

OIL CONSERVATION DIV

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY)
 THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE)
 PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:) CASE NO. 12,365
)
 APPLICATION OF DUGAN PRODUCTION)
 CORPORATION FOR SALTWATER DISPOSAL,)
 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO) ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner

April 20th, 2000

Santa Fe, New Mexico

COPIES - 1 01 5 14

Oil Conservation Division

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, April 20th, 2000, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

April 20th, 2000
Examiner Hearing
CASE NO. 12,365

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESS:	
<u>JOHN ALEXANDER</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Dean	6
Examination by Examiner Ashley	26
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	38

* * *

E X H I B I T S

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	-	26
Exhibit 2	7	26
Exhibit 3	7	26
Exhibit 4	10	26
Exhibit 5	10	26
Exhibit 6	11, 25	26
Exhibit 7	18	26
Exhibit 8	21	26
Exhibit 9	21	26
Exhibit 10	23	26
Exhibit 11	24	26
Exhibit 12	34	34

* * *

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE DIVISION:

LYN S. HEBERT
 Attorney at Law
 Legal Counsel to the Division
 2040 South Pacheco
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CURTIS & DEAN
 P.O. Drawer 1259, 506 West Arrington
 Farmington, NM 87401
 By: JOHN DEAN

* * *

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2 8:46 a.m.:

3 EXAMINER ASHLEY: The Division calls Case 12,365.

4 MS. HEBERT: Application of Dugan Production
5 Corporation for saltwater disposal, San Juan County, New
6 Mexico.

7 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Call for appearances.

8 MR. DEAN: My name is John Dean, I'm an attorney
9 representing Dugan Production, and I have with me John
10 Alexander, the vice president of Dugan Production.

11 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Any additional appearances?

12 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Mr. Dean?

13 MR. DEAN: I hand you what's -- the exhibit
14 package for this case, which has 11 exhibits, has an index
15 in the front.

16 This is also an Application by Dugan to convert
17 its West Bisti Unit Number 153 to saltwater, produced water
18 disposal service. The formation proposed for injection is
19 the Mesaverde. The well is located in the West Bisti Unit
20 in San Juan County, which is a Gallup Class 2 enhanced
21 recovery water injection project.

22 This well was drilled in 1957, and the well from
23 1960 to 1984 was utilized as -- for water injection service
24 as a part of the waterflood project. It was abandoned at
25 that time because of a casing leak.

1 Dugan purchased the well sometime after that, or
2 purchased the project sometime after that, re-entered the
3 well with the intention to restore it to Gallup injection
4 service, came up with some problems with the casing, moved
5 up to the Mesaverde and tested that for purposes of water
6 injection into the Mesaverde, and hence this Application to
7 change the service.

8 Dugan owns all of the mineral interests in the
9 area of review; no one else owns any mineral interest.

10 The surface user is the Navajo Agricultural
11 Products Industry, which we refer to as NAPI. They were
12 notified, and we received a letter, as did the Division,
13 indicating that they had some concern because this was
14 going to interfere with the surface use. We have obtained
15 a copy -- In fact, a copy of the proposed future expansion
16 of the NAPI was enclosed with the letter.

17 We have determined that this well and the
18 associated equipment do not interfere with any proposed
19 future use, and those are proposed uses. The well is
20 currently, and has been for 40 years, in the same location,
21 well before the introduction of the NAPI project.

22 We were then contacted by NAPI again, told to
23 notify the BIA. We then notified three or four people in
24 the BIA as the proper surface owner. We have not heard
25 from anybody else with regard to that. We do have proof of

1 service on all of those people.

2 I at this time, if there's no questions, call
3 John Alexander to testify and ask the Hearing Officer to
4 recognize him as an expert from the last case, to qualify
5 in areas of petroleum engineering.

6 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Mr. Alexander is so qualified.

7 JOHN ALEXANDER,

8 the witness herein, having been previously duly sworn upon
9 his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

10 DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. DEAN:

12 Q. All right, did you prepare the Application for
13 the West Bisti Unit Number 153 conversion to saltwater
14 disposal?

15 A. Yes, I did.

16 Q. You signed that Application?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. And are all the items and documents attached to
19 it true and correct to the best of your knowledge?

20 A. Yes, they are.

21 Q. Okay. Dugan owns all the mineral interest in
22 this area, right?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. No one else owns any areas -- any of the minerals
25 at all?

1 A. That's correct. Within the area of review, that
2 is correct.

3 Q. All right. And so in this case you notified the
4 surface owner of the proposed Application?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And did you hear from them?

7 A. Yes, I did. I notified Mr. Lorenzo Bates, who is
8 the director of NAPI, and told him or notified him that we
9 would be proposing to use West Bisti 153 as a disposal
10 well.

