

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY )  
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE )  
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: )

CASE NO. 12,003

IN THE MATTER OF CASE 12,003 BEING )  
REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS )  
OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-11,053-A, )  
WHICH ORDER ESTABLISHED TEMPORARY )  
SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR )  
THE FEATHERSTONE-BONE SPRING POOL )  
IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING )  
A PROVISION FOR 80-ACRE SPACING UNITS )

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner

January 20th, 2000

Santa Fe, New Mexico

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
00 FEB - 7 PM 4:43

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 20th, 2000, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

## I N D E X

January 20th, 2000  
 Examiner Hearing  
 CASE NO. 12,003

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESS:	
<u>MIKE BROWN</u> (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Owen	6
Examination by Examiner Ashley	19
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	22

\* \* \*

## E X H I B I T S

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	8	19
Exhibit 2	10	19
Exhibit 3	12	19
Exhibit 4	14	19
Exhibit 4A	15	19
Exhibit 4B	15	19
Exhibit 4C	16	19
Exhibit 4D	16	19
Exhibit 4E	18	19
Exhibit 4F	18	19

\* \* \*

## A P P E A R A N C E S

## FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
2040 South Pacheco  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

## FOR MANZANO OIL CORPORATION:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE and SHERIDAN P.A.  
Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe  
P.O. Box 2208  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208  
By: PAUL R. OWEN

\* \* \*

1           WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at  
2 11:11 a.m.:

3  
4  
5           EXAMINER ASHLEY: The Division calls Case 12,003.

6           MR. CARROLL: In the matter of Case 12,003 being  
7 reopened pursuant to the provisions of Division Order  
8 Number R-11,053-A, which order established temporary  
9 special pool rules for the Featherstone-Bone Spring Pool in  
10 Lea County, New Mexico.

11           EXAMINER ASHLEY: Call for appearances.

12           MR. OWEN: Paul Owen of the Santa Fe law firm of  
13 Campbell, Carr, Berge and Sheridan, appearing on behalf of  
14 Manzano Oil Corporation. I have one witness in this  
15 matter.

16           EXAMINER ASHLEY: Additional appearances?  
17 Will the witness please rise and be sworn in?  
18 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)

19           MR. OWEN: Call Mr. Mike Brown.

20           Mr. Examiner, before I begin my examination of  
21 the witness, I'd like to provide a brief overview of this  
22 case.

23           This case came before the Commission on a *de novo*  
24 proceeding in November of 1998. In the case, Manzano Oil  
25 Corporation was seeking special pool rules for the

1 Featherstone-Bone Springs Pool.

2 At that time, Manzano had recently recompleted a  
3 well in the Bone Springs formation, and initial tests of  
4 that well indicated that it was capable of producing more  
5 than 40 acres, which is the standard spacing unit for a  
6 Bone Springs pool in that area.

7 Manzano presented the case to the commission, and  
8 as a result of Manzano's presentation, Order Number  
9 R-11,053-A was entered.

10 That order established temporary special pool  
11 rules for the Featherstone-Bone Springs Pool, which  
12 included 80-acre spacing and well location requirements  
13 within 150 feet of the center of a governmental quarter  
14 section or lot.

15 The order also required that the case be reopened  
16 in December of 1999 to require the operator, Manzano, to  
17 present evidence as to whether or not the special pool  
18 rules should be extended on a permanent basis.

19 The case was reopened in December of 1999, and at  
20 Manzano's request it was continued to this docket, and  
21 Manzano appears today requesting that the temporary special  
22 pool rules be adopted on a permanent basis for this  
23 Featherstone-Bone Springs Pool.

24 And what that background, Mr. Examiner, I would  
25 like to proceed with my examination of my witness.



1           A.    I did.

2           Q.    Why don't you tell us what Manzano seeks through  
3 its testimony today?

4           A.    We seek the permanent adoption of the special  
5 pool rules for the Featherstone-Bone Spring Pool which  
6 provide for 80-acre spacing and special well requirements  
7 with wells located within 150 feet of the center of a  
8 quarter-quarter section.

9                   As was stated prior, this Application is the  
10 result of a discovery by Manzano Oil Corporation in a well  
11 that was originally drilled by Jake Hamon in the Northeast  
12 Lynch Unit. That well produced from the Morrow formation.  
13 It was drilled in 1964 and was plugged about four months  
14 after production.

