Page 1

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

# **EXAMINER HEARING**

### SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Hearing Date\_

OCTOBER 9, 1997 Time 8:15 A.M.

NAME **REPRESENTING LOCATION** i had Finghell San Sun Alen fun Synch Sieliant to Scorl HALL ST MILLER CANFIRM Moland Chi Fuergy John Qualls Midlad Bill Bergman Ch: Auke Roush Neurburg THEN TH Maubour ine Ken ( shurt MIDLAND, TK Statt filling 11 Mann Watts Cull Potask acove of all MEWBOWN MIDLAND which Mora Nearburg Midlad Sen ge 1) At Muzinde NEVOU ENERSY DUP SHLAHDMA SITY

	11
STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURC	ES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISIO	N
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:	) ) )
APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	) CASE NOS. (11,830)
APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY CORPORATION (NEVADA) FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY	) and 11,833
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	) (Consolidated)
	ORIGINAL
<u>REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCE</u> <u>EXAMINER HEARING</u>	<u>CEDINGS</u>
BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Exami	ner
October 9th, 1997 Santa Fe, New Mexico	1997 1997 1997
This matter came on for hearing	before the New
Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R	. CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, October 9t	h, 1997, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural R	esources
Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pache	co, Santa Fe, New
Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Cour	t Reporter No. 7
for the State of New Mexico.	
* * *	

\_

INDEX

October 9th, 1997 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 11,830 AND 11,833 (Consolidated)

PAGE

4

5

EXHIBITS

APPEARANCES

MEWBOURNE WITNESSES:

<u>STEVE COBB</u> (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	7
Cross-Examination by Mr. Kellahin	19
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hall	38
Examination by Examiner Catanach	40
Examination by Mr. Carroll	43
Further Examination by Examiner Catanach	44
RALPH P. MOORE, JR. (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	45
Cross-Examination by Mr. Kellahin	52
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hall	56
Recross Examination by Mr. Kellahin	57
Examination by Examiner Catanach	59
<u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	60
Cross-Examination by Mr. Kellahin	67
Examination by Examiner Catanach	74
<u>KEN CALVERT</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	77
Cross-Examination by Mr. Kellahin	92
Examination by Examiner Catanach	100
(Continued)	

### DEVON WITNESSES:

KEN GRAY (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	103
Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	123
Redirect Examination by Mr. Kellahin	135
Examination by Examiner Catanach	136
<u>WAYNE ROBERTS</u> (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	138
Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	152
WALLY FRANK (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	156
Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	165
MEWBOURNE WITNESS:	
KEN_CALVERT (Engineer), Recalled	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	172
CLOSING STATEMENTS:	
By Mr. Kellahin	176
By Mr. Hall	178
by M Hall	110
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	181

\* \* \*

# EXHIBITS

Mewbourne	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	8 61 63	18 67 67
Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5	64 78	67
Exhibit 6	101	91 _
Exhibit 7	101	102

\* \* \*

Devon		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	104	122
Exhibit			
		106	122
Exhibit	3	171	171
Exhibit	4	141	152
Exhibit	5	144	152
Exhibit	6	145	152
Exhibit	7	147	152
Exhibit	8	148	152
Exhibit	9	150	152
Exhibit	10	150	152
Exhibit	11	150	152
Exhibit	12	151	152
Exhibit	13	158	165

\* \* \*

#### APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:

MILLER, STRATVERT and TORGERSON, P.A. 150 Washington Suite 300 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 By: J. SCOTT HALL

FOR DEVON ENERGY CORPORATION:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 117 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265 By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:05 a.m.: 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, we'll call the 4 hearing back to order at this time, and we'll call Case 5 11,830. MR. CARROLL: Application of Mewbourne Oil 6 7 Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for appearances in this 9 case. MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, Miller 10 11 Stratvert and Torgerson law firm of Santa Fe on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company. I have four witnesses to be sworn 12 13 this morning. 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: Additional appearances? 15 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of 16 the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing 17 on behalf of Devon Energy Corporation. I have three witnesses to be sworn. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Additional 19 20 appearances? 21 Will the seven witnesses please stand to be sworn 22 in at this time? 23 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, back on September 24 12th I filed a motion to consolidate the Mewbourne case 25

that you've just called for hearing with the Devon Energy 1 2 Corporation compulsory pooling case, which is docketed as Case 11,833, and we would move that for purposes of taking 3 testimony these two cases be consolidated. 4 MR. HALL: And we'll agree to that. 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call Case 6 7 11,833. 8 MR. CARROLL: Application of Devon Energy Corporation, Nevada, for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, 9 New Mexico. 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for additional 11 12 appearances in either of these cases. Okay, Mr. Hall? 13 14 MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 15 Call Steve Cobb to the witness stand. 16 STEVE COBB, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 17 18 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HALL: For the record, state your name. 21 Q. 22 Α. Steve Cobb. Mr. Cobb, where do you live, by whom are you 23 Q. employed and in what capacity? 24 25 Α. I live in Midland, Texas, and I'm employed by

Mewbourne Oil Company as a district landman.
Q. All right. And have you previously testified
before the Division and had your credentials accepted as a
matter of record?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And you're familiar with the lands that are the
subject of this proceeding?
A. Iam.
Q. And you've had involvement with the attempt to
obtain voluntary joinder in the drilling of this well?
A. I have.
MR. HALL: Are the witness's credentials
acceptable?
EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection?
MR. KELLAHIN: No objection.
EXAMINER CATANACH: The witness is so qualified.
Q. (By Mr. Hall) Mr. Cobb, what I'd like you to do,
if you would turn to Exhibit 1, which is the notebook, I'd
like you to take that, run through that and summarize for
the Examiner Mewbourne's efforts to obtain voluntary
participation in this well.
Why don't we start with Let's run through some
of the tabs. Tab 1 in Exhibit 1, of course, is the table
of contents, but let's turn to I'm sorry, Tab 1 is the -
- Before Tab 1 is the table of contents.

1	What is Tab 1?
2	A. Tab 1 is the It shows the unit area of Section
3	15.
4	Q. All right. Why don't you identify the lands for
5	the record in the proposed well location?
6	A. The proposed well location for our Carlsbad "15"
7	Federal Com Number 1 well is to be located at a standard
8	location 1850 from the west line and 1950 from the south
9	line of Section 15, 21 South, 26 East, Eddy County, New
10	Mexico.
11	We are seeking today to pool all interests in all
12	formations in the south half of said Section 15 for the
13	purpose of drilling our Carlsbad "15" well. We are
14	proposing a Morrow test and are asking that Mewbourne Oil
15	Company be named operator of this test.
16	Q. All right. Let's refer to Tab 2 of Exhibit 1.
17	Would you explain that, please?
18	A. Tab 2 outlines the unit ownership of the south
19	half of 15.
20	Q. And what are those percentages for the parties?
21	A. Mewbourne Oil Company owns 50.08 percent, and
22	Devon Energy Corporation owns 49.92 percent.
23	Q. So you have the majority interest?
24	A. We do.
25	Q. Not an overwhelming majority, but a majority?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. If you look page 2 of Tab 2, would you describe
3	that, please, sir?
4	A. This is a tract-by-tract ownership breakdown of
5	the south half of Section 15.
6	Q. Mr. Cobb, let's refer to Tab 3. Identify this
7	chronology in Exhibit 1.
8	A. Tab 3 is a chronology of events that outline,
9	among other things, my efforts to reach a deal with Devon
10	Energy in drilling and operating this our proposed well.
11	Q. All right. Why don't you outline that history
12	for the Examiner, if you would, and refer to those exhibits
13	under the tabs?
14	A. Okay, basically on November 15th of 1996, we
15	began our geological study of this area, this area being
16	21-26.
17	In January of 1997 I had ordered lease takeoffs
18	covering this area, and in February of 1997 we began
19	actively acquiring interest in this area.
20	On March 13th of 1997, we approached Devon Energy
21	in Oklahoma City and expressed an interest to enter into
22	some sort of AMI with Devon for development of this area.
23	Q. Let me ask you, before that point in time, had
24	you ever been approached by Devon
25	A. No, we had not.

	<b>11</b>
1	Q for this area?
2	A. No, we had not.
3	Q. All right. Please continue.
4	A. On June 12th of 1997, we had We had proposed
5	our well to Devon for the drilling of this Morrow well, and
6	on June 16th of 1997, Ken Gray had called me and advised me
7	that they also were interested in drilling a well in there
8	and also wished to operate.
9	Q. Well, let me ask you, under Tab 5 is a letter
10	from you dated June 12th, 1997, and did you transmit your
11	AFE with that letter?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	Q. And the AFE is under Tab 4; is that correct?
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. And also, again looking under Tab 5 at your June
16	12th letter, you indicate you propose to drill the well in
17	the third quarter of 1997; is that correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. So you were ready to go then?
20	A. We were ready to go.
21	Q. All right, please continue.
22	A. We then filed our APD for our proposed well, and
23	I visited with Ken Gray on 6-24, that the BLM had advised
24	us that we needed to move our location 200 feet to the
25	east, as our original location of 1650 was not drillable.

1	And I sent a letter to Ken outlining that change in our
2	location with an AFE.
3	Q. Did the BLM request you to move your location
4	over for a topographic reason?
5	A. Yes, they did.
6	Q. And what was Devon's response to that?
7	A. Devon's response to that was that they did not
8	have a problem with our location. They indicated to me
9	that they wanted to drill and operate a well also, and the
10	location of our well was not a problem. They agreed with
11	that location.
12	Q. All right. But at that point they hadn't
13	committed to Devon as operator of the well; is that right?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. What did you do about that, at that point in
16	time, about early July of 1997?
17	A. We filed for a pooling application before the
18	NMOCD.
19	Q. All right. What happened next?
20	A. Right at that same time, July the 3rd, 1997, we
21	received a well proposal from Devon for their well.
22	Q. And did they send an AFE to you as well?
23	A. Yes, they did.
24	Q. What were their AFE total completed well costs,
25	by the way? I believe if you refer to Tab 7, that's their

1	transmittal letter.
2	A. Completed well costs of \$721,000.
3	Q. Right. That's somewhat higher than Mewbourne's
4	AFE; is that right?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. All right. And what happened next?
7	A. We sent out we had several I had a
8	telephone conversation with Ken Gray on the 29th. We
9	notified Devon of our compulsory pooling application. They
10	notified us on the 29th also, that they were planning to
11	also pool us.
12	Q. Let me back up with you just a minute, if you
13	would refer to Tab 8. It's your letter dated July 14,
14	1997, to Ken Gray, correct?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. What was the thrust of that letter? What was the
17	purpose of that letter?
18	A. This is in response to their letter, their well-
19	proposal letter of July 3rd, and I am
20	Q. You offered some reasons why you thought
21	Mewbourne should be designated operator, correct?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. And what were some of those reasons you outlined
24	in the letter?
25	A. That we maintain a fully staffed office in Hobbs,

1 we have 30 years' experience of operations in this area, we 2 have drilled approximately 35 Morrow wells in Eddy County in the last ten years. Our AFE was less than Devon's. 3 And that we had initiated this idea, we had initiated and 4 5 brought it to Devon's attention. All right. Now, going back to about July 29th, 6 0. 7 you were speaking of, what happened about that time? Α. We had -- After Ken advised me they were going to 8 9 apply for a pooling before the NMOCD, we sent out to Devon 10 on the 30th our JOA, and I had called Devon at that time to 11 try to reach some type of an arrangement with them wherein 12 we would operate the well -- we would have until January 1st, 1998, to operate the well, and if we didn't by that 13 14 time then we would turn it over to Devon. 15 Q. All right. What kind of response did you get to that? 16 Devon refused that. They weren't interested in 17 Α. that type of deal. 18 Ken then made a suggestion to me that one company 19 drill the well and then one company complete the well. 20 All right. And did Mewbourne consider that 21 0. proposal? 22 23 Α. No, we didn't. Drill and complete -- One company 24 drill and complete the well and another operate it through the life of the well. No, we did not. 25

Q. All right. What happened next? Why don't continue with the sequence of events? A. Okay. We submitted our JOA to Devon on 8-6 We had continued We had this pooling scheduled originally for August 21st, 1997. We've had a couple continuances to today. On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis me that they were not going to consider our JOA until time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case. Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 under Tab 11? A. That's correct.	-97. of sing
<ul> <li>A. Okay. We submitted our JOA to Devon on 8-6</li> <li>We had continued We had this pooling scheduled</li> <li>originally for August 21st, 1997. We've had a couple</li> <li>continuances to today.</li> <li>On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis</li> <li>me that they were not going to consider our JOA until</li> <li>time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case.</li> <li>Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199</li> <li>under Tab 11?</li> </ul>	of sing
We had continued We had this pooling scheduled originally for August 21st, 1997. We've had a couple continuances to today. On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis me that they were not going to consider our JOA until time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case. Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 under Tab 11?	of sing
<ul> <li>originally for August 21st, 1997. We've had a couple</li> <li>continuances to today.</li> <li>On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis</li> <li>me that they were not going to consider our JOA until</li> <li>time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case.</li> <li>Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199</li> <li>under Tab 11?</li> </ul>	sing
<ul> <li>6 continuances to today.</li> <li>7 On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis</li> <li>8 me that they were not going to consider our JOA until</li> <li>9 time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case.</li> <li>10 Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199</li> <li>11 under Tab 11?</li> </ul>	sing
7 On 8-19 I received a letter from Devon advis 8 me that they were not going to consider our JOA until 9 time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case. 10 Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 11 under Tab 11?	-
8 me that they were not going to consider our JOA until 9 time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case. 10 Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 11 under Tab 11?	-
9 time as the NMOCD has issued an order in this case. 10 Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 11 under Tab 11?	such
10 Q. And that's the letter dated August 19th, 199 11 under Tab 11?	
11 under Tab 11?	
	97,
12 A. That's correct.	
13 Q. So was it your impression from that letter	that
14 Devon simply wanted to defer to the OCD to try to work	c this
15 out?	
16 A. Yes, it is.	
17 Q. And what happened next?	
18 A. Our exploration manager, Ralph Moore, contact	cted
19 Wayne Roberts with Devon, trying to solicit any type of	of
20 response from Devon as to how we could get together to	o work
21 out our differences here.	
22 Q. All right. Was that successful?	
A. No, it was not.	
Q. All right. Let's look at your letter of Aug	just
25 26th, 1997 I'm sorry, Devon's letter of August 26th	<b>`</b>

1 1997, under Tab 13. 2 Α. Okay. If you look down at the -- Well, what was the 3 Q. purpose of that letter, first of all, your understanding? 4 5 MR. KELLAHIN: Object to the form of the 6 question, Mr. Examiner. This is Devon's letter. He's 7 asking this witness to somehow figure out what Devon had in I think the letter speaks for itself. 8 mind. MR. HALL: I believe he can testify as to his 9 understanding of a Devon response in the context --10 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm going to let him answer 12 that in that regard. THE WITNESS: Ken Gray and I had talked about --13 14 Ken asked me what my understanding of the concessions that we've made to Devon were to date, as of this letter. 15 I had a telephone conversation with him right 16 before he wrote this letter. And I told him items number 1 17 18 and 2 of this letter, that Mewbourne should be named 19 operator until January 1st, and that we would possibly 20 operate at some reduced producing/drilling overhead rates. We were trying to figure out some way to resolve this 21 matter so we could get on with the drilling of this well. 22 23 ο. (By Mr. Hall) If you look at the fifth paragraph of the letter, it reads, "In response, Devon believes the 24 well can and should be drilled much earlier than the 25

January 1st date which you have proposed." Do you see
A. Right.
Q that text there?
In fact, you had proposed a number of dates. You
had proposed to start the well earlier than January 1st?
A. Third quarter, that's correct.
Q. All right. What transpired after that point in
time?
A. I called Devon and suggested another alternative
to settling this issue, and that was to sell them a quarter
of our interest in another section in this area as a way to
resolve this matter. And they responded favorably by the
idea, yet they wanted 45 percent, us to sell them 45
percent of our interest, and we could not agree to that.
Q. All right. Were there any other efforts to
obtain Devon's voluntary participation in the well after
that point?
A. After that, I proposed that we form a working
interest unit comprising all of Section 15 and the north
half of 22, and to share our interest, proportionately
reduce our interest as to whatever it is to the unit, and
that Devon could operate that unit. And
Q. How was that received?
A they declined on that offer.
Q. All right. Any other activity between then and

1	now to try to get their voluntary joinder?
2	A. No, that's been our last contact.
3	Q. All right, let's turn, if you would, to Exhibit 1
4	under Tab 17. If you would identify that, please, sir.
5	A. This is our APD.
6	Q. It's filed with the BLM
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q correct? And what date was that APD
9	transmitted to the BLM?
10	A. I believe on the June 16th.
11	Q. If you'll look at the drilling engineer's
12	signature, it says June 17th. Would that be accurate?
13	A. Or June 17th, yeah.
14	Q. All right. Mr. Cobb, in your opinion has
15	Mewbourne made a good-faith effort to try to obtain
16	voluntary participation of Devon in this well, in this
17	case?
18	A. Yes, we have.
19	Q. And were the materials contained in Exhibit 1
20	compiled by you or at your direction?
21	A. Yes, they were.
22	MR. HALL: That concludes our direct of Mr. Cobb,
23	and we'd move the admission of Exhibit 1.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit 1 will be admitted as
25	evidence.

. . .

1	Mr. Kellahin?
2	MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
5	Q. Mr. Cobb, let's go back to your chronology behind
6	Exhibit Tab Number 3.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Prior to February 1st of 1997, am I correct in
9	understanding that Mewbourne had no interest in this area?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. And by having no interest, I mean you had no
12	working interest in any oil and gas lease in a nine-section
13	area?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And if we put Section 15 in the center of the
16	nine-section area, you would have no interest on February
17	1st?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. You acquired an interest in Section 21, which is
20	the diagonal offset to the southwest quarter of Section 15?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. And that interest was the first interest you
23	acquired in this area; is that not true?
24	A. That is correct.
25	Q. You acquired that interest from Hallwood

19

.

1 Petroleum Corporation, did you not? 2 Α. Correct. And the purpose of acquiring that interest was so 3 Q. 4 that Mewbourne could engage in the re-entry of the Ocotillo 5 well that's located in the northwest quarter of that section? 6 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Q. And your commitment to Devon is that you were going to expeditiously commit yourself to re-entering that 9 well and attempting to recomplete it in the Strawn 10 reservoir; is that not true? 11 That's correct. 12 Α. 13 And you have not yet done that, have you? Q. We have not, no. 14 Α. By March 13th -- March 13th is the first contact 15 0. 16 Mewbourne has with Devon with regards to a proposal to do anything in this area concerning Section 15? 17 18 Α. Say that again, please. 19 Q. Yes, sir, I said it very poorly. I'm sorry. 20 With regards to Section 15, March 13th is the first contact by Mewbourne to Devon? 21 Other than the phone call that set the meeting 22 Α. 23 up. Yes, sir, I understand that. 24 Q. 25 Right. Α.

Q. The entry here says development in 21 South, 26
East. It does not specify a particular section?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. As of March 13th, did Mewbourne have
any interest in this township, other than what I described
to be your acquisition of the Hallwood interest in the
northwest quarter of 21?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you and Mr. Moore go to Devon's office
and you meet with Ken Gray and Wayne Roberts, Devon's
geologist
A. Correct.
Q is that not true?
A. That's correct.
Q. And at that meeting, Mr. Moore points to Section
11, to a Yates well we're looking at the northeast
diagonal offset to 15 and he indicates that Yates well
has been successful in its efforts to produce gas in the
Strawn reservoir; is that not true?
A. I would be speculating on that. I don't remember
exactly what Ralph and the geologists were talking about.
Q. All right. You were there at the meeting?
A. I was there at the meeting, but I don't remember
specifically what was said
Q. Okay.

1	A about that.
2	Q. Do you recall that Mr. Moore did not present
3	Devon with any maps, geologic or otherwise?
4	A. I do.
5	Q. And he did not; is that not true?
6	A. He did not.
7	Q. Neither did you?
8	A. Neither did I.
9	Q. There was no data given by Mewbourne to Devon at
10	that meeting?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. The discussion was focused on the Yates well in
13	Section 11 and the fact that it had produced Strawn gas,
14	right?
15	A. Again, I'm not sure of that.
16	Q. Okay. Did you propose to Devon at that time some
17	specific type of way to engage in the development of the
18	Strawn reservoir in the township?
19	A. We did. We expressed an interest in forming an
20	AMI with them for the development of this 21-26.
21	Q. All right. Following that meeting, the next
22	contact indicated on your chronology with Devon does not
23	occur until June 12th; is that not true?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Okay.

22

1	A. But I did have contact with them.
2	Q. This summary does not indicate that. What
3	have
4	A. No, it
5	Q. What have you excluded from the summary?
6	A. I have excluded that we allowed Devon to share in
7	a federal lease that we acquired in April. We agreed to
8	Devon had an interest in it, and as did we, and we
9	agreed to go to the lease sale and acquire the lease and
10	offer Devon half of it.
11	Q. Well, let's be specific, Mr. Cobb. You're
12	talking about the southwest quarter of Section 21
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q and the arrangement was that Devon and
15	Mewbourne would jointly go bid on that federal lease which
16	had expired and was up for sale again?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. All right.
19	A. That's not reflected on here.
20	Q. Yes, sir. My question for you, sir, is, in
21	Section 15, prior after March 13th and prior to June
22	12th, there is no contacts by Mewbourne to Devon concerning
23	that section?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Okay. On June 12th, then, you send Steve Cobb

1	correspondence where you send an AFE and you propose the
2	well at a specific location; is that not true?
3	A. That's true, correct.
4	Q. Okay. When you refer to the APD, it indicates
5	the drilling engineer signed it on June 17th, which is five
6	days after your proposal to Mr. Cobb from Mr. Cobb to
7	Mr. Gray on the well proposal?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. All right. At the time you sent the letter to
10	him, the June 12th letter, that letter indicates a
11	particular footage location, does it not?
12	A. It does.
13	Q. When we look at this section, as of June 12th
14	when you sent the letter
15	A. Right.
16	Q in Section 15
17	A. Right.
18	Q Mewbourne had acquired the south half of the
19	southeast quarter, correct?
20	A. South half of Section 15?
21	Q. Yes, sir.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you had acquired the south the northeast
24	of the southeast, right?
25	A. Northeast, south That's correct.

1	Q. So you got three-fourths of the southeast
2	quarter?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And you have the southeast of the southwest?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. You have that 160 acres, and it's configured as
7	I've described it?
8	A. Right.
9	Q. And you acquired that entire interest from the
10	same company, did you not?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. You acquired that interest from a company by the
13	name of Carlow Corporation, did you not?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. When did you acquire that interest?
16	A. June 6th, I believe, was June 6th.
17	Q. Were you aware, Mr. Cobb, that in April and May
18	Mr. Gray was negotiating with Richard Coates of Carlow to
19	have them participate in a joint venture in Section 15 for
20	the drilling of these deep gas wells?
21	A. Yes, I was.
22	Q. How did you come to acquire the Carlow interest
23	in Section 15?
24	A. We were talking with him at the same, as early as
25	April, about acquiring their interest.

