WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, (A) El Paso entered into a Gas Rights Sale Agreement with Lucerne Corporation on March 31, 1953, under which El Paso acquired from Lucerne Corporation certain of its right, title, and interest in certain lands and leases located in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico, subject to the reservation by Lucerne Corporation of an interest denominated as an "overriding royalty interest" (the said Gas Rights Sale Agreement is hereinafter sometimes referred to as "GLA-66"); and

WHEREAS, (B) LaForce, <u>et al</u>. have succeeded to or otherwise acquired the interests of Lucerne Corporation under GLA-66; and

WHEREAS, (C) GLA-66 required El Paso to pay an overriding royalty on gas at an initial amount of five cents (5¢) per Mcf, escalating to ten cents (10¢) per Mcf, and required El Paso to pay such overriding royalty at such amount as would be redetermined for each successive five-year period beginning April 24, 1968; the overriding royalty to be paid during each such five-year period was to be determined by agreement, if possible, or by artibration if agreement could not be reached; El Paso was also required to pay a higher overriding royalty pursuant to a "favored nations" clause contained in GLA-66; and

WHEREAS, (D) El Paso and LaForce et al (the then owners of the overriding royalty interest reserved and retained by Lucerne Corporation in GLA-66) entered into an agreement dated

BEFORE EXAMINER CATANACH
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
EXHIBIT NO. 2

CASE NO. 1186 - 1189

as of October 29, 1974 (titled "Settlement Agreement") resolving certain issues between the parties which had arisen under GLA-66, said agreement of October 29, 1974 being herein referenced as "1974 Settlement Agreement"; and

WHEREAS, (E) On August 1, 1983, El Paso gave notice of reassignment of the properties it had acquired under GLA-66, effective October 1, 1983; concurrently, El Paso filed a declaratory judgment action in the 11th Judicial District Court in Houston, Texas, in Case No. 83-50539 requesting a finding that El Paso has the contractual right to make such reassignments; in addition, El Paso filed a petition with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Docket No. CI83-356 requesting an order (i) requiring the overriding royalty owners to seek and obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act authorizing the sale in interstate commerce to El Paso of natural gas for resale from the reassigned lease as successors to El Paso and requiring them to file rate schedules applicable to such sales, and (ii) confirming payment procedures and the prices which the overriding royalty owners may charge for the sale of gas to El Paso, subject to refund, during the interim period commencing October 1, 1983, and continuing until final Commission disposition of such certificate and rate filings; and

WHEREAS, (F) on February 27, 1984, the District Court in Case No. 83-50539 denied El Paso's request for declaratory relief and El Paso subsequently filed an appeal in the Court of Appeals for the First Supreme Judicial District of Texas, Case No. 01-84-0350-CV; on August 17, 1986, the Court of Appeals issued its opinion affirming in part and reversing in part the District Court's holding with respect to El Paso's attempted reassignments; El Paso and LaForce, et al., have filed motions for rehearing with the Court of Appeals; and

WHEREAS, (G) it can be expected that judicial review of El Paso's contractual right to reassign the lease it acquired pursuant to GLA-66 may require a substantial amount of time to resolve; and

WHEREAS, (H) El Paso has assigned its rights and duties under GLA-66, as amended, and the 1974 Settlement Agreement to its affiliate El Paso Production Company, and El Paso's affiliate Meridian now has the responsibility for administering such rights and duties and in operating such properties in behalf of El Paso and El Paso Production Company; and

WHEREAS, (I) El Paso/Meridian and LaForce, \underline{et} \underline{al} . are concerned with the uncertainties that will exist, the expense that will be incurred, and the time that will elapse prior to the final resolution of the pending litigation; and

WHEREAS, (J) El Paso/Meridian and LaForce, et al. are desirous of settling the pending litigation in Case No. 83-50539 and in Case No. 01-84-0350-CV and of avoiding all further disputes relating to GLA-66 and the 1974 Settlement Agreements.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises herein contained and in reliance upon the representations and warranties of each of the several parties hereto, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Effective as of September 1, 1986, GLA-66 as heretofore amended and the 1974 Settlement Agreement shall be further amended by execution of all the parties of an instrument titled "Amendment" in the exact form as that attached hereto as Appendix I, herein referred to as the "1986 Amendment".
- Effective as of September 1, 1986, LaForce et al shall convey to El Paso/Meridian one-third (1/3rd) of the

overriding royalty interest owned by LaForce et al which was created by GLA-66, as heretofore amended, including the 1974 Settlement Agreement and as further amended by the 1986 Amendment.

- El Paso/Meridian shall pay LaForce et al Four and One-Half Million Dollars (\$4.5 Million) as of December 31, 1986.
- 4. The overriding royalty payable by El Paso/Meridian under GLA-66, as amended, shall be paid monthly on or before the last day of the next calendar month following the month for which such overriding royalties are payable, except as provided below:
 - a. For the period September 1, 1986, through August 31, 1987, El Paso/Meridian shall not make any overriding royalty payments, unless the total overriding royalties payable for this period exceeds Four and One-Half Million Dollars (\$4.5 Million), in which event LaForce et al shall be entitled to overriding royalties over \$4.5 Million, which shall be paid on or before October 31, 1987.
 - b. For the period September 1, 1987 through August 31, 1988 and for like annual periods for three (3) additional years ending August 31, 1991, LaForce et al's overriding royalty payments shall not be less than Three Million Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$3.875 Million). On or before October 31, 1988, 1989, 1990 and 1991, El Paso/Meridian shall compute the full overriding royalty paid to LaForce et al for the respective preceding annual period ending August 31, and if such amount is less than Three Million Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$3.875 Million), shall tender payment for this difference to LaForce et al.
 - c. If payment is not made within such time as set forth in subparagraphs 4a and 4b above, the unpaid balance of each such required payment shall bear interest at the rate of nine percent (9%) per annum until paid, plus attorney's fees, court costs, and other costs incurred in connection with the collection of unpaid amounts.
- 5. The percentage of the total overriding royalty interest created under GLA-66, as amended, held by LaForce et al as of August 31, 1986 is that percentage set out in Exhibit "A" hereto and LaForce et al each represent and warrant that he, she or it holds and owns such percentage, that he, she or it has good and sufficient title thereto, that such interest is free and unencumbered, and that he, she or it has the right to convey such interest. LaForce et al do further agree and covenant that each will furnish such evidence and assurance of title as may be reasonably requested by El Paso/Meridian to establish ownership in themselves of such percentage of interest as set out in said Exhibit "A" hereto.
- 6. If any party of LaForce et al elects not to participate in this Settlement Agreement, the total percentage of the overriding royalty to be conveyed under Paragraph 2 hereof and the amounts payable under Paragraphs 3 and 4 hereof by El Paso/Meridian to LaForce et al shall be reduced by the percentage of ownership interest attributable to such non-settling party as of September 1, 1986.
- As of September 1, 1986, for the period prior to September 1, 1986, LaForce, et al. do hereby release, acquit, and

forever discharge El Paso/Meridian, their agents, employees, affiliates, and all persons, natural or corporate, in privity with them or any one of them, and El Paso/Meridian do hereby likewise release, acquit, and forever discharge LaForce, et al., their agents, employees, and all persons, natural or corporate, in privity with them or any one of them, from any and all claims, demands, or causes of action of any kind whatsoever arising out of or in any manner related to (i) all right, title, and interest in and to those oil and gas leases conveyed to El Paso by LaForce, et al's. predecessors-in-interest pursuant to GLA-66, (ii) GLA-66, as amended by any documents other than the Amendment attached hereto as Appendix I, and (iii) the 1974 Settlement Agreement.

