you are considering a rule-making that covers half a million acres and that has hundreds and thousands of interest owners, that constructive service or service by publication is the only kind of service that's going to be possible because the persons to be served aren't readily ascertainable. It would take hundreds of thousands of dollars and months and months to discover a list of people who, in the meantime, would be changing.

He didn't agree with us. I still believe that the Commission's notice is all that reasonably can be

expected, and I think by going the extra step of providing 1 notice to anyone who requests it of the continuing public 2 meetings that you are in compliance with the Constitutional 3 4 due process considerations. 5 So I just am passing on to you the opinion of one district court judge, and we are challenging that decision 6 7 in the Supreme Court. So the resolution before you is just like last 8 year's with that one little addition about mailing out to 9 10 any new requests. 11 That's all I have. 12 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Are the dockets also 13 available on the Internet? 14 MS. HEBERT: I don't know. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes. 15 16 MS. HEBERT: Are they? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: So that's additional notice 17 18 to the party? 19 MS. HEBERT: Apparently so. MS. WROTENBERY: Commissioner Bailey or 20 Commissioner LeMay, do you have any thoughts on this 21 22 particular issue, given your experience working under 23 these --I think we've always been challenged 24 MR. LEMAY: in some form or fashion as to our notice requirements. 25

go back to the Uhden decision, that's one we'd like to 1 revisit and maybe will revisit in some case. 2 3 But we've tried, and I think succeeded, at getting public notice out to anyone who wishes it, and 4 5 through all kinds of media, so I think we're doing all we can in a practical sense. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Sounds like it to me too. MR. LEMAY: So is it appropriate to entertain a 8 9 motion to continue the public meetings? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: To adopt this --10 MR. LEMAY: To adopt the public-meeting motion or 11 the public-meeting resolution? So I move we adopt the 12 public-notice resolution. 13 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I second. 14 15 MS. WROTENBERY: All in favor say aye. 16 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 17 MR. LEMAY: Aye. 18 MS. WROTENBERY: The motion is adopted -- or the 19 resolution is adopted. Are there any other business items, then, that we 20 need to take up at this point, or shall we proceed to the 21 22 docketed cases here? 23 24 MS. WROTENBERY: What I thought I might do, we 25 had quite a lengthy docket here initially, but a number of

the cases have either been postponed or dismissed or the applications have been withdrawn. I thought I might just make a note of those type of activities for anybody who's interested in those particular cases before we proceed to the cases that remain on the docket.

Let's see. First of all, we had some cases postponed until April 9th at the request of the parties.

Case 11,705, the Application of the Oil
Conservation Division to amend Order R-8170, as amended,
"General Rules for the Prorated Pools of New Mexico", that
case has been postponed to April 9th at the request of the
Oil Conservation Division.

Case 11,807, Application of Stevens & Tull, Inc., for saltwater disposal, Lea County, New Mexico, that case has been continued to April 9th at the request of the Applicant, Stevens and Tull.

Case Number 11,838, the Application of Premier
Oil and Gas, Inc., to have a wellbore of its included in
the Avalon (Delaware) Unit operated by Exxon Company, USA,
Eddy County, New Mexico, that Application has been
continued to April 9th at the request of the parties.

And then one more continuance to April 9th, that's Case 11,809, the Application of Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company for compulsory pooling an unorthodox gas well location and a nonstandard proration unit in San

Juan County, New Mexico, again, that case has been continued to April 9th at the request of the parties.

MS. WROTENBERY: We also have a number of cases that have been dismissed.

Case 11,579, the Application of Pogo Producing
Company for a pressure maintenance project in Lea County,
New Mexico, that case has been dismissed at the request of
the Applicant.

Case 11,844, the Application of Chesapeake

Operating, Inc., for an unorthodox oil well location in Lea

County, New Mexico, that case has been dismissed at the

request of the parties.

Case 11,894, the Application of Chesapeake

Operating, Inc., for an unorthodox oil well location in Lea

County, New Mexico, again, that case has been dismissed at

the request of the parties.

And then Case 11,808, Application of Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company for compulsory pooling and a nonstandard gas proration and spacing unit in San Juan County, New Mexico, that particular Application has been withdrawn by the Applicant, so the case has been dismissed.

And I understand also that we need to vacate the order that had previously been issued in that case. Is that something we need to do by Motion, or is that just

1	something we need to acknowledge in the record here?
2	MS. HEBERT: Just acknowledge it in the record.
3	MS. WROTENBERY: Okay. So just We're just
4	making a note there that the case has been dismissed and
5	that the order is hereby vacated.
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
7	9:15 a.m.)
8	* * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
L9	
0 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 27th, 1998.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 {505} 827-7131

February 18, 1998

Mr. J. Scott Hall Post Office Box 1986 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1986 Mr. J.E. Gallegos 460 St. Michaels Dr. #300 Santa Fe, NM 87505

Mr. W. Thomas Kellahin Post Office Box 2265 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2265

Re: CASES NO.s 11808 & 11809 (De Novo)
Application of Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company for Compulsory Pooling

# Gentlemen:

Mr. Kellahin has requested that Burlington's application for compulsory pooling, Scott Well No. 24, be withdrawn. That request is hereby granted. Consequently, Case No. 11808 will be dismissed at the February 26, 1998 Oil Conservation Commission hearing.

Mr. Hall has requested a continuance of the remaining case of the above-referenced consolidated cases, Case No. 11809. I understand that his request is not opposed by the other parties. Therefore, Case No. 11809 will be continued from the February 26, 1998 hearing to a later date.

Sincerely

Lori Wrotenbery

**Director**