Q. Did Mr. Coates disclose to you that he wasdiscussing this prospect with Mr. Gray?A. I did not have anything to do with theacquisition of this interest. It was handled out of ourtitle office.Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about theCarlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for thetransfer of their interest to Mewbourne?MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finishedwith your question? Let me object. I think this line ofquestioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's notparticularly relevant to anything. I don't think there'sany dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right todrill in the south half. The manner of their acquisitionhas no bearing on the case before you.MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm justabout on finished on this item, if you will indulge me fora question or two.Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have anyKnowledge and information concerning Mewbourne'sacquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?A. I don't understand the question.Q. All right. You are aware Mewbourne got the		26
<ul> <li>A. I did not have anything to do with the</li> <li>acquisition of this interest. It was handled out of our</li> <li>title office.</li> <li>Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about the</li> <li>Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the</li> <li>transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?</li> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	1	Q. Did Mr. Coates disclose to you that he was
<ul> <li>acquisition of this interest. It was handled out of our</li> <li>title office.</li> <li>Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about the</li> <li>Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the</li> <li>transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?</li> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	2	discussing this prospect with Mr. Gray?
<ul> <li>title office.</li> <li>Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about the</li> <li>Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the</li> <li>transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?</li> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	3	A. I did not have anything to do with the
<ul> <li>Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about the</li> <li>Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the</li> <li>transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?</li> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>Knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	4	acquisition of this interest. It was handled out of our
<ul> <li>Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the</li> <li>transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?</li> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	5	title office.
<pre>8 transfer of their interest to Mewbourne? 9 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished 10 with your question? Let me object. I think this line of 11 questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not 12 particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's 13 any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to 14 drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition 15 has no bearing on the case before you. 16 MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr. 17 Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just 18 about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for 19 a question or two. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed. 21 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any 22 knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's 23 acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? 24 A. I don't understand the question.</pre>	6	Q. All right. So what is your knowledge about the
<ul> <li>MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished</li> <li>with your question? Let me object. I think this line of</li> <li>questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not</li> <li>particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's</li> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	7	Carlow Corporation's arrangement with Mewbourne for the
with your question? Let me object. I think this line of questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition has no bearing on the case before you. MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr. Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for a question or two. EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? A. I don't understand the question.	8	transfer of their interest to Mewbourne?
11 questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not 12 particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's 13 any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to 14 drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition 15 has no bearing on the case before you. 16 MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr. 17 Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just 18 about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for 19 a question or two. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed. 21 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any 22 knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's 23 acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? 24 A. I don't understand the question.	9	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, just Are you finished
particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition has no bearing on the case before you. MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr. Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for a question or two. EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? A. I don't understand the question.	10	with your question? Let me object. I think this line of
<ul> <li>any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to</li> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	11	questioning is far beyond the scope of direct. It's not
<ul> <li>drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition</li> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	12	particularly relevant to anything. I don't think there's
<ul> <li>has no bearing on the case before you.</li> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	13	any dispute about Mewbourne's ownership and their right to
<ul> <li>MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.</li> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	14	drill in the south half. The manner of their acquisition
<ul> <li>Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just</li> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	15	has no bearing on the case before you.
<ul> <li>about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for</li> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	16	MR. KELLAHIN: It will become relevant, Mr.
<ul> <li>a question or two.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	17	Examiner, with Mr. Ken Gray's testimony. And I'm just
EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? A. I don't understand the question.	18	about on finished on this item, if you will indulge me for
<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any</li> <li>knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's</li> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	19	a question or two.
22 knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's 23 acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest? 24 A. I don't understand the question.	20	EXAMINER CATANACH: I will. Let's proceed.
<ul> <li>acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ul>	21	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) All right. Do you have any
A. I don't understand the question.	22	knowledge and information concerning Mewbourne's
	23	acquisition of the Carlow Corporation interest?
25 Q. All right. You are aware Mewbourne got the	24	A. I don't understand the question.
	25	Q. All right. You are aware Mewbourne got the

1	interest in 15 from Carlow Corporation?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And you are aware that that occurred about June
4	6th, I believe you said?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. All right. Are you aware of any other
7	circumstances surrounding the acquisition by Mewbourne of
8	that interest?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Okay. The well is proposed on the APD, the June
11	17th APD, and is to be located not on Mewbourne's tract;
12	isn't that true?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. You've gone out and you've put it on the
15	northeast of the southwest quarter, which is a tract
16	controlled by Devon?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Did you call Devon and discuss that proposal with
19	them before you did it?
20	A. No, I called Ken Gray and told him we had to move
21	the well 200 feet to the east, which would be that present
22	1850.
23	Q. That's a later event. What I'm asking you is,
24	before you staked it originally, at the original location
25	before it was moved, did you tell Devon you were going to

	28
1	do that?
2	A. I did not.
3	Q. Is it your practice or your company's practice to
4	go out and stake wells on property that you do not control
5	and do not have an interest in?
6	A. No.
7	Q. All right. We have the meeting with Devon on
8	March 13th. The next contact is June 12th, and you give
9	them a specific proposal?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay. The next contact by Mewbourne with Devon
12	is to advise them that you have moved the location on June
13	24th, correct?
14	A. No, on the 16th Devon calls me, Ken calls me, and
15	advises me that they wish to operate 15 also.
16	Q. All right. Your entry at the bottom of the page
17	says that you've directed counsel to initiate compulsory
18	pooling, and that is an entry dated July 1st?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. I find no entry in here where you advise Devon
21	that you're about to initiate compulsory pooling against
22	them, prior to July 1st; is that not true?
23	A. That's true.
24	Q. Okay. You've hired counsel and directed them to
25	initiate compulsory pooling, and you have done so without

	23
1	advising Devon that you are doing so?
2	A. Up until that date, yes.
3	Q. All right. On July 29th you have a phone
4	conversation with Ken Gray, and during that phone
5	conversation, which is on a Monday, I believe, you advise
6	him that your counsel is going to file compulsory pooling
7	application for the south half of Section 15; is that not
8	true?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. And prior to that time, you did not disclose to
11	him that you were preparing to file a compulsory pooling
12	application against Devon?
13	A. Not that I recall.
14	Q. And to the best of your recollection, this
15	chronology represents all the significant events concerning
16	the chronology of these negotiations and discussions?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Let's go back to your March 10th, 1997, letter.
19	Do you have that in the packet here?
20	A. Let's see. March 10th?
21	Q. Yes, sir. Is that included in your package?
22	A. Let's see. I don't see it in here, but I'll get
23	my file.
24	I don't have it.
25	Q. You don't have a March 10th letter?

1	A. No.
2	Q. All right. On March 10th, 1997, the only
3	interest you are aware of that Mewbourne held in this
4	township was the interest in Section 21?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. On the June 12th letter that's the one
7	that's in the package
8	A. Right.
9	Q it's Tab 5, and that's the proposal letter
10	from Mewbourne to Devon, true?
11	A. True.
12	Q. Okay. In your proposal to them, you are
13	specifying the south half as the spacing unit for the well;
14	is that not true?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. At that time you had no knowledge about what was
17	occurring in the section concerning an orientation of a
18	spacing unit, true?
19	A. I don't understand that.
20	Q. All right. In Section 15 it was open, at this
21	time, to determine what direction the spacing unit would be
22	turned for a deep gas well.
23	MR. HALL: Do you understand the question?
24	THE WITNESS: No.
25	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) One more time, I'm sorry. In

1	Section 15, on June 1	2th, there was no deep gas production
2	below the top of the	Wolfcamp in this section?
3	A. Not to my k	nowledge. I think you it would be
4	better to ask our geo	logist that.
5	Q. The proposa	l for a south-half-oriented spacing
6	unit in Section 15 is	contained in your letter?
7	A. Right.	
8	Q. Did you tall	to Ken Gray of Devon before you sent
9	the letter, an orienta	ation for the spacing unit?
10	A. No, I did no	ot.
11	Q. You simply w	unilaterally declared what Mewbourne's
12	preference was at that	point then? Yes?
13	A. I don't unde	erstand the word "unilaterally".
14	Q. Mewbourne, B	by itself, on its own, without
15	discussions with Devor	n, tells Devon the well proposal
16	involves the south had	lf of 15.
17	A. That is corn	rect.
18	Q. All right.	The second page of the letter says
19	you anticipate to dri	l the well in the third quarter of
20	1997. Do you have a r	rig available at this time to drill
21	this deep gas well?	
22	A. We do.	
23	Q. Are you unde	er contract with that rig?
24	A. You may ask	our operations that question.
25	Q. Is it your s	strategy, Mr. Cobb, to go ahead and

1	start permitting these well locations by filing APDs before
2	you've had a response from the working interest owners as
3	to a well proposal?
4	A. I do not have anything to do with the permitting
5	of wells.
6	Q. Do you have directions to file well proposals
7	without regard to whether or not you have engaged in
8	permitting them?
9	A. No, I do not.
10	Q. You made a point earlier of describing the
11	decimal difference here between the Mewbourne interest and
12	the Devon interest. One is Your interest is 50.08, the
13	Devon interest is 49.92. Do you think this case ought to
14	be decided based upon that issue?
15	MR. HALL: Well, I'm going to object. I think
16	that's an ultimate conclusion for the Hearing Examiner.
17	MR. KELLAHIN: I think it's a fair question, Mr.
18	Examiner. He's come before you to ask that you approve
19	them as the operator. He's made a point of saying there's
20	a difference. I want to know if he has an opinion as to
21	whether we ought to decide that case based upon that
22	difference.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: Well, you can ask him his
24	opinion.
25	THE WITNESS: My opinion is, I think it is

	55
1	relative. All things being equal, I think everything
2	should be considered. And I think the working interest,
3	whether the majority should be considered.
4	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Do you think it should be a
5	consideration that the well is located on Devon's 40 acres
6	and not Mewbourne's?
7	A. In this particular case I don't because Devon's
8	agreed to the location that we've proposed. We're in total
9	agreement of the location.
10	Q. Okay. And so that's okay, you can put it on
11	their tract? That's your conclusion?
12	A. They have no problem with it.
13	Q. There's a July 14th letter that you sent Ken
14	Gray. Is that in your package here? I think it's at Tab
15	8, Mr. Cobb.
16	A. Right, okay.
17	Q. You talked about the possibility of an area of
18	mutual agreement in which to operate?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And the area specified was what acreage, sir?
21	A. We didn't specify We didn't have a specific
22	outline.
23	Q. This is your July 14th letter where you're
24	proposing to Devon a number of items. The next thing I
25	have from you in terms of correspondence is initiated by

~

1	Mr. Carr on behalf of Mewbourne, and it's the compulsory
2	pooling application. Am I correct in my chronology of the
3	letters?
4	A. Let's see. Say that again? From July July
5	14th to what?
6	Q. July 14th to July 30th, I find no correspondence
7	from you to Mr. Gray.
8	A. Right.
9	Q. Okay. The compulsory pooling application seeks
10	to pool various combinations of spacing units, does it not?
11	A. It does.
12	Q. Are you aware of any 80-acre spaced units in this
13	area?
14	A. Could you leave that question for our geologist?
15	Q. So you don't know?
16	A. I'm not sure, no, I'm not.
17	Q. As a landman, do you see any purpose served by a
18	40-acre compulsory pooling at the drillsite tract in which
19	you have no interest?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Your Application is seeking a compulsory pooling
22	order covering a 40-acre tract in which you have no
23	interest. Is that really what you want to do?
24	A. Yes. I wouldn't want
25	Q. Explain to me what how you do that.

	35
1	A. Well, it's my understanding I wouldn't want to
2	drill Devon a free well. If this thing was ever produced
3	on 40 acres only, I would have drilled them a free 40-acre
4	well.
5	Q. And so what would be your interest in a 40-acre
6	spacing unit?
7	A. The same as here.
8	Q. You would have the 320 interest
9	A. Right.
10	Q proportionate as to a 40-acre tract?
11	A. Right.
12	Q. Are you aware that in the State of New Mexico
13	compulsory pooling orders are issued based upon the size of
14	the proration and spacing unit?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Are you aware that despite your desire you would
17	have no interest in the 40-acre oil if you drill this well?
18	A. I'm not aware of that, no.
19	Q. Okay. Does that change your mind about
20	operations?
21	A. I'd have to think about that.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. I'm not aware of that.
24	Q. What's your choice of the orientation? You said
25	an 80-acre spacing unit. You've got it standing up in the

1	east half of the southwest quarter as an 80-acre unit,
2	right?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And the purpose served by that is to put your
5	southeast of the southwest into that spacing unit?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Have you discussed with Devon the fact that if it
8	was a laydown north-half southwest quarter you would have
9	no interest in that well?
10	Q. Does your package contain your September 12th
11	letter to Mr. Gray?
12	A. Let's see.
13	Q. It should be under Tab 15, I believe.
14	A. Oh, September 12th?
15	Q. I'm sorry, I
16	A. Okay. Yes, it Tab what? 14?
17	Q. I believe it's 15, Mr. Cobb.
18	A. Tab Yes, t does.
19	Q. You end the letter by saying, "We feel this
20	proposal is a major concession" Describe for me what
21	you're conceding that's major.
22	A. Let me read this again.
23	By allowing Devon to operate, I think, is a major
24	concession. We feel very strongly about operating this
25	proposed well. It was We feel it was our idea, our

- ---

1 prospect, and we would sure like to operate it. 2 ο. So the concession of operatorship is the major 3 concession? In my opinion. 4 Α. 5 All right. The discussion up to the has been Q. 6 focused on consolidating Section 15, and it did not include 7 the north half of 22; is that not true? 8 Α. That's correct. All right. So if you concede operations, you're 9 Q. asking Devon to accept within this area of development the 10 11 north half of 22, true? That's correct. 12 Α. All right. Are you aware of the relative 13 Q. geologic value of those properties as they relate one to 14 15 another? No, I am not. 16 Α. 17 Okay. You go on to conclude that acceptance of Q. this offer in order to avoid an Oil Conservation Division 18 19 hearing, et cetera, et cetera. Mewbourne initiated this 20 hearing process, did it not? It did. 21 Α. It's a process that you could have not initiated 22 Q. 23 and thereby avoided? MR. HALL: I'll object, calls for speculation. 24 25 I think it's a fair question for MR. KELLAHIN:

1 this witness. 2 THE WITNESS: Say it again. (By Mr. Kellahin) Yes, sir. By not initiating 3 Q. 4 that compulsory pooling application, you could avoided this 5 hearing today? 6 MR. HALL: Same objection. 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll agree with Mr. Hall. He 8 doesn't have to answer that. 9 MR. KELLAHIN: No further questions, Mr. 10 Examiner. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any redirect, Mr. Hall? 12 MR. HALL: Briefly. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. HALL: 14 15 Mr. Cobb, in preparation for the hearing here Q. 16 this morning, you've taken the opportunity to tabulate the number of contacts you initiated to Devon, have you not? 17 18 Α. Right. And likewise, the number of contacts initiated by 19 Q. 20 Devon to Mewbourne. Can you tell me how many -- Why don't 21 you just count how many were initiated by Mewbourne, how 22 many by Devon. 23 Α. I'd need some time to --Take your time. 24 0. 25 I mean a lot of time. Α.

38

1	Q. Okay.
2	A. I mean, it's
3	Q. Let me ask, is it safe to say that Mewbourne-
4	initiated contacts exceed the Devon-initiated contacts?
5	A. Correct. My purpose of this was to show that for
6	that Devon was reacting to my proposals for When I
7	proposed a well, they would propose a well. When I
8	proposed an NMOCD hearing They were just simply reacting
9	to my efforts.
10	Q. Earlier Mr. Kellahin asked you to speculate about
11	the circumstance that might evolve, were there a completion
12	in only a 40-acre pool.
13	MR. KELLAHIN: He's misstated my question. I did
14	not ask this witness to speculate.
15	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Do you understand my question?
16	A. Say it again.
17	Q. Mr. Kellahin asked you about the possibility,
18	were this well to be completed in only a 40-acre pool
19	A. Right.
20	Q which Mewbourne does not have an interest. Do
21	you recall that question?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. In fact, Mewbourne hopes to complete in a number
24	of other formations, let alone a 40-acre completion; is
25	that right?

39

	40
1	A. That's correct.
2	MR. HALL: Nothing further of this witness.
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I have a few questions.
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
6	Q. Mr. Cobb, in when you initiated the geologic
7	study of this area maybe this is for the geologist. Let
8	me ask you, do you know if Mewbourne had interest in this
9	area?
10	A. Interest geologically in the area?
11	Q. Interest ownership of
12	A. Well, it depends what area. We've got a map, we
13	can show you. Or our leasehold holdings out here.
14	Q. Okay. And you acquired your interest, I believe
15	you said, June 6th?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And that was for the 160 acres in Section 15?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. When did you acquire the interest in Section 22?
20	Do you
21	A. Let's see. That was at the same time, it's part
22	of the same agreement.
23	Q. Okay. And that's also That's 200 acres?
24	A. Right.
25	Q. And that was from the same company?
-	

40

<ul> <li>A. That was.</li> <li>MR. CARROLL: What company was that?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Carlow, C-a-r-l-o-w, Corporation</li> <li>Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Where did You acquissions</li> <li>some interest in the northwest quarter of Section 21</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q is that the extent of your interest in that</li> <li>section?</li> <li>A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have</li> <li>west-half unit there with Devon.</li> </ul>	ired t
<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Carlow, C-a-r-l-o-w, Corporation</li> <li>Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Where did You acquision</li> <li>some interest in the northwest quarter of Section 21</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q is that the extent of your interest in that</li> <li>section?</li> <li>A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have</li> <li>west-half unit there with Devon.</li> </ul>	ired t
<ul> <li>Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Where did You acquision</li> <li>some interest in the northwest quarter of Section 21</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q is that the extent of your interest in that</li> <li>section?</li> <li>A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have</li> <li>west-half unit there with Devon.</li> </ul>	ired t
<pre>5 some interest in the northwest quarter of Section 21 6 A. Right. 7 Q is that the extent of your interest in tha 8 section? 9 A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have 10 west-half unit there with Devon.</pre>	t
<ul> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q is that the extent of your interest in that</li> <li>8 section?</li> <li>A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have</li> <li>10 west-half unit there with Devon.</li> </ul>	t
7 Q is that the extent of your interest in that 8 section? 9 A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have 10 west-half unit there with Devon.	
<pre>8 section? 9 A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have 10 west-half unit there with Devon.</pre>	
<ul> <li>A. No, then we have the southwest also. We have</li> <li>west-half unit there with Devon.</li> </ul>	a
10 west-half unit there with Devon.	a
11 Q. Okay, that's half and half on that?	
12 A. It's a little We have a little more than h	alf.
13 I don't have the exact figure.	
14 Q. Okay. Now, the first time that you proposed	the
15 well to Devon was in Section 15, was June the 12th?	
16 A. That's correct.	
17 Q. At that time During that period, did it ap	pear
18 that Devon was not going to participate in the drilling	of
19 that well?	
20 A. You said prior to that time?	
21 Q. No, during that time. I mean	
22 A. Well, shortly after Ken got that well proposa	l he
23 called me and said they wanted to operate They've ne	ver
24 had a problem with the location, so that would lead me	to
25 believe that they would participate in it yet would wan	t to

1 operate it. So you kind of knew that there was going to be a 2 Q. 3 problem back then? Yes, yes, I did. 4 Α. So less than three -- or about three weeks from 5 ο. 6 then, you directed your counsel to file a compulsory 7 pooling application? Α. Correct. 8 9 Would you normally give a company more time than 0. that to consider a proposal before you filed an 10 application? 11 Well, we -- My thinking on that was, we would go 12 Α. ahead and file that, yet we would set it so far out -- We 13 were giving them, I think, almost two months, to consider 14 this before the actual hearing date would be scheduled. 15 So you directed Counsel to file a specific -- for 16 Q. a specific hearing date? 17 I believe for August -- I believe for August 21st 18 Α. 19 was the date I instructed him to file for, was our first 20 hearing on this. And I thought that was enough time. From 21 June 12th to August 21st is two months, a little over two 22 months. MR. CARROLL: Well, why didn't you instruct your 23 counsel on June 13th to file an application for compulsory 24 25 pooling and set it for late August?

THE WITNESS: I could have. I -- Yeah, I could 1 2 have. 3 (By Examiner Catanach) Basically, we're talking Q. 4 about a drilling and operations question here. I mean, 5 both companies want to drill and operate this well, and that's the --6 7 Α. That's my understanding. 8 -- that's the problem we've got here. Q. 9 Right. Α. And you've got a rig already contracted to drill 10 Q. 11 this well? 12 Α. We have. I'd -- You know, I'd ask that you talk 13 to Mr. Calvert about -- our operations witness. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. CARROLL: 16 Q. Mr. Cobb, is it my understanding that both you 17 and Devon were competing to acquire the Carlow interest? I don't know that we were competing. 18 Α. 19 What was the status of the negoti- -- Let me Q. 20 rephrase that. 21 Α. Yeah. It was my understanding you testified that you 22 **Q**. were aware that Devon had been talking to Carlow? 23 24 Α. Right, right. 25 Q. And what was your understanding of the substance

1 of those talks? 2 Ken Gray had told me that they were negotiating Α. with Carlow on a working interest unit, former working 3 interest unit out here. 4 In Section 15? 5 Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. In the south half of Section 15? 8 Α. I think -- I don't know. I think all of Section 9 15. 10 Do you know whether specific well locations --Q. 11 Α. No 12 -- had been discussed? Q. 13 No. Α. 14 And in your opinion, why did Carlow choose to Q. sell their interest to you, rather than participating with 15 Devon? 16 17 I can't answer that. I don't know. Α. MR. CARROLL: That's all I have. 18 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION 20 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 21 Q. There was some discussion about a well in Section 22 21. Is there currently a producing well in the west half? 23 Α. Yeah, it's the Ocotillo Hills Number 1 well. 24 And it's operated by who? Q. 25 By Mewbourne Oil Company. Α.