15                   We re-entered the well in 1998, we established  
16 production in the Morrow. However, the well watered out  
17 after only a few months. And then we recompleted to the  
18 Bone Spring.

19                   This well has been named the Appleseed Federal  
20 Number 1. It's located 1980 feet from the north line, 660  
21 feet from the east line of Section 17, Township 20 South,  
22 Range 35 East. The special pool rules which we seek to now  
23 be made permanent were established by Order R-11,053-A,  
24 dated December 18th, 1998.

25                   We will show the production from the Appleseed

1 Federal Well Number 1 is definitely capable -- that that  
2 well is capable of producing more than 40 acres, and that  
3 the special pool rules that were established by Order  
4 R-11,053-A should be made permanent.

5 Q. Mr. Brown, is Manzano Exhibit Number 1, in fact,  
6 Order Number R-11,053-A?

7 A. Yes, it is.

8 Q. Why don't you review for us some of the more  
9 salient findings of that order?

10 A. Findings 6, 7 and 8 found that Manzano showed  
11 that the Appleseed Federal Well Number 1 was capable of  
12 draining in excess of the 40-acre spacing that was  
13 originally dedicated to it, pursuant to Division Rule 104.

14 We presented evidence, geologic and engineering  
15 evidence, indicating that the reservoir characteristics of  
16 the Featherstone-Bone Springs Pool, which the Appleseed  
17 Federal was made part of, are similar in characteristics to  
18 the Scharb-Bone Spring field, located approximately eight  
19 miles to the northwest, and the Lea-Bone Spring Pool, which  
20 is located approximately two miles to the west.

21 Also that since the Scharb-Bone Spring Pool and  
22 the Lea-Bone Spring Pool are located on 80-acre spacing,  
23 the Featherstone-Bone Springs Pool should also be located  
24 on 80-acre spacing.

25 Q. Mr. Brown, as a result of that finding did the

1 Commission further find that this pool, the Featherstone-  
2 Bone Springs Pool, should be spaced on 80-acre spacing and  
3 that that spacing would prevent waste, protect correlative  
4 rights?

5 A. Yes, they did.

6 Q. Did the Commission also find that these temporary  
7 special pool rules should be adopted with 150 feet well  
8 spacing requirements from the center of a governmental  
9 quarter-quarter section?

10 A. Yes, they did.

11 Q. And did the Commission direct that this case be  
12 reopened one year after entry of the order?

13 A. They did.

14 Q. Did Order Number R-11,053 adopt the temporary  
15 special pool rules requested by Manzano?

16 A. Yes, they did.

17 Q. What are those temporary special pool rules?

18 A. Eighty-acre spacing and well-location  
19 requirements within 150 feet of the center of a  
20 governmental quarter-quarter section line.

21 Q. And is the purpose of your testimony and  
22 Manzano's appearance at this hearing to demonstrate for the  
23 Division why the special pool rules should be made  
24 permanent?

25 A. Yes, they are.

1 Q. Have you prepared some exhibits to review for the  
2 Examiner the data which Manzano used to justify its request  
3 for special pool rules in November of 1998?

4 A. Yes, I have.

5 Q. Why don't we take a brief look at Manzano Exhibit  
6 Number 2? Can you tell us what that exhibit is, please?

7 A. This was the land plat presented in the November,  
8 1998, hearing. Basically what this shows, first of all the  
9 location of the Manzano Oil Appleseed Federal Number 1.  
10 It's the red dot with the red arrow pointing to it in  
11 Section 17 of 20 South, 35 East.

12 I've noted the Bone Spring fields that are  
13 located within a three-township area. Two of the fields  
14 are on 80-acre spacing. These are the Scharb-Bone Spring  
15 field and the Lea-Bone Spring field. Both of these fields  
16 produce from the same correlative interval that is being  
17 produced in the Appleseed federal.

18 If we look at the Scharb-Bone Spring field to the  
19 north, it's on the north part of the map, it's on 80-acre  
20 spacing, it's 82 wells in the field, and the field has  
21 averaged 209,000 barrels per well. And that field is  
22 almost primarily producing from an interval called the  
23 Scharb pay, and that is the same interval that is  
24 productive in the Appleseed federal.

