STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF HALLWOOD PETROLEUM, INC.,) CASE NOS! FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING DRILLING IN THE POTASH AREA, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF HALLWOOD PETROLEUM, INC.,) FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING DRILLING IN THE POTASH AREA, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

12,055

and 12,056

(Consolidated)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

November 5th, 1998

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 5th, 1998, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

November 5th, 1998
Examiner Hearing
CASE NOS. 12,055 and 12,056 (Consolidated)

CASE NOS. 12,055 and 12,056 (Consolidated)	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
LOIS DODDS (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Gillespie	6
CHUCK DOMMER (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Gillespie	12
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	17

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	9	11
Exhibit	2	9	11
Exhibit	3	9	11
Exhibit	4	9	11
Exhibit	5	9	11
Exhibit	6	10	11
Exhibit	7	10	11
Exhibit	8	10	11
Exhibit	9	14	15
Exhibit	10	14	15
Exhibit	11	14	15
Exhibit	12	14	15
Exhibit	13	14	15
Exhibit	14	14	15
Exhibit	15	14	15
Exhibit	16	14	15

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY, L.L.P. 400 Penn Plaza, Suite 700 P.O. Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico 88202 By: JAMES A. GILLESPIE

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	12:15 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call Case
4	12,055.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of Hallwood Petroleum,
6	Inc., for an order allowing drilling in the potash area,
7	Lea County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for appearances in this
9	case.
10	MR. GILLESPIE: If it please the Examiner, my
11	name is James A. Gillespie, with the firm of Hinkle, Cox,
12	Eaton, Coffield and Hensley, on behalf of the Applicant,
13	Hallwood Petroleum, Inc.
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, additional appearances?
15	Okay, Mr. Gillespie?
16	MR. GILLESPIE: If I might
17	EXAMINER CATANACH: Let me swear in the witness.
18	MR. GILLESPIE: We have two witnesses.
19	EXAMINER CATANACH: Swear in the witnesses.
20	MR. CARROLL: In both cases?
21	MR. GILLESPIE: Yes.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Did we want to consolidate
23	these?
24	MR. GILLESPIE: Yes, I was going to ask if we
25	could call the second case as well.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Let's do that. 1 Call Case 12,056. 2 MR. CARROLL: Application of Hallwood Petroleum, 3 Inc., for an order allowing drilling in the potash area, 4 5 Lea County, New Mexico. EXAMINER CATANACH: Again, I'll call for 6 appearances in this -- in either of these cases. 7 MR. GILLESPIE: On behalf of Hallwood Petroleum, 8 Inc., James A. Gillespie of the firm of Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, 9 Coffield and Hensley. 10 Okay. No other additional EXAMINER CATANACH: 11 12 appearances? Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn? 13 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 14 MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Examiner, I might briefly for 15 the record review the procedure and why we're here on this 16 17 matter. The cases were -- These proposed wells were 18 19 originally objected to by Mississippi Potash, Inc., and the case was continued from an earlier docket at their request. 20 And with my consent, a subpoena was issued for the 21 production of documents to Mississippi, to which they filed 22 23 a motion to quash. 24 We have responded to the motion to quash, and that was set for a hearing on Friday of last week. 25

6 Shortly before the hearing, I was informed by Mississippi Potash, Inc.'s, counsel that they would be withdrawing their objections to these wells, and a message was left to that effect, I believe, on your voice mail. To date, we have not received a written confirmation of that withdrawal of objections, and we would propose to make a short presentation to establish Hallwood's right to approval of these APDs. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. LOIS DODDS, her oath, was examined and testified as follows:

the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GILLESPIE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

- Would you please state your name and city of Q. residence?
- My name is Lois Dodds, D-o-d-d-s, and I reside in 17 Parker, Colorado. 18
- And what is your occupation and who are you 19 Q. employed by? 20
 - I'm a landman currently employed by Hallwood Petroleum, Inc.
- And have you previously testified before the OCD 23 as a landman? 24
 - Α. No, I have not.

Q. Okay, could you briefly state your educational and employment background?

A. I graduated from Middleton Business College in

A. I graduated from Middleton Business College in Omaha, Nebraska, in 1968. I hold the Certified Professional Landman designation from the American Association of Professional Landmen.