1	Q. There was some discussion about a re-entry. What
2	is that all about?
3	A. I think Keith would be best suited to address
4	that, but we are planning to re-enter that well. Ken Gray
5	and I are negotiating an agreement on that right now.
6	Q. Okay, that well is not producing at this time?
7	A. I don't know if it's the status of it right
8	now.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I think that's all I
10	have of this witness. He may be excused.
11	MR. HALL: At this time we call Ralph Moore to
12	the witness stand.
13	RALPH P. MOORE, JR.,
14	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
15	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. HALL:
18	Q. For the record, please state your name, sir.
19	A. Ralph P. Moore, Jr.
20	Q. And Mr. Moore, where do you live, by whom are you
21	employed and in what capacity?
22	A. I live in Midland, Texas. I'm employed by
23	Mewbourne Oil Company as district exploration manager.
24	Q. And have you previously testified before the
25	Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of

1	record?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. You're familiar with the circumstances
4	surrounding Mewbourne's proposal to drill and operate the
5	Carlsbad "15" in Section 15?
6	A. Correct.
7	MR. HALL: Are the witness's credentials
8	acceptable?
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: They are.
10	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Mr. Moore, if you would refer to
11	Exhibit 1, in the chronology under Tab 3, you participated
12	in the March 13th, 1997, meeting with Devon in Oklahoma
13	City, did you not?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. Could you tell the Hearing Examiner what was the
16	purpose of that meeting?
17	A. Mewbourne's purpose in that meeting was to go up
18	and discuss the Ocotillo Hills well Number 1, as well as
19	see if we couldn't make a deal with Devon of some type to
20	expand a obtain an acreage position in a play that we
21	had been working on for some time.
22	So the purpose was twofold: to discuss the well
23	as well as the Strawn play.
24	Q. Was it apparent to you at the Oklahoma City
25	meeting that Devon had any ongoing plans for developing the

	4/
1	Strawn in the area?
2	A. They were unaware of the play.
3	Q. How did Mewbourne become aware of the play?
4	A. Our geologist had been developing it since
5	November.
6	Q. All right. And who did you meet with,
7	specifically? Did you meet with Ken Gray?
8	A. We met with Ken Gray, Wayne Roberts, Steve Cobb
9	and myself. One other party that was there was Steven
10	Blair, Devon's manager of outside operations. He engaged
11	in discussions, both in the meeting room and on the way to
12	a restaurant, in terms of our plans.
13	Q. What was your impression of Devon's development
14	out there? Would you characterize it as active
15	development?
16	A. Specifically for the Strawn, I would characterize
17	it and Morrow as inactive and not aware of the play.
18	My name has been mentioned a time or two earlier
19	here today. I we discussed The first question that
20	was asked by Mr. Gray was, What are you plans for the well,
21	Ocotillo Hills Number 1? And we said we were going to try
22	a Strawn completion.
23	And I continued that on a little further. Once
24	again, the manager of outside operations was there. His
25	name, again, was Steven Blair. I told them that we were

1	interested or maybe Mr. Cobb did we were interested
2	in expanding and developing a new position in this play.
3	It's been brought out before, we had no position other than
4	the Ocotillo Hills Well Number 1. This was a play We
5	initiated it, or at least the idea. We saw the concept.
6	And we were ready to make some kind of a deal
7	with Devon, and I proposed some sort of interest area,
8	joint development, at the risk of We had no acreage
9	position, so we were a bit vulnerable.
10	They seemed interested in the idea. I not only
11	talked about the Yates well, but I talked about the Penwell
12	well to the south. And using a Devon land map, I believe,
13	I outlined where the fairway of the play was. Devon had no
14	knowledge of this play from the Yates well to the Penwell
15	well.
16	On the way to the restaurant, Mr. Blair and I
17	continued in discussions. Mr. Roberts, Wayne Roberts, was
18	in the area. I don't know whether he was listening at that
19	point on the way.
20	And I expressed my concern to Mr. Blair about
21	Mewbourne Oil Company's potential vulnerability in here,
22	about exposing a play that they had no that Devon had no
23	knowledge of. And we were very interested in working out
24	some kind of a deal. I was assured that, one, they weren't
25	going to sell any acreage because it was a core property in

1 the Delaware up shallower.

2	And Mr. Blair informed me that he would not
3	compete with us, Devon would not compete with us. And as
4	being manager of outside operations, I assumed he could
5	speak for them as a manager.

6 He said he also welcomed the idea of 7 knowledgeable independents bringing new ideas to Devon's 8 massive acreage position so these people could -- so Devon 9 as part of their strategy could not only develop their own 10 things, but their acreage position would allow them to do 11 and be involved with other things because of knowledgeable 12 independents coming in.

Operations of the property, of this play, 13 potential acreage, was never discussed. 14 I just assumed, 15 since I was dealing with an outside operations manager and 16 he said he could speak for Devon, that some game rules had 17 already been established. One, it was our idea. And two, 18 they would not compete with us. And that's how we left it. 19 And that's all I have to say. 20 Q. All right. Did you take away from the Oklahoma 21 City meeting the assumption that had Mewbourne not approached Devon, that it's likely the Strawn reserves 22 would have gone undeveloped? 23

24 A. Yes.

25

One other thing I want to expand on is that the

1	concept that was discussed with Mr. Blair was, we will not
2	compete with you for acreage. Go get the acreage, and then
3	let's come back and let's see where we go from here. And
4	once again, he was delighted that we had presented this
5	opportunity.
6	Q. Now, later in the sequence of events, it's
7	apparent to all that you couldn't obtain Devon's voluntary
8	participation in the well. And if you'll turn to Tab 12,
9	Exhibit 1, it's your letter dated August 21, 1997, is it
10	not?
11	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
12	Q. Why did you write that letter?
13	A. Steve Cobb's and Ken Gray's negotiations and
14	discussions didn't seem to be making any progress. As
15	exploration manager, the office At this point we had
16	already been informed earlier when Devon said Mr. Gray
17	said, that they would become a competitor. I made the
18	assumption that it was not being handled by Mr. Blair, but
19	I really didn't know.
20	So I called Wayne Roberts to try to open up
21	another line of discussion of where do we go from here? I
22	asked him I once again reminded him that I was district
23	exploration manager and would like to talk to somebody that
24	could help us as a group negotiate a deal.
25	And he informed me that he was the guy I needed

1	to speak with.
2	Q. All right. Did you ever get a response to your
3	August 21 letter?
4	A. No. And during the conversation Mr. Roberts was
5	very quiet.
6	Q. All right. Can you briefly tell the Examiner
7	about Mewbourne's other acreage holdings, Mewbourne's other
8	production in the area?
9	A. In the immediate area of this particular
10	township, to the best of my knowledge, we have no
11	production. We've been on new play, except for Well, we
12	had no production. Ocotillo Hills Number 1 was our only
13	position.
14	Q. All right. Outside of that immediate area, do
15	you have operations?
16	A. We do have a massive position in Eddy County, but
17	not in this particular township, to the best of my
18	knowledge.
19	Q. All right. When you say "massive", what do you
20	mean by that?
21	A. Well, Mewbourne operates hundreds of wells, most
22	of them Pennsylvanian-Morrow wells. Maybe "hundreds" is
23	I'm not sure of exactly our number, but we have a sizeable
24	position. We have a full Hobbs, New Mexico, drilling
25	department, production department, whatever it takes to

51

----

develop the existing assets, as well as new assets. 1 MR. HALL: All right. That concludes my direct 2 of this witness. Pass the witness. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Kellahin? 4 5 MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 7 Let me see if I understand this, Mr. Moore. 8 Q. You go to Devon on March 13th, you tell them you have no 9 10 acreage position in the township, you have seen efforts by Yates, and I think you mentioned a Pennzoil -- Was it 11 Pennzoil or Penwell? 12 13 Α. Penwell. 14 Penwell. Two points of information that made it Q. 15 encouraging to explore the township for the deep gas, 16 right? Α. Right. 17 At that meeting you did not provide them any of 18 Q. 19 the geologic or technical data that you were developing; is that not true? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 22 Q. All right. And Devon appeared to be interested, but you had no acreage position in the township, right? 23 That's correct. 24 Α. 25 Q. All right. Am I correct in understanding that

you came away from that meeting with the impression that if 1 2 I get an acreage position for Mewbourne, then I can come 3 back to Devon and we can talk about some type of joint 4 venture? I believe that's about what you said. 5 Α. Well, we already had the Ocotillo Hills Number 1 6 position. 7 Q. Yes, sir, apart from that. And I believe they were a party to that well in 8 Α. 9 the additional acreage that was picked up. They 10 participated in that as well. So conceptually, I had the impression from Mr. 11 Blair, was, we will not compete with you for acreage --12 and, I'm assuming since I'm dealing with an outside-13 operated manager -- or operations. Get as much acreage as 14 15 Then you can tell us in detail what your specific you can. 16 plans were. We will not compete with you. 17 He said, we won't compete with you, get an Q. acreage position, and, I thought you told me, come back to 18 Devon and we'll talk about cooperative efforts to develop 19 20 the deep gas? 21 That's correct. Α. Okay. And you never came back to them prior to Q. 22 June 12th, when Mr. Cobb sent them this specific proposal 23 for a staked well in the southwest quarter of 15? 24 25 That's incorrect. We were advised by Mr. Gray Α.

1	that they were becoming a competitor. There was no reason
2	to go back to them. They had already, in concept, violated
3	a potential deal. They were competitors.
4	Q. Where did you get that kind of information, Mr.
5	Moore? We didn't hear a word about it from Mr. Cobb.
6	A. I was advised by Mr. Cobb, through a conversation
7	with Mr. Gray, that that is what was said: We are becoming
8	a competitor.
9	Q. Were you here during Mr. Cobb's testimony?
10	A. Yes, I was.
11	Q. He didn't testify that way, did he? He never
12	said a word about that?
13	A. I guess he didn't recall that.
14	Q. Ah, but you did?
15	A. I recall him telling me.
16	Q. Okay. Are you aware of how you went about
17	acquiring the Carlow Corporation interest?
18	A. We purchased an interest, yes.
19	Q. Okay. Were you aware at the time that you
20	purchased the interest from Carlow that Carlow was in
21	negotiations with Devon to form a joint-venture arrangement
22	in Section 15?
23	A. Carlow approached us. I the only My
24	personal knowledge
25	Q. Yes, sir.
•	

Α. -- is that the approached us about this acreage, 1 2 they came down -- Kip Agar and his geologist, Tom Smith, came down and did a show-and-tell. I don't believe there 3 4 was any discussion with Devon in that meeting, and I wasn't 5 aware of it until subsequent. 6 ο. Okay. 7 I thought we were dealing with Carlow and they Α. 8 had a position and were trying to sell it and --They did not disclose to you that they --9 0. 10 concurrently with negotiating with you, they were having 11 discussions with Devon? 12 Α. I don't recall that being said. All right. Were you in any meetings with Richard 13 Q. 14 Coates, a landman --15 Α. I don't --16 Q. -- that was associated --17 Α. I don't know ---- with that corporation? 18 Q. 19 I don't know Richard Coates. Α. 20 All right. Let me finish my question --Q. Sure. 21 Α. -- and I'll let you finish your answer. 22 Q. 23 Is it your company's practice to go out and stake locations before you discuss with your working interest 24 owners in that spacing unit a consolidation for the 25

	56
1	drilling of that well?
2	A. Our company's policy are to develop the assets
3	that we have in a prudent manner.
4	Q. All right. Did you hear my question?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Would you give me an answer to the question?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. That was not responsive, sir. Is it your
9	company's practice to go out and stake a well location in
10	the spacing unit before you talk to the working interest
11	owners in that spacing unit about that well?
12	A. If that's a secondary fallout, so be it. We were
13	just interested in developing the assets.
14	Bear in mind, if I might
15	MR. KELLAHIN: All right, I've heard your answer.
16	I have no other questions.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: He's done. Are you
19	MR. KELLAHIN: I'm done.
20	MR. HALL: Are you finished?
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. HALL:
23	Q. Mr. Moore, is it your understanding all along
24	that Devon never had a problem with well location where he
25	staked it; is that right?

The well location has never been an issue with 1 Α. 2 either party. 3 All right. So we put the sequence of events into 0. proper context, Mewbourne was actively considering the 4 5 Strawn play out there, early as when? November, October of 1996. 6 Α. 7 Okay. At what point did Mewbourne management Q. 8 make the decision to commit company resources, company 9 assets, company time, to evaluating the Strawn out there 10 and going after it during production? 11 That would have been about November as well. Α. 12 Q. All right. I would have had to approve the development of 13 Α. 14 the play. 15 MR. HALL: All right. Nothing further of this 16 witness. 17 MR. KELLAHIN: Follow-up, Mr. Examiner. RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 19 I'm not sure of the chronology here, Mr. Moore. 20 Q. Mewbourne has no interest in the area except the 21 acquisition of the northwest of 21, which occurred -- I've 22 lost track of the date. I think Mr. Cobb testified that it 23 24 was acquired February 1. Okay? 25 Α. (Nods)

1	Q. Other than that, Mewbourne has no acreage
2	position, and you acquire an acreage position elsewhere in
3	the township from Carlow, right?
4	A. (Nods)
5	Q. When did Carlow show Mewbourne this play, or this
6	property?
7	A. Play is incorrect.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. Specific acreage in a section, I don't recall the
10	exact date.
11	Q. Okay. Do you know why Carlow would have come to
12	Mewbourne if Mewbourne had no position in the township?
13	MR. HALL: I'll object to the question to the
14	extent it calls for speculation about another non-present
15	party's state of mind.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: Do you have any knowledge of
17	that, Mr
18	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please.
19	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Yes, sir. I'm curious what
20	your understanding is. Mewbourne didn't go out and find
21	Carlow, right?
22	A. The discussions between Kip Agar And I
23	personally don't know how they found us.
24	Q. My understanding of your testimony was that
25	Carlow came to Mewbourne.
L	

58

1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. Okay. Kip Agar and Ken Waites, exploration manager for 3 Α. 4 the company, are acquaintances. I don't know whether this 5 came up through conversations through them. Q. All right. 6 7 Α. I was just advised that Carlow was coming down 8 and showing us a deal. 9 Q. All right, so you don't have any knowledge about 10 what triggered Carlow's seeking Mewbourne out? 11 Α. I do not. 12 Q. But you do know that they sought you? 13 A. I think --You don't know that either? 14 0. I don't know that either. 15 Α. 16 MR. KELLAHIN: Okay. No further questions. 17 MR. HALL: Nothing further of this witness, Mr. Examiner. 18 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Pardon me? 20 MR. HALL: I have nothing further of Mr. Moore. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 23 So, Mr. Moore, you don't know who approached who Q. with this deal? 24 25 The Carlow? Α.

Q. Yes.         A. I do not.         Q. In your original meeting with Devon, did they, in         fact, state to you that they had no knowledge of the Strawn         play in this area?         A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was         Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.         EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of         this witness.         MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would         call Keith Williams to the stand. <u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u> ,         the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon         his oath, was examined and testified as follows:         DIRECT EXAMINATION         BY MR. HALL:         Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.         A. Keith Williams.         Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you         employed, and in what capacity?         A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,         Texas.         Q. And you've previously testified before the         Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of         record?		
3       Q. In your original meeting with Devon, did they, in         4       fact, state to you that they had no knowledge of the Strawn         5       play in this area?         6       A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was         7       Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.         8       EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of         9       this witness.         10       MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would         11       call Keith Williams to the stand.         12       KEITH WILLIAMS,         13       the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon         14       his oath, was examined and testified as follows:         15       DIRECT EXAMINATION         16       BY MR. HALL:         17       Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.         18       A. Keith Williams.         19       Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you         20       employed, and in what capacity?         21       A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,         22       Q. And you've previously testified before the         24       Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	1	Q. Yes.
<ul> <li>fact, state to you that they had no knowledge of the Strawn play in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was</li> <li>Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of</li> <li>this witness.</li> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	2	A. I do not.
5       play in this area?         6       A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was         7       Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.         8       EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of         9       this witness.         10       MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would         11       call Keith Williams to the stand.         12       KEITH WILLIAMS,         13       the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon         14       his oath, was examined and testified as follows:         15       DIRECT EXAMINATION         16       BY MR. HALL:         17       Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.         18       A. Keith Williams.         19       Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you         20       employed, and in what capacity?         21       A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,         22       Q. And you've previously testified before the         23       Q. And you've previously testified before the         24       Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	3	Q. In your original meeting with Devon, did they, in
<ul> <li>A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was</li> <li>Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of</li> <li>this witness.</li> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	4	fact, state to you that they had no knowledge of the Strawn
<ul> <li>Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.</li> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of</li> <li>this witness.</li> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	5	play in this area?
<ul> <li>EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of</li> <li>this witness.</li> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	6	A. Yes. And Mr. Examiner, my answer to that was
<ul> <li>this witness.</li> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	7	Strawn play, yes. Strawn production, I wouldn't know.
<ul> <li>MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would</li> <li>call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li><u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	8	EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of
<ul> <li>11 call Keith Williams to the stand.</li> <li>12 <u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u>,</li> <li>13 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon</li> <li>14 his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>15 DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>16 BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>17 Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>18 A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>19 Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>20 employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>21 A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>22 Texas.</li> <li>23 Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>24 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	9	this witness.
12KEITH WILLIAMS,13the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon14his oath, was examined and testified as follows:15DIRECT EXAMINATION16BY MR. HALL:17Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.18A. Keith Williams.19Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you20employed, and in what capacity?21A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,22Q. And you've previously testified before the24Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	10	MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would
13 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 14 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. HALL: 17 Q. For the record, sir, please state your name. 18 A. Keith Williams. 19 Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you 20 employed, and in what capacity? 21 A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland, 22 Texas. 23 Q. And you've previously testified before the 24 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	11	call Keith Williams to the stand.
<ul> <li>his oath, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	12	<u>KEITH WILLIAMS</u> ,
15DIRECT EXAMINATION16BY MR. HALL:17Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.18A. Keith Williams.19Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you20employed, and in what capacity?21A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,22Texas.23Q. And you've previously testified before the24Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	13	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
<ul> <li>BY MR. HALL:</li> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	14	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
<ul> <li>Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.</li> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
<ul> <li>A. Keith Williams.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	16	BY MR. HALL:
<ul> <li>Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you</li> <li>employed, and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	17	Q. For the record, sir, please state your name.
20 employed, and in what capacity? 21 A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland, 22 Texas. 23 Q. And you've previously testified before the 24 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	18	A. Keith Williams.
<ul> <li>A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,</li> <li>Texas.</li> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	19	Q. Mr. Williams, where do you live, by whom are you
<ul> <li>22 Texas.</li> <li>23 Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>24 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	20	employed, and in what capacity?
<ul> <li>Q. And you've previously testified before the</li> <li>Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of</li> </ul>	21	A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil in Midland,
24 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of	22	Texas.
	23	Q. And you've previously testified before the
25 record?	24	Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of
	25	record?

1	A. Yes, I have.
2	Q. You're familiar with the geology surrounding the
3	Carlsbad "15"?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. And you've prepared a geologic study in
6	connection with the Carlsbad "15"?
7	A. I have.
8	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, we offer Mr. Williams as
9	a qualified geologic witness.
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Williams is so qualified.
11	Q. (By Mr. Hall) If you would, please, Mr.
12	Williams, why don't you provide the Hearing Examiner with
13	an overview of the geology surrounding the well?
14	A. Okay. Well, on a regional study that I had been
15	doing of the kind of the whole area, tying in a bunch of
16	stray Mewbourne properties, in with this intervening
17	township, I mapped numerous zones in 21 South, 26 East.
18	And during the course of that study I came across a
19	production anomaly in a well drilled by Yates in 1983.
20	It's in the northeast quarter of the little production map
21	on the northwest corner of this exhibit.
22	Q. For the record, you're referring to Exhibit 2,
23	that's
24	A. Yes, Exhibit 2, series of maps. The well in 11
25	is the Yates Petroleum Lake Shore "XH" Federal Number 1.
•	

	02
1	This map omits all the shallow wells. All these wells have
2	been drilled to the Morrow formation, approximately 11,000-
3	plus feet.
4	The majority of the development in this area was
5	in 1974-75. About ten years later Yates drilled the Lake
6	Shore Federal in Section 11. It produced pretty poorly at
7	first from the Morrow, from 1989 to mid-1990, made about 40
8	million cubic feet.
9	In 1991 to mid-1994 they recompleted that well to
10	the Atoka. It made about 50 million cubic feet.
11	And then in 1994 they completed it to a Strawn
12	sand interval, and since that time it's made about 2.1 BCF
13	and 85,000 barrels of oil, through March of 1997.
14	So the map to the right of that is a gross
15	isopach of this interval, with the Yates well the older
16	Yates well in Section 11, having 15 feet of gross sand in
17	that interval. There was an older well in Section 10 that
18	had that zone completed in it, that only made about 220
19	million cubic feet. It's no longer in that zone.
20	The production map shows also the interest
21	Mewbourne has acquired since this work was done, consisting
22	in varying interests in potential proration units in the
23	south half of 15, in the north half of 22, the well we have
24	been talking about in the west half of 21, and then the
25	east half of Section 20, along this same map trend.

1	By and large, very few wells have tested this
2	interval. It carries a fairly high degree of risk, even
3	though the Yates well has performed real well.
4	Exhibit 3 is a cross-section, four-well cross-
5	section, on the production map that has all the key wells
6	on it.
7	The well on the right-hand side is the Yates Lake
8	Shore Federal well. The perforations over the Strawn sand
9	interval are shown there right around 9800 feet.
10	The next well on the cross-section is the Devon-
11	operated State BO Com well. It is completed in the upper
12	Pennsylvanian at the top of the cross-section. It is
13	dedicated to a north-half proration unit in that zone. It
14	was completed in 1973-74, as were most of the other wells
15	out there, and has cum'd about 1.3 BCF out of that
16	interval. It did not test the Strawn sand.
17	Coming farther to the left on the cross-section
18	is the Ocotillo Hills Number 2 well. I believe it is also
19	operated by Devon. It was a Morrow well and has produced
20	from the same Canyon interval as well.
21	The cross-section pretty much just shows kind of
22	a continuity of this sand across these four wells, you
23	know, in the middle of this mapped sand trend and shows
24	basically that the Yates well is the only one that has
25	tested it, and basically shows the Yates well has,

-

1	probably, a lot better reservoir characters, but without
2	tests it's difficult to say. There is a lot of risk
3	attendant with this type of reservoir.
4	The map immediately below the production map is a
5	gross Canyon zone. It shows the well in 15 that produced
6	out of it. It shows essentially two wells that have
7	produced out of it. Again, the well in the north half of
8	15 is dedicated to this proration unit.
9	Okay, the map below that is a Strawn just a
10	Strawn structure map, just basically shows southeast-to-
11	east dip in the area and three Strawn wells to date.
12	Exhibit 4 is a type log that just shows two
13	Morrow sands that I've chose to put on this Exhibit 2. The
14	second from the top on the right-hand side is a purple sand
15	isopach. It's a gross sand map. It shows the producers in
16	Section 16 and 21 and shows potential thicks in the north
17	half of 22 and the east half of 20 in that zone.
18	Then in the last map is a lower Morrow
19	basically the bottommost sand in these wells, what we call
20	the brown sand. We show it more or less with an east-west
21	stripe. And it just had a show in the well in the north
22	half of 15, didn't produce out of it.
23	Q. Anything further you wish to add with respect to
24	the exhibits?
25	A. I think that about covers it.

1	Q. All right. Mr. Williams, will the relocation of
2	the well 200 feet to the east have any significant bearing
3	on the well's ability to produce recoverable reserves, or
4	encounter recoverable reserves?
5	A. We don't believe it will. There's no seismic or
6	anything that defines this location, so to the best of our
7	ability, no.
8	Q. All right. Tell us about the permeability and
9	the porosity of the Morrow throughout this region. Does it
10	tend to vary, or is it consistent?
11	A. The permeability and porosity in both the Strawn
12	sand, the Strawn carbonate and the Morrow clastic
13	reservoirs vary considerably. The production map pretty
14	well attests to the variability when The Yates well is a
15	perfect example. Up till 1994, it was pretty much a
16	commercial bust.
17	Q. All right. Is that the basis for Mewbourne's
18	recommendation that it receive the 200-percent risk penalty
19	assessment in this case?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. And in your view that 200-percent assessment is
22	appropriate?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Is there a risk that the well will not be
25	completed as a commercially successful well?