11 We received a letter back from him stating their
12 objection. He also notified, I believe, Ms. Wrotenbery
13 that they were objecting to the use of this location
14 because of their interference on their farming operations.

15 Exhibit Number 2 is a representation of all the
16 wells within one-half mile of the proposed well, as well as
17 all the leases and wells within one mile -- I mean within
18 one-half mile, and within two miles of the proposed
19 location. We'll be discussing more about these in just a
20 minute.

21 Exhibit Number 3 are copies of the return
22 receipts from notification of Linda Taylor, who is real
23 property, BIA real property; her boss Genni Denetsonee;
24 Elouise -- and I'm sorry, I can't pronounce the lady's last
25 name --

1 Q. Chicherello, probably?

2 A. Okay, probably. -- who is with the BIA Regional
3 Office in Gallup; and then also notices to Mr. Bates and to
4 Mr. Aktar Zaman with Navajo Tribal Minerals.

5 Q. Now, in your experience at Dugan in working on
6 Navajo trust land, which is what this is, is that the
7 people you normally notify when anything is going on?

8 A. Yes, generally.

9 Q. Okay, and so you feel like they received proper
10 notice --

11 A. Yes, I do.

12 Q. -- the right people received notice?

13 And you talked to Mr. Bates, and he gave you
14 these names for contact?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. And you haven't heard any further from Mr. Bates?

17 A. I received a call from a Daniel Lopez, who's an
18 assistant to Mr. Bates, a couple of weeks ago, who told me
19 that NAPI could not object to this and that the BIA
20 Director would to be notified, because the status of the
21 land was Navajo trust surface.

22 If I may, just a quick explanation of what
23 happens here in the West Bisti Unit, so everyone will
24 understand what goes on: Prior to the establishment of the
25 Navajo Indian Irrigation Project, both the surface and the

1 minerals were under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land
2 Management. At this point, the federal government and the
3 state still maintain the mineral interest.

4 The surface was traded to the Navajo nation to be
5 used as part of the Navajo Irrigation Project.

6 Different portions of the project were
7 transferred at different times, so I'm not quite sure
8 exactly when this particular parcel was transferred.

9 The rules of conduct with NAPI where we have
10 existing facilities require that any surface facilities,
11 lines, tank batteries and such that were in place prior to
12 NAPI being traded the surface or being given the surface,
13 are the responsibility of the Navajo Agricultural Products,
14 Incorporated, to move. Facilities placed after their
15 ownership are the responsibility of the operator.

16 In this particular case, all the facilities
17 involved here were in place in 1960, long before NAPI was
18 ever conceived. And because of that, one of the reasons we
19 selected to use this well was to try and stay out of their
20 way.

21 Q. Okay. And so after this conversation with the
22 representative of Mr. Bates' office, then, you notified all
23 these other people --

24 A. Yes, I did.

25 Q. -- at his direction?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. All right. And you received an objection from
3 NAPI. Did you take some time and look that over and do
4 some investigation about it?

5 A. Yes, I did.

6 Q. Tell us what were the results of that
7 investigation.

8 A. Okay. To be next in order, Exhibit 4 is also a
9 copy of the published -- publication in the San Juan -- in
10 the *Farmington Daily Times*, again further noted by mail.

11 Looking at Exhibit Number 5, Exhibit Number 5 is
12 a map which I received attached to the letter of objection
13 from NAPI. This is their exact map. And you'll see down
14 there in Section 35 -- you may have to turn a little
15 cockeyed to see it -- down there in Section 35 they have an
16 X with the West Bisti Unit 153 marked. That location is
17 approximately correct.

18 The circles on this are proposed irrigated
19 fields. None of these fields are currently in place at
20 this time, this is only a proposal as an extension of the
21 irrigation project block nine.

22 I've taken just a moment to sketch in -- not to
23 scale or anything, but to sketch in a number of the lines
24 coming into this area. There in about the center of that
25 section, Dugan operates its West Bisti Unit production and

1 injection gathering facility. This is about a four- or
2 five-acre site containing all of our production tanks, the
3 water-gathering and separation facilities and injection
4 facilities for the waterflood. And that sits, like I said,
5 there in the middle of that field that I've so indicated.

6 The injection lines come out of this and run to
7 the various injection wells within the field. The line
8 running to the 153 is still in place; it was never taken up
9 after Chevron temporarily abandoned the well.

10 So given this large number of lines here, they're
11 already going to have some difficulty in moving things, and
12 I can't see that this one well would make any difference
13 whatsoever in their planning.

14 Also, by not building a new location or new
15 rights of way, we don't cause any further disturbance.

16 Q. Okay. What's the current condition of the
17 wellbore that's proposed for use?

18 A. Referring to Exhibit Number 6, Exhibit Number 6
19 is a schematic of the West Bisti Unit 153, as it will be if
20 it is approved for disposal. The red indicates cement that
21 is now in place, the blue on this drawing represents a
22 series of casing holes that have been found, and the yellow
23 represents the proposed injection interval.