- 8. A. El Paso/Meridian and LaForce et al shall execute an agreement to dismiss the cause of action in Case No. 01-84-00350-CV and file such agreement with the Court of Appeals, whereby they request the Court of Appeals to dismiss the cause of action as between them. LaForce et al shall execute a motion to dismiss and shall file such motion in the 11th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, in Cause No. 83-50539-A, whereby LaForce et al shall dismiss with prejudice their causes of action against El Paso/Meridian.
 - B. El Paso/Meridian shall, immediately after execution of this Settlement Agreement, pay to LaForce et al attorney fees in the amount of \$71,000.00.
- 9. The obligations of El Paso/Meridian under paragraphs 3 and 4 hereof shall be subject to no claim of force majeure by El Paso/Meridian, and El Paso/Meridian hereby warrants its/their performance thereunder.
- 10. In the event the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission should undertake consideration of El Paso's filing in CI83-356, then in such event, El Paso shall immediately move to dismiss such docket as to the LaForce, et al. parties signatory hereto and the interests represented thereby, as moot.
- 11. This Settlement Agreement contains the complete understanding of the parties hereto concerning the subject matter hereof.
- 12. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their successors in interest.
- 13. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and it shall be binding upon each of the parties who execute the same regardless of whether or not it is executed by all of the LaForce et al.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Settlement Agreement on the date first above written.

EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY

EL PASO PRODUCTION COMPANY
By: Whack
D. L. BLACIC
MERIDIAN OIL, INC.
By: Whole by:
Walson to took
W. Watson Laforce, Jr.
Suzanne LaForce Baber
Nancy C. Bard, Co-Trustee for Douglas W. Bard
FIRST INTERSTATE BANK OF ARIZONA
Ву:
Co-Trustee for Douglas W. Bard
James C. Bard
James C. Bard
Ralph A. Bard, Jr.
Roy E. Bard, Jr.
Guy R. Brainard, Jr., Trustee for Guy R. Brainard, Jr. Trust
Lola Ward Brainard, Trustee for Guy R. Brainard, Jr. Trust
CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
Ву:
Trustee for Trust Number 23935
CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
By:
Trustee for Trust Number 23949

David I Hallan David
David Waller Dangler
Eleanor Isham Dunne
Licanor Isham banne
Charles Wells Farnham, Jr.
•
•
Robert B. Farnham
•
Walter B. Farnham
Elizabeth B. Farrington
Directi B. Tarlington
Minnie A. Fitting
•
Dalah II Pinning To Proces
Ralph U. Fitting, Jr. Estate
By
Ву
J. Robert Jones
•
Robert D. Fitting

. . .

Nancy H. Gerson
John R. Grimes
·
Kay B. Gundlach
Ruth N. Halls
Cortland T. Hill
Elsie F. Hill
Louis W. Hill, Jr.
Louis W. Hill, Jr. "
Albert L. Hopkins, Jr.
George S. Isham
Virginia W. Isham, Executrix of the Estate of Henry P. Isham, Jr.
Robert T. Isham

Josephine C. Jacobson
J. Robert Jones
•
N. T. T. W.
Nancy Laforce Keyes
· .
Frederick P. G. Lattner, Trustee
under Trust Agreement for Martha M. Lattner, Settlor
H. Latther, Settlor
Mary F. Love
•
R. S. MacDonald, Trustee for Trust
of N. S. MacDonald, Deceased
A. MacDonald, Trustee for Trust
of N. S. MacDonald, Deceased
NORTHERN TRUST CO.
Ву
Trustee for Trust of N. S.
MacDonald, Deceased
ŕ
W. J. McDermott, Successor Trustee for the William E. Simpson Revocable
Trust
NORTHERN TRUST CO.
MONINERN INDSI CO.
Ву
•
Trustee for the John Stuart Trust

Nora R. Ranney
Catherine H. Ruml
Edward L. Ryerson, Jr.
•
SABINE ROYALTY TRUST
Ву
Roger D. Shaw, Trustee of the
John I. Shaw and Roger D. Shaw Trust
Eulah P. Shaw, Trustee of the
John I. Shaw and Roger D. Shaw Trust
John M. Simpson, Trustee for
James Simpson, Jr. Trust
William Simpson, Trustee for James Simpson, Jr. Trust
UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK
Ву
Trustee of the Michael Simpson T
UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK
Ву
Manager of the Board (B)

Sydney Stein, Jr.
ESTATE OF ROBERT DOUGLAS STUART
Ву
William P. Sutter
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DES PLAINES
Ву
Trustee for the F. F. Webster Revocable Trust
F. F. Webster, Jr., Trustee for the F. F. Webster Revocable Trust
Katharine I. White
Mary S. Zick

CORPORATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF MIDLAND	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
appeared D.L. RLACK	, known to me to be the person whose name is
subscribed to the foregoing instrument, as LICE FRE a corporation, and acknowledged to me that he executed the capacity stated, and as the act and deed of said corporation.	SIDENT OF EL DASO PRUDICTION COMPA
Given under my hand and seal of office this the	day of January A. D. 19
JUNE JUDKINS Motery Public, State of Ticres My Commission Expires	Notary Public in and for Dud Car L. County, Texas.
CORPORATION THE STATE OF TEXAS	ACENOWLEDGMENT
COUNTY OF MIDLAND	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
appeared D. L. BLACK	, known to me to be the person whose name is
a corporation, and acknowledged to me that he executed the capacity stated, and as the act and deed of said corporation	se same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the
Hotary Public, State of Toxas	- day of Junary A. D. 19 60
My Commission Expires #1=2558	Notary Public in and for Neckland County, Texas.
·	
CORPORATION THE STATE OF TEXAS	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
COUNTY OF EL PASS	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
appeared Donald J. Mac Iver Jr.	, known to me to be the person whose name is
	e same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the
Given under my hand and seal of office this the	2th day of January A. D. 19 87
BILLIE JUNE'SCHWAB	Billie June Dehivsto
Notery Public In and for STATE of TEXAS My Commission Expires 07-21-87	Notary Public in and for Staso County, Texas.
CORPORATION	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
	, known to me to be the person whose name is
subscribed to the foregoing instrument, as	of
	day of
	Notary Public in and forCounty, Texas.
CORPORATION THE STATE OF TEXAS	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
COUNTY OF	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
	, known to me to be the person whose name is
subscribed to the foregoing instrument, as	e same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the
and the second s	A. D. 19
	Notary Public in and forCounty, Texas.

•	•
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
CCUNTY OF 1715LAND	
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally	appeared LU. INATSON LAFORCE IR
	(are) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that
executed the same as the tree act and deed for the purposes	
My Commission Expires Notary Public in and for County, Texas. Notary's Printed Name: SINGLE ACKNOWLEDGMENT SINGLE ACKNOWLEDGMENT Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared DUNTY OF whose name is (are) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that cuted the same as free act and deed for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this day of 19	
My Commission Expires JUNE JUDKINS	Mune Minken
My Commission Expires 11-28-58	Notary Public in and for Der Aland County, Texas.
- Constitution of the Cons	
	Notary's Printed Name:
SINGLE	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally :	appeared
executed the same as free act and deed for the purposes :	and consideration therein expressed.
Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	19
My Commission Expires	
	Notary Public in and for County, Texas.
	Notary's Printed Name:
SINCLE	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	•
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally	appeared
known to me to be the person whose name is	(are) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that
executed the same as free act and deed for the purposes	and consideration therein expressed.
Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	, 19
My Commission Expires	
	Notary Public in and for County, Texas.
	rounty form in and for
	Notary's Printed Name:
SINGLE	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally	appeared
Defore the are discovering an arms any personal,	
known to me to be the person whose name is	(are) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that
executed the same as free act and deed for the purposes	
Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	f, 19,
My Commission Expires	
The second secon	Notary Public in and for County, Texas.
	County, Texas.