25 The Lea-Bone Spring field -- it's on the west

1 side of the map in 20-34 -- is also on 80-acre spacing. It  
2 has 31 wells that produce an average of 97,000 barrels per  
3 well. This produces from the Scharb plus some other zones.  
4 The Scharb wells, as I'll show you on the cross-section,  
5 have much higher ultimate recoveries. But even at that,  
6 it's 97,000 barrels per well.

7 The other remaining fields are all on 40-acre  
8 spacing, they produce from horizons other than the Scharb  
9 pay, and they're not very productive. The South Lea-Bone  
10 Springs, the best of the bunch -- it's in the southwest  
11 corner of this exhibit -- it's on 40-acre spacing, has five  
12 wells that have made 38,000 barrels per well, average.

13 There's a small field in 19-35 called the Pearl-  
14 Bone Spring. It's on 40-acre spacing. It only made 4000  
15 barrels.

16 The original well that had started the  
17 Featherstone-Bone Spring field is shown in Section 21. It  
18 was on 40-acre spacing originally, only produced 3000  
19 barrels, and was plugged and abandoned.

20 And then the last field is in the far southeast  
21 corner of the plat, and that's the Featherstone East-Bone  
22 Spring field. It was on 40-acre spacing. It had one well  
23 that made 10,000 barrels, and it was plugged and abandoned.

24 But in summary, this shows that the two major  
25 fields are both on 80-acre spacing, they have all produced

1 from the Scharb pay as their primary productive horizon.  
2 The other fields on 40-acre spacing produced from other  
3 than Scharb pay. Their cumulative production is pretty  
4 poor. As a matter of fact, it's much less than 50 percent  
5 of the 80-acre spacing fields, so...

6 And on this plat I've shown the line of section,  
7 which I'll show in Exhibit 3. It's a cross-section that  
8 goes through the major fields, and it's A-A'.

9 Q. Now Mr. Brown, let me interrupt you. You  
10 prepared this map in anticipation of the November, 1998,  
11 hearing; is that correct?

12 A. That is correct.

13 Q. Since that time has there been any development of  
14 the Bone Springs within a mile of Manzano's Appleseed  
15 Federal Number 1?

16 A. No, there hasn't.

17 Q. Or within a mile of the pool boundaries  
18 established in Order R-11,053-A?

19 A. No, there hasn't.

20 Q. You were about to go to your cross-section, which  
21 I believe is Manzano Exhibit Number 3. Why don't you  
22 review that briefly for the Examiner?

23 A. This is a stratigraphic cross-section that's hung  
24 on the top of the second Bone Springs sand. I've gone  
25 through the major fields to show how the Appleseed Federal

1 Number 1 correlates to the major producing fields.

2 The well on the left side is a representative  
3 sample from the Scharb-Bone Spring field. The main pay is  
4 a dolomite interval that lies halfway into the second Bone  
5 Springs sand. It's been labeled the Scharb Pay interval,  
6 it's kind of a local term.

7 This particular well is extremely thick, over 50  
8 feet of pay. And it's produced right at 600,000 barrels of  
9 oil.

10 As we move down to the Lea-Bone Spring field,  
11 which is our other 80-acre field, we have a well there, the  
12 Marathon Lea Unit Number 7, produced from 18 feet of Scharb  
13 pay. It's definitely the same pay interval. From this 18  
14 feet of pay it produced 203,000 barrels.

15 Moving over to the Appleseed Federal well, you  
16 can see the correlations pretty easy. It's definitely the  
17 Scharb pay, and in a minute I'll show that we're estimating  
18 something in the neighbor of 167,000, 168,000 barrels of  
19 production out of this well. It's thicker than the Lea  
20 Unit Number 7 that made 203,000, so it's definitely in the  
21 ballpark.

22 Moving to the original -- Moving down to the  
23 South Lea-Bone Spring Field, as I said, that field produces  
24 from pays other than the Scharb. You can see the Scharb  
25 pay -- It's not even there, very poorly developed.

1 Production comes from second sand and a little bit up into  
2 the second Bone Spring carbonate and some first Bone Spring  
3 sand production.