1	A. Absolutely. Yes, the well in the north half of
2	15 wasn't, the well in the south half of 22 was not,
3	neither was the well in the south half of 10. So it
4	carries a fairly high degree of risk.
5	Q. Mr. Williams, in your opinion will granting
6	Mewbourne's Application be in the best interests of
7	conservation and the prevention of waste and protection of
8	correlative rights?
9	A. I believe it will.
10	Q. Let me ask you, Mr. Williams, till you started
11	your geologic evaluation of the area, there really was no
12	Strawn play out there; is that accurate to say?
13	A. That's right. And "play" is probably somewhat of
14	a misnomer. Most of these wells have been out here since
15	Well, since 1974. The Yates well, it's been out here
16	since 1983. So it's more just a map trend of mostly wells
17	that have intervals behind pipe that haven't been tested,
18	may not be productive.
19	Q. But nobody was actively drilling for the Strawn?
20	A. No, they weren't. That's why we were able to
21	pick up our interests in here.
22	Q. By the way, you mentioned the State BO Com,
23	operated by Devon. Do you know who drilled that well?
24	A. I believe it was Arco. It's on this cross-
25	section. Yes, it was drilled by Atlantic Richfield

originally. 1 All right. Mr. Williams, were Exhibits 2, 3 and 2 Q. 3 4 prepared by you? Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we'd move the admission of Exhibits 2, 3 and 4. 6 7 That concludes our direct. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 2, 3 and 4 will be admitted as evidence. 9 10 Pass the witness, Mr. Kellahin. MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 14 Mr. Williams, let's look at Exhibit 2. It's this ο. 15 montage of geologic displays. If you'll start with the 16 production map at the top, the only well on this map to 17 have -- Well, there's two wells, I guess, that have produced out of the Strawn, the one in 23 and the one in 18 19 11? 10, 11 and 23, yes, sir. It's on the structure 20 Α. 21 map. All right, that's the Strawn production in the 22 Q. 23 area. The last Strawn production is the Yates well? 24 That's the newest --25

67

1	A. Newest
2	Q Strawn production?
3	A. In this township it is, yes, sir.
4	Q. All right, the Section 11?
5	A. That I know of.
6	Q. And they've cum'd what? 2 BCF?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. And about 83,000 barrels of oil?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. What's the vintage of that completion in the
11	Strawn? Do you recall how old
12	A. 1994.
13	Q. 1994?
14	A. (Nods)
15	Q. Yates has not chosen to try to offset that well,
16	has it?
17	A. Yes, it has. There's a location in the south
18	half of 11 and the east half of 10, currently.
19	Q. In 11 and 10?
20	A. On my exhibit, yes, sir, there's a
21	Q. All right. They've not drilled either one of
22	those, have they?
23	A. Not that I know of. They are staked and
24	Q. Okay. I guess I didn't make my question clear.
25	We don't have another well being drilled by Yates to offset

their Strawn production in 11 at this point? 1 Well, Devon is drilling a well in 15. 2 Α. Yes, sir, that's not -- wasn't my question. 3 The Q. 4 question was, Yates has not chosen to offset its own well? It has, but I believe from my study of the 5 Α. 6 regulations, like concerning the Avalon reservoir, they had 7 a lot of other probably regulatory hurdles to file. 8 **Q**. So the answer to my question is yes, isn't it? The bet I know, it may be drilling right now. Ι 9 Α. haven't been out there. 10 ο. All right. 11 But it's been filed for some time, as far as a 12 Α. record with the OCD. 13 The only drilling well at this point in this area 14 ο. is Devon's well in the northwest of 15, which is a drilling 15 well? It's being drilled? 16 Yes, sir. 17 Α. All right. When we look at all these deep gas 18 ο. 19 possibilities, is it your opinion that the best prospect is 20 the Strawn? It's one, yes, sir. 21 Α. Well, and it's the major one? 22 Q. Yes, it's the reason why I picked this area, yes. 23 Α. All right. Let's look at the Strawn isopach up 24 0. 25 in the northwest corner of the display. Are these gross

1	values con	toured on here?
2	Α.	It's gross Strawn sand isopach.
3	Q.	All right. We haven't used any cutoffs?
4	Α.	No, sir.
5	Q.	When we look at the gross sand, do you have any
6	opinion as	a geologist about what the gross sand thickness
7	has to be	in order to give you an opportunity for
8	commercial	Strawn gas production?
9	Α.	I think about 10 feet.
10	Q.	Okay.
11	Α.	There are There's completions in this
12	township.	The Yates well is not the only one. There about
13	four other	s off of this map in this township.
14	Q.	General rule of thumb
15	А.	Eight
16	Q.	in this area
17	А.	Eight
18	Q.	would be ten feet? Eight to ten?
19	А.	Eight to ten feet.
20	Q.	Okay. The Yates well in 11 has got 15?
21	Α.	Yes, sir.
22	Q.	That's by your count?
23	Α.	Yes, sir.
24	Q.	When we look at Section 15 and the north half of
25	22	

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q it looks an opportunity here to test for
3	Strawn gas in that area, right?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. When we divide that section and the half section
6	below it into quarter sections, 160 acres, we're going to
7	get six of them, right?
8	A. Yes or The north half of 22 and the south
9	half of 15?
10	Q. No, the north half of 22 and all of 15.
11	A. Oh, yes, sir.
12	Q. You've got six quarter sections?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Based upon your interpretation, would you rank
15	those for me, those quarter sections, in terms of priority
16	and where you would put these wells? I want to understand
17	how to value your map, is what I'm asking you, Mr.
18	Williams.
19	A. Well, at this point I would say the ones in 15
20	are probably comparable. It's difficult to say. The best-
21	looking developed intervals are the Yates well and the well
22	in the northwest of 21, from my work.
23	Q. Okay. When we look at the northeast of 22, if I
24	read your map correctly, it looks like the worst quarter
25	section to try to put the well in at this point.

1	A. It may be.
2	Q. Based upon the current data, you would not put
3	the well in the northwest I'm sorry, the northeast of
4	22?
5	A. Topographically, I don't believe you could.
6	Q. Well, that's not my question, sir. It's
7	geologically, based upon this map
8	A. Well, with
9	Q in the Strawn?
10	A. Right, with no additional wells, you wouldn't
11	drill a well in the northeast of 22.
12	Q. All right. When you compare the quarter sections
13	in Section 15, the southeast quarter of 15 would be your
14	last choice of those four quarter sections in 15; is that
15	not true?
16	A. Well, not necessarily. I mean, you could drill
17	farther You could probably drill just south of the old
18	well, just due east east of where you are, south of the
19	BO, which is in 15G.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. I mean, you could drill a well in that quarter
22	section. As much as we know about it, both those are
23	comparable
24	Q. All right. But the
25	A at this point.

Q. The criteria for selection is to maximize the
gross thickness in the Strawn sand; is that not true?
A. It is, but the well in 15 is an older well, and
it has a not real comparable set of logs to the Yates well
which is, you know, 20 years younger.
Q. The well in the northeast of 15 is of what
vintage, sir?
A. 1974.
Q. All right. The results of that well don't
discourage you from the opportunity for Strawn gas in that
section?
A. It wasn't tested. It doesn't look as you
know, as good. But like I said, it's on a poorer set of
logs, so
Q. Okay. Your log value, then, is 18 feet of gross
thickness in the Strawn. How confident are you about the
18-foot calculation?
A. Less than I am on the 15 in the Yates well.
Q. All right.
A. But it's difficult It's a sonic log, and it's
difficult to say.
Q. Okay.
A. It's a radioactive sand, and you can't very well
draw a gamma-ray cutoff, so you basically just you
look

1	Q. What Excuse me. Did you finish
2	A at the porosity cutoff on different types,
3	different vintages of logs.
4	Q. What's the purpose of the well location in the
5	northwest of 22?
6	A. Northwest of 22?
7	Q. Northwest quarter of 22? Is that a staked
8	location?
9	A. That I believe Yeah, I'm not real sure if
10	it's been staked or not. I think we've probably staked the
11	one in 20, we've staked the one in 15, 22 is likely staked
12	although I'm not positive.
13	Q. Have you developed a strategy or a plan in terms
14	of how to develop Section 15 in the north half of 22? How
15	would you go about doing it?
16	A. Well, we had planned to drill this well in 15
17	first. We plan to do a workover in 21, we plan to drill a
18	well in 15 and plan to drill a well in 20, and then 22 is
19	somewhere after that.
20	MR. KELLAHIN: Okay, thank you. I have no
21	further questions.
22	MR. HALL: Nothing further.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
25	Q. Is it my understanding that in the north half of
-	

1	Section 15 Devon is currently drilling a well?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And that's a Is that a Morrow test?
4	A. It's a Morrow-Strawn test. I'm
5	Q. So there is some potential in the Morrow in this
6	area; is that
7	A. I believe there is. What The Morrow here is
8	pretty well faulted, I believe, and you have a string of
9	pretty much Morrow dry holes, being Section 10, 15 and 22,
10	down through here, so it's potential but it's risked very
11	highly.
12	If you didn't have the Strawn you couldn't
13	justify drilling a Morrow test, I don't believe, in my
14	opinion. You have a lot of wet tests in there.
15	Q. Okay. How about the Penn or the Canyon?
16	A. The major risk in the Canyon is going to be
17	depletion from that old well, I believe. I think it will
18	be there, and it will likely be porous.
19	The well in The BO Com in 15G made about 1.3
20	BCF, but it's taken it, you know, 24 years or more to do
21	it.
22	The well in 21, on the east half of 21, only made
23	about 20 million cubic feet from that zone, so it would be
24	noncommercial.
25	Q. Mr. Williams, did you develop this prospect?

	///
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. What prompted you to look in this particular
3	township?
4	A. Well, I've worked It was just simply one I
5	hadn't worked.
6	I've worked, you know, all of them and just
7	basically looked for workovers and came across the Yates
8	well. It had been done in 1994, and I it took me to
9	1996 to find it. But it had been out there producing quite
10	a bit of gas.
11	Q. So
12	A. There's no real secret or magic to it; it's just
13	mostly leg work and
14	Q. Is it Mewbourne's practice to look at acreage
15	I mean, to look at areas that they don't have acreage in?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Is that the standard?
18	A. Yes, sir. My job is to pretty much revolves
19	around assets he doesn't already own, is to We've
20	nominated or purchased the rest of this through other
21	companies and/or state and federal sales.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I have nothing
23	further. This witness may be excused.
24	MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would
25	call Ken Calvert to the stand.

1	KEN CALVERT,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. HALL:
6	Q. For the record, please state your name, sir.
7	A. My name is K.M. Calvert, Ken.
8	Q. Mr. Calvert, where do you live, by whom are you
9	employed and in what capacity?
10	A. I live in Lindale, Texas. I work in the
11	Mewbourne home office in Tyler as engineering manager.
12	Q. And Mr. Calvert, you're a petroleum engineer by
13	trade, then?
14	A. Yes, I am.
15	Q. You've previously testified before the Division
16	and had your credentials accepted as a matter of record; is
17	that right?
18	A. I have.
19	Q. You're familiar with Mewbourne's Application in
20	this case and surrounding acreage?
21	A. Yes, I am.
22	MR. HALL: At this point we'd offer Mr. Calvert
23	as an expert petroleum engineer.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Calvert is so qualified.
25	Q. (By Mr. Hall) If you would, please, Mr. Calvert,
-	

77

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1	I'd like for you to provide Mr. Catanach with an overview
2	of Mewbourne's operations in the area.
3	A. Okay, is this big map listed as Exhibit 5?
4	Q. Yes, sir.
5	A. Is that what we're talking about?
6	Q. Yes. Why don't you refer to Exhibit 5?
7	A. Okay, this is approximately a three-by-five-
8	township map, and only If you don't mind, I'm going to
9	stand up so I can see it too, look over. And the only
10	thing that is shown on here is the Mewbourne acreage plus
11	wells that are of Pennsylvanian depth. We have other wells
12	in the area, but I think the crux of the matter here is the
13	operation of and drilling and completion and operation
14	of deeper wells, so the shallower wells have been left off
15	this map.
16	In the immediate area that we're talking about in
17	Township 21-26, the closest well that we've actually
18	drilled, you will see three wells to the north in 20-27,
19	and there is one well to the southeast. It would be our
20	Federal "N" well, and that's in 22 of 26.
21	Our Currently developing is what we call
22	Burton Flat, and that would be Oh, let's look at Section
23	5, 6 or not 6, we don't have acreage there, but 5, 7 and
24	8 of 20-28, and we have just finished drilling two wells
25	there and development drilling there this year.

The other -- There's one other area of large 1 development that is still going on, and it's on up to the 2 north part of the map. That would be in 18-27, 18-28, and 3 that's an area that we call our Chalk Bluff area and 4 5 Illinois Camp. We've got some other acreage to drill in 6 there this year. But that -- we have -- Well, since about 1990 I 7 believe we've drilled 34 Morrow wells in this area and 20 8 in about the last five years. So we're very familiar with 9 drilling and operating in this area. 10 11 Q. So if I understand you correctly, so you have a total of 20 -- or 52 formation -- Morrow formation wells? 12 13 Α. No, no. The 34 was on this map in total. 14 Q. I see. Twenty in the last -- Well, the 34 is probably in 15 Α. 16 the last, oh, probably -- since about 1988. The last 20 17 are since 1992, about four five wells a year. **Q**. All right. Now, with respect to the Carlsbad 18 19 "15" Com well, when did Mewbourne make the management decision to commit its resources, its capital, to 20 developing the Strawn play there? 21 22 You mean as far as committing personnel to it Α. 23 or --24 Yes, sir. Q. 25 -- dollars to it? Α.

1	Q. Personnel, company resources.
2	A. Okay, personnel, late 1996.
3	Q. All right. Now, with respect specifically to the
4	drilling of the Carlsbad "15", when did Mewbourne make the
5	decision to commit capital to that?
6	A. In the range of May to June of this year.
7	Q. All right. Sometime prior to the preparation of
8	your AFE then?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And does Mewbourne have an approved APD from the
11	BLM for the well?
12	A. Yes, we do.
13	Q. Do you have Refer to Tab 17, Exhibit 1.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. Is that your APD?
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	Q. And when was that date?
18	A. It was dated to be sent out June the 17th, 1997.
19	It was received by the BLM June 18th and approved on July
20	18th.
21	Q. Now, what about rig availability? Does Mewbourne
22	have a rig available to drill this particular section?
23	A. Yes. The rig that will be that is available,
24	is currently drilling. And we've been on that well about
25	10 days. So on the order of 15 to 20 days, that rig will

be available. 1 All right. 2 Q. Plus we have two other rigs coming. 3 Α. 4 Q. Rig availability is not a problem with respect to this well? 5 The current drilling rig could go 6 Α. No. 7 immediately to this well. All right. What else has Mewbourne done to 8 Q. 9 prosecute drilling and development of the Strawn in the 10 area? 11 Α. Well, it -- I will be just reiterating what 12 others have said, but we have the Ocotillo Hills well that 13 Devon has, to my knowledge, a 50-percent interest in, that 14 there's a JOA being prepared at this time, that will be --15 or an attempted recompletion will be made to the Strawn. 16 And then we have Avalon Hills State "20" Number 1 that, as 17 I understand, is a 100-percent Mewbourne well, that's on schedule to be drilled, plus the other acreage that we have 18 19 purchased. 20 Q. All right. And what first triggered Mewbourne's interest in developing the Strawn out here at all? 21 The Yates Lake Shore Federal well. 22 Α. 23 All right. Do you know what it's produced to Q. 24 date? 25 To my knowledge, it's produced about 2 BCF and Α.

1 over 80,000 barrels of condensate.

2	Q. All right. Mr. Calvert, in your opinion has
3	Mewbourne developed a particular expertise and a good
4	reputation in the drilling and completion of these deep
5	Penn formation wells in the area?
6	A. Well, we've been quite successful. We've made
7	some good wells. And developmental You know, we proceed
8	through making discoveries and consistent development of
9	it, so we've made some good wells and have very little
10	trouble in doing so.
11	Q. Now, if you know, does Devon have a reputation
12	for drilling and operating deep Penn formation wells in
13	southeast New Mexico?
14	A. Not to my knowledge. I have researched the
15	Dwight's data, and I found one well that it appeared that
16	they had probably drilled, plus the one that's drilling
17	right now.
18	Q. All right.
19	A. And I don't know They've had the acreage
20	position in the area probably six years or so.
21	Q. The Dwight's data you looked at covered what
22	area? Was that Eddy County?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Is the availability of a saltwater disposal
25	facility of any particular significance here?

	83
1	A. No.
2	Q. Why not?
3	A. Well, typically the Morrow and Strawn wells, the
4	ones that we operate and have direct experience with, make
5	very little to no water.
6	Q. All right. Now, how about surface facilities?
7	In particular, lease roads, roads to the drill site. Can
8	you tell the Examiner what the situation is there with
9	respect to topography and terrain?
10	A. Well, the topography is not necessarily rough
11	like a lot of southeast New Mexico is. It appears that
12	from the if you look through and, oh, I believe, get
13	back to In you guys' last two pages
14	Q. You're referring to
15	A pages in Tab 17, we filed a sundry notice
16	changing our proposed access road. We found that after we
17	did further look, it would be a little easier to come in
18	from Highway 285, use an existing lease road, and we would
19	end up building about a half mile of lease road.
20	Q. All right. Now, Devon has their well going in
21	the north half of 15. Are they any closer to the location
22	than you would be?
23	A. Well, not a whole lot. And I just have to assume
24	that they would build a lease road from their well down to
25	the proposed location. I don't They would have about a
-	

half mile of road to build also. 1 2 ο. All right. Α. We would build it from one direction, they would 3 build it from another direction, or I assume that they 4 would. 5 Q. If you would, Mr. Calvert, let's turn to 6 7 Mewbourne's AFE, which is under Tab 4 of Exhibit 1. Would 8 you take that in front of you, please, sir? Α. 9 Okay. 10 ο. Let's review those figures for the Hearing 11 Examiner, if you would. What's the total for a completed well? 12 13 Α. \$690,000. 14 And are the costs that are reflected on the AFE ο. in line with what's being charged by other operators and 15 Mewbourne for similar wells in the area? 16 17 Α. It's definitely in line with what it costs us to 18 drill those wells, and I don't have that much familiarity 19 with what other people are charging, not knowing exactly 20 what you mean by "charging". But billed-out cost. 21 0. All right. And I believe you've testified 22 Mewbourne has had substantial experience in drilling other 23 Morrow wells in the area? 24 Α. Yes, sir. Have you made an estimate of the overhead and 25 Q.

administrative costs while drilling and producing the well? 1 Yes, sir. 2 Α. And what are those rates? 3 Q. The drilling rate overhead is estimated to be Α. 4 \$6000, and the producing well overhead would be \$600 per 5 month, after completion. 6 7 All right. Have you compared those rates to the Q. Ernst and Young publications for overhead rates? 8 Α. Yes, I have. 9 And do they appear to be in line with what's 10 Q. being charged? 11 They appear to be in line, and also in line with 12 Α. current going rates of JOAs. 13 14 ο. All right. Is Mewbourne asking that those rates be incorporated into any order that results from this 15 16 hearing? 17 Α. Yes. Let's compare Devon's AFE, if you would, please, 18 ο. sir. Look under Tab 16, Exhibit 1. And first of all, do 19 20 you have that in front of you? 21 Yes, I do. Α. All right. What is their total completed well 22 Q. 23 cost? 24 Their total completed well cost is \$721,000. Α. 25 All right, somewhat higher than yours, then? Q.

	86
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Somewhat higher than Mewbourne's?
3	A. That would be \$31,000, AFE to AFE.
4	Q. All right. Let's quickly review some of the line
5	items on the Devon AFE. Is there anything about those that
6	you wish to comment on?
7	A. Well, there are some obvious differences that I
8	see. One is in the production casing. Our total is
9	\$73,000, Devon's is \$55,000. And that's just purchase of
10	hard goods. So they're In purchasing the hard goods,
11	you know, if our casing was down at \$55,000, then we'd be
12	under our AFE by another \$20,000, so \$18,000 or so.
13	As I understand their And I have not seen it,
14	but as I understand an APD that they apparently have,
15	they're also showing the use of 5 1/2 casing.
16	So I'm sort of wondering why there would be that
17	much differences, if they're actually going to be using
18	5 1/2 casing, in that you're just buying pounds of steel.
19	And say if you was going to run 11.6-pound, 4 1/2, as
20	opposed to 15.5-, 17-pound, 5 1/2, the ratio of the
21	difference about fits. So I question exactly what's going
22	on there.
23	Another item that They have an area of their
24	AFE that I'm not sure what they've used it for, but they
25	have an environmental and safety that is numbered 551 to
-	

1 559, almost to the bottom of the page, and there are no 2 values in there. And if they're not entered someplace 3 else, there will be some other cost as to  $H_2S$  requirements 4 on location by the BLM and the pit volume totalizer, to 5 keep up with the pit volume gains and losses to know if 6 you're getting a kick or if the wellbore is taking fluid 7 somewhere. So that's one item.

Another item, I notice that they have \$280,000 on 8 a -- I assume it's a footage bid. And ours is \$280,000. 9 But I also notice, down, item number 269, they also have 10 11 consultants at \$18,600. They're apparently on location at 12 that time, and I would assume that either under a footage or a turnkey contract, that the drilling service contractor 13 would be providing the most of that supervision, and that 14 15 would appear to be a full-time consultant at about \$500 bucks a day for a 40-day well, out from under surface. 16 Does that tell you that Devon doesn't have any 17 Q. staff to do supervision there? 18 Oh, I don't know that. I'm just reading that 19 Α. they've got a contract supervisor on location here. 20 I see. Does Devon reflect any budget for well 21 Q. control insurance? 22 23 Α. I didn't find one. Devon may be self-insured as 24 to their interest. If they are self-insured to their 25 interest, then we would have to pick up additional moneys

	88
1	on our own to pay for our own well insurance that would
2	make their in effect, make their AFE to us higher,
3	because we're picking up cost outside of their billing,
4	Devon's billing.
5	Q. All right. Based on your experience in drilling
6	in Eddy County, is that a concern to Mewbourne, that you're
7	possibly going to have some difficulties?
8	A. We carry full wellbore insurance on all of our
9	wells, and the problems that are encountered in this
10	particular area, I would think that it would be appropriate
11	to have wellbore insurance.
12	Q. All right. Did you have an opportunity to
13	compare the Devon AFE and the Mewbourne AFE side by side?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Did you see any similarities?
16	A. There's quite a few similarities. It would
17	appear that well, there are just a lot of There are
18	costs on the AFE that was prepared after our AFE that are
19	identical to ours.
20	Q. So would it be safe to assume that Devon simply
21	reacted once it received Mewbourne's AFE and constructed
22	its own AFE?
23	MR. KELLAHIN: He can't ask that kind of
24	question.
25	MR. HALL: I'll withdraw the question.
-	

MR. KELLAHIN: It's argumentative.
Q. (By Mr. Hall) Mr. Calvert, is there a risk that
this well may not be completed as a commercially successful
well?
A. Yes, it is. There are risks in that this is a
stratigraphic play that or a stratigraphic prospect that
has been attested to by the by Mr. Williams. And even
though the sand may be there, the quality of the porosity
and permeability are subjective. And so even though that
you hit the sand and it looks good on the log, the quality
of the well and whether or not it's a commercial producer
or not is still suspect.
Other items that come up on drilling of this type
well, especially in this are There are two things that
can happen to you in this area.
One is a waterflow. They're very difficult to
control, and you have to move a lot of water and haul it
off the location to a disposal well as you're drilling.
That would occur, probably, above 4000 feet. That really
doesn't have anything to do with the bottom of the hole,
but it's just something that you have to contend with. And
if that might happen because you'd lose the hole, then
you've lost the hole.
Then the other item is, directly opposed to a
waterflow is lost circulation. And there's actually

1	caverns that you can get into, fractured limestone, various
2	things. And I don't know that we always know what it is
3	that bites us, but there are opportunities to be bit in the
4	area.
5	Q. All right. In your opinion, is the recommended
6	200-percent risk penalty assessment appropriate for this
7	well?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. And, Mr. Calvert, in your opinion, will granting
10	Mewbourne's Application be in the best interests of
11	conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection
12	of correlative rights?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And further, Mr. Calvert, in your opinion do you
15	believe that Mewbourne has acted with all due diligence in
16	obtaining voluntary participation of Devon and otherwise
17	prosecuting the drilling of the well here?
18	MR. KELLAHIN: I'm going to object to the
19	question. This witness is not qualified to testify about
20	negotiating efforts with Devon, and that was part of the
21	question.
22	MR. HALL: Well, I think he can testify about due
23	diligence in prosecuting drilling, if he can be allowed to
24	answer that.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: I think he Yeah.