EXHIBIT "A" TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT DATED AS OF OCTOBER 16, 1986

W. Watson LaForce

1.48635%

AMENDMENT

This amendatory agricument is entered into as of September 1, 1986, by and between EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY ("El Paso"), EL PASO PRODUCTION COMPANY, and RERIDIAN OIL INC. ("Meridian"); (hereinafter sometimes collectively referred to as "El Paso'Meridian") and W. WATSON LAFORCE, JR., SUZANNE LEFORCE PASER, NARCY C. BARD and FIRST INTERGIATE BANK OF AGIZONA, CC-TRUSTES for DCUGLAS N. BARD, JAMES C. BARD, RALPH A. BARH, R. R. R. BERD, JR., GUY R. BRAINARD, JR. and LOLA WARD REALMARD, TRUSTES for DCUGLAS N. BARD, JAMES C. BARD, RALPH A. BARH, R. R. RESTEES for CUY R. BRAINARD, JR., TRUST, CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS MATICNAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTES for CUY R. BRAINARD, JR., TRUST, CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTES for TRUST NUMBER 23949, DAVID WALLER DANGLER, ELZANOR ISHAM DUNNE, CHARLES WELLS FARNHAM, JR., ROBERT B. FARNHAM, ELIZABETH B. FARRINGTON, MINNIE A. FITTING, RALPH U. FITTING, JR. ESTATE, J. ROBERT JONES, ROBERT D. FITTING, NANCY H. GERSON, JOHN R. GRIMES, KAY B. GUNDLACH, RUTH N. HALLS, CONTEMBN T. HILL, RESIR F. HILL, LOUIS W. HILL, JR., ALBERT L. HOPKINS, JR., GEORGE S. ISHAM, VIRGINIA W. ISHAM, EXECUTRIX Of the ESTATE OF HERRY P. ISHAM, VIRGINIA W. ISHAM, SKAW ISHAM & COMPANY, JOSEPHINE C. JACOBSON, J. ROBERT JONES, NANCY LAFORCE KEYES, FEDERIC P. G. LATTNER, TRUSTEE under TRUST AGREEMENT for MARTHA M. LATTNER, SETTLOR, MARY F. LOVE, R. S. MACOONALD AND A. MECOONALD AND NORTHERN TRUST CO., TRUSTEES FOR THE STUDY, NORTHERN TRUST OF N. S. MECDONALD AND NORTHERN TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF N. S. MECDONALD, DECEMBED, JR., ABRIET TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF THE WILLIAM E. SIMPSON REVOCABLE TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF THE WILLIAM E. SIMPSON REVOCABLE TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF THE WILLIAM E. SIMPSON REVOCABLE TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF THE WILLIAM E. SIMPSON REVOCABLE TRUST, NORTHERN TRUST OF THE WILLIAM E. SIMPSON TRUSTEES OF THE FORM TRUSTEE FOR THE STATES OF THE FORM TRUSTEE FOR THE STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, TRUSTEE OF THE FORM TRUST. UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, TR

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, El Paso entered into a Gas Rights Sale Agreement with Lucerne Corporation on March 31, 1953, under which El Paso acquired from Lucerne Corporation certain of its right, title, and interest in certain lands and lesses located in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico, subject to the reservation by Incerne Corporation of an interest denominated as an "overriding royalty interest" (the said Gas Rights Sale Agreement is hereinafter sometimes referred to as "GLA-66"); and

WHEREAS, LaForce, et al. have succeeded to or otherwise acquired the interests of Lucerne Corporation under GLA-66; and

WHEREAS, El Paso and LaForce et al (the then owners of the overriding royalty interest reserved and retained by Lucerne Corporation in GIA-65) entered into an agreement dated as of October 29, 1974 (titled "Settlement Agreement") resolving certain issues between the parties which had arisen under GLA-66, said agreement of October 29, 1974 being herein referenced as "1974 Sottlement Agreement", and

WHEREAS, the parties to this amendatory agreement desire to amend GLA-66 and the 1974 Settlement Agreement in the particulars hereinafter set forth but only in the particulars hereafter set forth:

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows: .

Amendment to GLA 66 and 1974 Settlement Agreement

- 1. Effective September 1, 1986 the overriding royalty interest on gas payable by El Pasc/Meridian to LaForce et al shall be Eighty-Two and One-Half Percent (82.53) of the proceeds received by El Pasc/Meridian for sale and delivery of gas at the well under a gas sales agreement negotiated in good faith between Buyer and Selier which among other provisions, obligates the purchaser
 - (i) to reimburse Seller for all production and severance taxes, and ad valorem taxes; provided, however, if the Purchaser refuses to obligate itself to reimburse Seller for any or all of such taxes, balloree et alla overriding royalty interest shall bear its proportionate part of any such taxes not reimbursed to Seller;
 - (ii) to adjust the purchase price per MCF of gas for BTU content in the usual and customery manner on the basis of 1000 ETU per cubic foot, it being understood that the overriding royalty interest owners will mot receive any process for the sale or value of natural gas liquids removed from the gas other than at the well, nor will such owners be charged any transportation or processing charges.
- 2. Effective as of September 1, 1986, the overriding royalty interest on liquid hydrocarbons, including condensate and distillate, produced with the gas and separated on the lease from which the gas is produced, shall be eighty-two and one-half percent (82.3%) of the net proceeds received by El Paso/Meridian for the sale of such liquid hydrocarbons; provided, however, Laforce et al shall have the option to take-in-kind and market on their own behalf such liquid hydrocarbons attributable to their overriding royalty interest at any time and from time to time.
- 3. A. The foregoing paragraphs number 1 and 2 of this agreement shall be in lieu of and a substitute for Article III, Section 2 of GLA-66, and in lieu of and a substitute for paragraphs numbered 1, 2 and 3 of the 1974 Settlement Agreement. Further, Article IV, Section 2, Article V, Section 1, all of Article VI, all of Article VIII, and all of Article X of GLA-66 shall be deleted from GLA-66 and shall no longer be of force and effect; and, further, paragraphs numbered 4, 5, and 6 of the 1974 Settlement Agreement shall be deleted from the 1974 Settlement Agreement and shall no longer be of force and effect.
 - B. Article I, Sections 13 and 15 of CLA-66 shall be deleted and the Following shall be inserted as a new Article I, Section 13 of GLA-66:
 - the term "oil well" and the term "gas well" shall mean a well which is classified as an oil well or a gas well by the laws of the State of New Mexico, including rules and regulations of the New Mexico Conservation Commission."
 - C. Article IX, Sections 1 and 2, shall be deleted in their entirety and the following shall be

inserted as a new Article IX, Sections 1 and 2:

"Section 1. Upon request each party Shall furnish to the other promptly the well logs, pressure and volume data, laboratory tests and all other technical data in connection with any well which may be drilled by either party on the subject lands.

Section 2. El Paso agrees to notify Lucerne promptly of all shows of oil in any well which may be drilled hereafter by or for El Paso on the subject lands. Lucerne, at all times, shall have access to the derrick floor of any and all wells drilled on the subject lands."

- 4. Payments under paragraphs 2 and 3 above for gas deliveries and sales of liquid hydrocarbons shall be made by El Paso no later than the last day of the calendar month next following the month of such gas deliveries and sales of liquid hydrocarbons.
- 5. Except as herein specifically amended, GLA-66 and the 1974 Settlement Agreement shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with the agreements therein contained.
- This agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of El Paso/Meridian and each of LaForce et al who execute this agreement.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and it shall be binding upon each of the parties who execute the same regardless of whather or not it is executed by all of the LaForce et al.

In Witness Whereof, the parties have executed this agreement effective as of the date first above written.

EL FASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY

By: Donald for Mac Iver, Vr.

EL PASO PRODUCTION COMPANY

By: 1) A Cack

MERIDIAN OIL, INC.