4 The well that was originally designated as the  
5 Featherstone-Bone Spring Field well produced from the  
6 second Bone Spring carbonate. It had a little bit of  
7 Scharb pay development in it, however it was never  
8 perforated. That's probably because it's a couple hundred  
9 feet downstructure of our wells, so it's probably wet.

10 Q. And the wells to the south there, including the  
11 last well on the cross-section, specifically the last well  
12 on the cross-section, the Mobil, that is a plugged-and-  
13 abandoned well; is that correct?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. So is there any current Bone Springs production  
16 within a mile of the Appleseed Federal Number 1?

17 A. No, there is not.

18 Q. Okay. Has Manzano also prepared an affidavit  
19 from an engineering witness to review for the Division the  
20 engineering justification for the special pool rules?

21 A. Yes, we have.

22 Q. Is Exhibit Number 4 an affidavit from Donnie  
23 Brown, a petroleum engineer employed by Manzano Oil  
24 Corporation?

25 A. Yes, it is.

1 Q. And did Mr. Brown, Mr. Donnie Brown, testify at  
2 the November, 1998, Commission hearing?

3 A. He did.

4 Q. Have you reviewed the affidavit, Manzano's  
5 Exhibit Number 4, and its attachments?

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 Q. Can you review that affidavit and the exhibits to  
8 the affidavit for the Examiner, please?

9 A. If you will please turn to Exhibit 4A, this is  
10 the chart originally presented in the November, 1998,  
11 hearing. It's a oil production rate-versus-time projected  
12 curve for the Appleseed Federal Number 1. At the time we  
13 came to the Commission, we had only made 4535 barrels of  
14 oil, so it was very early in its life. Mr. Brown  
15 established for the Commission that the decline rate of  
16 12.26 on an exponential curve is a standard decline rate  
17 for the Scharb field to the north. And basing our  
18 production on that, we came up with an ultimate recovery of  
19 123,526 barrels ultimate recovery.

20 Q. Moving to Exhibit Number 4B there, does that at  
21 the upper left indicate the plotting of the actual  
22 production from the Appleseed Federal Number 1?

23 A. Yes, this exhibit takes the Exhibit 4A and just  
24 simply plots the new production that has occurred since  
25 November, 1998, to December of 1999. And as you can easily

1 see, all the production points lie above the projected  
2 decline curve.

3 Q. And Mr. Brown, is that same information further  
4 reflected and developed on Exhibit Number 4C, including a  
5 projection of the ultimate recovery from this well?

6 A. Yes, if you take the historical decline rate of  
7 12.26 and put it on the new curve with the data that we  
8 have, you come up with an ultimate recovery of 169,810  
9 barrels.

10 Q. And comparing that with Exhibit Number 4A, it  
11 seems that, in fact, the well has significantly improved  
12 upon your estimate and Mr. Brown's estimate of the ultimate  
13 recovery for the well?

14 A. Yes, it has.

15 Q. Okay. Why don't you turn to Exhibit Number 4D  
16 and tell us the significance of this information?

17 A. This was the production projection summary that  
18 was presented at the November, 1998, hearing, and that put  
19 forth the data used to estimate original oil in place.  
20 Basically, our well has 22 feet of net pay, 8 percent  
21 porosity, approximately 35-percent water saturation, and  
22 we're a solution gas drive.

23 Using that data, we estimate that on a 40-acre  
24 drainage area, that the original oil in place is about  
25 262,000 barrels. Using the 123,000 barrels that we said

1 originally we'd make, you'd have to have a recovery factor  
2 of 47 percent to recover that on 40 acres.

3 Using 80-acre drainage area, original oil in  
4 place of 523,000 barrels, using the 123,000 projected EOR,  
5 that puts your recovery factor of about 23 percent, which  
6 is very well in the range of what you'll see for the Scharb  
7 solution gas type reservoir.

8 The last part, C, was looking at the Scharb-Bone  
9 Spring field and the main part of the area. If you take  
10 out the wells that were not as good and just look at the  
11 main part, that field averaged 311,000 barrels per well on  
12 the 80-acre spacing. But their gross pay is greater. So  
13 if we take their data and divide by our 22 feet as opposed  
14 to their 50 feet of net pay, their average recovery is  
15 somewhere around 137,000 barrels per well, if our well was  
16 to be part of the Scharb.