24 The 9-5/8-inch casing was set at 214 foot,
25 cemented to the surface, as verified by circulation.

1 5-1/2-inch, 14-pound casing to 5049 feet, where
2 it was cemented with 100 sacks of cement with 3-percent
3 gel. And a temperature survey run shortly thereafter found
4 cement top to be at 4450 foot, as you can see so indicated.

5 This well operated from March of 1957 until
6 October 2 of 1960 as a waterflood injection well -- I mean
7 as -- excuse me, as a Gallup producing well.

8 In October of 1960 it was converted to a
9 waterflood injection well, utilizing the same perforations
10 from which it was produced, those perforations being from
11 4908 foot to 4990 foot. Injection was under, of course,
12 plastic-lined tubing and a packer.

13 This well served as a waterflood injection well
14 from October 2 of 1960 until the middle of 1984, I think it
15 was around May of 1984, when Chevron, who was then the
16 operator of the West Bisti Unit, discovered a hole in the
17 casing on a mechanical integrity test.

18 To determine where this hole was located, Chevron
19 entered this wellbore. They set a cast-iron bridge plug
20 above the Gallup perforations at 4850 foot and spotted 40
21 foot of cement on top of that.

22 Chevron then, in a workover, came up the hole and
23 discovered that the 5-1/2-inch casing had numerous holes in
24 it from the blue interval that I show there, which is 2814
25 foot to 3617 foot. They determined that entire length had

1 numerous holes in it.

2 The casing above 2814 foot and the casing below
3 3617 foot, down to the bridge plug, which set on top of the
4 Gallup, were both pressure tested and found to be holding
5 pressure with no problems.

6 Chevron decided that what they would do is to
7 temporarily abandon this well -- this was in 1984 --
8 instead of attempting a casing repair. They went in and
9 squeezed cement. Physically, what they did was pull all
10 the tubing and everything out of the hole, and from the
11 surface pumped 175 sacks of cement into the hole beginning
12 at 2814 foot.

13 After so doing, and then they displaced that
14 cement down to about 2600 foot or so, they ran tubing in
15 the hole, tagged the top of that cement at approximately
16 2600 foot and then pressure tested above that plug to 700
17 p.s.i., where they found that there were no leaks.

18 The BLM allowed them to TA the well at that time.
19 It would not be allowed by today's standards, but at that
20 time, 1984, that's what they did. And so from 1984 until
21 Dugan decided to re-enter the well, the well remained shut
22 in.

23 Dugan took over operation of the West Bisti Unit
24 in November of 1989 from its previous operator, who was
25 Chevron, who had been preceded by Gulf, and the field was

1 originally drilled by the British American Oil Company back
2 in 1957.

3 This waterflood, as I said earlier, actually
4 began in October of 1960, and I would imagine it's one of
5 the older waterfloods probably within the State of New
6 Mexico; I'm not quite sure.

7 Dugan had decided to re-enter the West Bisti Unit
8 153, and as John said earlier, our original best plan was,
9 we were going to clean out these plugs, we were going to
10 repair the casing, we were going to return the well to
11 Gallup Waterflood Service, no permits necessary other than
12 approval of the sundry notice to do so, no muss, no fuss.
13 But as is so often the case, our plans were thwarted.

14 We re-entered this well in 1999, May of 1999. We
15 pressure tested the casing above this well, above the
16 temporary cement plugs that were set in there, and found
17 that it leaked.

18 We re-entered the hole, ran a tubing and a bit in
19 the hole, tagged up at about 2747 foot, just slightly below
20 where Chevron had said they tagged. They left their cement
21 plug, and we pressured up and found that we had a hole in
22 the casing at that point.

23 So prior to drilling any of the old cement out, I
24 went in and pumped 260 cubic foot of Class B cement through
25 a hole that we found to be at 2739 foot, and that was done

1 underneath the packer.

2 During the time that I was pumping that cement, I
3 had returns out the 5-1/2-inch by 9-5/8-inch casing
4 annulus, which would indicate that there were no
5 obstructions in the casing. I know it's a little
6 confusing. You need to remember that Chevron had
7 previously, also in the same interval, pumped 175 sacks.
8 During their squeeze job, their reports say that they also
9 had circulation in the same fashion that I found it.

10 My conclusion from that was that even if it had
11 lifted some cement, probably the bulk of Chevron's job went
12 into the Mesaverde or below that, as our pump job indicated
13 that there were at least no restrictions in there.
14 Possibility we could have pumped around, I guess, but that
15 would not normally be my experience that that would happen
16 in that fashion.

17 At the conclusion of Dugan's squeeze job, we
18 drilled out the cement that we had left in the casing, we
19 drilled it out to 2747 foot, which was the top of the
20 existing cement plug left by Chevron. We pressure tested
21 the casing at 1500 p.s.i., and it held with no leaks.

22 At that point, I drilled the old cement plug
23 starting at 2747. I got to approximately 2820 and fell out
24 of cement. So that is as far as the original squeeze job
25 had gone, and -- which really, as you can see here, the

1 holes here started at 2814, so their squeeze job just
2 barely got into the top of the interval with the holes as
3 they existed.

4 After drilling out cement, we continued to lower
5 the dual string, got to 3210 foot, where we set down, and
6 were unable to go any further. I spent two days, both with
7 a bit and with a mill, attempting to drill past this
8 obstruction. I got no more cement, only a small amount of
9 formation coatings and small amounts of metal.

10 My conclusion from this is that the casing is
11 either parted or collapsed or in some way damaged at 3210
12 foot, and I was unable to get below that depth.

13 At that time my original plan, which was to go to
14 the Gallup, was rethought. I came up with the idea of
15 trying to inject into the Mesaverde at this point,
16 realizing that I couldn't get below 3210 foot, also
17 realizing that we did have some holes in the casing below
18 that. And so that's what initiated this Application.

19 Q. All right. And after that, the history of the
20 well, then how do you intend to make sure that water goes
21 only into the proposed injected interval, interval of
22 injection?

23 A. Despite the fact we have not run a cement bond
24 log again, we know that the casing pressure tested above
25 2747 foot. I know that I put 260 cubic foot of cement in

1 there. If all that cement went up, it would have reached a
2 depth of 1250 foot, which is about the top of the Pictured
3 Cliff formation up there. And if I used a slightly smaller
4 -- If I used 75 percent of the volume of the cement, it
5 would be about 1600 foot, calculated top of the cement
6 there.

7 Now, I realize that I will not be able to
8 conclusively say where the water is going to go once it
9 gets past 3210 foot, which is an interval that I cannot get
10 through because of the casing condition.

11 I also know that the top of the cement from the
12 original cement job, my temperature survey was at 4450
13 foot. I have put on the left side here of your little
14 schematic --

15 Q. Exhibit 6, that's Exhibit 6?

16 A. I'm sorry, Exhibit 6. -- the depth to the
17 formations involved.

18 As you can see, from 3210 foot, which is actually
19 above the top of the Point Lookout, to 4450 foot, which is
20 the known top of the cement, I'm not going to be able to
21 entirely check it, where my water goes, because I won't get
22 past that point.

23 I know that the Point Lookout exists in there --
24 and we're going to present evidence in just a minute
25 concerning the water quality of these things -- and I know

1 that the Mancos shale with its top there at 4027 foot, down
2 to the top of the cement at 4450 foot, is a massive shale.
3 It is nonproductive anywhere in the San Juan Basin, or in
4 this area of the San Juan Basin. And being a shale, it's
5 not going to take any water.

6 I would also not expect that the water quality in
7 the Mancos shale would be anything -- would be any lower
8 than 10,000 TDS, as it typically doesn't produce water.

9 Q. All right, so you're -- the uncertainty there is
10 the location, or how far down the hole the 175-sack cement
11 squeeze done by Chevron went?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. All right. And you don't think, though, that
14 that causes enough concern that the water quality will be
15 affected or that the water will go anywhere that will cause
16 any harm to anybody else's rights or the water?

17 A. No, I do not. If you will look at Exhibit 7,
18 it's a little bit large, but Exhibit 7 is a log cross-
19 section that we did of all the wells within the area of
20 review. So this contained every well that's within one-
21 half mile of this well.

22 The subject well, Mr. Examiner, is the second
23 from the left and is identified the West Bisti Unit Number
24 153. The well just to the left of it, the Jeeter Number 3,
25 is a Fruitland Coal well, and you can tell it's a little

1 bit shallower.

2 Also, about on the left-hand side of Exhibit 7
3 you'll see a little cartoon map here of exactly how this
4 cross-section runs concerning the wells, if you should get
5 disoriented during this discussion.

6 If you look on this cross-section at the West
7 Bisti Unit 153, you'll see that I have marked an interval
8 on the right side of the track here called "proposed
9 injection interval". That runs from this -- and I'm sorry,
10 the numbers are a little bit small for you to read, but it
11 runs from 2747 foot all the way down to the top of the
12 cement at 4450 foot, relatively long interval. But since
13 all that's going to be exposed to injection pressure, I
14 decided to call that all the injection zone.

15 If you follow this log across to your right, what
16 you'll find is -- and the legend here is that the green
17 colors are cement, known cement outside the casing, the red
18 are known cement plugs inside of the casing, and so you can
19 see that all of the other wells in this section, within a
20 half mile here, have been plugged.

21 You'll also note that above the top of the
22 proposed injection interval in each one of these plugged
23 wells, there has been a cement plug placed in there.
24 You'll also notice that they vary a little bit. I wish I
25 had a good explanation for that. The Bureau of Land

1 Management is responsible for telling us where we set our
2 plugs, and so we set it where they say set it, and -- But
3 they do vary around a little bit.

4 But in each case, there is a plug within the
5 Mesaverde, and that plug is above the zone of the injection
6 that we plan to utilize in the West Bisti Unit 153.

7 Same thing exists in the lower part down here.
8 All of the cement plugs are above the Gallup top, and so
9 each one of them has been properly plugged.

10 So water entering our proposed injection well, if
11 it should reach one of the adjacent wellbores, would have
12 at least two cement plugs between itself and any surface
13 water. Just FYI, really, the only potable water, our USDW
14 known out here, is probably the Ojo Alamo, which in the
15 pace of most of these wells is actually behind the surface
16 pipe, if it even exists at all.

17 You'll also notice, Mr. Examiner, that the
18 Pictured Cliff and Fruitland Coals have also been protected
19 in each one of these places. The Fruitland Coal is a
20 significant resource to us out here in this area, along
21 with other operators. So it is also well protected, not
22 only by its own plug but by the plug in the top of the
23 Mesaverde.

24 So my conclusion is that we can contain any water
25 injected within the West Bisti Unit Number 153.

1 Q. Well, what about the proposed -- the water
2 quality in the injection interval?

3 A. If you will refer to Exhibit Number 8, Exhibit
4 Number 8 is a water sample taken from the interval, as I
5 discussed earlier, about the holes in the West Bisti Number
6 153 casing.

7 I swabbed the Mesaverde at that point and
8 recovered this water sample. It showed a total dissolved
9 solids, you can see in the right-hand column down there
10 towards the bottom, of 35,693 parts per million, or -- 1.2,
11 that's about the same thing, milligrams per liter. And so
12 it was significantly above 10,000 TDS at that point.

13 I swabbed about 200 to 250 barrels in order to
14 collect this sample, and I felt that at least I was sure
15 that I had an area around the wellbore that was giving me
16 the water that was in there. We were also unable to swab
17 this well down.

18 To further check my water quality here, I took
19 one other step. If you'll look at Exhibit Number 9, it is
20 a two-log cross-section. This two-log cross-section
21 compares the West Bisti Unit Number 153 on the left side
22 there, with a well called the West Bisti Unit Number 131.

23 The West Bisti Unit Number 131 is a water-source
24 well. It's up in Section 28, it's about two miles from our
25 subject well. The West Bisti Unit Number 131 has only been

1 used as a water-source well for the West Bisti Unit.

2 Back when the flood began, back in 1960, they did
3 not have enough water to inject, so British-American
4 drilled a number of water-source wells into the Mesaverde.
5 The water from these wells was used as makeup water to
6 start the flood.

7 Now, what happened was that very quickly into the
8 flood, about 1962, sometime as early as 1961, British-
9 American experienced severe water breakthrough. So at that
10 point they began to circulate a lot of their injected water
11 and soon after that discontinued the use of these water
12 source wells. I don't have any information in their
13 records to indicate exactly when this well was not used,
14 but I know that when Dugan took over the unit, as I said,
15 in 1985, it had not been used for years.

16 And it's never been put in any other service,
17 it's never been used as an injection well, it's never been
18 used for anything else. So I felt that this was an
19 excellent example to determine the quality of the water in
20 the Mesaverde.

21 If you'll look here to determine where this well
22 is completed, down here in the bottom, a 7-inch string of
23 casing was run to total depth on this well. The interval
24 from 2030 to the TD of 2600 was actually slotted 7-inch
25 casing.

1 British-American cemented above the slotted 7-
2 inch casing using a cement basket and baffle, and then
3 cleaned out, so leaving that interval from 2030 to TD of
4 2600, virtually it's an open hole except for the slots in
5 the 7-inch casing.

6 You can see by my log correlation, comparing it
7 again to the 153, that this 131 well is completed almost
8 entirely within the Cliffhouse member of the Point
9 Lookout -- I mean, excuse me, of the Mesaverde.

10 And that's where the water sample -- I swabbed
11 270 barrels of water out of this well, a significant
12 volume. Again, I was unable to swab it down.

13 Exhibit 10 is a copy of the water analysis that I
14 took from this well after removing approximately 270
15 barrels. You'll see that the total dissolved solids were
16 23,480, approximately. And so I feel that this is probably
17 as good a representation as any of the type of water that's
18 contained within the Cliffhouse.

19 Also, as a matter of interest, there is a
20 preponderance of correspondence in British-American's well
21 files concerning the poor water quality within the
22 Mesaverde, primarily from a scaling tendency. As a matter
23 of fact, when I re-entered this West Bisti Unit Number 131
24 well, which has been used for nothing else, I had to drill
25 600 or 700 foot of solid calcium carbonate scale out of it

1 to even get down to where I could swab it. So it's pretty
2 nasty water in my opinion.

3 Q. What type of water will be disposed of in this
4 well?

5 A. The water to be injected into this well would
6 come from our West Bisti water injection facility. Exhibit
7 11 is a copy of a water analysis I had taken, apparently
8 back in September of 1999. It will show that it had total
9 dissolved solids of only 20,892 parts per million.

10 The Commission needs to be aware that two sources
11 of water come into this injection facility. One are
12 primarily the Fruitland Coal waters which come in.
13 Typically, their total dissolved solids run 19,000 to
14 20,000.

15 And also, of course, the water injection facility
16 for the West Bisti Unit, the Gallup water coming in here
17 typically would have qualities of maybe 40,000 TDS or in
18 that neighborhood somewhere.

19 But these waters are mixed, they are not causing
20 any problems by mixing the two waters. The result, though,
21 is that a lot of the higher TDS waters are actually diluted
22 by some of the lower waters, giving us this analysis.

23 Q. So Exhibit Number 11 would show the typical
24 quality of the water that's going to go in?

25 A. Right.

1 Q. All right. And how do you propose to equip this
2 well for disposal?

3 A. Referring back again to Exhibit Number 6, which
4 is the wellbore schematic, what I propose to do is to run a
5 plastic-coated 2-3/8-inch tubing, a Baker AD-1 tension type
6 injection packer set at 2747. Again, I know that I have
7 cement at this point, I have pressure tested above this
8 point and know the casing is in good shape above that
9 point.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. And also the casing -- The tubing casing annulus,
12 of course, would be loaded with packer fluid.

13 Q. All right. And you've studied available
14 geological and engineering data to determine there is no
15 connection between this well and any known sources of
16 drinkable water?

17 A. Yes, I have.

18 Q. All right. And are you convinced in your
19 experience as a petroleum engineer and in the industry that
20 this proposal as presented would protect water quality,
21 correlative rights, public health and safety and the
22 surface rights?

23 A. Yes, I am.

24 MR. DEAN: All right, we move for the admission
25 of our Exhibits 1 through 11.

1 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Exhibits 1 through 11 will be
2 admitted --

3 MR. DEAN: We don't --

4 EXAMINER ASHLEY: -- into evidence.

5 MR. DEAN: -- have any other testimony. We'll
6 stand for any questions you might have.

7 EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. ASHLEY:

9 Q. Okay. Mr. Alexander, in Exhibit 7, the cross-
10 section, does that include all the wells in the AOR?

11 A. Yeah, this includes all the wells within the
12 technical area of review.

13 Q. And you're satisfied that they're all submitted
14 properly to --

15 A. Yes. Like I said, Mr. Ashley, if you look down
16 on the left-hand side below the Jeeter 3 log, there's a
17 little map that shows the cross-section, that identifies it
18 a bit more clearly.

19 Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking about the
20 cross-section in Exhibit 9, did you talk about a severe
21 water flow somewhere, or water out of zone? I can't
22 remember.

23 A. I didn't hear your question.

24 Q. Didn't you talk about a water flow when you were
25 talking about water out of zone?

1 A. On the 131 well? I mean, on --

2 Q. Well, that's what I was wondering.

3 MR. DEAN: I think he's asking you about when
4 they started getting water back and quit using it as source
5 water.

6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry, Mark, losing track
7 of where we are here.

8 I don't know exactly when they stopped using the
9 water source wells. I mean, I know that they were not
10 using them in 1989. We took -- My understanding, and I'm
11 actually trying to find that.

12 In looking at the performance curve of the West
13 Bisti Unit as a whole, I know that, like I said, in 1962
14 and 1963 the water just goes through the roof. And so my
15 guess would be that somewhere in that period of time, that
16 they stopped utilizing any of the water-source wells that
17 were out here.

18 Q. (By Examiner Ashley) What do you mean by the
19 water going through the roof and that they stopped using
20 these --

21 A. Oh, water being produced from the Gallup
22 producing wells. They had breakthrough early in the flood,
23 and so if you're looking at performance curve of the unit,
24 plotting oil, gas and water, you get to a certain point,
25 you get this huge increase in water production. That huge

1 increase in water production was when the flood began to
2 break through --

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. -- and they had pretty a premature water
5 breakthrough in this particular unit. So when they got
6 that big water increase, which British American was really
7 not expecting that to happen, they abandoned the use of the
8 water source well, because now they had all that water
9 breakthrough, they just put it back into their system and
10 recycled it. That's what I meant.

11 Q. Okay, I thought you meant breakthrough in this
12 131.

13 A. Oh, no. No, no, I'm sorry.

14 Q. Okay. Now, looking at Exhibit 6, the wellbore
15 schematic, you stated that when you re-entered the well you
16 noticed holes at 2339?

17 A. 2739.

18 Q. 2739.

19 A. Right.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. Just above where they had left their initial
22 plug.

23 Q. Okay. And then you squeezed those holes?

24 A. Yes, I did.

25 Q. And then you pressure-tested at that point?

1 A. Yes, I did. And then after the pressure test is
2 when we drilled out, you know, the old plug.

3 Q. And you drilled down to the 3210 and --

4 A. I drilled down to -- Actually, Mark, I drilled
5 down to 2820 when I fell out of cement. You can just pull
6 a mark through there. That's when I actually felt -- Then
7 I continued in the hole with no other obstruction till I
8 got to that 3210. So I actually fell out of the cement,
9 though, with 2820.

10 Q. Now, have you cemented any more since then?

11 A. I have not.

12 Q. Okay. And so the top of cement from 2747 is
13 1250?

14 A. That's a calculated value. I have not run a
15 cement bond log on that.

16 Q. Okay. Do you think that having this casing
17 obstruction will pose any problem to waters moving -- or
18 control of waters moving out of zone?

19 A. I don't think it will present a problem, because
20 even if water -- I cannot tell you that -- where the
21 water's going to go below that. Obviously, I can't get
22 down through that, at least at this time, and -- but I know
23 that anything below the 3210, what I have formationwise
24 below me is what's left of the Menefee, all the Point
25 Lookout, which is generally, and as you saw in our other

1 exhibit, the primary sand interval within the Mesaverde, is
2 probably going to take most of the water. And the only
3 thing open below that, then, is the Mancos shale.

4 So my reason for that statement is that I know
5 the Mancos shale is not going to take anything, so I'm not
6 worried about anything about -- or not concerned that
7 anything below 4027 is going to take any water. If it
8 takes it, it will be small.

9 So my own personal opinion is that most of the
10 water will go into the Point Lookout, even though you can't
11 see it's going in there, or into the Menefee. The
12 Cliffhouse you can see here is virtually cemented off.

13 And I have no reason to expect that the waters in
14 any other of the Mesaverde intervals would be any -- you
15 know, any higher quality than what I found in the
16 Cliffhouse interval, and what I also swabbed out of the
17 holes here.

18 Q. Okay. Back to Exhibit 7, can you show me on the
19 West Bisti 153, on that log, where this casing part is?

20 A. Yes, I can. What I might have to do, if I may
21 approach --

22 Q. That's fine.

23 A. -- is to give you -- I apologize that -- That's a
24 little bigger section, cross-section, for this.

25 Q. That's the same exhibit, just a larger exhibit?

1 A. Right, this is exactly the same exhibit. This
2 exhibit was prepared, and this one was exactly the same,
3 this West Bisti Number 153. The casing part is going to be
4 down here at 32- -- I can't even hardly read it here, I'm
5 sorry. It's going to be right here.

6 Q. Which is --

7 A. Mark, if you'd like, I can leave this for you.

8 Q. Okay, that would be fine.

9 A. I don't have any other copies. I mean, I've got
10 one for myself, but if you would like this --

11 Q. Yeah, I'd like to have that.

12 A. -- you can certainly have it.

13 MR. DEAN: Why don't we mark it as Number 12,
14 and --

15 THE WITNESS: It's already marked as 7.

16 MR. DEAN: Okay, it's just a big version of 7.

17 THE WITNESS: It's just a big --

18 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay.

19 THE WITNESS: -- just a blown-up version of 7.

20 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay, thank you.

21 THE WITNESS: But that's where the casing part
22 is, or casing obstruction. Mr. Ashley, I can't really tell
23 you exactly what it is. I just know that it's an
24 obstruction.

25 Q. (By Examiner Ashley) Okay. Now, is there

1 currently injection going on right now in this pool, in
2 this unit? There is?

3 A. There is injection into the Gallup --

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. -- here. Of course, this well was temporarily
6 abandoned, so it has not been used in years.

7 Q. Okay. And the source of injection water is a
8 combination of the Fruitland Coal waters and the Gallup
9 waters?

10 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

11 Q. And that's what this Exhibit 11 --

12 A. Yes, sir, it is.

13 Q. Okay. I have some more questions about Exhibit
14 5.

15 A. Five is -- ?

16 Q. It's the map that was submitted by the Navajo --

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. -- the injection?

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. Now, the X down at the lower portion of that,
21 that is the actual 153 location?

22 A. Yes, sir, it is, as close as I can spot it. They
23 put it on there, I verified it was approximately correct.

24 Q. Okay. And what are these other lines on here? I
25 see the line -- Yeah, can you just explain what these other

1 dashed lines are?

2 A. Okay, the other lines are -- the dashed lines --
3 and I'm sorry I didn't differentiate between the two. The
4 dashed lines -- obviously one of them leads down to the
5 153. That's an injection line.

6 The dashed line heading from northwest to
7 southeast is one of our main injection -- I mean, one of
8 our main disposal -- I'm sorry, Mark, production gathering
9 lines that comes into this area. And there are numerous --
10 Again, this was a bit too busy to do.

11 If I may approach again, just to give you an idea
12 here --

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. This is an overlay. This was a bit complicated
15 to try and duplicate. This is a map that -- just to give
16 you an idea of the complication that we have out here,
17 we're down here in Section 35. Let me orient this for you.
18 Okay, here we go. Okay.

19 All these lines are currently in place, including
20 an El Paso main line that comes down through here, and all
21 of them culminate right here, at approximately our
22 injection facility. Like I said, this thing, you can just
23 see that there are numerous -- This field's been operating
24 here -- been here a long time, and there are just lines all
25 over the place out here.

1 To further complicate the issue, we find lines
2 all the time that we didn't even know were there. They
3 were as-builts, and we have no facts or anything on them.
4 So it's quite a line mess out there, according to the
5 acronym, but it just is.

6 And I just tried to demonstrate on this map so
7 that you could at least understand that NAPI is going to
8 place a big project, because they're going to be
9 responsible for moving most of these lines, they've been in
10 there for years. Why they would want to farm this, I don't
11 know. But that's obviously not my decision.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. We're in their way, and they're in our way, and
14 we kind of just agree to disagree on just about everything.

15 Q. Can we get a copy of that overlay, and we'll
16 enter that as --

17 A. Can I make you one?

18 Q. Yeah.

19 A. I'll make it and send it to you, I can certainly
20 do that.

21 Q. And we'll enter that as Exhibit 12, I believe?

22 MR. DEAN: Yes.

23 THE WITNESS: I apologize for not taking time to
24 do that.

25 Q. (By Examiner Ashley) Now, can you review for me

1 again the position of NAPI and a little bit about the
2 history of what's going out there and their --

3 A. Yes, I --

4 Q. Do they own the surface rights?

5 A. Okay, here --

6 Q. Is it considered part of the reservation?

7 A. Here's where there is -- The West Bisti Unit is
8 in an area that we generally refer to as split estates.
9 The Navajo nation owns the surface, either the BLM or the
10 State of New Mexico owns all the mineral interests.

11 Because this is -- It is not on an Indian
12 reservation, but it is in what the federal government loves
13 to call Indian country. Because it is Indian country, the
14 United States Environmental Protection Agency claims
15 jurisdiction over all of UIC, underground injection
16 control, in Indian country.

17 Of course, the State of New Mexico, because of
18 their memorandum of understanding with the EPA concerning
19 UIC, also claims jurisdiction over this same issue.

20 As an operator, I file -- I currently have an
21 application in to the United States Environmental
22 Protection Agency, and it's currently under review. Of
23 course, I had this Application in when I filed with the BIA
24 the notice of this hearing. I received some calls from the
25 EPA folks and they said, Well, which one of these are you

1 going with?

2 And I said, I'm sorry, I don't understand.

3 Well, are you going with us or are you going with
4 the state?

5 And I said, I'm going with both of you. I don't
6 want to wind up as a referee between the New Mexico OCD and
7 the USEPA.

8 MR. DEAN: It's actually -- it's reserva- -- it's
9 trust land, it's not --

10 THE WITNESS: It's trust land.

11 MR. DEAN: -- it's clearly not reservation land.

12 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay.

13 MR. DEAN: That's -- The real answer is, it's not
14 reservation land. The reservation boundary is quite some
15 distance west of this area. NAPI almost entirely is held
16 on trust land, not reservation land.

17 If it was reservation land, they would clearly
18 have jurisdiction. Well, not clearly, but they would have
19 the claim of jurisdiction because it's reserved.

20 EXAMINER ASHLEY: The EPA?

21 MR. DEAN: Yeah.

22 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Yeah.

23 THE WITNESS: While it's not the purpose of this
24 hearing, I can also, sometime when you've got the time to
25 explain, why the US EPA claims primacy, but I won't

1 introduce that into -- I'll tell you about it sometime if
2 you'd like to hear it.

3 Q. (By Examiner Ashley) Mr. Alexander, do you know
4 of any faults or other conduits that exist between the
5 disposal zone and underground sources of drinking water in
6 the area?

7 A. There are none.

8 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay. I have nothing further,
9 thank you.

10 Case 12,365 will be taken under advisement.

11 MR. DEAN: Thank you. We'll send you, then,
12 what's been referred to as Exhibit Number 12.

13 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay, thank you.

14 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
15 9:42 a.m.)

16 * * *

17
18 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
19 a complete record of the proceedings in
20 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12365,
21 heard by me on 4-20 ~~19~~ 2000.
22 Mark Ashley, Examiner
23 Oil Conservation Division
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
 COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 28th, 2000.



STEVEN T. BRENNER
 CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002