1/1/1/11

W. Watson LaForce; Jr.

Sunnine inforce Baber

CORPORATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF ALD LANG REFORE ME, the undereigned nuthority, on this day perconally appeared . . . D. L. ... known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, as will be ERES HISTON OS to A 19150 Thenbury seed Commenty a corporation, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the capacity stated, and as the net and deed of said corporation. Given under my hand and scal of office this the Notary Public in and the 77/2 & .Comiy, Texas. CORPORATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY DE. 622/22 AND BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally ., known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, earlie is Recommend 01-01-101 (CL 54 LCD) a corporation, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration lifetoin expressed, in the capacity stated, and as the not and deed of said corporation, Given under my hard and scal of office this that John Dimena Notary Public in and for Seir Lieux COMPONATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT THE STATE OF TEXAS BDFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on if is day personally known to me to be the person whose name le - View Presidentia Spille Natural Gas B. subscribed to the foregoing instrument, as, a corporation, and acknowledged to my that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the supporty status, and as the act and deed of said corporation. Given under my hand and seal of office this the... BILLIE JUNE SCHWAS Relarg Fubile 1 in a top thate of TEXAS Notary Public In and for A By Cammission Excises 07-21-07 CORPURATION ACRNOWLEDGMENT THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF ___ BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared , known to me to be the person where name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, asa corporation, and neknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, in the capacity stated, and as the act and deed of said corporation. Given under my hand and scal of office this the Notary Public in and for, County, Toxas. COMPORATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

SINGLE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

COUNTY OF THE PLANT	
	and the leton seed but many the
Action were the understance authority, on this day personally spin	valed the transfer of the same
have a transfer for the purpose whom some is the	e) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to no that described
Given under my hand and sent of office this 1/2 7/2 that of 1	Date of the second of the seco
My Commission Expites	7 13 16
sing manifeld	Notary Public in and for 1501 Ca - County, Texas.
JUME JUDKING	•
A CAMERA OF SALES AND SALES AND	No ary's Princed Name:
SINGLE AC	KNOWLEDOMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	
Telium one, the materalguest anotherity, on this day personally app	
known to but to be the person whose many	e) subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that
carculate the same as free act and dood for the propagates and	
Oiven under my hand and scal of office this day of any	T _p .
My Commission Expired	
	Notice Public in and for County, Torse.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Mantr's Priored Name:
	•
SINCLE AC	CKNOWLEDOMENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally app	ne sted
known to me to be the person whose name is t m	e) solute livel to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that
executed the same as free six and deed for the purposes are	d consideration, therein expressed.
Given under my hand and scal of office this	
My Commission Fagures	
	Nonree Public in and for
	Notary's Printed Name:
SINGLE AC	CKNOWLEDUMIENT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF	•
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally ap-	beated
known to me to be the person Whose name ti (ar	e) subseribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to nie that
executed the same as free set and deed for the purposes on	
Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	
file Britiste pt. gel Abigept	والمهيرين والمراود وأواف تربث عليور والمعاوضون كين وينسيسون فياد ويتهاك المشاهدة المستسب أشاء المياه ويتفاق فالمعاطمة
	Notice Public In and for County, Texas.
258, FOUND FRINTING A STATIGHERY COMPANY	Notary's Printed Nemer
270: FANNIN STORE T NO STON FERNE (1002	MODIL'S CLINES INSIDE

FILED
MISTRICT COURT
SAN JUAN COUNTY,
NM

Nov 3 2 19 PM 195

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF SAN JUAN ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

W. WATSON LAFORCE, JR., RALPH A. BARD JR., TRUSTEE, for RALPH A. BARD, JR. TRUST, RALPH A. BARD, JR., GEORGE M. BARD and TIMOTHY BARD JOHNSON TRUSTEES for BARD FAMILY TRUST, NANCY C. BARD, SHARON BARD WAILES, TRAVIS BARD and MARCY BARD, TRUSTEES for DOUGLAS N. BARD, TRUST, JAMES C. BARD, ROY E. BARD, JR., BANK OF AMERICA, AGENT ANTHONY BARD BOAND, GUY R. BRAINARD, JR., and LOLA WARD BRAINARD, TRUSTEES for GUY R. BRAINARD, JR. TRUST and LOLA WARD BRAINARD, TRUSTEE for GUY R. BRAINARD, JR. TRUST, DIANE DERRY, DOROTHY DERRY, JOAN DERRY, ELEANOR ISHAM DUNNE, CHARLES WELLS FARNHAM, JR., ROBERT B. FARNHAM, WALTER B. FARNHAM, ELIZABETH B. FARRINGTON, MINNIE FITTING, NANCY H. GERSON, KAY B. GUNDLACK, INDIANA UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION, NORMAN L. HAY, JR. TRUSTEE for the NORMAN L. HAY, JR. GS TRUST, FIRST BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, TRUSTEE for the JAMES J. HILL. III REVOCABLE TRUST, FIRST BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION as Personal Representative for LOUIS W. HILL, JR., ALBERT L. HOPKINS, JR., FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO & HARRIET STUART SPENCER CO-TRUSTEES U/A/W ROBERT D. STUART. HARRIET FUND, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO & ANNE STUART BATCHELDER CO-TRUSTEES U/A/W ROBERT D. STUART ANNE FUND, GEORGE S. ISHAM, VIRGINIA W. ISHAM and FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO, CO-TRUSTEES for HENRY P. ISHAM, JR. TRUST, ROBERT T. ISHAM, TRUSTEE FOR THE ROBERT T. ISHAM TRUST. ROBERT T. ISHAM & GEORGE S. ISHAM, and THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO, TRUSTEES for the ELIZABETH ISHAM TRUST, VIRGINIA W. ISHAM, JAMES E. PALMER, SUCCESSOR/TRUSTEE for MARTHA M. LATTNER TRUST, TEXAS COMMERCE BANK ASSOC., TRUSTEE for KEYES BABER PROPERTIES, MARY F. LOVE, GEORGE RANNEY, CAMBRIDGE TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTEE for MARITAL INCOME TRUST OF EDWARD L. RYERSON, CATHERINE H. RUML, SABINE ROYALTY TRUST, ARCH W. SHAW TRUSTEE for ARCH W. SHAW II TRUST, BRUCE P. SHAW, TRUSTEE for BRUCE P. SHAW TRUST, JOHN I. SHAW, JR. TRUSTEE for JOHN I. SHAW, JR. TRUST, JUDITH SHAW, TRUSTEE for JUDITH SHAW TRUST, WILLIAM W. SHAW, TRUSTEE for ROGER D. SHAW, JR. TRUST, SUSANNE SHAW, TRUSTEE for SUSANNE SHAW TRUST, ROGER D. SHAW, JR., TRUSTEE for WILLIAM W. SHAW TRUST, PATRICK J. HERBERT, III, TRUSTEE for WILLIAM SIMPSON TRUST, PATRICK J. HERBERT, III, TRUSTEE FOR U/A 2/9/79 FBO GWENDOLYN S. CHABRIER, PATRICK J. HERBERT, III, TRUSTEE for U/A 2/29/79 FBO JAMES F. CURTIS. THE U.S. TRUST COMPANY OF N.Y. and WILLIAMS SIMPSON, TRUSTEES for JAMES SIMPSON, JR. RESIDUARY TRUSTS, UNITED STATES

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

EXHIBIT NO. E

CASE NO. 11808 + 11809

TRUST CO. OF NEW YORK, TRUSTEE FOR THE MICHAEL SIMPSON TRUST, UNITED STATES TRUST CO. OF NEW YORK, TRUSTEE OF THE PATRICIA SIMPSON TRUST, HOPE SIMPSON, REX BATES, TRUSTEE for SIDNEY STEIN JR. SELF DECLARATION REVOCABLE TRUST, MARGARET STUART HART and THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY, CO-TRUSTEES U/A/W ROBERT D. STUART for the BENEFIT OF MARGARET STUART HART FUND, ROBERT D. STUART, JR. and THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY, CO-TRUSTEES U/A/W ROBERT D. STUART, ROBERT D. STUART JR. FUND, WILLIAM P. SUTTER, FIRST BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION TRUSTEE for F.F. WEBSTER, IV TRUST, FIRST BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION TRUSTEE for FREDERICK F. WEBSTER, JR., IV TRUST, KATHERINE I. WHITE, KATHERINE I. WHITE and MARY S. ZICK

vs.

NO. CV-92-645-1

EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, a corporation, and MERIDIAN OIL INC., a corporation,

	Defendants.	
		