17 Q. So Mr. Brown, based on Exhibit Number 4D, it  
18 appears that at the time of the November, 1998, hearing,  
19 Mr. Donnie Brown was estimating that this Appleseed Federal  
20 Well Number 1 would certainly drain more than 40 acres.

21 A. That is correct.

22 Q. And has the actual production from the well  
23 confirmed Mr. Brown's anticipated performance of the well?

24 A. It's not only shown that the original conclusion  
25 was correct, but it's exceeded our expectations. It's just

1 an excellent well.

2 Q. And is that information reflected on updated  
3 Exhibit Number 4E?

4 A. That's correct. This is the revised production  
5 projection summary using the new estimated ultimate  
6 recovery for the well. If you go down to part B, on a 40-  
7 acre drainage area with the new EUR you'd have to have a  
8 recovery factor of almost 65 percent, which is totally  
9 outrageous.

10 On 80-acre, using the new EUR, the recovery  
11 factor would be about 32.4 percent. So not only does it  
12 indicate that we're draining 80 acres, but we might  
13 possibly be draining slightly larger than 80 acres.

14 Q. And Mr. Brown, is there any indication that this  
15 well is depleting the reservoir, or this reservoir is  
16 reaching depletion?

17 A. No, there is not. And if you'll please turn to  
18 Exhibit 4F, this is a GOR-versus-oil-cumulative curve, and  
19 basically what this chart shows is that our GOR is staying  
20 fairly constant. As a matter of fact, it's even declined a  
21 little bit over time, which shows there's no measurable  
22 depletion that we can see at this point. And we've  
23 produced 40,000 barrels of oil to this point, so...

24 Q. Mr. Brown, does the geologic and engineering  
25 evidence presented in November of 1998 and developed since

1 the well has been produced on 80-acre spacing, does that  
2 confirm that the proper development of this pool should be  
3 on 80 acres?

4 A. I think it does, yes.

5 Q. And does the extension of these special pool  
6 rules into permanent pool rules -- Is that in the best  
7 interests of conservation --

8 A. Yes, it is.

9 Q. -- the prevention of waste and the protection of  
10 correlative rights?

11 A. Yes, it is.

12 Q. Were Exhibits 2 through 4 prepared by you --

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. -- or compiled under your direction?

15 A. Yes, they were.

16 MR. OWEN: Mr. Examiner, I tender Exhibits  
17 Numbers 1 through 4, Number 1 being the original order from  
18 the Commission in this case in November of 1998.

19 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Exhibits 1 through 4 will be  
20 admitted as evidence at this time.

21 MR. OWEN: And those all the questions I have at  
22 this time.

23 EXAMINATION

24 BY EXAMINER ASHLEY:

25 Q. Mr. Brown, are there plans to develop this area?

1           A.    Yes, there is.  We'll drill a well later this  
2 year.  We were waiting on some tracts to come up on a land  
3 sale, which we've since acquired, so we'll begin  
4 development here in the year 2000.

5           Q.    Are there any other operators that will be  
6 drilling in this area?

7           A.    No, as you can just see on the map, we're kind of  
8 out in the middle of nowhere.  And we've pretty much tied  
9 up the land around it, and we should be pretty much on our  
10 own.

11          Q.    Where are you planning your next well?

12          A.    To the west of the Appleseed well, which is the  
13 updip direction.

14          Q.    In Section 17?

15          A.    Yes, sir.

16                EXAMINER ASHLEY:  I have nothing further.  Thank  
17 you.

18                MR. OWEN:  Mr. Examiner, I would like to point  
19 out that there are no other operators of Bone Spring  
20 production within a mile of this pool, and therefore there  
21 are no other parties to whom notice is required under the  
22 Division rules.

23                The engineering and geologic evidence presented  
24 in November of 1998 and then confirmed through the  
25 production shows that the temporary special pool rules

1 should be extended and made permanent, and we'd request  
2 that the Division enter an order effecting such permanent  
3 special pool rules.

4 That's all I have.

5 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay, thank you.

6 There being nothing further in this case, Case  
7 12,003 will be taken under advisement.

8 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at  
9 11:35 a.m.)

10 \* \* \*

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12003,  
heard by me on 1-20 19 2000.  
Mark Ashley, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 26th, 2000.



STEVEN T. BRENNER  
CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002