STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF ENRON OIL AND GAS COMPANY
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

March 19th, 1998

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 19th, 1998, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

March 19th, 1998 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,950

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
PATRICK J. TOWER (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4
RANDALL S. CATE (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	12
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	20

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	7	12
Exhibit	2	7	12
Exhibit	3	7	12
Exhibit	4	8	12
Exhibit	5	9	12
Exhibit	6	11	12
Exhibit	7	13	19
Exhibit	8	14	19
Exhibit	9	14	19
Exhibit		15	19
Exhibit		16	19
Exhibit	12	17	19

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE and SHERIDAN, P.A. Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:48 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: Hearing will come to order. 3 4 At this time I will call Case Number 11,950. 5 MR. CARROLL: Application of Enron Oil and Gas 6 Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances. 8 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr, 9 10 Berge and Sheridan. We represent Enron Oil and Gas Company in this matter, and I have two witnesses. 11 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? 13 Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn? 14 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 15 PATRICK J. TOWER, 16 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 17 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. CARR: 20 Q. Would you state your name and place of residence? 21 My name is Patrick J. Tower. I reside in Α. 22 Midland, Texas. 23 Q. By whom are you employed? 24 Enron Oil and Gas Company. Α. 25 Q. And what is your position with Enron?

- A. My title is project landman.
- Q. Have you previously testified before this Division?
- A. Yes, I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. At the time of that testimony, were your credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters accepted and made a matter of record?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in this case on behalf of Enron?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands in the subject area?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?
- 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: I believe they are.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Tower, would you briefly state
 19 what Enron seeks in this case?
 - A. Enron is seeking an order pooling all the minerals from the surface to the base of the Chester formation underlying Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the east half, west half, which is a west-half equivalent of Section 31, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico, forming a 310.56-acre spacing and proration unit

for all formations in pools developed on 320-acre spacing, which would include but not be limited to the Undesignated Sand Tank-Strawn Pool, Undesignated South Loco Hills-Morrow Gas Pool, the Undesignated Sand Tank-Atoka Pool, and the Undesignated Sand Tank-Morrow Gas Pool.

This odd size spacing unit is within the tolerance allowed by Rule 104.D.(2)(a).

- Q. Mr. Tower, Enron owns all interests in the southwest quarter of section; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, we would therefore request that the portions of this case which relate to compulsory pooling on 160 acres, 80 acres and 40 acres be dismissed.

EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take note of that. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Tower, to what well will the well be dedicated?
- A. To be Enron's Sand Tank 31 Fed Com Number 2 well, which is to be drilled at a previously approved unorthodox location of 990 feet from the south line and 1200 feet from the west line of said Section 31, with, again, the west-half spacing unit.

The original unorthodox was approved administratively under Division Order NSL-3932 on January

14th, 1998.

- Q. Is a copy of that order what has been marked as Enron Exhibit Number 1?
 - A. Yes, Exhibit Number 1 is the OCD's approval.
- Q. Would you identify what has been marked Enron Exhibit Number 2 and review it for Mr. Stogner?
- A. Exhibit Number 2 is a land plat depicting, in the red outline, the spacing unit that I mentioned a minute ago for the well and the red dot representing the location of the well, and the general land ownership in the area.
- Q. What are the primary objectives in the proposed well?
- A. Primary objectives are the Morrow, the Chester, the Atoka, the Strawn and the Bone Spring formations, with the Morrow being the primary.
- Q. What is Exhibit Number 3?
- A. Exhibit Number 3 is a breakdown of the ownership, primarily the party being force pooled here, which is Anadarko Production Company. They have a working interest, approximately 40 percent. Enron remains the remaining working interest in this spacing unit.
- Q. And so what percentage is actually voluntarily committed to the well?
 - A. Approximately 60 percent.
- Q. Will you summarize the efforts you have made to

obtain the voluntary joinder of Anadarko in the proposed spacing and proration unit?

A. Yes, I will. If you will refer to Exhibit -- the next exhibit, which I believe is Exhibit Number 4, I have an itemization of all of the correspondence and/or negotiations with Anadarko. Attached to this exhibit are the various correspondence, well proposals, certifications, faxes, et cetera.

As you can see, there have been numerous. We started this project in November of 1997.

In summary, here in the last few days, my last bullet there, March 16th, we have tentatively arrived at an understanding with Anadarko that they are going to grant Enron a voluntary agreement.

However, at this point we have nothing in writing, and we are awaiting that. They have agreed to our right to proceed with the hearing.

The need for proceeding, we have a rig stacked in this immediate area that we have used out here and a particular rig crew that we would like to utilize, and therefore this rig is ready to move to this location within the next week or as soon as we can either hopefully reach a voluntary agreement, and/or, if not, finalize that, we'll go under the force pooling order to be granted, and Anadarko agreed to this.

We agreed as soon as a voluntary arrangement has been signed, we will dismiss this action.

If it's prior to the order being issued, we will immediately notify the Commission to dismiss the Application order -- or Application, pending hearing.