	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT	

The plaintiffs seek relief in the form of damages for breaches of contract and tortious conduct by the defendants and for their claims state:

AND TORTIOUS CONDUCT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Each of the defendants Meridian Oil Inc. and El Paso Natural Gas Company (collectively "defendants"), is now and at the material times transacted business within New Mexico and has an agent who resides within New Mexico.
- 2. The statutory agent designated for service of process by each of the defendant corporations is C. T. Corporation System, 217 W. Manhattan, Santa Fe, in Santa Fe County, New Mexico. Venue in San Juan County is appropriate in accordance with N.M.S.A. 1978, Section 38-3-1D.(1).

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

- 3. Each of the plaintiffs is a partial successor-in-interest or the trustee for a trust which is a partial successor-in-interest to the Lucerne Corporation ("Lucerne") under the Gas Rights Sale Agreement of March 31, 1953, as amended, between Lucerne Corporation and El Paso Natural Gas Company (hereinafter referred to as "GLA-66" covering certain oil and gas properties located in the San Juan Basin in New Mexico. Plaintiffs are residents of various states, including Texas.
- 4. The overriding royalty interest of each plaintiffs which exists pursuant to GLA-66 and payment on which is the subject of dispute herein is respectively owned by the plaintiffs in the fractional amounts shown on Attachment "J" hereto and incorporated by reference.
- 5. Defendant, Meridian Oil Inc. ("Meridian"), is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Houston, Texas. Meridian explores for, develops and produces oil and natural gas with a major portion of this activity taking place in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico. Meridian is a subsidiary of Meridian Oil Holding, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Burlington Resources, Inc. The business of Meridian includes the actual management and operation of oil and gas properties in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico, including the subject properties under GLA-66, and the marketing of gas produced from those properties. Meridian's wholly owned subsidiary Meridian Oil Gathering Inc. owns and operates a field transportation system in the San Juan Basin (known as the MOGI Val Verde System) by which some gas subject to GLA-66 and produced from the Fruitland Formation is transported from the wells to the Val Verde treatment plant.
- 6. Defendant, El Paso Natural Gas Company ("El Paso"), is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in El Paso, Texas. El Paso owns and operates natural gas pipeline systems in interstate commerce in New Mexico and other states. During

times relevant, El Paso or its wholly owned subsidiary El Paso Production Company also has owned, developed and operated gas producing properties, a major portion of which are in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico. El Paso owns and operates the field transportation system in the San Juan Basin (known as GBLANCO) by which gas subject to GLA 66 is transported from the wells to the liquids processing plant.

7. Until June 30, 1992, El Paso was, at all pertinent times, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Burlington Resources, Inc. and a corporate affiliate of Meridian. In March 1992, Burlington Resources, Inc. caused El Paso to make an offering of about 15% of El Paso's outstanding common stock to the public. On June 30, 1992, Burlington Resources, Inc. distributed the remaining approximately 85% of the outstanding El Paso common stock to the holders of Burlington Resources, Inc.'s common stock. Prior to this time, Burlington Resources, Inc. caused El Paso to convey its oil and gas properties, including its interest in the subject GLA-66 properties, to Meridian or to Meridian's corporate affiliates.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

8. El Paso primarily obtains gas supplies in the southwest United States and delivers them via its natural gas pipeline system to California and to Texas, Nevada, Arizona and New Mexico. In the early 1950's there was a growing demand for gas consumption in California. To answer that demand El Paso set out to make agreements with holders of oil and gas leases in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico. These efforts resulted in the negotiation and execution of various Gas Lease Sale Agreements (so-called "GLAs") whereby leaseholders sold their interests to El Paso. In many cases, in consideration for the sale of leasehold interests, El Paso agreed to develop the properties, to take required volumes of gas produced from the properties, and to pay the lessees an overriding royalty on gas and liquids produced from the assigned leases.

- 9. GLA-66 is one of many similar agreements pertaining to leasehold interests in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico entered into by El Paso in the early 1950's. GLA-66 was executed on March 31, 1953, between El Paso and Lucerne Corporation, the then lessee of a certain United States oil and gas lease. GLA-66 is Attachment "A" to plaintiffs' original complaint and is adopted by reference.
- 10. Under the terms of Article II, Section 2 of GLA-66, El Paso agreed to acquire from Lucerne the entire working interest in the lease subject to GLA-66, insofar as such interests pertains to the right to explore for and produce gas from any zones and/or formations down to and including the base of the Mesaverde formation, which is inclusive of the Basin Fruitland coal seam gas formation ("Fruitland Formation").
- 11. Subject to the rights reserved and retained under GLA-66, Lucerne conveyed to El Paso a United States oil and gas lease, Serial Number SF 078389, dated March 1, 1951, covering the following described lands in San Juan County, New Mexico:

Township 31 North, Range 10 West, N.M.P.M.

Section 3:

S/2

Section 4:

SE/4

Section 9:

E/2, SW/4

Section 10:

N/2

Section 11:

All

Section 12:

W/2, NE/4, W/2 SE/4

Containing 2,480. acres more or less.

12. The acreage in GLA-66 Sections 11 and 12 is included within San Juan Unit 32-9 (the "Unit"), which was created by the interest owners of the included acreage and is governed by a Unit Agreement For The Development And Operation Of The San Juan 32-9 Unit Area, County of San Juan, State of New Mexico (hereinafter the "Unit Agreement") dated February 10, 1953. The operation and management of the exploration, development and production from acreage in the Unit is subject to the Unit Agreement, as amended, and also to the Unit Operating Agreement, as amended, also made on February 10, 1953, between El Paso

as operator of the San Juan 32-9 Unit and the non-operating interest owners. The Unit Agreement and Unit Operating Agreement are Attachments "B" and "C" to plaintiffs' original Complaint and are adopted by reference.

- 13. Of the remaining lands covered by GLA-66, the acreage in Sections 3, 4, 9 and 10 is included within the area covered by the Blanco Development Contract No. 2, dated October 1, 1952, as amended and supplemented. By that agreement, the United States Secretary of the Interior contracted with El Paso for the orderly development and ratable production of the applicable leases.
- 14. The lands covered by GLA-66 and the boundaries of San Juan Unit 32-9 are portrayed on Attachment "D" to the plaintiffs' original Complaint which are adopted by reference. Also shown on Attachment "D" are the drilling locations and proposed drilling locations of wells proposed by Meridian to recover gas from the Fruitland Formation.
- 15. Under the terms of Article III, Section 2, Subsections (a)-(c) of GLA-66, Lucerne retained unto itself, its successors, assigns and designees an overriding royalty on Lucerne's interest in all gas produced and saved from the lease and the subject lands or on gas produced and saved from an approved unit area and allocated to the subject lands and leases. The overriding royalty rate on gas was specified in GLA-66 as a certain amount per thousand cubic feet (Mcf) on all such gas produced and saved, with specified upward adjustments of the amount over a defined initial term of years. At the conclusion of that initial term, the Agreement provided that the parties would attempt to agree upon the overriding royalty to be paid for the next specified period and if they could not agree the royalty amount would be determined by a board of arbitrators based upon the then value of the gas at the wellhead.
- 16. In 1973, El Paso and Sun Oil Company (an overriding royalty owner under another GLA) were unable to come to terms on the overriding royalty rate to be payable by El Paso. Accordingly, a board of arbitrators was convened to hear the matter. The board of

arbitrators determined the amount of overriding royalty to be paid should be increased from ten (10¢) cents per Mcf to forty (40¢) per Mcf based on the then wellhead value of gas.

