

**HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY,
L.L.P.**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

400 PENN PLAZA, SUITE 700 POST OFFICE BOX 10
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 86202
(505) 622-6510 FAX (505) 823-9332

LEWIS C. COX JR. (1964-1993)
CLARENCE E. HINKLE (1904-1983)
OF COUNSEL
O. M. CALHOUN*
JOE W. WOOD*
RICHARD L. CAZZELL*

REBECCA NICHOLS JOHNSON
STANLEY K. KOTOVSKY, JR.
ELLEN S. CASEY
MARGARET CARTER LUDDEWIG
S. BARRY PAISNER
WATT L. BROOKS*
DAVID M. RUSSELL*
ANDREW J. CLOUTIER
STEPHANIE LANDRY
KIRT E. MOELLING*
JULIE P. NEERKEN
WILLIAM P. SLATTERY
CHRISTOPHER M. MOODY
JOHN D. PHILLIPS
JAMES A. GILLESPIE
DARYL W. LARSON
NORMAN D. EWART
MOLLY MCINTOSH
MARCIA B. LINCOLN
AMY C. WRIGHT*
KAROLYN KING NELSON
ELLEN T. LOUDERBOUGH
JAMES H. WOOD*
NANCY L. STRATTON
TIMOTHY R. BROWN
JAMES C. MARTIN
MATTHEW S. FORBES*
GIANNA M. MENCOZZA
DAVID B. LAWRENZ
ANNE MARIE TURNER
KENNETH E. WESTON*
JULIA JOPLIN SWALLOW*

PAUL W. EATON
CONRAD E. COFFIELD
HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR.
STUART D. SHANDR
ERIC D. LANPHERE
C. D. MARVIN
ROBERT P. TUNNIN, JR.
MARGARET G. MARTIN
MASTON C. COURTNEY*
DON L. PATTERSON*
DOUGLAS L. LUNSFORD
NICHOLAS J. NOEDING
T. CALDER EZZELL, JR.
WILLIAM B. BURFORD*
RICHARD E. CLEON
RICHARD R. WILFONG*
THOMAS J. McBRIDE
NANCY R. CUSACK
JEFFREY L. POMNAGARI*
JERRY F. SHACHELFORD*
JEFFREY W. HELLERICH*
W. F. COUNTISS*
ALBERT L. FITTS
THOMAS M. HNASAK
JOHN C. EMAMBERS*
GARY D. COMPTON*
W. H. BRIAN, JR.*
RUSSELL J. BAILEY*
STEVEN D. ARNOLD
THOMAS D. HAINES, JR.
GREGORY J. NIGERT
FRED W. SCHWENDIMANN
JAMES M. HUDSON
THOMAS E. MOORE*

April 15, 1998

*NOT LICENSED IN NEW MEXICO

VIA TELECOPY

Rand Carroll, Esquire
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
2040 South Pacheco Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Re: Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc. Compulsory Pooling
Application; Case No. 11932

Dear Rand:

In preparing our response to Doyle Hartman's Motion to Dismiss and his Brief in support thereof in the captioned matter, we could not help but notice that Mr. Hartman's attorneys consistently made reference to the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18, Township 20 South, Range 39 East. As you no doubt noticed, this is an incorrect description, with the Application, and we assume all of their arguments, actually relating to the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18. We have advised Mr. Hartman's attorneys that we knew what they really meant, and I assume they will send the appropriate correction pages to the OCD. However, just in case they don't, I wanted to let you know that our response assumes that all references in Hartman's Motion to Dismiss, and in the Brief, to the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18 actually were intended to refer to the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

This letter also gives me an opportunity to renew my request for an expedited order in this case so that my client can proceed with its drilling schedule. Please call if you have any questions.

Yours very truly,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY, L.L.P.

Calder Ezzell
T. Calder Ezzell, Jr.

TCE/tw

cc: J.E. Gallegos
Richard Winchester