90

Yes, I do, I can attest to the fact THE WITNESS: 1 that we have been monetarily and having the well on the 2 drilling schedule, oh, since right about the time we filed 3 4 the APD. And then I'm also personally responsible for 5 making a survey of all the pipelines in the area so that we 6 7 know that we have a place to go with the gas when -- if and when a well is drilled. 8 9 And we do that also, along at the same time AFEs and permits are going to be filed, in that we certainly 10 wouldn't want to drill a well in an area that it would be 11 cost-prohibitive to lay a line to it. Now, this is not one 12 of them, but we go through the same procedure in every well 13 we drill. 14 15 Q. (By Mr. Hall) All right. So in your opinion, 16 Mewbourne -- has Mewbourne taken all the necessary steps to 17 drill this well in a timely fashion? 18 From a production and drilling operations, yes. Α. 19 All right. Mr. Calvert, did you -- Was Exhibit 5 Q. 20 prepared by you or at your direction? 21 Yes, it was. Α. MR. HALL: We'd move the admission of Exhibit 5. 22 That concludes our direct of this witness. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 5 will be 24 25 admitted as evidence.

1	Mr. Kellahin?
2	MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
5	Q. Mr. Calvert, you did not prepare the Mewbourne
6	AFE, did you?
7	A. No, I did not. Mr. Eric Hoover did.
8	Q. And he prepared this about It says June 10th,
9	1997?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did he prepare the Mewbourne AFE before you had
12	to re-evaluate the road-construction plats that were filed
13	with the BLM?
14	A. Did he I didn't understand.
15	Q. You described earlier a sundry notice that had
16	been filed to change the routing of the road to the well
17	site.
18	A. Yes, okay, now
19	Q. That was done after he did the AFE, right?
20	A. That's right. That was done as a result of
21	spending more time in the field and also determining that
22	part of the road that was originally indicated to be used,
23	we found out that it was on top of a pipeline right of way,
24	and we did not want to use that part of the road or the
25	existing pipeline right of way, as others were doing, for

1 our right of way to the well. Okay, let me make sure I understand. 2 ο. Mewbourne proposes the specific location to Devon on June 12th, and 3 they file the APD, or at least the engineering fellow for 4 you signs it on June 17th. 5 6 Α. Okay. 7 At that time you hadn't done any field site work Q. out in the southwest quarter to see if you could physically 8 stake it at the location you had requested the permit for? 9 Well, at the time that the well was staked --10 Α. 11 0. Yes. -- the -- then the BLM requested that we move it 12 Α. 13 200 feet. 14 Yes, sir, and -ο. 15 There is a waterway -- Even though a topographic Α. 16 map won't show a big dip in there, there is a slight 17 waterway that they say is a -- in flood stage is a large 18 drainage area that they wanted to stay out of. 19 ο. Yeah, you --20 But -- and they --Α. 21 Mr. Calvert, you're not answering my question, Q. 22 sir. 23 Α. Okay. 24 The question was --Q. 25 MR. HALL: Let him finish. Were you finished?

1MR. KELLAHIN: It's nonresponsive to the2question. Let me ask you the question.3THE WITNESS: Well, I was fixing to answer it.4Then, basically, the right of way was approached, or the5road to it, was approached from other lease roads. And6then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build7a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not8change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit9less on the location and roads.10Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on11June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It12was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the13site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.14hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he15A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.16hoover is the district manager in the Bub. office and he17is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did18it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot19answer that question.20Q. If he had met with them before he requested this21location, he could have filed for the location they finally22MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.23Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?24EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll allow that.		94
3THE WITNESS: Well, I was fixing to answer it.4Then, basically, the right of way was approached, or the5road to it, was approached from other lease roads. And6then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build7a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not8change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit9less on the location and roads.10Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on11June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It12was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the13site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.15A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.16Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he18it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot19answer that question.20Q. If he had met with them before he requested this21location, he could have filed for the location they finally22made him permit this well for23MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.24Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	1	MR. KELLAHIN: It's nonresponsive to the
Then, basically, the right of way was approached, or the road to it, was approached from other lease roads. And then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit less on the location and roads. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management. A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr. Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot answer that question. Q. If he had met with them before he requested this location, he could have filed for the location they finally made him permit this well for MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	2	question. Let me ask you the question.
<ul> <li>road to it, was approached from other lease roads. And</li> <li>then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build</li> <li>a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not</li> <li>change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit</li> <li>less on the location and roads.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on</li> <li>June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	3	THE WITNESS: Well, I was fixing to answer it.
<ul> <li>then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build</li> <li>a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not</li> <li>change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit</li> <li>less on the location and roads.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on</li> <li>June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	4	Then, basically, the right of way was approached, or the
<ul> <li>a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not</li> <li>change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit</li> <li>less on the location and roads.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on</li> <li>June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	5	road to it, was approached from other lease roads. And
<ul> <li>change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit</li> <li>less on the location and roads.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on</li> <li>June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	6	then subsequent to that, we determined that we could build
<ul> <li>9 less on the location and roads.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on</li> <li>June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	7	a road in cheaper from the other direction. But we did not
<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It</li> <li>was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	8	change the AFE. The AFE could potentially be a little bit
June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management. A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr. Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot answer that question. Q. If he had met with them before he requested this location, he could have filed for the location they finally made him permit this well for MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	9	less on the location and roads.
<ul> <li>12 was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request</li> <li>13 was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the</li> <li>14 site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.</li> <li>15 A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>16 Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>17 is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>18 it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>19 answer that question.</li> <li>20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>22 made him permit this well for</li> <li>23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	10	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) My question, sir, was that on
Was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management. A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr. Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot answer that question. Q. If he had met with them before he requested this location, he could have filed for the location they finally made him permit this well for MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.	11	June 17th the APD was filed at a particular location. It
14 site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management. 15 A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr. 16 Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he 17 is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did 18 it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot 19 answer that question. 20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this 10 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	12	was 1980 from the south, 1650 from the west. That request
<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.</li> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	13	was made prior to the time Mewbourne went out and had the
<ul> <li>Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he</li> <li>is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did</li> <li>it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot</li> <li>answer that question.</li> <li>Q. If he had met with them before he requested this</li> <li>location, he could have filed for the location they finally</li> <li>made him permit this well for</li> <li>MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?</li> </ul>	14	site inspection with the Bureau of Land Management.
17 is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did 18 it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot 19 answer that question. 20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this 21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	15	A. I can't answer that specifically in that Mr.
18 it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot 19 answer that question. 20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this 21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	16	Hoover is the district manager in the Hobbs office and he
19 answer that question. 20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this 21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	17	is the one that personally did it. And the day that he did
20 Q. If he had met with them before he requested this 21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	18	it on and who he met and when he met with the BLM, I cannot
21 location, he could have filed for the location they finally 22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	19	answer that question.
22 made him permit this well for 23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	20	Q. If he had met with them before he requested this
23 MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	21	location, he could have filed for the location they finally
24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?	22	made him permit this well for
	23	MR. HALL: Objection, calls for speculation.
25 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll allow that.	24	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) right?
	25	EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll allow that.

\_\_\_\_

1	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) What explains the fact that he
2	had to move the location?
3	A. The BLM requested him to move it.
4	Q. What explains the fact that he did not know that
5	at the time that he filed the APD for a different location?
6	A. I don't know the dates that you're asking for.
7	Q. The water flow that you described as one of the
8	potential problems, that waterflow problem is going to
9	occur regardless of who operates, right?
10	A. Sure. Yeah. Yeah, yeah.
11	Q. It's an inherent risk?
12	A. True. What I was getting at was that that is
13	part of the risk, and the reason that you need the wellbore
14	insurance.
15	Q. I understand. What do you do if you have a
16	waterflow with the water being flowed?
17	A. We
18	Q. Does it come to the surface?
19	A. What?
20	Q. Does the water come to the surface?
21	A. Yes, sir, it certainly does.
22	Q. And you've got to do something with it, don't
23	you?
24	A. Yes, sir. We the last well and I've
25	forgotten which exact well it was, but it wasn't in the
L	

group of wells that you see here. I believe it was on 1 the -- what we call a Santo Niño area. We had some 30 2 trucks running 24 hours a day hauling water. 3 How much volume of water is that, Mr. Calvert? 4 0. Several thousand barrels a day. Each truck --5 Α. Each truck holds 180 barrels. We had 30 running, and just 6 7 as soon as they would dump it, we would come right -- go right back. 8 Are flows in that range within the range of 9 Q. expectation for a well such as this well? 10 11 Α. Certainly. 12 **Q**. And if you had a disposal well in the near 13 vicinity to put that water into, wouldn't that be helpful? 14 Α. It would have to be an awfully good disposal 15 well. 16 Q. The other issue you described was lost circulation? 17 18 Yes. Α. 19 Q. Okay. And that is an occurrence that could 20 happen regardless of who is named operator, right? 21 Sure, sure, yeah. Α. 22 Q. Can you approximate for us what the magnitude of 23 cost impact would be for either of those occurrences? 24 Α. Let me think a minute. On that particular 25 waterflow, it was on the order of probably \$15,000 to

1 | \$20,000 a day.

2 Q. A day?

A. A day.

3

Q. What kind of financial consequences are
associated with lost circulation?

A. Well, the -- One is the ceasing of drilling until
you get it under control, or going ahead and dry-drilling.
That's the two options that you have.

If you cease drilling, obviously you're back on 9 10 day work if you're on a footage contract, and the cost of the hole is going up. Then whatever it takes as far as 11 12 possibly cementing the bottom of the hole off, redrilling 13 it, various lost circulation materials that might be attempted with the mud system. And usually those occur not 14 as a result of drilling a deep well but up in the surface 15 16 pipe area, the shallow areas. And so there's various ways to control it. Sometimes you have to set an extra string 17 18 of pipe.

19 Q. Are the risks and expertise necessary and 20 associated with the drilling of wells like this unique unto 21 Mewbourne?

A. No, no.

22

Q. You use the same kind of strategies and
techniques that are commonly utilized in the industry for
the drilling activities of deep gas wells?

1	A. Well, let me say this about that. I know our
2	the people that we will have on there will be
3	experienced
4	Q. I don't question that, sir.
5	A and will know how to handle those particular
6	items.
7	Q. Those people are not unique to your company,
8	though?
9	A. They're not unique to our company, no, they are
10	not.
11	Q. With regards to the completion process, were you
12	involved in designing the completion program for the well?
13	A. Yes, I am.
14	Q. For this well?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What's the completion program, in a few
17	sentences?
18	A. Well, you will note on the APD that we were
19	setting 5 1/2 casing. We will perforate the Strawn, more
20	than likely, if one of the lower zones are not productive,
21	break it down with acid and, depending on the type of sand
22	quality, whether the Strawn has to be just broken down with
23	acid breakdown or further stimulation.
24	Q. Is it typical for a well like this to run any
25	kind of tests on it?

1	A. Drill stem tests?
2	Q. Yeah, right. Do you do any of that?
3	A. We do have We do drill stem test wells.
4	Q. Would that be a common occurrence for deep gas
5	wells like this?
6	A. It depends on We have a well-site geologist,
7	and we will have a mudlogger, and we will that will help
8	to determine whether or not you need to run a drill stem
9	test or you drill through it.
10	Q. Have you provided for those cost components in
11	your AFE?
12	A. For a drill stem test?
13	Q. Yes, sir.
14	A. I don't believe so, no.
15	Q. Okay. When we talk about the risks associated
16	with a well like this, what In drilling ten wells, how
17	many of these would produce commercial strawn gas?
18	A. I can't answer that.
19	Q. All right. In terms of associating risks in this
20	area, can we figure out what the odds are?
21	A. The better person to have questioned that was the
22	geologist.
23	Q. It's a geologic risk?
24	A. I would say it is, yes.
25	Q. So the location of the well, based upon geology,

is what diminishes or increases the risk of these wells? 1 To a great extent, yes. 2 Α. No further questions. 3 MR. KELLAHIN: MR. HALL: Nothing further of this witness. 4 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: Just a couple. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 9 In the event you guys do encounter the waterflow Q. 10 that you've talked about --11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 ο. -- what does Mewbourne plan to do with that 13 water? Is there someplace you can take that water? There are -- To the specific saltwater disposal 14 Α. 15 well in this area, I can't tell you which one it is. But 16 we -- Whenever that does occur, we take it to a commercial disposal well. 17 Do you know what the closest well might be? 18 Q. 19 Α. No, sir, I do not. 20 But you've got some plans for where you would Q. take it in case that happens? I mean --21 2.2 Α. Well, we know where two wells are that we have used in the Santo Niño problem that I've talked about --23 24 Q. Okay. -- which is not -- Santo Niño probably is -- It's 25 Α.

not on this map, but the wells that we would have drilled 1 in there are on the highway between probably two-thirds to 2 3 three-quarters of a mile -- of the way between Hobbs and So those wells are -- Those wells would probably 4 Carlsbad. 5 be in close enough proximity to use those. 6 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of this witness. 7 MR. HALL: Nothing further, Mr. Examiner. 8 Let me also offer into evidence our Exhibit 6 in 9 10 your packet. It's our Rule 1207 affidavit. And I also have another matter. I'd like the 11 12 Hearing Examiner take administrative notice of certain C-103s and sundry notice forms filed with the OCD. 13 We conducted a search of the Division's active 14 drilling well files, only for this township, to see exactly 15 16 what Devon's experience in this township was. 17 And as you'll see, all we could come up with was 18 a C-103 for the Cactus State 10 in Section 16, received an 19 APD, and May 26th of 1995 there's a subsequent request for 20 an extension of that. No other evidence that the drilling 21 started at that well. 22 Similarly, the Cactus "9L" State Number 1 in 23 Section 9, similar circumstance. APD was approved in 24 September of 1995, no drilling was done, extension 25 requested, no other evidence that the well was drilled.

1	Likewise for the Cactus "90" Fed Number 1 in
2	Section 9. APD issued March of 1996. That date expired,
3	an extension was requested. Again, I have no other
4	evidence that that well was staked.
5	There is a C-103 for the Cactus 12 in Section 16.
6	It was drilled and it was D-and-A'd in May of 1996.
7	And that's, from our search, anyway, all the
8	active Devon drilling well files for this township.
9	And again, we ask the Examiner to take
10	administrative notice of that in this case. In a
11	circumstance such as this where circumstances are so close
12	and equal, I think this has a bearing on the willingness of
13	an operator's prosecution of drilling activities in the
14	area, and the matter is a matter to be taken into
15	consideration.
16	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I object to Mr.
17	Hall's argumentative, editorial comments with regards to
18	his exhibit. He needs to go back and do his homework.
19	He's missed the Devon drilling well in the northwest
20	quarter of this very section. I doubt the thoroughness and
21	the reliability of this.
22	But separate and apart from that, I don't think
23	it's relevant, helpful or useful, and we object to it.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Kellahin, I think I'm
25	going to let it in, only if I do think I need this
-	

information, I can supplement this with my own search of
Division records if, in fact, this comes into play at all.
MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
MR. HALL: That concludes our direct case, Mr.
Catanach.
EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I would suggest that
we press on after a short break.
(Thereupon, a recess was taken at 12:22 p.m.)
(The following proceedings had at 12:44 p.m.)
EXAMINER CATANACH: Let's go, Mr. Kellahin.
MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, my first witness is
Mr. Ken Gray. Mr. Gray is a landman with Devon Energy
Corporation.
<u>KEN GRAY</u> ,
the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. KELLAHIN:
Q. For the record, sir, would you please state your
name and occupation?
A. Yes, my name is Ken Gray and I'm the district
landman for Devon Energy Corporation.
Q. On prior occasions have you testified before the
Division in that capacity of landman?
A. Yes, I have.

103

	104
1	Q. And have you been the principal landman involved
2	in negotiations and discussions with Mewbourne concerning
3	this well proposal?
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	Q. As part of your general duties, is this an area
6	that you have had your technical people prepare a map to
7	show the distribution of interest within the township?
8	A. Yes, I have.
9	Q. And have you reviewed that information and
10	satisfied yourself it's correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Have you, on behalf of Devon, ever participated
13	in a compulsory pooling application hearing before this
14	Division?
15	A. No.
16	MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Gray as an expert
17	landman.
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Gray is so qualified.
19	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Let me have you take a few
20	minutes, Mr. Gray, and let's look at the map. It's marked
21	as Devon Exhibit 1. Explain to us the significance of the
22	color code.
23	A. I don't know that our color-coding is unlike
24	other companies'.
25	The yellow on the map represents Devon leasehold,
L	

1	where we own 100 percent of the working interest from
9	
2	surface on down.
3	The red indicates that Devon owns something less
4	than 100 percent. We could own 100-percent working
5	interest, but only as to a certain depth, or we could own
6	50-percent working interest or some other percentage as to
7	certain depths. The red just represents that we don't own
8	everything.
9	And the brown indicates some small mineral
10	interests that we have.
11	And the green I believe the green, although
12	it's not on here, represents an overriding royalty
13	interest.
14	The blue is the Mewbourne acreage, as I
15	understand their acreage position.
16	Q. To the best of your knowledge, as of July 30th
17	when the competing pooling applications were filed before
18	this Division, would this map reflect that distribution of
19	ownership in the township?
20	A. Yes, to my knowledge.
21	Q. Prior to that date there was a different
22	configuration of ownership in the township; is that not
23	true?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. What is the well symbols on here, and what do

1	they represent? All wells at all depths, to the best of
2	your knowledge, or
3	A. Are you talking about the gas well symbols,
4	the
5	Q. The gas and the oil well symbols on the display.
6	A. I'm sorry, I don't
7	Q. Okay. The map has some gas well symbols, oil
8	well symbols.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Are they unique to a particular reservoir, or are
11	they indicative of simply a wellbore, regardless of depth?
12	A. No, the black dots represent Delaware wells,
13	shallow Delaware wells, which we have drilled since about
14	1993. And the gas wells are in a I believe it's the
15	Avalon-Morrow Gas Pool.
16	Q. Let's set that locator map aside for a moment,
17	and we can use it as a reference point. I would like to
18	have you identify what is marked as Devon Exhibit 2.
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q. What is that, sir?
21	A. The front page of this Exhibit 2 is a chronology
22	of the significant phone conversations, correspondence with
23	Steve Cobb and/or Ralph Moore at Mewbourne, and the
24	appropriate backup, the letters themselves.
25	Q. Did you prepare this chronology?

	10,
1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. Was it based upon your best recollection of these
3	various items?
4	A. Yes, it is.
5	Q. And did you go back through your notes and
6	whatever correspondence to help you tabulate this
7	chronology?
8	A. Yes, sir, I did.
9	Q. Let's start in September of 1996 at the beginning
10	point of the chronology, and ask you to describe what
11	occurred on that date or during that period of time that's
12	relative to activity in the deep gas intervals in this
13	township.
14	A. In September and I'm not quite sure what date
15	it exact date I got a telephone call from an
16	independent landman in Midland named Richard Coates. He
17	was inquiring as to our acreage, and I believe he was
18	inquiring specifically as to Sections 10, 15 and 22.
19	I get those calls every day about, you know,
20	we've got an idea, what do you all typically do? And my
21	answer is just the same every time. You know, at that
22	point in time we did not have a prospect, a deep prospect
23	on our acreage. And I said, If you have some acreage that
24	involves our acreage, you know, we're willing to drill
25	wells, we want to drill wells. Most people want to farm

out or they want to buy our acreage. And we're not in the 1 business to farm out unless we deem it, you know, 2 3 justified, or to sell our acreage. That was really the last I'd heard of him 4 until -- or heard from him, until the March 13th meeting, 5 which is listed on the -- I'm sorry, the April 23rd 6 meeting, which is listed on the chronology. 7 For prospects in the township, Devon's choice is 8 Q. to participate, as opposed to sell or farm out? 9 If it's justified, yeah. 10 Α. The next entry on the chronology is a date 11 Q. Okay. 12 of March 10th, 1997. What does that represent? That's basically an unsolicited offer from Steve 13 Α. Cobb at Mewbourne to purchase all of our leases in the 14 15 sections that are listed there. Okay. At that time, what was your knowledge 16 0. about whether Mewbourne had any interest in the township? 17 I don't think I had any knowledge at that time of 18 Α. 19 them owning anything. 20 All right. What, if anything, did you do in Q. response to this letter? 21 I think it was a phone call right before the 22 Α. March 13th meeting. I believe I called Steve. And I don't 23 remember specifically but I said, We -- You know, we're not 24 interested in selling. And it may have been the same 25

conversation where we set up the March 13th meeting, you 1 know, when it was determined they weren't going to be able 2 to buy our interest, they said, Well, we want to come and 3 4 talk to you about the Ocotillo Hills Number 1 and some other things. 5 The letter of March 10th, in the first sentence, ο. 6 7 from Mr. Cobb to you, says, Mewbourne desires to consolidate its interest in those areas in which it 8 conducts operations. There's a reference that indicates an 9 10 area of interest. 11 To the best of your knowledge, did Mewbourne have 12 any operations in any of those areas shown in the 13 reference? If they did, it would be by virtue of their 14 Α. acquisition of the Ocotillo Hills Number 1 well, which 15 there really haven't been any operations since they 16 required it. But they did own an interest in it. 17 Tell me about the Ocotillo well. What is the 18 ο. 19 plan, and what do you understand was going to happen? 20 Well, from the very beginning the former Α. 21 operator, Hallwood, proposed to P and A the well. They 22 proposed it to us, we concurred, and before they could actually do it they said, Well, we have some people who 23 24 want to buy our interest in the well, we want to make some 25 money off of this deal.