- 17. Following the board of arbitrators' ruling with respect to Sun Oil Company, other GLA owners sought to avail themselves of the benefits of the arbitration ruling under the favored nations clauses contained in their respective GLA's.
- 18. On October 19, 1974, plaintiffs and other royalty owners entered into a compromise settlement with El Paso (hereinafter the "1974 Settlement Agreement"), which modified the amount of overriding royalty on gas payable under GLA-66, while the parties continued to engage in extensive litigation for many years thereafter.
- 19. On information and belief, on July 16, 1986, El Paso executed a conveyance purportedly transferring its rights and duties under various agreements, including GLA-66, and the 1974 Settlement Agreement, to its affiliate, El Paso Production Company. On information and belief, such conveyance was taken as a preliminary action to an anticipated subsequent conveyance of the subject properties under GLA-66 from El Paso to Meridian or to an affiliate of Meridian.
- 20. At times relevant to this action Meridian has exercised certain of the responsibility for administering El Paso's rights and duties under GLA-66, as amended, and in developing and operating the properties subject to GLA-66.
- 21. The plaintiffs' overriding royalty remained advantageous to them and onerous to El Paso as the litigation between them drug on. In 1986 El Paso and Meridian sought from plaintiffs an agreement to relieve the burden of the then existing special overriding royalty.
- 22. By Settlement Agreement made effective as of October 16, 1986, by and between El Paso, El Paso Production Company, Meridian and plaintiffs ("1986 Settlement Agreement"), GLA-66 and the 1974 Settlement Agreement were amended in certain relevant respects. The 1986 Settlement Agreement provides in part as follows:

- 1. Effective as of September 1, 1986, GLA-66 as heretofore amended and the 1974 Settlement Agreement shall be further amended by execution of all the parties of an instrument titled "Amendment" in the exact form as that attached hereto as Appendix I, herein referred to as the "1986 Amendment."
- 2. Effective as of September 1, 1986, LaForce et al shall convey to El Paso/Meridian one-third (1/3rd) of the overriding royalty interest owned by LaForce et al which was created by GLA-66, as heretofore amended, including the 1974 Settlement Agreement and as further amended by the 1986 Amendment.

* * *

- 3. El Paso/Meridian shall pay LaForce et al Four and One-Half Million Dollars (\$4.5 Million) as of December 31, 1986.
- 4. The overriding royalty payable by El Paso/Meridian under GLA-66, as amended, shall be paid monthly on or before the last day of the next calendar month following the month for which such overriding royalties are payable, except as provided below:
 - a. For the period September 1, 1986, through August 31, 1987, El Paso/Meridian shall not make any overriding royalty payments, unless the total overriding royalties payable for this period exceeds Four and One-Half Million Dollars (\$4.5 Million), in which event LaForce et al shall be entitled to overriding royalties over \$4.5 Million, which shall be paid on or before October 31, 1987.
 - b. For the period September 1, 1987 through August 31, 1988 and for like annual periods for three (3) additional years ending August 31, 1991, LaForce et al's overriding royalty payments shall not be less than Three Million Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$3.875 Million). On or before October 31, 1988, 1989, 1990 and 1991, El Paso/Meridian shall compute the full overriding royalty paid to LaForce et al for the respective preceding annual period ending August 31, and if such amount is less than Three Million Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$3.875 Million), shall tender payment for this difference to LaForce et al.

The 1986 Settlement Agreement is Attachment "F" to the plaintiffs' original Complaint and is

adopted by reference.

23. The "1986 Amendment" referred to by Paragraph 1 of the 1986 Settlement Agreement provides in part as follows:

Amendment to GLA-66 and 1974 Settlement Agreement

- 1. Effective September 1, 1986 the overriding royalty interest on gas payable by El Paso/Meridian to LaForce et al shall be Eighty-Two and One-Half Percent (82.5%) of the proceeds received by El Paso/Meridian for sale and delivery of gas at the well under a gas sales agreement negotiated in good faith between Buyer and Seller which among other provisions, obligates the purchaser
 - to reimburse Seller for all production and severance taxes, and ad valorem taxes; provided, however, if the Purchaser refuses to obligate itself to reimburse Seller for any or all of such taxes, LaForce et al's overriding royalty interest shall bear its proportionate part of any such taxes not reimbursed to Seller;
 - (ii) to adjust the purchase price per MCF of gas for BTU content in the usual and customary manner on the basis of 1000 BTU per cubic foot, it being understood that the overriding royalty interest owners will not receive any proceeds for the sale or value of natural gas liquids removed from the gas other than at the well, nor will such owners be charged any transportation or processing charges.
- 2. Effective as of September 1, 1986, the overriding royalty interest on liquid hydrocarbons, including condensate and distillate, produced with the gas and separated on the lease from which the gas is produced, shall be eighty-two and one-half percent (82.5%) of the [net] proceeds received by El Paso/Meridian for the sale of such liquid hydrocarbons; provided, however, LaForce et al shall have the option to take-in-kind and market on their own behalf such liquid hydrocarbons attributable to their overriding royalty interest at any time and from time to time.
- 3. A. The foregoing paragraphs number 1 and 2 of this agreement shall be in lieu of and a substitute for Article III, Section 2 of GLA-66, and in lieu of and a substitute for paragraphs numbered 1, 2 and 3 of the 1974 Settlement Agreement. Further, Article IV, Section 2, Article V, Section 1, all of Article VI, all of

Article VIII, and all of Article X of GLA-66 shall be deleted from GLA-66 and shall no longer be of force and effect; and, further, paragraphs numbered 4, 5, and 6 of the 1974 Settlement Agreement shall be deleted from the 1974 Settlement Agreement and shall no longer be of force and effect.

The word "net" in the fifth line of Paragraph 2. was intended to be deleted; it was in some of the 1986 Amendments but inadvertently not in others. The "1986 Amendment" is attached to the 1986 Settlement Agreement at Attachment "F" to the original Complaint.

- 24. In negotiating the terms of the 1986 Amendment the defendants, by their agents, represented and assured to the plaintiffs that regarding the sale of gas under that amendment El Paso and Meridian "will act prudently to obtain the highest possible price for the sale of gas at the wellhead consistent with governmental regulations, market conditions, and production considerations. . .".
- 25. Due to the guaranteed maximum annual royalty payments for the years 1987, 1988, 1990 and 1991 as specified by the 1986 Settlement Agreement (Paragraph 21 above) the plaintiffs knew nothing concerning the sale of the subject gas other than they were informed each year by defendants that the royalties calculated under the formula of the 1986 Agreement did not amount to a total greater than the specified minimum annual royalty amount.
- 26. It was not until October 1991 that plaintiffs began to receive royalty payments supposedly calculated under the 1986 Amendment formula; months thereafter they began to be aware that the formula was being dishonored and that the representation and promise that defendants would act prudently to obtain the highest possible gas sales price was not being observed.
- 27. Beginning in 1989, if not earlier, and continuing to the present, Meridian has disposed of the gas produced from the GLA-66 wells by the mechanism of a sham "sale" to its wholly owned subsidiary Meridian Oil Trading, Inc. ("MOTI"). The intra corporate transfer between those affiliated corporations is not a market transaction of the character as intended by the letter

and spirit of the 1986 Amendment in calling for the "sale and delivery of gas at the well under a gas sale agreement negotiated in good faith between Buyer and Seller. . .".

28. MOTI is a mere instrumentality of Meridian and is completely dominated and controlled by Meridian, so that functionally and in terms of economic reality they are one and the same.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF BREACH OF CONTRACT — PAYMENT OF ROYALTY

- 29. The plaintiffs reallege by adoption Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
- 30. At all times relevant to the instant Amended Complaint, El Paso and Meridian have been obligated to make overriding royalty payments on the true quantity of gas and liquid hydrocarbons subject to GLA-66, as amended, pursuant to the terms of the 1986 Amendment adopted by the 1986 Settlement Agreement. Any and all conditions precedent to El Paso and Meridian's obligations to do so have been satisfied or waived.
- 31. Since about October 1991 (for production month August 1991) and continuing to the present time, El Paso and Meridian have breached their contractual obligations to pay the overriding royalty rate payable for gas and for liquid hydrocarbons under GLA-66, as amended by Paragraphs 1 and 2 of the 1986 Amendment. These breaches of contract include, without limitation, the following:
- a. Failure to make payment to plaintiffs based on proceeds actually received by El Paso/Meridian under gas sales agreements negotiated in good faith between Buyer and Seller and conforming in other respects to the requirements of the 1986 Amendment. Defendants have not made royalty payments on the basis of actual proceeds to El Paso/Meridian as determined by arm's-length sales of gas by El Paso/Meridian to unaffiliated third parties, but instead have made understated royalty payments based upon the so-called "MOTI Pool Price" which is an arbitrary accounting transfer with their affiliate MOTI.