If it's subsequent to that, we will agree to dismiss the order at such time.

- Q. And, Mr. Tower, you will keep the Examiner advised of the status of the negotiations with Anadarko over the next --
 - A. Yes, we will.
- Q. -- few days?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

Have you made a good-faith effort to reach this effort with Anadarko?

- A. Yes, we have.
- Q. And you're going to be -- Have you proposed the well based on the AFE, which is marked as Exhibit 5?
- 18 A. Yes, we have.
- 19 Q. Would you review that for the Examiner?
- A. Exhibit 5 is our estimated well costs for an 11,800-foot Morrow/Chester test. You will note the dryhole -- estimated dryhole cost is \$512,700. The estimated total completion well cost is \$866,200.
 - Q. Are these costs in line with what is charged for similar wells in the area?

- Yes, they are. 1 Α. And has Enron drilled other Morrow/Chester 2 Q. 3 wells --Yes, we've drilled --4 Α. -- in the immediate area? 5 Q. -- numerous in this area. 6 Α. 7 Have you made an estimate of the overhead and Q. 8 administrative costs to be incurred while drilling the well 9 and also while producing it, if it is successful? 10 Α. Yes, I have. 11 0. And what are those figures? 12 Α. We are recommending to the Commission that the drilling well rate be \$5600 and the producing well rate be 13 \$560. 14 15 And how do these figures compare with the 1997 16 Ernst and Young survey figures? 17 A. The drilling well rate is a little bit higher, 18 and the producing well rate is a little bit lower. 19 However, the recent Ernst and Young survey was generated in August, 1997. The costs, the drilling costs, have gone up 20 21 in the interim, towards the end of that period. In fact --22 so... 23 Q. Have other operators tried to develop the Morrow
 - A. Yes, in fact, Enron and Yates, two predominant

and Chester on this particular tract?

24

operators in the area, we have several joint operating 1 agreements, several carry rates of \$5800 and \$580, as high 2 3 as that. 4 We have a recent 1996 order in this same 5 section -- it was a dryhole -- to the east under Case 11,553, Order 10,618, where the Commission itself issued 6 7 rates of \$5603 drilling and \$563 producing. 8 So, as you can see, they generally are in line with the accepted practices in the area. 9 10 Do you recommend that the figures of \$560 a month Q. 11 and \$5600 a month be incorporated into the order that 12 results from this hearing? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Is Enron Exhibit Number 6 an affidavit confirming that notice of this Application and hearing have been 15 16 provided to Anadarko in accordance with OCD rules? 17 Α. Yes. Will Enron call a technical witness to review the 18 0. 19 risk associated with the proposed well? 20 Α. Yes. Were Exhibits 1 through 6 either prepared by you 21 Q. or compiled under your direction? 22 23 Yes, they were. Α. 24 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we would

move the admission into evidence of Enron Exhibits 1

1	through 6.
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 6 will be
3	admitted into evidence at this time.
4	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
5	examination of Mr. Tower.
6	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions. Any
7	questions of Mr. Tower?
8	You may be excused.
9	MR. CARR: At this time we would call Randy Cate.
10	RANDALL S. CATE,
11	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
12	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. CARR:
15	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
16	A. My name is Randall Cate.
17	Q. And where do you reside?
18	A. In Midland, Texas.
19	Q. By whom are you employed?
20	A. Enron Oil and Gas Company.
21	Q. And what is your current position with Enron?
22	A. Project reservoir engineer.
23	Q. Mr. Cate, have you previously testified before
24	this Division and had your credentials as an expert in
25	petroleum engineering accepted and made a matter of record?

Yes, I have. 1 Α. Are you familiar with the Application filed in 2 0. this case on behalf of Enron? 3 4 Α. Yes, I am. Q. Have you made a technical study of the area 5 surrounding the proposed well? 6 7 Α. Yes, I have. Are you prepared to share the results of that 8 0. 9 study with Mr. Stogner? 10 Α. Yes. 11 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 12 acceptable? 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, Mr. Cate is so qualified. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Cate, would you please refer to what has been marked for identification as Enron Exhibit 16 17 Number 7 and review that for Mr. Stogner? 18 Α. Yes, Enron Exhibit Number 7 is a lower Morrow 19 structure map for the area of interest. In the red-shaded area, the west half of Section 31, in the center of the 20 21 plat, in the location shown there, the Sand Tank 31 Fed Com 22 1. 23 And the lower Morrow marker is a consistent 24 marker throughout this area. There is really nothing

significant in the structure. We do see kind of a broad

nose in the area, the location that we'll drill the well.

A couple of things to note.

Downdip in Section 6, the Sand Tank 6 Fed Com

Number 1, which is in the east half, has tested the lower

Morrow. Substantial amounts of water, approximately 36,000

barrels of water there. And the middle Morrow, which is

the primary target of the proposed location, was completely

wet, tested wet.

Other than that, there's really not much more significance there.

- Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 8, the net isopach on the middle Morrow "B" sand.
- A. Yes, Exhibit Number 8 is a net sand, based on a 50-unit cutoff on the gamma ray, for the middle Morrow, what we consider the "B" sand, and we'll refer to that on the cross-sections that are designated by the traces, A-A' and B-B'.

The significance is that the middle Morrow sand -- we see it as a shoreline sandbar, very narrow in nature, approximately half a mile wide, and long and linear in nature.

- Q. All right, let's go to the production map, Exhibit Number 9.
- A. Exhibit Number 9 is a cum production map through January of 1998.

The significance, it shows areally a depiction of the production out of the zones that we are asking for force pooling approval on.

I'll talk a little bit about the distinction about the lower Morrow and the middle Morrow as we get to the cross-sections.

The sand Tank "1" 1, down in -- approximately .75 miles southwest of the 31 location, is the only commercial middle Morrow well, and that was referred to on the previous exhibit that this is the reservoir that we are attempting to encounter with our 31 Number 2 location.

Otherwise, we do have Atoka in the area, as designated by the green. There's a small chance of encountering that. It's not commercial in this Sand Tank 6 well, but we do want to include that in the order. It's a gas reservoir.

And also, to the southeast, approximately 1.5 miles, there is Strawn production. One of the wells in the south half of Section 5 would be considered commercial. We do not necessarily anticipate it at this location and have not prepared maps for you on that.

- Q. Let's go to the cross-section, A-A', marked Exhibit 10. Would you review that for Mr. Stogner?
- A. Cross-section A-A' is a dip trace across the Cerritos APT Fed Com Number 1, which is in Section 1, to

the southwest of the location.

The center well is the Sand Tank "1" 1, of which the middle Morrow sand, shown in red, is the reservoir that we have mapped and the reservoir we're trying to encounter with the 31-1 location.

And then the far right well is the Cerros Locos AQM.

The significance here is that in the Sand Tank 1
Fed 1, we did encounter approximately 30 feet of the "B"
sand, and yet in the wells on either side that are half a
mile away or less, the sand is virtually gone and
noncommercial.

- Q. Let's go to the B-B' cross-section, Exhibit 11.
- A. Cross-section B-B' is a strike line that -- the primary significance is, it has the Sand Tank 31 Number 1 well log shown. It's the log in the center, and it is the closest offset to the 31 Number 2 location, the significance being that it encountered no middle Morrow or lower Morrow.

We are offsetting this well, which was a dryhole.

Otherwise, the middle Morrow sand does show up over in the

Sand Tank "1" 1 to the left.

About two miles to the northeast is the well log on the right. Has some sand in the interval of the middle Morrow, but it was tested as noncommercial.

The other thing that the previous cross-section did show, there were some tests in the area on the Chester, and we are force pooling for that interval. The Chester is below the Morrow, but there were no commercial tests, no production established in the Chester in this immediate area.

- Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 12, and I'd ask you to use that exhibit to summarize the conclusions you have reached from your study of the area.
- A. Exhibit Number 12 is a summary of the potential pay formations and the risks that we've talked about.

 Again, our primary pay target is the middle Morrow sand.

 It's a gas reservoir. Just one commercial well in the area, and several noncommercial wells to the west, to the east, the south and to the northeast.

It trends in a narrow bar southwest to northeast.

It's tested wet within close proximity downdip.

Secondary pay targets that we are including in the Application, the Chester carbonate. Nearest commercial production, one and a half miles south. It has been tested closer than that, noncommercial.

The lower Morrow sands, there is commercial production in the area. It's highly variable point bars. We did not map it. You don't really expect it at this location. And there is high water production established

in it to the southeast.

The Atoka sands also with gas, no commercial production. And the Strawn carbonate, almost two miles before you get to commercial production.

- Q. Are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner concerning the risk penalty that should be assessed Anadarko if they do not participate in the well?
- A. Yes, based on the risks of the pays that we've talked about, the 200-percent penalty is appropriate.
- Q. In your opinion, you could drill a noncommercial well at this location?
- A. Yes, there's a substantial risk that we could drill a noncommercial well.
- Q. Does Enron seek to be designated operator of the proposed well?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. In your opinion, will granting of this

 Application and the drilling of the proposed well be in the

 best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste and
 the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes, it will.
- Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 12 prepared by you or compiled under your direction?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we would

1	move the admission into evidence of Enron Exhibits 7
2	through 12.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 7 through 12 will be
4	admitted into evidence.
5	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
6	examination of Mr. Cate.
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions of this
8	witness.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	MR. CARR: We have nothing further in this case.
11	We will keep you advised about the status of our
12	negotiations with Anadarko.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: With that, you may be excused.
14	And we'll take Case Number 11,950 under
15	advisement at this time.
16	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
17	10:08 a.m.)
18	* * *
19	
20	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
21	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 11950. heard by 196 pn 19 March 1948.
22	Material Ligo, Examiner
23	Gil Conservation Division
24	
ו אכ	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 21st, 1998.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998