1	Q. What's the time frame?
2	A. I don't remember specifically. February
3	sometime.
4	Q. Okay. And then what happens?
5	A. Well, they indicated that a guy named Richard
6	Coates was calling them, wanting to buy the wellbore. And
7	the next thing I hear, they've sold it to Mewbourne, and
8	Mewbourne's contacted us, and we set up the March 13th
9	meeting.
10	Q. Okay. At that meeting, did Mewbourne share any
11	specific geologic mapping of this potential opportunity for
12	Strawn activity in the township?
13	A. The March 13th meeting?
14	Q. Yes, sir.
15	A. No, sir.
16	Q. Did they come forward with a specific proposal
17	about what to do?
18	A. No, their proposal or their idea and their
19	preference was to form an AMI, a joint-venture area of some
20	kind, but there were no specific sections or leases. It
21	was a general trend from the Yates well down to the Penwell
22	well down in 32. But no specific area defined.
23	Q. In summary, what did Devon tell Mewbourne's
24	personnel?
25	A. We told them Well, first of all, I think we

asked them if they had any acreage, which the answer was 1 no, other than perhaps the Ocotillo Hills. And I believe 2 our response was that we would -- certainly we'd be willing 3 to talk to them about joint operations, joint venture, AMI, 4 5 whatever you want to call it, when they had an acreage position. 6 7 Prior to the June 12th, 1997, letter from Q. 8 Mewbourne, where they proposed this well at its specific 9 location, had any of the Mewbourne personnel returned to Devon, to your knowledge, to discuss this idea of a mutual 10 cooperative AMI area? 11 Not the -- not as to -- no, they didn't -- The 12 Α. only conversation I recall would be relative to the 13 Ocotillo Hills Number 1 and purchase of some leasehold in 14 the southwest quarter of Section 21. 15 On June 12th, 1997, there's a letter in your 16 0. 17 chronology. You have a copy of that letter, and it's the 18 Mewbourne letter we've been discussing this morning? 19 Α. Uh-huh. 20 ο. Prior to June 12th, 1997, and after the March 13th meeting with Mewbourne's personnel, did any other 21 22 company or individual meet or approach you concerning 23 development of prospects in the township? From March to June --24 Α. 25 March 13th to June 12th. 0.

1	A. Yeah, again, I got a call from the same Richard
2	Coates who had called me back in September, and he advised
3	us that he had an acreage position now, and he was ready to
4	come talk to us about development of their leases as it
5	related to ours.
6	Q. Did he disclose to you where his acreage position
7	was?
8	A. He might have on the phone, but I don't really
9	remember. They had a map when they came up to see us, and
10	they showed us where their acreage was.
11	Q. This is on April 23rd, there was actually a
12	meeting?
13	A. Yes, in our office.
14	Q. And were geologic maps disclosed to you?
15	A. Yes, they were.
16	Q. And what, if anything, happened as a result of
17	that meeting?
18	A. Of course, we looked at their map and talked
19	about the area, and they had some preliminary ideas about
20	where they thought a well might be located. We also had
21	some maps, which we did not present to them.
22	But, you know, the whole conversation centered
23	around, Let's go out and drill You know, we've got the
24	Yates well, going to drill, we've got the Bonneville well
25	in the east half of 10, Ocotillo Hills is going to get

recompleted at some point. Let's think about it, let us 1 think about it and let Devon think about it, and we'll get 2 3 back to you. 4 ο. Were you out there actively looking to acquire additional acreage in the township? 5 As we told Mewbourne when they came to our office 6 Α. on March the 10th after they had wanted to buy our acreage, 7 8 our initial response to them was that we would also like to 9 own more acreage in this township. We don't want to own 10 every acre, but we would like to own selectively more. 11 ο. As part of that effort, did you join with 12 Mewbourne to bid and acquire the southwest quarter of 13 Section 21 at a federal lease sale? 14 Yes, we did. We bid on some other properties Α. 15 that have been for sale in the township. We've increased 16 our position. Since we bought most of these leases back in 1992, we've acquired additional interest in the township. 17 18 Was there any promise or commitment made, to the Q. 19 best of your knowledge, by your company, not to do that, in 20 relation to what Mewbourne may decide to do? Not to -- ? Α. 21 22 Q. -- compete for a lease acquisition? 23 I heard Mr. Moore say that a while ago, and I --Α. 24 If that was -- I was not party to that conversation. 25 At the time of that meeting, I believe the

1	northeast quarter of Section 20 was on the next federal or
2	state I can't remember which it was lease sale.
3	State sale, I believe it was, which was coming up the very
4	next week if I'm not mistaken. And they indicated to us
5	that they were going to go bid on that. And we may have
6	said, we won't be your competition for that lease.
7	Now, I don't know what As far as his
8	conversation with Mr. Blair, I'm not privy to that
9	conversation.
10	Q. Okay. What did you convey to the Carlow
11	representatives in summary of the April 23rd meeting? What
12	was supposed to happen?
13	A. We were going to look at our maps, look at what
14	we saw of their maps, and get back to them with some sort
15	of proposal.
16	Q. Did that subsequent meeting ever occur?
17	A. It did on May the 6th. I was in Midland, I went
18	to Richard Coates' office, and I proposed to them verbally
19	that we form a 640-acre working interest unit of all of
20	Section 15 and that Devon would operate. I don't recall if
21	we specifically had a location at that time or not. I
22	think we may have indicated somewhere in the west half.
23	Q. And how did you leave that conversation?
24	A. Well, he thought it sounded like a good idea. He
25	would have to get back with the people at Carlow, and that

he would get back with me. 1 2 Q. What then is the next thing that happens? The next thing that happens is, I get a well 3 Α. proposal from Steve Cobb on June the 12th, proposing a well 4 5 in the northeast of the southwest quarter of Section 15. Prior to that time did Mr. Cobb talk to you or 6 ο. 7 discuss with you the orientation of that spacing unit? Α. 8 No. 9 Did he discuss with your their proposal about the 0. specific well location? 10 Α. 11 No. 12 Q. Did he talk to you about what location in Section 13 15 ought to be the first well drilled in that section? 14 Α. No. 15 Did he come back to you with a proposal for an Q. 16 area of mutual interest? 17 Α. No. Did he send you any documentations concerning the 18 0. 19 formation on a voluntary basis of an area of mutual interest? 20 21 Α. No. 22 Following the June 12th letter, the next entry on Q. 23 your chronology deals with a subsequent June 24th letter. Is that not true? 24 25 True. Α.

115

	110
1	Q. Did Mr. Cobb discuss with you the staking of this
2	well on the Devon tract?
3	A. I don't know that it was specifically discussed
4	as being on our tract. It was a phone conversation and a
5	subsequent letter that they were going to have to move it
6	200 feet.
7	Q. When did you learn that Mewbourne was going to
8	file a compulsory pooling application to force Devon's
9	acreage into the south-half spacing unit?
10	A. Well, he called me probably the day before, so
11	I'm guessing the 29th, or it might have been the day of
12	this July 30th date on the chronology. And it was a
13	courtesy call more than anything, to tell me he was going
14	to do that.
15	Q. Upon learning of that occurrence, what if
16	anything did you do concerning the filing of a competing
17	pooling application?
18	A. I called you and asked you to reciprocate.
19	Q. The parties are proposing a well at the same
20	location, are they not, Mr. Gray?
21	A. The location is not an issue.
22	Q. At this point, Devon has taken action to commence
23	drilling a well in the north half of Section 15, have you
24	not?
25	A. That's correct.

1	Q. And so the only open spacing unit for deep gas is
2	the south half?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So the orientation of the spacing unit can no
5	longer be an issue?
6	A. I suppose it could if everybody agreed, but
7	Q. Ken, why are we here today?
8	MR. HALL: Object to the question. Vague.
9	Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Why are we competing over
10	operations in this spacing unit?
11	A. Well, we're not particularly pleased, as I'm sure
12	everybody else in this room is not particularly pleased,
13	that we're here doing this. And as much as I'd like to
14	tell Mr. Catanach that Devon as operator can go out and
15	drill the well cheaper, faster, that our technical people
16	are smarter than Mewbourne's and all of the other nice
17	things that you might like to hear from in an operations
18	fight, which you've already noted, this is not a pooling,
19	it's an operations concern I'd like to tell you all
20	those things. But I don't think that that would be an
21	accurate statement. We don't have any distrust of
22	Mewbourne. I'm fully confident that they're a competent
23	operator, as we are.
24	But the fact of the matter is that we were
25	negotiating with who we thought owned the leases to do a

joint venture which Mewbourne had proposed to us earlier 1 2 but had no acreage. We were negotiating with Carlow on a 3 section where, as far as we knew, Mewbourne owned no acreage. And between the March -- excuse me, the May the 4 6th meeting with Carlow in Midland and the June 12th well 5 proposal, we thought we were dealing with somebody else. 6 7 And along comes the June 12th well proposal from Mewbourne, and in my mind they basically drew a line in the 8 sand, as is their history, which they've been quite 9 We've got the acreage now, we're the first 10 successful. 11 ones to propose, let's see where it goes. And on top of that, it was on our lease, which, 12 if it's a 40-acre well, they will not own an interest in. 13 And that kind of irked us, to be real honest with 14 you. And I quess that generally is why we're here. 15 16 After Mewbourne commenced the pooling effort, did ο. 17 you and Mr. Cobb exchange telephone calls and correspondence in an effort to try to resolve the dispute? 18 A. After the filing of the force-pooling 19 Application? 20 After the July 30th filing of the Application. 21 Q. There was additional exchange of correspondence, 22 Α. 23 yes. All right. Each company's position was, they 24 0. wanted to be the operator; is that not true? 25

	119
1	A. That's true.
2	Q. And in exchange for giving up that opportunity,
3	the two of you discussed various other things that might
4	happen in terms of acquiring acreage, trading acreage or
5	reconfiguring interest; is that not true?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And that effort has not been successful, has it?
8	A. No.
9	Q. In response to the June 12th letter from
10	Mewbourne, did, on July 3, you author a letter to Mewbourne
11	with regards to a counterproposal for operations of this
12	wellbore?
13	A. Yes, I did.
14	Q. Is that what's contained in the package of
15	Exhibit Number 2?
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	Q. And you and Mr. Cobb began to posture about
18	operations, didn't you?
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q. All right. And you sent him a list of things
21	that you think you guys can do, and you get a letter back
22	on July 12th, what he thinks he can do. Right?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. Okay. And then the package has Mr. Carr's force
25	pooling Application, and then we get over to Mr. Cobb sends

1	you a proposed operating agreement, and what happened next?
2	A. After he sent us this operating agreement, I
3	wrote back and advised him that we wouldn't review it, nor
4	would we execute it at this time, as we thought that the
5	issue of operations was still an issue to be decided, and
6	we'd look at that when it was appropriate.
7	Q. And once the issue of who was operator was
8	decided, then the next step or subsequent steps is to
9	negotiate the operating agreement itself?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. The next letter in your package is an August 21st
12	letter from Ralph Moore to Devon, addressed to Wayne
13	Roberts?
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. Were you involved in any way in this exchange,
16	other than having this letter put in your file?
17	A. Only to the extent that Wayne made it known to me
18	that he had gotten a call from Ralph.
19	Q. All right, we'll talk to Mr. Roberts in a minute
20	about that.
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. The next thing you do is, on August 21st, you
23	write Mr. Cobb a letter, don't you?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. In the bottom of the letter you're

,	
1	proposing something, and what are you doing? The last
2	paragraph of the first page of the August 26th letter is
3	where I'm directing your attention.
4	A. Well, the whole idea of that letter was to
5	respond to Ralph Moore's conversation with Wayne, and Steve
6	Cobb's conversation with me as to what exactly each of the
7	parties had proposed in terms of solutions to the
8	operations issue. And I didn't know if they knew at the
9	time that we were had staked and filed for an APD in the
10	north half of 15.
11	So I basically offered that we've got a rig out
12	there now. We can drill it earlier than any of your
13	earlier proposed dates, and we don't have to do go through
14	the We wouldn't be here today. I mean, that was
15	basically it. We proposed that we move the rig that's
16	currently drilling right now to this well, and that Devon
17	operate it.
18	Q. The well in the north half of 15 is 100-percent
19	working interest owner Devon?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So there was no one else to invite into that
22	well?
23	A. No.
24	Q. And you're proposing something here at the
25	bottom, to the formation of a 640 unit area, for 15. On

the bottom of page 1 of the August 26th letter. Are we
looking at the same thing?
A. Yeah, yeah.
Q. All right.
A. We had previously done that, had proposed that to
Steve Cobb when we found out, because it's what we were
proposing to Carlow. And I told Mewbourne when they
proposed the well, we would do the same thing. And they
didn't like that idea.
Q. They declined?
A. Right.
Q. And then you subsequently traded letters, trying
to work out some other kind of solution, and none of those
succeeded?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. At this point you're asking the Division
Examiner to allow Devon to be the operator of the well?
A. Yes, we are.
MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination of
Mr. Gray.
We move the introduction of his Exhibits 1 and 2.
MR. HALL: No objection.
EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be
admitted as evidence.
Mr. Hall?

	123
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HALL:
3	Q. Mr. Gray, quickly, if you would, I want to refer
4	back to your Exhibit 1 and direct your attention to the
5	west half of Section 21 on there.
6	A. Uh-huh.
7	Q. Make sure it's accurate as to ownership. You
8	reflect by your color coding that in the northwest quarter
9	of 21 it's 100-percent Devon-owned. Is that accurate?
10	A. Well, in a sense. But let me answer that a
11	different way. These dark black lines that are on here,
12	those are my way of reminding myself that we do have joint
13	operating agreements that cover portions of those sections.
14	And in this case we have an operating agreement covering
15	all of Section 21.
16	So yes, we own the lease 100 percent, but we've
17	contributed that to the section, and we have contractually
18	less than 100-percent working interest in that northwest
19	quarter, so
20	Q. Okay. Mewbourne has a position
21	A. Mewbourne has a contractual interest in that
22	lease as well.
23	Q. All right.
24	A. The color coding would not apply to contractual
25	interest, I guess, is my point.

	124
1	Q. I understand.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. Mr. Gray, looking at your chronology, wouldn't it
4	be accurate to characterize Devon's conduct throughout as
5	being reactive, as opposed to proactive, in the drilling of
6	the Carlsbad 15?
7	A. I don't think so. As I said before, we were
8	being what I consider proactive dealing with the other
9	working interest owner in the section
10	Q. Well, in fact
11	A up until June 12th.
12	Q. Let's look at the chronology. The first entry on
13	there is your September, 1996, contact from Richard Coates.
14	And again, this item entry was initiated by Mr. Coates, not
15	Devon; is that accurate?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. He came to you. And as I understand your
18	testimony, you said that Devon had no prospects in the
19	area. Is that what you said?
20	A. We had prospects. I don't know We probably
21	weren't, at that point, looking at drilling a Morrow well.
22	Q. Okay. Then
23	A. Obviously, we've had prospects by our Delaware
24	activity.
25	Q. All right. Well, I want to make sure I

understood your earlier testimony. I guess you had no prospects in Sections 10, 15 and 22. Is that what you meant?
meant?
A. Right.
Q. Then next entry, March 10th letter from
Mewbourne, again initiated by someone else, to Devon. Then
that led to the March 13th meeting in Oklahoma City with
Mewbourne, Mr. Cobb and Mr. Moore?
A. Right.
Q. At that time did Devon have any geology for this
acreage?
A. Not to my knowledge, not as to the deeper gas.
Q. All right. Did Devon have any plans to commit
company resources to undertake an investigation of the deep
gas geology at that time?
A. We've looked at the Morrow from time to time.
Specifically as to those sections, I don't think we had.
But in the township we certainly had.
Q. You did not present that to Mewbourne at that
meeting?
A. No.
Q. Then again, the next entries are April 23rd,
meeting with Carlow Corporation. Did Carlow bring you
their geology?
A. Yes.

1	Q. And did you reciprocate with your geology?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. So the first proposal by anyone at all, if I
4	understand it, to drill the well in the south half of 15,
5	or anywhere in Section 15, was Mewbourne's June 12th
6	proposal?
7	A. That was the first well proposal with an AFE and
8	a location, right.
9	Q. By anyone at all?
10	A. That's right.
11	Q. And then again on June 24th, you were contacted
12	by Mr. Cobb and told that the BLM wanted to move the
13	location to the east, correct?
14	A. That's right.
15	Q. And did you review that decision with in-house
16	geologist, anyone else?
17	A. Typically, when we get those changes to
18	proposals, we make sure it gets in the AFE folder that's
19	being circulated for approval.
20	Q. All right, but did Devon What was Devon's
21	position with respect to change of location? Did it
22	object?
23	A. No.
24	Q. No problem with that?
25	And likewise, did it have any problem with a
_	

.

	127
1	laydown proration unit in the south half?
2	A. No, not particularly.
3	Q. So that was not an issue?
4	A. As long as we operated.
5	Q. But the configuration was not an issue?
6	A. No.
7	Q. I'm trying to pick out from your chronology the
8	next initiative taken by Devon to promote the drilling of
9	Section 15, and if I understand your chronology there was
10	no initiative taken from September, 1996, until July 3rd;
11	is that accurate? July 3rd of 1997?
12	A. No, I don't think that's right. I think we were
13	taking the initiative as of May the 6th, when we were
14	talking with who we thought were the working interest
15	owners in the section.
16	Q. Did Carlow want to operate the well?
17	A. I think we talked about it, yeah, we asked them
18	about operations just in general, and it was kind of a non-
19	response. Yeah, of course everybody wants to operate, but
20	they didn't say that it was a requisite that they operate.
21	Q. All right. But did Devon have a proposal on the
22	table to them at that time that Devon operate the well?
23	A. That was the purpose of the May the 6th meeting,
24	was to put that proposal in front of them verbally, which
25	it was done.

1	Q. All right. So the first written proposal by
2	anyone was the Mewbourne proposal, and the first written
3	proposal by Devon was not until July 3rd of 1997, in
4	response to Mewbourne's offer?
5	A. Okay, I'll agree with that.
6	Q. Let me refer you in your Exhibit 2, on page 22
7	and 23, is your August 26th, 1997, letter.
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. That was your response to Mr. Moore's letter to
10	Mr. Roberts, correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Is there some reason why you undertook to
13	respond, rather than Mr. Roberts?
14	A. Typically, as a matter of company policy, we
15	would prefer that our technical staff not get in the matter
16	involved in negotiations of those the things of those
17	nature, whether it be operation or contracts or whatever.
18	Q. Did you instruct Mr. Roberts to have no further
19	communications with Mr. Moore about this well?
20	A. I might have. I may have said, you know, that,
21	as Mr. Moore has already indicated, he thought that it was
22	not going the proper direction and that he might be able to
23	do something by calling Wayne. And Wayne is the district
24	geologist. I would suspect that Mr. Moore should have
25	called our district exploration manager. But I may have

1	told Wayne just to refer him to me if he called again.
2	That sounds like something I would say.
3	Q. The last page of your August 26th letter, the
4	last full paragraph there you indicate, Devon can spud the
5	Carlsbad "15" as early as mid-October, subject to receipt
6	of an approved APD.
7	Did you have an APD pending with the BLM at that
8	time?
9	A. No, we've not done anything as operator out here.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. For the reasons we've already stated.
12	Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Gray, that you told Steve
13	Cobb, the Mewbourne landman, that Devon would be a
14	competitor in this area at a certain point in time?
15	A. I don't recall the conversation. A competitor as
16	buying leases, operations, what are we ?
17	Q. Let me ask you, did you attend a federal lease
18	sale here in Santa Fe?
19	A. In July, I did, yes.
20	Q. At that lease sale did you tell Mr. Cobb that
21	Devon was now a competitor with Mewbourne acreage?
22	A. I don't know why I would have.
23	Q. Do you know? Can you say yes or no, or do you
24	simply not recall?
25	A. I don't recall.

1	Q. On July 29th, isn't it true that you had a
2	telephone conversation with Mr. Cobb, and you discussed
3	well, about July 29th, you had a telephone conversation
4	with Mr. Cobb, and you discussed the possibility of
5	compulsory pooling applications on both sides, correct?
6	A. If that was the date. I It was either the
7	29th or the 30th.
8	Q. Yeah. In response to that, were you advised by
9	Mr. Cobb that if you didn't file soon you'd miss the
10	deadline for the August 21st hearing date?
11	A. I believe I did.
12	Q. And is that what notified you to contact Mr.
13	Kellahin and get an application underway?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Can you tell me There's no question now that
16	Devon is a competitor with Mewbourne in terms of acquiring
17	acreage and drilling the well, certainly; is that fair to
18	say?
19	A. I think that would be fair.
20	Q. Is it also fair that Mewbourne was correct to
21	assume that early on Devon would not actively compete with
22	it in acquiring acreage in drilling the well?
23	A. I was not within earshot of that comment, so I
24	If that's the impression that they got by the conversation
25	with Mr. Blair, then that's the impression they got. But

it was not -- Again, I don't know if it meant we wouldn't 1 2 compete with you for leases, we won't compete with you for operations. 3 The only competition that I remember, the only 4 conversation about competition was for the northeast 5 quarter of Section 20, which was on the next federal lease 6 sale, which I believe we told them we would not compete 7 with them. Beyond that I'm not aware of any other --8 Q. All right. 9 -- conversations about competition or the lack 10 Α. of. 11 12 Q. So anyway, in connection with the federal lease 13 in Section 20, the spirit of the relationship -- at that 14 point in time, anyway -- was that you were noncompetitors? Right. 15 Α. 16 And that changed after a point? 0. 17 Correct. Α. What caused Devon to change that? 18 Q. Well, we were interested -- we became interested 19 Α. That's about as short as I can put it. 20 in the area. And what triggered Devon's interest in the area? 21 Q. 22 Well, as Mr. Moore has already testified, they Α. came to our office on -- whatever date it was -- March the 23 13th --24 25 All right. Q.

	132
1	A and showed us a trend, or at lease pointed to
2	a trend. And I believe his remark was, It goes right
3	across your acreage.
4	Q. Is it fair to say that had Mewbourne not come to
5	Devon and shown them the play, that Devon would not have
6	undertaken to try to drill the well on its own?
7	A. That's not necessarily true. We it may not
8	be It would have been in the same time, but
9	Q. What is the status of the well in the north half
10	of 15 now?
11	A. I think you could ask our operations engineer
12	when he testifies.
13	Q. Do you know, though? Is it still
14	A. It's drilling.
15	Q drilling today?
16	A. Yeah.
17	Q. It's not been completed yet?
18	A. No.
19	Q. If the well in the north half of Section 15 is a
20	dry hole, is Devon still committed to drilling in the south
21	half?
22	A. You're going to have to ask our technical people
23	that question. That's not my call.
24	Q. Okay. You don't know what the company's position
25	would be, then?

1	A. No.
2	Q. If you know, when do you expect to complete the
3	well on the north half and release that rig? Any idea?
4	A. You'll have to talk to our engineer.
5	Q. Well, are these typically 30- or 40-day wells?
6	A. It's my understanding they're about 30-day wells,
7	if everything goes okay.
8	Q. And when was it spudded?
9	A. Let's let the engineer answer these, okay?
10	I'm
11	Q. Well
12	A. I don't know exact dates.
13	Q we'll, indeed, ask him, but
14	A. I don't know
15	Q if you know
16	A I don't the exact date it's spud.
17	Q. Okay. Is it If you know, has it been drilling
18	for more than a couple of weeks?
19	A. If I had to guess, I'd say yes, but I don't know
20	specifically.
21	Q. All right. And is it likely that the well will
22	be completed before
23	MR. KELLAHIN: I'm going to object to the
24	questions. The witness is being as polite as he can to Mr.
25	Hall. He does not have these answers. I have a witness

1	that may be able to respond. We're getting nowhere.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Hall, I think I agree
3	with Mr. Kellahin.
4	MR. HALL: If I may connect up, I do have a
5	reason
6	MR. CARROLL: Where are you going, Mr. Hall?
7	MR. HALL: for this line of questioning. If I
8	may connect up with the very next question.
9	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Mr. Gray, if the well in the north
10	half of Section 15 is completed soon, does Devon plan on
11	holding that rig on the section until a compulsory pooling
12	order is issued in this case?
13	A. Holding the rig?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. I guess it would depend on how fast an order came
16	out. I don't It would be my understanding that an order
17	would be issued in time to have that rig move from one spot
18	to from the spot it's currently in, to the south half of
19	the section. But I You might ask Mr. Catanach [ <i>sic</i> ]. I
20	don't know.
21	Q. So it's likely you'd have to
22	A. I mean
23	Q move the rig to another location, not the
24	south half of 15?
25	A. You're ask the wrong person.

	135
1	MR. HALL: Okay. No further questions, Mr. Gray.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything else, Mr. Kellahin?
3	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, a couple of points here.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
6	Q. Mr. Gray, when we're talking about the June 12th
7	letter from Mewbourne to you, I sense that you've attached
8	importance to what was communicated in that letter. And my
9	question is, did that letter have any effect on your
10	relationship with Mewbourne up to that point?
11	A. I'm not under I'm not sure I'm
12	Q. Prior to the June 12th letter, what was your
13	expectation from Mewbourne or anyone else concerning the
14	development of Section 15?
15	A. Quite honestly, after the March 13th meeting, at
16	which point we agreed that we would be able to discuss a
17	joint venture with them, at such point as they acquired
18	acreage, quite frankly, I wished them luck on acquiring
19	acreage in this township, because it's hard to get.
20	And with the Carlow people and our discussion
21	with them, I really never I didn't think too much about
22	Mewbourne after that point, other than as it related to the
23	Ocotillo Hills recompletion and the acquisition of the
24	lease in the southwest quarter.
25	Q. Prior to the June 12th letter, did Mewbourne

return to you to discuss a cooperative effort for the 1 development of Section 15? 2 Α. No, sir. 3 MR. KELLAHIN: No further questions. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 6 7 Q. Mr. Gray, is it at that point of the June 12th letter that you became -- where you developed -- I don't 8 know how to characterize it -- your relationship with 9 10 Mewbourne deteriorated or... Well, I don't think it deteriorated. Mewbourne 11 Α. 12 does business a different way than we do, and they've been 13 very successful at it. And no, it didn't deteriorate. I just thought if 14 Mewbourne had the idea of walking away from the March 13th 15 meeting, that we were just going to stand by and do nothing 16 and that we were going to be passive and that we were not 17 going to compete with them, whether it be for operations or 18 for leases, then we probably should have walked away from 19 that meeting with the impression that if they did get some 20 acreage, yeah, that they would come back to us, which they 21 22 didn't. 23 But I never really expected them to. What is the advantage to Devon to drill and 24 Q. 25 operate this well? Why is it so important to Devon to do

	137
1	that?
2	A. As I said before, I'd like to give you a long
3	list of those things, but that was really not the issue. I
4	think both companies are equally capable of drilling the
5	well for comparable AFE costs and operating during
6	production. Obviously there's elements of control as
7	operator that a nonoperator doesn't have, but I don't think
8	that's the issue.
9	I think the issue is, we were negotiating with
10	the interest owner in the section who owned the lease, and
11	in a period of I don't know, three weeks, I guess, the
12	ownership changed, and all of a sudden the game was, who
13	proposes the well first? And that didn't sit very well
14	with us.
15	MR. CARROLL: Are you more irritated a Mewbourne
16	or Coates?
17	THE WITNESS: I guess I should be more irritated
18	at Carlow, because I would expect them to have called us
19	and told us that, well, we either like your idea of the
20	working interest unit, or no, we don't, or we've sold it,
21	or something. But, you know, it doesn't always work out
22	the way you'd like it.
23	Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Mr. Gray, the leases that
24	Devon owns in this area, they've had them since when? Do
25	you know?

	138
1	A. The vast majority of these we bought in 1992.
2	Q. And it has mostly been, up to this point, the
3	development of the shallow reservoirs?
4	A. We've had some recompletions in some of our gas
5	wells to the Strawn, I think that we'll talk about later.
6	But our deep gas development in here is The wells were
7	already there. We've not drilled any deep gas wells, other
8	than the one we're drilling right now.
9	Q. Okay. And you have not filed an APD for your
10	proposed well?
11	A. No, sir.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I have nothing further
13	of this witness, Mr. Kellahin.
14	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Roberts?
15	WAYNE ROBERTS,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
20	Q. For the record, sir, would you please state your
21	name and occupation?
22	A. My name is Wayne Roberts. I'm a geologist.
23	Q. Mr. Roberts, on prior occasions have you
24	testified before the Division?
25	A. No, I have not.

1	Q. Summarize for us your education.
2	A. I have a bachelor of arts degree in geology from
3	Trinity University, and I did graduate work at Duke
4	University in geology.
5	Q. In what year, sir?
6	A. 1974 and 1975, respectively.
7	Q. What's your current employment?
8	A. I'm employed with Devon Energy Corporation,
9	Oklahoma City, as a district geologist.
10	Q. As part of preparation for today's hearing, have
11	you made an investigation of the risk factors associated
12	with drilling this well at this particular location?
13	A. Yes, I have.
14	Q. In addition, separate and apart from preparing
15	for today's hearing, were you involved as the geologist in
16	evaluating the opportunity to explore for deep gas in this
17	township, in the year 1997, current year?
18	A. Yes, I was.
19	Q. And you attended these various meetings that we
20	have described where you, in fact, were present?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. As a result of your preparation, do you
23	now have an opinion with regards to the risk associated
24	with this well?
25	A. Yes, I do.

1 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Roberts as an expert 2 petroleum geologist. EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified. 3 4 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) I'd like to go through these 5 displays rather quickly with you and have you help us focus 6 our attention on those points which you find important. 7 But before we do that I want to ask you the 8 conclusion of your geologic study, and that is whether or 9 not you now have a recommendation to the Division 10 concerning the appropriate risk factor penalty to be assessed in this pooling order. Do you have such an 11 12 opinion? Yes, I do. 13 Α. Is that percentage penalty conditioned upon who 14 Q. 15 operates the well? No, it's not. 16 Α. 17 Q. What is your recommendation? 18 Α. Two hundred percent. 19 Q. Will that recommendation be applicable to all 20 potential reservoirs and formations to be penetrated by this well? 21 22 Α. Yes. Let's start looking at those. The displays are 23 Q. organized in such a way as will go from shallow to deep, I 24 believe? 25

	141
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. The first display, if It's a locator and it
3	gives us some color codes. Take a few minutes and describe
4	for us what we're seeing.
5	A. The first map is a producing or production
6	map, color-coded on the right side from Delaware, being the
7	youngest, which is the field in Sections 9 and 15, which
8	Devon operates.
9	The numbers related to production are in
10	thousands of barrels and millions of cubic feet. And the
11	"I" or the "A" after the production is whether the wells
12	are active or inactive, or that particular zone is active
13	or inactive.
14	Scattered throughout the rest of this ninespot
15	map are deeper wells that have penetrated the Morrow and
16	therefore the Strawn and intervening horizons. There's
17	production in the Wolfcamp, there's been production in the
18	Atoka, there's been production in the Strawn, the upper
19	Pennsylvanian, Cisco/Canyon and the Morrow.
20	Q. Okay. Let me ask you part of the chronology of
21	events between your participation in the Mewbourne
22	discussions and your participation with the Carlow
23	representatives in those discussions, and commencing with
24	the March 13th meeting, that is a meeting which you
25	attended?

	142
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. At that meeting, did Mr. Moore who is
3	Mewbourne's geologist did he show you any maps?
4	A. No, he did not.
5	Q. Describe for us your recollections of what they
6	were proposing, from a geologic perspective.
7	A. As stated earlier, the map that was used, the
8	only map that was used, was our land map. And Mr. Moore
9	indicated wells a well to the northeast and a well to
10	the southwest, and waved his arm, insinuating that the
11	Strawn is a viable play in this township at this time.
12	Q. On April 23rd, there's a meeting with Carlow
13	Corporation in which Mr. Gray testified that he saw some
14	Carlow geology. You attended that meeting?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Did you see any geology presented by Carlow?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. And what did they show you?
19	A. They showed a structure map and an isopach map of
20	Strawn sand that basically had a trend northeast-to-
21	southwest. On the let's say the On this map that
22	we're looking at right now, it would be south of the well
23	in Section 15.
24	Q. Prior to the April 23rd meeting with Carlow, had
25	you done any preparation or investigation so that you could

	T42
1	begin to form an opinion?
2	A. After our meeting with Mewbourne?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. I went in and looked at the Strawn play in here
5	and had made maps, structure maps and isopachs across
6	sections.
7	Q. And so by the time you had the meeting with
8	Carlow on April 23rd, you were beginning to formulate your
9	opinions about the Strawn?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What was Carlow proposing to do?
12	A. Carlow came in and wanted to combine their
13	acreage with ours and somehow come to a joint-venture
14	agreement to get some wells drilled out here.
15	Q. By "combining acreage", did you understand that
16	to mean their acreage position in the north half of Section
17	22, as well as their acreage in Section 15?
18	A. It was all discussed, yes, sir.
19	Q. Okay. Was there any conclusion you reached about
20	their interpretation in terms of the best places to put
21	wells in that area?
22	A. Based on my interpretation, I felt that I
23	tended to put the sand trend northwest of the well in 15,
24	and they had it southeast of the well in 15, and therefore
25	I felt that any wells being drilled in Section 15 should be

as far west and north as they could be. 1 What time did you -- Approximately when did you ο. 2 formulate the opinion about how best to develop Section 15 3 in terms of the orientation of spacing units or where to 4 put the two wells in the section? 5 Just very quickly, I would like to have two wells 6 Α. 7 in there, and the only way to get two wells in what I saw 8 is, the better sand would be laydown. 9 Q. As a result of your studies, did you have a 10 priority of choice as to where the wells would be located 11 and in what sequence they might be drilled? 12 Α. I believe I would have started in the north half 13 of 15 and worked my way south. And that, in fact, is what Devon is doing; is 14 Q. that not true? 15 16 Α. Yes. All right. Let's turn past Exhibit 4 and have 17 0. you give us a quick conclusion on the Delaware. 18 We're 19 looking at Exhibit 5. 20 That's a structure map on the Delaware. Α. It's --We call it an "A" sand. It's right above the producing 21 22 horizon in the field, which is indicated here in green. When we look at Section 15, do you have a 23 0. conclusion or opinion about the possibility of encountering 24 25 the Delaware, and if so, is this very risky or not?

I believe it's fairly risky. We've found by 1 Α. drilling the well in the northeast northeast of 16 that the 2 3 structure that we had fell off quite rapidly, although the 4 next contour out is definitely widened and, you know, there 5 could be something in there, but it would definitely be a risk. 6 7 All right. So having the opportunity for the 0. 8 Delaware at this location does not substantially reduce the risk so it's less than the maximum? 9 10 Α. Correct. Let's look at Exhibit 6. What are we seeing 11 Q. 12 here? 13 This is a Strawn structure map and isopach map, Α. showing basically an east-southeast dip into the Basin 14 15 structurally, and isopach as I saw it, which I tried to 16 build off a density curve with crossover, a density of 17 8-percent porosity, although I did have to fudge the 18 Section 15 well because that's a sonic well, and I tried to 19 come up the best I could there with a relative number. 20 Okay. Let me show you Mr. Williams' montage --Q. it's his Exhibit Number 2, and it's got his gross Strawn 21 22 isopach on it -- so that you can have it in front of you. You and he have a difference of opinion with 23 24 regards to the values and the positioning of the Strawn 25 through Section 15, do you not?

1 Α. Somewhat. 2 ο. When we look at the Mewbourne property interest in the north half of 22 and in 15, what do you conclude 3 4 geologically about the speculative nature or the probable 5 nature of their acreage, as compared to yours? 6 Well, my interpretation of the well in 15 really Α. 7 sets that up as far as I'm concerned, and I have very 8 limited sand in what I see as the major producing sand, 9 Strawn sand, here, as compared to Mr. Williams. So therefore I have to -- I -- It's something we don't always 10 11 like to do, but I put a zero contour in there because I did 12 have the wells in 14 and 22 that I felt didn't really have 13 any of the sand that I was looking at anyway. 14 One of the letters that we talked about this ο. 15 morning was a letter from Mr. Cobb where he was saying Mewbourne was going to make a major concession and let 16 17 Devon operate if you would take into the area of mutual interest the north half of 22. 18 19 We --Α. 20 What's your opinion about adding 22 into the 0. 21 area? Based on my interpretation, we didn't really feel 22 Α. 23 that we'd be gaining anything by having more than we had in 24 22 and that we'd be depleting our -- decreasing our own 25 interest overall in Section 15.

	147
1	Q. The relative value, geologically, between the two
2	areas is significant, in your opinion?
3	A. In my opinion.
4	Q. Let's look at Exhibit Number 7. This is the
5	three-well cross-section that's shown on Exhibit Number 6,
6	and it helps us identify that Strawn interval that you're
7	targeting for this well, does it not?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Give us a quick reading on what we're trying to
10	find in the Strawn.
11	A. The well on the right end of the cross-section is
12	the Yates well in Section 11, and I was unable to determine
13	any net perfs on this, so they have a gross as far as
14	I'm concerned, they had a gross perf interval. So I don't
15	know exactly which one of these sands that they're
16	producing out of. I found one that I thought I could
17	correlate across the area, and that was what I mapped, and
18	it's indicated here as the "A" sand.
19	It goes southwest to our well in Section 16,
20	which we have recently completed and was a discussion with
21	Carlow regarding, We have the Yates well out here, this is
22	a good idea, let's you know, we ought to test one of
23	these behind pipe to make sure the idea works before we go
24	out and spend a lot of money on a well. We have
25	recompleted the well in 16.
-	

	140
1	And then it goes south to Section 21, the
2	Ocotillo Hills 1, which was to be recompleted.
3	Q. And so your preference for exploration strategy
4	as a geologist at that time was what, sir?
5	A. It was our opinion that we ought to test one of
6	the wells behind pipe and make sure that that sand is
7	that we had mapped was productive.
8	Q. That opportunity exists over in Section 21 where
9	the Ocotillo well is, right?
10	A. Yes, it does.
11	Q. To the best of your knowledge, has Mewbourne
12	exercised that opportunity?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Are you aware of when and if they may ever do
15	that?
16	A. I have heard no plans.
17	Q. That would be your preference, though, is to have
18	that behind-the-pipe Strawn tested in that wellbore, to
19	help you set up further opportunities in 15?
20	A. That was the original discussion, and in the
21	meantime we got tired of waiting on them and we tested our
22	own.
23	Q. All right. Let's turn to Exhibit 8, have you
24	identify this for us.
25	A. This is a structure map on the lower Morrow
-	

shale, basically just showing regional southeast dip. 1 What's the depositional environment of the Morrow ο. 2 here? 3 Well, the upper Morrow is more a northeast-4 Α. southwest-trending shoreline sand, and the lower Morrow 5 below this marker is usually more of a channel/fluvial 6 system, trending more north-south or more northwest-7 southeast. 8 9 ο. For the shoreline-deposited Morrow sands in the upper portion, would the structure map be a useful map to 10 look at to see if it helps you in picking a location? 11 Well, yes, some of these wells that had produced 12 Α. out here have been tested and have had water, so we can get 13 14 updip from those. That's not a primary geologic tool in this area, 15 ο. 16 then, when we're looking for the Morrow channel sands? 17 Α. If you have an indication of porosity with water 18 in it downdip, then yes, it would be. But it's --Stratigraphy is the major play out here, in the Morrow. 19 20 The strategy here is, the Strawn is perhaps the Q. 21 best target? 22 As was indicated by Mr. Williams, I think the Α. Strawn play out here is the driving factor to get some 23 24 wells drilled. Is the relationship between the Morrow and 25 the Strawn such that it is reasonable to go ahead and drill

	130
1	the well to the Morrow, just to take a peek?
2	A. I believe it is. It's another couple thousand
3	feet, but this is quite a sand-prone area, and whether it's
4	faulting or just stratigraphic, we could stumble into
5	something by taking it deeper.
6	Q. Let's look at your interpretation, then, of the
7	Morrow. Let's start with Exhibit 9. Tell us where we are
8	in the Morrow.
9	A. Well, this is just the LMC is nothing by my
10	nomenclature for a lower Morrow Channel 1, and it was a
11	well or a sand that was tested in the well in Section
12	15. The only other place I see that on this map is down in
13	Section 22, and I felt there was a chance of this sand,
14	which tested gas and water in Section 15, of trending
15	north-south through this area.
16	Q. And if you want to identify that particular
17	interval, we could look at Exhibit 10 and you can show on
18	the cross-sections what you've mapped.
19	A. Right, that's just would indicate what sand
20	I'm trying to follow north-south through there.
21	Q. Let's turn past, then, the cross-section, which
22	is Exhibit 10, and let's look at a lower interval in the
23	Morrow that you've studied. It's Exhibit 11. Identify
24	this and give us your conclusions.
25	A. Well, this is just another lower Morrow channel

1	that is productive in Catclaw Draw, or our Catclaw Draw, in
2	Sections 9 and 16, which Devon operates. And this was an
3	isopach. Once again, I believe it's 8-percent Excuse
4	me, it's not indicated, but it should be 8-percent porosity
5	on neutron.
6	Q. And then finally we have Exhibit 12, which is the
7	cross-section that will show the Examiner the interval
8	A which would identify that and the comings and
9	goings of the sands.
10	Q. When we look at positioning the well in the south
11	half of Section 15, what is your preference between
12	locating the well in the southeast quarter, as opposed to
13	the southwest quarter?
14	A. Based on my interpretation, it would be much
15	riskier placing the well in the southeast quarter because
16	I once again, on my interpretation, I get really thin
17	sand in the well that exists in the east half of Section
18	15, so I would want to be as far west in the section as I
19	could be.
20	Q. In looking at the southwest quarter, then, can
21	you define with any specificity the precise footage
22	location in which to drill the well, to give you your best
23	opportunity in the Strawn?
24	A. I think the legal location in the northwest of
25	the southwest would be the best, or at least the

1	regulatory, 1650 from the west and 1980 from the south.
2	MR. KELLAHIN: Okay. That concludes my
3	examination of Mr. Roberts. We move the introduction of
4	his Exhibits I believe they are 5 through 12? Yes.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 5 through 12 will be
6	admitted as evidence.
7	Mr. Hall?
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. HALL:
10	Q. Mr. Roberts, when did Devon become aware of the
11	Strawn play, to your knowledge?
12	A. It's been stated before that when Mewbourne came
13	to our office, I believe March 13th, that the arm-waving,
14	they made it aware to us.
15	Q. But for the Mewbourne initiative, did Devon have
16	any plans to undertake a geologic evaluation of the Strawn
17	in the area?
18	A. Once again, as was stated earlier, we're always
19	undergoing evaluation of acreage and behind-pipe potential
20	in wells. I personally, on March 13th, didn't have a plan
21	to go out and study the Strawn on that date.
22	Q. Okay. You didn't have any geology done by the
23	March 13th meeting, anyway?
24	A. Not on that Strawn.
25	Q. All right. And I look on your title blocks for

all your exhibits, and they show a date of September of 1 1997. 2 When they're all printed out --3 Α. 4 Q. All right. -- for this purpose, for this meeting. 5 Α. When did you first create some Strawn geology for 6 ο. 7 the area? 8 Α. Immediately after our March 13 meeting with Mewbourne. 9 Was there anything inappropriate about Ralph 10 Q. Moore's contacts with you in connection with this well? 11 Inappropriate, no. I expect that it was six 12 Α. months since I had seen him, and he called me out of the 13 14 blue six months later and, you know, reiterated that we'd like to get this well drilled, they wanted to operate. 15 And I said I understand that, we would like to operate. And 16 17 whether that was inappropriate or not, I don't know. You don't have an opinion whether that was 18 0. 19 inappropriate? 20 Α. Well, the most that I can remember, that was totally out of the blue. I spend maybe five percent of my 21 time working this area, and the rest of it is Rocky 22 23 Mountain properties. So I had nothing on my desk that I could discuss with him. 24 At the March 13th meeting, didn't you convey to 25 Q.

1	Mr. Moore that you were the one to talk to about this
2	prospect?
3	A. I am the geologist for this particular township.
4	Q. So the answer to my question is yes?
5	A. Geologically, yes.
6	Q. There was You didn't tell him to refer any
7	questions to the manager or anybody any other level at
8	the company?
9	A. No, I did not.
10	Q. You made reference to the recompletion in that
11	Section 21. Do you recall your testimony about that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you know if Mewbourne sent Devon a JOA for
14	that operation?
15	A. That's not something that would necessarily come
16	by me, so I'm not aware of that.
17	Q. Would you know, then, why Devon has not acted on
18	Mewbourne's JOA for that?
19	A. I have no idea.
20	Q. On your Exhibit 9, I believe that's your Morrow
21	structure, is it not? Let's see. Your isopach for the
22	Morrow?
23	A. (No response)
24	Q. The way you've drawn it, it appears that
25	penetration of the Morrow there carries some risk with it,
•	

as you've drawn it? 1 2 Α. Yes. But on the other hand, Devon does not dispute the 3 0. current location of the well, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. Location is not an issue here? 6 ο. 7 Α. (No response) 8 Did you evaluate the Yates Lake Shore 1 in Q. Section 11 in connection with your geology? 9 10 Α. I have looked at the logs on that well, yes, the 11 production figures. And do you know when that well was completed? 12 ο. 1994, I believe. Well, in the Strawn originally, 13 Α. I don't recall. It might be on the cross-section here; 4 14 of 1984 is when I would have that -- the Yates well being 15 16 drilled initially. 17 0. Okay. So is it safe to say that it may have been completed in the Strawn in 1994, but next to your acreage -18 - but you were not made aware of that until Mewbourne 19 20 brought that to you; is that correct? 21 Α. That's right. 22 In Section 15, the State BO 1 that you all Q. 23 acquired from Hondo, do you know why that has never been recompleted in the Strawn? 24 As far as I know, it's still making economical 25 Α.

155

1	production out of the Cisco/Canyon, and I think we looked
2	at that, and maybe there's a casing problem that we
3	couldn't get down. It's that well We thought about
4	that, but it was not Mechanically it was not something
5	that we wanted to attempt.
6	Q. How about your well in Section 16? Do you know
7	what the production rates are from that well?
8	A. Which one?
9	Q. The BR 1, current rates?
10	A. Yes, sir, approximately 2.5 million a day.
11	MR. HALL: That concludes our cross of Mr.
12	Roberts.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: I have no questions of this
14	witness.
15	WALLY FRANK,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
20	Q. Sir, would you please state your name and
21	occupation?
22	A. My name is Wally Frank. I'm a district engineer
23	with Devon Energy Company.
24	Q. Mr. Frank, on prior occasions have you testified
25	before the Division?
-	

157
A. I have not.
Q. Summarize your education for us.
A. I graduated from the University of Oklahoma in
1991 with a bachelor of science in petroleum engineering.
Q. What are your current responsibilities for Devon?
A. I'm the district engineer for the Eddy County
properties.
Q. As part of that responsibility, do you prepare
the AFEs?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And did you prepare the AFE that Devon attached
to It's exhibit package, and which was submitted to
Mewbourne?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In addition, have you analyzed and reviewed the
Mewbourne AFE?
A. I have.
Q. Have you made yourself knowledgeable about the
various components and the cost-comparison numbers in both
the AFEs?
A. I've tried to, yes.
MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Frank as an expert
petroleum engineer.
EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.
Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Frank, let's take Exhibit

1 13. Identify for us what is on the first page. What are we looking at? I'm sorry, I'm confusing you. 2 13 is 3 your --Α. Okay --4 -- is your --5 Q. -- I have it here, yes. 6 Α. 7 -- is your tabulation. Q. This is a cost comparison of the Devon AFE and 8 Α. the Mewbourne AFE. 9 10 This is your work product? Q. This was actually done by another engineer, but I 11 Α. have reviewed it. 12 All right. He tabulated it, and did you go back 13 Q. through each of the items, then, in both AFEs and check his 14 15 work? Yes, sir. 16 Α. Can you adopt his work product as yours at this 17 Q. point? 18 I can. 19 Α. 20 Q. The comparison of AFEs in terms of format, Mewbourne and Devon have a slightly different format, do 21 22 they not? 23 Α. That's correct. In order to let us make a direct comparison, 24 Q. 25 then, you have divided the costs into various

1 subcategories? That's true, we've made some assumptions and 2 Α. tried to read between the lines and come up with this cost 3 comparison. 4 You were able to group line items under what you 5 **Q**. have identified as site preparation? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 Q. What do you mean by that? 9 Α. The location, preparation and entrance road into 10 the location. Okay. Setting aside Mewbourne's estimate, let's 11 0. 12 look at the Devon estimate only. Where did you get that 13 number, and what degree of confidence do you have in its 14 reliability? We have a relationship with several of the dirt 15 Α. contractors in southeast New Mexico. I called upon one of 16 17 them to go actually look at the physical site and give me their best-quessed estimate on what it would cost to 18 19 prepare it. 20 That relationship has been developed as a result 0. 21 of your oil production in the area out of the Delaware? 22 Correct. Α. 23 So these are the same people you deal with --Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 -- in that activity? Q.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And you got a price of what?
3	A. About \$25,000, all told.
4	Q. Were you able to compare that to the cost
5	estimate of Mewbourne and derive a comparable?
6	A. We were somewhat higher than Mewbourne's
7	location.
8	Q. All right. What degree of confidence do you have
9	that the Mewbourne estimate is accurate?
10	A. Based on the information I've been given, I think
11	their bid is somewhat low.
12	Q. Let's look at the other items. Rig costs are
13	comparable. Let's find a line item that has a difference.
14	You have a specialized services item, and then
15	you have added up the entries that make up that item. What
16	are you describing here?
17	A. Specialized services on the Devon AFE contains
18	cement, P-and-A costs, water water disposal, various
19	items of that nature.
20	Q. Okay. Does your tabulation of specialized items
21	under the Devon column include anything for plugging and
22	abandoning the wellbore?
23	A. It does, yes, sir.
24	Q. Were you able to find a similar item estimated in
25	the Mewbourne AFE?

160

1	A. I was not.
2	Q. When we look at the stimulation in the Morrow, do
3	you have a value in your tabulation that is related to
4	Morrow stimulation?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. Is that included in your number?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. Could you find an equivalent entry to in the
9	Mewbourne AFE?
10	A. I found an entry for a single stimulation in the
11	Mewbourne.
12	Q. Do you plan for more than one stimulation?
13	A. Yes, sir
14	Q. If
15	A we have Yes, sir, I do.
16	Q. You have the Morrow and the Strawn cost of
17	stimulation in your AFE?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Okay. Do you have costs of drill stem testing in
20	your AFE?
21	A. We have included three DSTs in our estimation.
22	Q. Could you find those in the Mewbourne AFE?
23	A. I could not.
24	Q. All right. Mr. Calvert made a point of drawing a
25	comparison with your AFE and his with regards, I think, to

1	the casing string or the production string. I forgot the
2	number. There was a cost comparison where they had \$75,000
3	and you had \$55,000. Remember that?
4	A. They had \$73,000, and I had \$55,000, yes.
5	Q. Okay. From your point of view, what are we
6	comparing, and what's the difference?
7	A. Basically apples and oranges. They are obviously
8	running It's noted they're running 5 1/2; I quoted 4 1/2
9	casing.
10	Q. Okay. Were you involved in the preparing of the
11	estimate for Devon's use for the well in the north half of
12	15?
13	A. I was.
14	Q. Okay. And how does this AFE compare, your Devon
15	AFE for the south half of 15, compare to what you've
16	estimated for the well in the north half of 15?
17	A. It's real similar.
18	Q. You went through the same methodology and format
19	of doing this?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Okay. Let's turn to the last item that's stapled
22	in this exhibit package. It has a heading, it says "Yates
23	Petroleum Corporation". Does Devon have an interest in
24	this well?
25	A. No, sir, I don't believe so.

1	Q. Okay. But you have an AFE from Yates dated March
2	20th of 1997, and it deals with a wellbore in Section 11?
3	A. Obviously I'm mistaken. If we received an AFE,
4	we must have an interest in the well.
5	Q. Well, apart from the interest, you have the AFE?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. It appears to be true and accurate, from Yates,
8	right?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. And what do they show as a dry hole and a
11	completed well cost for drilling a deep Morrow gas test?
12	A. Approximately A dry hole and completed cost of
13	approximately \$1.1 million.
14	Q. Substantially higher than either you or Mewbourne
15	have proposed to at least AFE for this well?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Okay. In your opinion, is the Devon AFE fair and
18	reasonable?
19	A. If all goes well it is, yes.
20	Q. All right. So this AFE is based upon the
21	expectation that if there are no changes in operations
22	required, then this is accurate?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. All right. Do you share with Mr. Calvert the
25	same type of concerns that he had about problems beyond the

control of whoever operates the well? 1 2 Α. I do. MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination of 3 4 Mr. Frank. We move the introduction of Exhibit Number 13. 5 MR. HALL: I would object to 13 to the extent it 6 7 contains a Yates AFE. EXAMINER CATANACH: What's your objection to 8 9 that? 10 MR. HALL: This witness cannot authenticate it, 11 and it's purely hearsay. 12 MR. KELLAHIN: He's an expert. He's entitled to 13 rely on hearsay evidence, Mr. Examiner. We can check the 14 rule book, we can pull out the Rules of Civil Procedure. Ι 15 think you find it in Rule 701, an expert can rely on 16 hearsay evidence to formulate an opinion, and that's what this man has done. 17 MR. HALL: The problem is, with its 18 19 admissibility, that he cannot authenticate it. He had no 20 involvement in the preparation of the --MR. KELLAHIN: The rule does not require him to 21 2.2 authenticate it. MR. CARROLL: Well, it's evidence of the basis of 23 his opinion. I mean, it's evidence substantiating his 24 25 opinion.

MR. HALL: Well, and that's my point, he cannot
authenticate that.
MR. CARROLL: I don't think he's trying to
authenticate it.
EXAMINER CATANACH: I think we'll go ahead and
admit it.
All right, Exhibit Number 13 will be admitted as
evidence.
Mr. Hall?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. HALL:
Q. Mr. Frank, let me ask you some of the questions
I've asked already in this proceeding.
If the well in the north half of Section 15 turns
out to be a dry hole, is Devon still committed to drilling
in the south half of 15 today?
A. Well, of course, I can't answer that. I think a
lot of that will depend on the recompletion of the Ocotillo
Hills, once that's done. But as far as presenting company
policy on that, I can't speculate.
Q. Let's talk about the Ocotillo Hills recompletion.
Do you know what's been the hold-up with Devon's execution
of Mewbourne's AFE and JOA?
A. I have no idea, no, sir.
Q. But is it correct that Devon has not executed

1	Mewbourne's JOA?
2	A. To this date I don't believe we have executed it.
3	I don't recall when we received it, so I don't know if
4	we've had enough time to evaluate and look at the JOA.
5	Q. You don't know if time is the reason or not; you
6	simply don't know why it hasn't been executed?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. When was the well in the north half of 15
9	spudded?
10	A. The second week of September.
11	Q. Okay. And these are What have you planned
12	for? A 30- or a 40-day well?
13	A. A 32-, yeah, to drill.
14	Q. Okay. So you're close to completion right now?
15	A. Well, we've been having some problems and hold-
16	ups, but we're continuing to drill.
17	Q. Okay. What problems have you encountered?
18	A. As Mr. Calvert said, we did encounter the lost
19	circulation zone, and we've had some deviation problems in
20	the well.
21	Q. Any other problems?
22	A. Not to date.
23	Q. All right. Do you reside in Oklahoma City?
24	A. Norman, actually.
25	Q. Norman?

	10/
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So you're not the on-site, district or at
3	least a district supervisor for this well in New Mexico?
4	A. I am. I am the district supervisor. I'm the
5	district engineer for this well.
6	Q. I see.
7	A. I am not on site.
8	Q. How much experience have you had in drilling deep
9	Penn formation wells in Eddy County?
10	A. Well, actually, I broke out working in the
11	oilfield when I was 14 years old. I worked summers and
12	weekends during high school. I went overseas when I was 19
13	years old. I pushed tools and drilled from 1979 to 1986,
14	internationally
15	Q Eddy County.
16	A internationally. And then I went back to
17	school. I graduated from college, I went to work for Kerr-
18	McGee as a district engineer. Some of my properties were
19	Indian Basin-Morrow properties, which are further to the
20	west than these properties are.
21	I have no personally supervised the drilling of a
22	Morrow well, but I was the completion supervisor on the
23	completion of several of these.
24	Q. All right. Can you estimate for us when it's
25	likely you'll be able to release the rig off of the north-

1	half location?
2	A. I would hope in a maximum of two more weeks.
3	Q. Two weeks. Once that is released, do you plan on
4	holding the rig on location, pending the resolution of
5	operatorship in the south half?
6	A. No, we do not. We would have to pay standby
7	rates for the rig. We have the contract written to drill a
8	south-half well. They are committed to drilling that well,
9	but because of this hearing our timing has been pushed off.
10	I have spoke with the drilling company. They are
11	going to move to drill the Yates well, I'm assuming in the
12	south half of Section 11, and then come back pending
13	resolution of this matter and drill our south-half well in
14	15.
15	Q. Now, getting back to the lost-circulation
16	problems you've encountered, can you refer back to Exhibit
17	13, your AFE, and tell me where you've budgeted for that
18	contingency in here?
19	A. I did not. As I said, I budgeted this AFE on
20	everything going correct and well, without any problems.
21	Q. Okay. Do you have an idea of how much cost may
22	be attributable to your deviation problem and your lost-
23	circulation problem?
24	A. Well, you As Mr. Calvert said, it's just the
25	opposite of getting a water flow. Instead of having to

1	truck water off, you have to truck it in. And that does
2	add considerable costs.
3	Q. So it's turned out our saltwater disposal
4	facility is really not an issue for this well?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. In your experience in Eddy County and elsewhere,
7	have your estimated pre-drill costs fairly approximated
8	your actual well costs, in your AFEs?
9	A. In the drilling of the Avalon 15 C or
10	Q. Generally.
11	A. Yes, I usually come quite close in my AFEs. I
12	have drilled, to date, 14 wells in Eddy County, in a
13	different field, and we have not been over budget on any of
14	those.
15	Q. Do you typically not account for contingencies
16	such as lost circulation, and when you do encounter those
17	does it make your actual costs exceed your AFE estimations?
18	A. Yes, there's two ways to attribute contingencies.
19	You can either somewhat inflate your estimated costs on
20	line item, or you can add a contingency. As you can see,
21	Mewbourne added two percent which is just about one
22	day's day rate for this rig to their as their
23	contingencies. I prefer to inflate the line items somewhat
24	to account for that.
25	Q. What depth are you drilling at now?

	170
1	A. We're at about 5500 feet.
2	Q. Why did you elect to use 4-1/2-inch surface
3	casing?
4	A. As an
5	Q. I'm sorry, production casing.
6	A. Production casing. As a way to control the well
7	costs. Simple as that.
8	Q. If you encounter drilling problems using the
9	4-1/2-inch casing, your costs inflate because of that if
10	you have to try to correct those problems. In other words,
11	what happens if you have to fish for a while? Is that more
12	difficult with 4-1/2-inch casing?
13	A. Well, that would be after the well is drilled,
14	and after you get the well completed, there might be some
15	sort of fishing going on inside the 4 1/2. I don't believe
16	so, not really. When you fish 2 3/8 inside 4 1/2, it's
17	very similar to fishing 2 7/8 inside 5 1/2 and its
18	associated equipment.
19	Q. Well, isn't it safe to say that it's easier to do
20	completions and recompletions inside of a 5 1/2 rather than
21	4 1/2?
22	A. I don't think you can say that. I think there's
23	a The tools are available and are common for 4 1/2
24	completions.
25	Q. Have you ever damaged a casing in a frac

1	operation?
2	A. Yes, we have.
3	Q. And what happens? Isn't If you're using a
4	4-1/2-inch casing and damage it in a frac operation, what
5	do you do to cure that?
6	A. Well, you repair it the same way you would if you
7	damaged your 5 1/2.
8	Q. It's not more difficult? Is that your testimony?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Can you frac it after you've done the repairs on
11	it?
12	A. Sure, if you go downtubing.
13	MR. HALL: I have nothing further of this
14	witness.
15	EXAMINER CATANACH: I don't have any questions of
16	this witness.
17	Anything further?
18	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I have Devon Exhibit
19	Number 3. It's my certificate of notice. It indicates
20	that on July 29th, we mailed notice to Mewbourne. It
21	attaches the notice letter, and on the last page is the
22	return receipt card showing receipt of notice. We would
23	ask that Exhibit 3 be admitted into the record.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 3 will be
25	admitted as evidence in this case.

1	Does that conclude your presentation?
2	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, it does.
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: I suggest we dispense with
4	closing statements, unless you care to give one.
5	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, I have something I'd
6	like to say.
7	MR. HALL: Mr. Catanach, if I could I'd like to
8	put Mr. Calvert on for some brief rebuttal testimony.
9	MR. CARROLL: Regarding what?
10	MR. HALL: The casing issue.
11	MR. CARROLL: And what is the casing issue?
12	MR. HALL: Well, it bears on prudent operations
13	and problems you're likely to encounter in using 4-1/2-inch
14	versus 5-1/2-inch casing. It has a bearing on the
15	experience of the respective operators. I think that may
16	be a factor in the ultimate decision here.
17	EXAMINER CATANACH: If you cover it briefly, I'll
18	let you do it.
19	MR. HALL: We'll cover it briefly.
20	KEN CALVERT (Recalled),
21	the witness herein, having been previously duly sworn upon
22	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. HALL:
25	Q. Mr. Calvert, you've been previously sworn. You

	1/3
1	heard Mr. Frank testify about the fact that Devon proposes
2	to use 4-1/2-inch production casing here. Do you have any
3	comments about that?
4	A. Yes, in that over the life of a well Let's
5	just assume everything goes right, like you was talking
6	about on the initial completion.
7	Over the life of a well, several casing
8	deterioration may occur. And first, in fishing, if you're
9	fishing 2 3/8 and 4 1/2 like he suggested, it's as easy to
10	do that as fishing 2 7/8 inside a 5 1/2.
11	However, if you get certain small-diameter tools
12	lost off inside of the 4 1/2 casing, and maybe you have
13	jars, oil jars, hydraulic jars, bumper jars or whatever,
14	and drill collars, to get that kind of fish that kind of
15	material out of the hole Let's just say it's a packer, a
16	permanent packer, maybe. Then the IDs of those tools do
17	not allow you to run string shots to back off with, to
18	maybe just run a perforating gun or so forth.
19	There are tools and I've just had I know
20	this because I've just been there in the last two or three
21	months, that you can get as small as 3/4 inch in diameters.
22	There are tools made, if you can find them.
23	And where The problem comes in if you have
24	small-ID drill collars to jar with, small-ID subs or jars,
25	it gets very difficult if you get some of those things hung

1 up, if you've got to back off the top part of your fishing 2 string, to get some other larger drill collars, change out 3 maybe the tubing string to get off of it, where you can get 4 on with something that you can really hammer it out of the 5 hole with.

6 The 4 1/2, our experience is, is where we have 7 real trouble. And if you're going to have trouble fishing, 8 it's going to be in 4 1/2, as opposed to 5 1/2, because 9 5 1/2, you're getting to a big enough casing string you can 10 do something with.

The other thing is, we're talking about a possible multiple-pay field. I hope, whether they drill it or whether we drill it, it is a multiple-pay well. And sometimes if you have to stimulate after a well's been there a while, if you get a hole in, say, 4 1/2 casing and it has to be repaired, typically it's going to be repaired by squeezing.

And typically, the better frac jobs, the higher rates, the larger capacity of sand and water, in the current frac jobs that we're doing we go down the casing, to get the rate that we want to get the frac away and to propagate frac.

If you get a hole in the 4 1/2, you're faced with putting, to get any kind of rate at all, which is going to be reduced, you're forced to putting 2 1/2 -- or 2 7/8

	1/5
1	tubing inside of 4 1/2 casing, to frac that well, to get
2	any good frac at all.
3	If you have 5 1/2, you can always run slimline
4	3 1/2 and frac through it. And that's almost Slimline
5	3 1/2 is not The rates that we tried to get is not that
6	far off of 4 1/2 to start with.
7	And so the longevity of a well is certainly going
8	to be better with a 5 1/2 than it is with 4 1/2, if you run
9	into problems. And so we Our AFE does have the 5 1/2 in
10	it, and our experience tells us that's what you need to
11	run.
12	And so if his AFE has the price of 4 1/2 in it at
13	that price, then to have the equivalent well, you're going
14	to have to add \$20,000 to it, the differential, to get up
15	to an equivalent servicing well.
16	MR. HALL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Calvert.
17	That's all we have.
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Mr. Kellahin?
19	MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. You say you want to
21	give a brief closing statement
22	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Kellahin?
24	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Proceed.

1	MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you.
2	I'll give you my quarter, Mr. Examiner, and you
3	can decide this case with a flip of a coin.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you.
5	MR. CARROLL: Call it.
6	MR. KELLAHIN: Or you can remember the memo that
7	you gave us back in April of 1995, and that memo was
8	generated because of the Dagger Draw wars.
9	You may remember, you may remember, that Nearburg
10	and Yates got into a squabble over Randy Patterson not
11	electing within a 30-day period under an operating
12	agreement, and Nearburg made them go nonconsent.
13	And within a matter of days, John Yates, then,
14	combed the entire reservoir, finding any spacing unit in
15	which he had an interest and Nearburg had an interest, and
16	he served Nearburg with more than 35 well proposals and
17	AFEs. And we went to the force-pooling wars before you on
18	virtually all those cases.
19	As a result, during that effort, you gave us this
20	memorandum. It's a guidance thing to help us resolve these
21	things. Because quite frankly, I don't want to be here any
22	more than you want to be here. Our docket has got force-
23	pooling cases that don't belong here. You need to tell us
24	how to pursue these, how to resolve these.
25	And I'm looking at your list, and you've already
-	

You said relevant and pertinent evidence of 1 told us. willingness of operators to negotiate a voluntary 2 3 agreement. Mewbourne flunked that criteria. Look at their chronology. What is the 4 expectation of Devon? On March 13, Mewbourne comes and 5 says, Let's get together and put together on a cooperative 6 7 basis an area of mutual interest. What happens next? They don't come back, they 8 9 don't talk. They close the door on any negotiation on a voluntary agreement, because on June 12th they give Devon 10 11 an ultimatum. Without discussing with Devon, Mewbourne makes the choice of the orientation of the spacing unit. 12 13 Mewbourne makes the choice about the well location. 14 Mewbourne makes the choice to go out and stake the well. It has a chilling effect on voluntary agreements 15 16 when one party seeks to prosecute one of these cases. And 17 that's the word Mr. Hall used in a question to Mr. Calvert. He said, Describe the efforts of Mewbourne to prosecute 18 this well. I like his choice of words because it describes 19 20 what Mewbourne does. They are using the force-pooling 21 process as a negotiating weapon, and it has a chilling effect on all of us when they close the door to 22 23 opportunities to work out voluntary agreements by giving 24 you this pre-emptive challenge. 25 And if you decide that this case is decided by

1 that event, then it sends a signal to all of us that the 2 first thing that we do when we get an idea is to blitz the 3 reservoir, to ambush our interest owners in a section, and 4 go out and stake the well, get it permitted, propose a 5 specific location, and then sit back and negotiate after the fact. I think it is hostile, I think it's predatory, 6 7 and I think it ought not to be done. 8 So you can either flip the coin or you can award

operations to Mewbourne and award [sic] them for this aggressive strategy, or you can allow us to operate this well and send a message to Mewbourne and the rest of the industry that this predatory action is not going to be tolerated. We want voluntary agreements as a first choice and force pooling as a last resort.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: Obviously, Mr. Catanach, the deciding factor should be that the Applicant who does not use the coin-flip joke should prevail. The record might also reflect that Mr. Kellahin has tried to give the Examiner some money in advance of a decision.

(Laughter)

15

21

MR. HALL: It is a close case, Mr. Catanach. And what do you do? I think your earlier memorandum is directly relevant to this. Let me provide you with a copy of Order Number R-10,731-B, follows your memorandums, so

1	you can have it in your file when you craft your order for
2	this case.
3	And it shows you the steps you have to go through
4	to decide a close case like this. This is what it comes
5	down to: We need to get hydrocarbons out of the ground.
6	Mewbourne is ready to do it, Devon is not. In fact, I
7	think it's pretty clear from the Devon witnesses' testimony
8	here this afternoon that they're not committed to drilling
9	the well in the south half. Mewbourne is.
10	On the other hand, Devon is going to wait until
11	it gets results from its north-half location. Till that
12	happens, what else do you have to look at?
13	Look at the facts throughout Devon was unaware
14	of the Strawn play. It was not until other operators,
15	Mewbourne and Carlow, took the prospect to them that they
16	reacted.
17	Early on, Mewbourne made the decision to commit
18	company resources, time, personnel and money to prosecuting
19	the drilling of the Strawn play out here. Their objective
20	is to get hydrocarbons out of the ground for the State of
21	New Mexico.
22	On the other hand, Devon's style is to sit back
23	and react, purely reactive throughout. I think that should
24	be the deciding factor for you in drawing your order for
25	this case.

1 That's all I have, Mr. Catanach. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Hall. 3 Anything further? 4 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further, 6 let's take Case 11,830 and 11,833 under advisement. 7 Thank you, gentlemen. 8 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 9 2:30 p.m.) 10 11 12 13 14 15 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 16 e complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. /1930 /1937 17 heard by me on (17the 8 19⁄ 18 a , Examiner Oll Conservation Division 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 18th, 1997.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998