- b. Arriving at the MOTI Pool Price by a "net-back" to the wellhead methodology which deducts both money and gas volumes for the transportation and processing of the gas.
- c. Failure to accurately and fully account to the plaintiffs on the actual amounts of gas and hydrocarbons which have been produced by the wells on the GLA 66 acreage or allocable to those wells due to federal unit participation.
- d. Failure to make payment to plaintiffs based on the proceeds received by El Paso/Meridian for the sale of liquid hydrocarbons produced with the gas and separated on the lease from which the gas is produced.
- e. Failure to negotiate in good faith an agreement for purchase and sale of gas on fair terms available in the market, including failure to seek terms that all taxes on the gas sales would be reimbursed by the buyer.
- 32. As a proximate result of the defendants' breach of contract, the plaintiffs have not been paid the amount of royalty to which they are entitled and have suffered other damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 33. El Paso/Meridians' conduct has been intentional, malicious, fraudulent, oppressive and undertaken with a wanton disregard of plaintiffs' rights under GLA-66 and the 1986 Amendment.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs pray judgment against the defendants El Paso Natural Gas Company and Meridian Oil Inc. for compensatory damages as proved at trial; for punitive damages; for pre-judgment interest; and for such further relief as appears proper.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF BREACH OF CONTRACT — FAILURE TO DEVELOP AND FAILURE TO PROTECT THE LEASEHOLD

34. The plaintiffs reallege by adoption Paragraphs 1 through 28.

- 35. On information and belief, during all times relevant to this action Meridian has had the responsibility for or has exercised control over performance of the defendants' obligations under GLA-66 to develop the subject properties and to protect the hydrocarbon reserves underlying these properties from waste and drainage. In addition, Meridian is the unit operator for the San Juan 32-9 Unit and is responsible for performance of the duties and obligations of the Unit Operator for the discovery, development and production of oil and gas in any and all formations of unitized land, including lands subject to GLA-66 lying inside the San Juan 32-9 Unit area, pursuant to the Unit Agreement. Any and all conditions precedent to Meridian's obligations to so act have been satisfied or waived.
- 36. No wells were commenced by defendants for developing the Fruitland Formation coal seam gas reserves underlying properties subject to GLA-66 until December 1992. The delay on the part of defendants to drill such wells has caused significant economic harm to plaintiffs. Defendants' delay in drilling wells on acreage subject to GLA-66 in the Fruitland Formation was a breach of defendants' obligation to develop the acreage subject to GLA-66.
- 37. Under current federal tax law, 26 U.S.C. § 29, gas produced from wells drilled into coal seam formations prior to January 1, 1993, will generate tax credits for each Mcf of gas sold to unrelated parties through the year 2002. Unless Fruitland Formation wells are producing gas the correlative tax credit value is lost. Defendants did not place the Fruitland Formation wells in which plaintiffs were interested on production until two wells in August 1993 and two remaining wells in October, 1993.
- 38. On information and belief, Meridian has embarked upon a plan of development intended to maximize the financial benefit of developing the Fruitland Formation to itself, while minimizing such benefits to plaintiffs.
- 39. Meridian's 1990 Plan Of Development for the San Juan 32-9 Unit included four wells located on the 1200 acres subject to GLA-66, as amended. However, by letter dated

February 26, 1991, Meridian informed the governmental agencies that ten (10) Fruitland wells included in the 1990 Plan Of Development had not been drilled and should be cancelled from the Plan Of Development. Among the wells listed to be cancelled were each of the four wells located on acreage subject to GLA-66. Meridian's February 28, 1990 and February 26, 1991 letters concerning the 1990 Plan Of Development for the San Juan 32-9 Unit are Attachments "G" and "H" to the plaintiffs' original complaint and are adopted by reference.

- 40. Were the obligations of development owed to plaintiffs by the defendants performed as required, Meridian would have drilled and put on production by at least in 1991 four wells on the GLA-66 acreage within the San Juan 32-9 Unit.
- 41. Meridian recognized the geological and economical appropriateness of drilling on GLA-66 acreage as evidenced by the initial inclusion of such wells on the 1990 Plan Of Development and by Meridian's commencement of a Fruitland Formation well ("Lucerne A-200") on GLA-66 acreage in the NE 1/4 of Section 10, Township 31 North, Range 10 West. On information and belief, Meridian plugged and abandoned the well prior to reaching the objective Fruitland Formation when the fact of its location on acreage subject to GLA-66 was recognized by Meridian. Meridian also staked several additional Fruitland Formation well locations on GLA-66 acreage inside and outside the San Juan 32-9 Unit but subsequently abandoned those locations prior to drilling.
- 42. The action of plugging and abandoning the Fruitland Formation well spudded on GLA-66 acreage, canceling GLA-66 wells from the 1990 Plan Of Development for the San Juan 32-9 Unit and abandoning locations already staked in order to avoid payments of royalties under GLA-66, as amended, demonstrates the intentional, willful, malicious and oppressive nature of the breach of Meridian's contractual obligations and obligations owed at law to plaintiffs.

- 43. Meridian's March 12, 1990, letter to the "Lucerne Group" states that if the October 1986 Settlement Agreement were modified to be, from Meridian's perspective, economical and to "allow for possible future development," Meridian would have amended the 1990 Plan Of Development and added the wells proposed by plaintiffs. On information and belief, defendants earlier refused to drill Fruitland Formation wells on acreage subject to GLA-66 in an unlawful effort to coerce plaintiffs to give up their existing rights under GLA-66, including their rights to have the GLA-66 acreage developed and protected from drainage by defendants.
- 44. By the failure and refusal to drill Fruitland Formation wells on lands subject to GLA-66, except upon conditions that go beyond the existing terms of GLA-66, as amended, and except after unjustified delay, Meridian intentionally breached its obligations to timely develop the GLA-66 acreage to the benefit of plaintiffs and to protect such properties from drainage.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs pray judgment against the defendants El Paso Natural Gas Company and Meridian Oil Inc. for compensatory damages as proved at trial and likewise for punitive damages; for pre-judgment interest and for such further relief as appears proper.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 45. The plaintiffs reallege by adoption Paragraphs 1 through 28.
- 46. The contractual relationship and the circumstances authorizing and placing reliance on defendants for the sale of the subject gas under an agreement negotiated in good faith between buyer an seller, give rise to a covenant implied in law of good faith and fair dealing.
- 47. As alleged herein, the defendants have purposely disregarded the terms of the 1986 Amendment, have circumvented their contractual obligations by a self-dealing concoction which elevates form over substance, and have thereby breached that covenant of good faith and duty of fair dealing.

As a proximate result of that breach plaintiffs have suffered actual and

consequential damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

49. The described acts and omissions of defendants were done intentionally, willfully and maliciously entitling plaintiffs to the recovery of punitive damages in the amount adjudged by the jury.

WHEREFORE the plaintiffs prays judgment against the defendants El Paso Natural Gas Company and Meridian Oil Inc. for compensatory damages in the amount proven at trial and likewise for punitive damages; for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum lawful rates, for costs of suit and such other relief as proper.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BREACH OF EXPRESS COVENANT

- 50. The plaintiffs reallege by adoption Paragraphs 1 through 28.
- 51. Coincident with and as a consideration for entering into the 1986 Settlement Agreement and 1986 Amendment the plaintiffs, through their representatives and attorneys sought and received certain express assurances and covenants from the defendants.
- 52. The defendants, by their attorney Arthur R. Formanek, did on May 8, 1987, before plaintiffs executed the Settlement Agreement and Amendment make to the plaintiffs the following express assurance, promise and covenant:

As you requested, regarding the production and sale of the gas relating to your and your clients' overriding royalty interests under the enclosed Settlement Agreement and Amendment, El Paso/Meridian assure you and your clients that they will act prudently to obtain the highest possible price for the sale of gas at the wellhead consistent with governmental regulations, market conditions, and production considerations, and El Paso/Meridian also grant you the right to audit appropriate records pertaining to the sale of such gas.