From 1978 to 1986 I worked with Ladd Petroleum Corporation, Williams Brothers Exploration and Geodyne Resources. When I was with Ladd and with Geodyne I worked the mid-continent region, with Williams I worked Rocky Mountain.

From 1988 to May of 1998 I was the hard rock mineral landman, worked with Pegasus Gold Corporation and Echo Bay Mines, working all over the western states.

And since June of this year I have been employed by Hallwood Petroleum as landman for Rocky Mountain and Southwestern U.S.

- Q. And are you a member of any professional organizations?
- A. Yes, I'm a member of the American Association of Professional Landmen, the Denver Association of Petroleum Landmen, Rocky Mountain Mineral Landmans Association and Nevada Landmans Association.
- Q. And have you maintained your certification and membership in those organizations?

A. Yes, I have.

- Q. And have you testified on petroleum land matters before other governing agencies or states?
- A. Yes, when I was with Ladd Petroleum working midcontinent, I was qualified for and testified several times before the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, from 1980 to 1985.
- Q. And are you familiar with the land matters involved in Cases 12,055 and 12,056 currently before the Examiner?
 - A. Yes, I am.

MR. GILLESPIE: I would move that Lois Dodds be recognized by the Examiner as an expert on petroleum land matters.

EXAMINER CATANACH: She is so qualified.

- Q. (By Mr. Gillespie) Would you please explain what Hallwood seeks in these cases?
- A. Yes, Hallwood is seeking approval to exercise its rights to develop fee minerals in the southwest quarter of Section 30, 20 South, 33 East, in Lea County. We propose drilling two wells to test the Delaware formation at approximately 88- -- or 8300 feet, total depth.

Both wells would be drilled at legal locations, and they would be dedicated to standard 40-acre spacing units.

- Q. Referring to Exhibit 1, could you describe it briefly for the Examiner?
- A. Yes, Exhibit 1 is a land status plat showing our proposed locations. It also shows the oil and gas leasehold in Section 30, which Hallwood operates, and it shows existing oil and gas wells. It also indicates the current potash leases that are within a mile of our proposed locations.
 - Q. And Exhibit 2?

- A. Exhibit 2 shows the outline of the Hat MesaDelaware Pool boundary as it exists presently. Our two
 proposed locations would extend the boundary to the south
 and west.
 - Q. And could you describe Exhibits 3 and 4?
- A. Yes, Exhibits 3 and 4 are the APDs and the acreage dedication plats which we filed.
- Exhibit 3 is the APD and plat for the Bass Number 18 5 in Case Number 12,056.
- Exhibit 4 is the APD and plat for Number 6 in Case 12,055.
 - Q. Okay, and Exhibit 5, could you describe that, please?
 - A. Exhibit 5 is the letter which Hallwood mailed to Mississippi Potash under R-111-P. Mississippi Potash is the only potash lessee within a mile of our proposed

locations.

- Q. And the date of that letter is June 30th, 1998?
- A. That's right.
 - Q. And could you identify Exhibit 6, please?
- A. Exhibit 6 is a copy of a letter Mississippi
 Potash sent to the Division District Office, objecting to
 our proposed locations.
 - O. And Exhibit 7?
- A. Exhibit 7 is a copy of the letter we received from the Division Field Office, noticing us that they had received Mississippi Potash's objection and that we should file for a hearing.
- Q. All right. And are these wells proposed on fee mineral lands, unleased for potash?
- A. That's right, they're fee lands, leased oil and gas, and they're on the potash, existing potash leases.
- Q. Have you contacted any of the mineral interest owners regarding these matters?
- A. Yes, we've contacted all of them. We sent letters to them asking for their support.
- Q. And could you describe -- First of all, have you received any negative responses from the mineral interest owners?
- A. No, we have not. We have received several letters of support from mineral interest owners, as well as

an affidavit from one supporting our development of oil and 1 gas here, and also we've received several letters from 2 lessees who would be participating in the wells. 3 Are there any potash entities or individuals 4 whose property interest will be affected by these 5 6 Applications? No, there are no potash leases on the southwest 7 quarter at all. 8 Has notice of this hearing been given to 9 0. 10 Mississippi Potash? Yes, it has. It's shown in my affidavit 11 regarding notice which was filed in these cases. 12 In your opinion, will the granting of the APDs in 13 Q. these cases be in the interest of conservation, the 14 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative 15 16 rights? 17 Α. Yes, it will. Were Exhibits 1 through 8 prepared by you or 18 Q. 19 under your direction and compiled from company records? Yes, they were. 20 Α. MR. GILLESPIE: We'd move for the admission of 21 22 Exhibits 1 through 8. Exhibits 1 through 8 will be 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: admitted in this case. 24 25 MR. GILLESPIE: That concludes my direct

examination of Ms. Dodds. 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: We have no questions of this 2 3 witness. MR. GILLESPIE: Call Mr. Dommer. 4 CHUCK DOMMER, 5 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 6 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 9 Please state your name and the city of residence. 10 0. My name is Chuck Dommer, spelled D-o-m-m-e-r, and 11 I live in Aurora, Colorado. 12 And who are you employed by and in what capacity? 13 Q. I'm employed by Hallwood Petroleum, Inc., as a 14 Α. senior exploration geologist. 15 And have you previously testified before the OCD 16 0. 17 as a geologist? 18 Α. No, I haven't. 19 Please briefly state your educational and 20 employment background. 21 I have a bachelor of science degree from Arizona State, geology. 22 After graduation I was employed by Century 23 Geophysical as a wireline engineer. Then I was hired by 24 Acquitaine Mining Corporation, a subsidiary of Acquitaine, 25

as a mine geologist, and then I worked 11 years for

Phillips Petroleum and about seven years as a consultant

for various major companies in the former Soviet Union, and

I was hired by Hallwood Petroleum last -- or May of this

year.

- Q. And are you a member of any professional organizations?
- A. Yes, I'm a member of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists and the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists.
- Q. And have you maintained your certification and membership with those organizations?
 - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. Have you testified on petroleum geology matters before governing agencies in other states?
- A. Yes, I've testified before the Texas Railroad Commission in Austin, Texas.
- Q. And please briefly explain your experience with petroleum geology matters in southeastern New Mexico.
- A. With my employment with Phillips Petroleum and with Hallwood, I've been concerned with matters related to the Permian-Delaware-Wolfcamp and the Pennsylvanian-Morrow on company-operated properties.
- Q. And are you familiar with the geological matters related to the proposed Bass Number 5 and Number 6 wells in

these cases?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

A. Yes, I am.

MR. GILLESPIE: We would tender Mr. Dommer to be recognized by the Examiner as an expert in petroleum geology.

EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.

- Q. (By Mr. Gillespie) Please describe Exhibits 9 through 16.
- A. These are structure-isopach maps, showing the sandbody configuration of the middle and lower Brushy Canyon reservoirs of the Delaware formation, in the area around and in Section 30.
 - Q. And the last two exhibits, 15 and 16?
- A. Okay, 15 and 16 are two cross-sections through the area, including the location of the proposed Bass Number 5 and 6.
- Q. In your professional opinion, is the area underlying the two proposed wells prospective for oil and gas in the Delaware formation?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Which of these two wells do you intend to drill first?
 - A. We would like to drill Well Number 5 first.
- Q. And what would you request as to the timing of the two APDs?

We request that the Division allow Hallwood to 1 Α. stage its development of the southwest quarter of Section 2 30 such that the first well will be drilled and the 3 expiration time for the second APD would not begin to run 4 5 until the first well has been completed and put on production or has been plugged and abandoned. 6 Were Exhibits 9 through 16 prepared by you, under 7 O. your direction, or from company business records? 8 Yes, they were. 9 Α. And in your opinion, is the granting of these 10 Q. Applications in the interests of conservation, the 11 protection of correlative rights and the prevention of 12 waste? 13 Α. 14 Yes. MR. GILLESPIE: We'd move for the admission of 15 Exhibits 9 through 16. 16 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 9 through 16 will be admitted as evidence. 18 19 MR. GILLESPIE: And that concludes my examination of Mr. Dommer. 20 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: We have no questions of this witness. 22 23 Anything --That concludes our --MR. GILLESPIE: 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: 25 -- further?

```
MR. GILLESPIE: That concludes our presentation.
 1
                 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further,
 2
 3
      Cases 12,055 and 12,056 will be taken under advisement.
 4
                 And this hearing is adjourned.
                 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 5
 6
      12:30 p.m.)
                                     * * *
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
                           I so here by centry that the fore going to
14
                           a complete support of the proceedings in
15
                          heard by the ca
16
                                                   , Examiner
                            Of Conservation Division
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 10th, 1998.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002