53. As previously described, through August 1991, the GLA 66 royalty was established as an annual minimum sum certain so that what the defendants did or did not do in

regard to the performance of such covenant was not transparent, unknown to plaintiffs and, of no effect upon or injury to their legal rights. The first payment of GLA 66 royalty made in violation of the stated covenant issued on or about the first day of October, 1991 and the wrongful manner and basis of payment of the GLA 66 royalty became known thereafter in 1992.

54. The stated express covenants of the defendants have been breached and as a proximate result the plaintiffs have suffered actual and consequential damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

55. The described acts and omissions of defendants were done intentionally, willfully and maliciously entitling plaintiffs to the recovery of punitive damages in the amount adjudged by the jury.

WHEREFORE the plaintiffs prays judgment against the defendants El Paso Natural Gas Company and Meridian Oil Inc. for compensatory damages in the amount proven at trial and likewise for punitive damages; for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum lawful rates, for costs of suit and such other relief as proper.

BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.

STEVEN R. HUNSICKE

The Warner Building

1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D. C. 20004

(202) 639-7700

Gallegos Law Firm, P.C

JE CALLEGOS

460 St. Michael's Drive

Suite 300

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

(505) 983-6686

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Dated: August 3, 1995

CONTINUED JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs continue their demand for trial by jury, ha	aving heretofore made the depos	it
--	---------------------------------	----

required by law.

J. E. GALLEGOS

LAFORCE, ET AL V E
LAFORCE, ET AL V EPNG & HOI
92-170
GLA-66 CANERS
JUNE 19, 1995

OWERS	DANER	HOTE CANER DECTIVAL	MOI DLANER NO.
Ralph A. Bard, Trustee for Ralph A. Bard, Jr. Trust	.907500	80600.	02851201
Ralph A. Bard Trustee for Bard Family Trust	5.930788	.05931	02851301
Nancy C. Bard, Sharon Bard Wailes, Travis Bard and Marcy Bard, Trustees for Douglas N. Bard, Trust	.121000	.00121	04508701
James C. Bard	.121000	.00121	20356702
Roy E. Bard, Jr.	.121000	.00121	00346502
Bank of America, Agent for Anthony Bard Boand	.305168	.00305	05805501
Guy R. Brainard, Jr., and Lola Ward Brainard, Trustees for Guy R. Brainard, Jr. Trust	.184883	.00185	03294701
Diane Derry	.102465	.00102	05805501
Dorothy Derry (Changed name to Jennifer Rose Derry)	.102465	.00102	05805601
Joan Derry	.102465	.00102	05805401
Eleanor Isham Dunne	.819720 .302500	.00820 .00303	00381602
Charles Wells Farnham, Jr.	.075625	92000.	02209402
Robert B. Farnham	.075625	.00076	02201902
Walter B. Farnham	075625	.00076	00334202
Elizabeth 8, Farrington	.121000	.00121	0032740 2
. Minnie Fitting	.687500	.00687	0020860 1
J. Robert Jones, Robert D. Fitting Co-Trustees of the Raiph U. Fitting, Jr. Estate	2.750000	.02750	0363410 1
Robert D. Fitting	.687500	.00687	01327402
	•		

OLNERS	CANER	HOT OCNER DECIMAL	HOT OWNER NO.
Hancy H. Gerson	.336104	.00336	00184402
Kay B. Gundlach	.121000	.00121	00380502
indiana University Foundation	1.620878	.01621	07953201
Norman L. Hay, Jr. Trustee for the Norman L. Hay GS Trust	.612563	.00613	
First Bank National Association, Trustee for the James J. Hill, III Revocable Trust	.302500	.00303	00466701
First Bank Mational Association as Personal Representative for Louis W. Hill, Jr.	1.815000	.01513	02210302
Albert L. Hopkins, Jr.	.336105	92200,	00379102
First National Bank of Chicago & Marriet Stuart Spencer Co-Trustees for the Harriet Fund	1,139380	.00570	07851301
First Mational Bank of Chicago & Anne Stuart Batchelder Co-Trustees for the Anne Fund		02500°	07851201
George S. Isham Trust (No File)	.886545	.00887	00368702
Virginia W. Isham & First National Bank of Chicago, Co-Trustees for Henry P. Isham, Jr. Trust	.443273	.00443	00401802
Robert T. Isham	.886545	.00887	00347002
Robert T. Isham, George S. Isham, and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustees for the Elizabeth Isham Trust	.605000	.00605	04617501
Virginia W. Isham (No File)	.443273	.00443	00381402
J. Robert Jones	1.375000	.01375	02107903
James E. Palmer, Successor/Trustee for Martha M. Lattner Trust	.756250	.00756	00523101
rexas Commerce Bank Assoc., Trustee for Keyes Baber Properties	1.637212	.00817	05843101
V. Watson Laforce Jr.	.817492	.00817	01827102
Mary F. Love	.075625	.00076	00349502

•

CUNIERS	CANER WR1	MOI CANER DECIME	NOT CANER NO.	
United States Trust Co. of New York, Trustee of the Patricia Simpson Trust	2.204248	.02204	00396901	
Hope Simpson	.478959	.00479	00046902	_
Rex Bates, Trustee for Sidney Stein Jr. Self Declaration Revocable Trust	.605000	.00605	00402101	_
Margaret Stuart Hart and Northern Trust Company, Co-Trustees for Robert D. Stuart Trust for the benefit of Margaret Stuart Hart Fund	069695*	.00570	07851001	
Robert Douglas Stuart, Jr. and Northern Trust Company, Co-Trustees for Robert D. Stuart Trust for the benefit of Robert Douglas Stuart Fund	.569690	.00570	07851101	
William P. Sutter	.504185	.00504	00366402	r==
First Bank National Association, Trustee for F.F. Webster, IV Trust	.226875	.00227	07440401	
First Bank National Association, Trustee for Frederick F. Webster, Jr., 1V Trust	.226875	.00227	07440301	<u> </u>
Katherine I. White	.817493	.00817	00326002	
Katherine I. White (interest conveyed in 1994, but records we have do not reflect transfer. See note in file)	.302500	.00303	00326002	r=====
Mary S. Zick	.504185	,00504	00333202	<u> </u>
	.504185	,00500.		00333202

49.653972 TOTAL

There are three parties that as of date have purposely refused to join in the litigation. They are the following:

-: ~:

Robert Fitting

J. Robert Jones & Robert Fitting

Co-Trustees of the Ralph Fitting, Jr. Estate

J. Robert Jones

ь.

44.841472

1.375000

.687500

TOTAL

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF SAN JUAN ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	SAN JUAN COUNTY	
	Vov 3 2 19 PM '95	
W. WATSON LaFORCE, JR., et al.,)		
Plaintiffs,)		
vs.)	NO. CV-92-645-1	
EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, a) corporation, and MERIDIAN OIL) INC., a corporation,)		5.5
Defendants.)		ר בי
CERTIFICATE OF SEI	P. C.	•

I hereby certify that I have caused a copy of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint for Breach of Contract and Tortious Conduct to be served on this _/s _ day of November, 1995 the following counsel of record, via U. S. Mail, postage prepaid.

John R. Cooney P. O. Box 2168 Sunwest Building, Suite 900 500 Fourth Street, NW Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168

GALLEGOS LAW FIRM P.C.

J.F. GALLEGOS

460 St. Michael's Drive, Bldg. 300 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

THED
HISTRICT COURT

(505) 983-6686

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS