ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE OPERATING, INC., TO REOPEN CASE 12,448 AND AMEND DIVISION ORDER R-11,432 WHICH PROVIDED FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 12,448

ORIGINAL

 \bigcirc

)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE:	DAVID R.	CATANACH,	Hearing	Examiner	0 NON	
					30 0	۰ ۱۰ ۱۰
		Novembe	r 16th, 2	2000	Pr	
		Santa Fe	e, New Me	xico	10: 5	NUN N

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 16th, 2000, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

November 16th, 2000 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,448

PAGE

3

4

5

8

APPEARANCES STATEMENT BY MR. KELLAHIN STATEMENT BY MR. OWEN REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

EXHIBIT

Applicant'sIdentifiedAdmittedExhibit A55

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 2

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

LYN S. HEBERT Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 117 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265 By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

FOR TMBR/SHARP DRILLING, INC.:

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P.A. Attorneys at Law 325 Paseo de Peralta P.O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307 By: PAUL R. OWEN

* * *

	4
1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	1:45 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call the hearing back to
4	order, and at this time I'm going to call Case 12,448,
5	which is the Application of Chesapeake Operating, Inc., to
6	reopen Case 12,448 and amend Division Order R-11,432, which
7	provided for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County,
8	New Mexico.
9	Call for appearances in this case.
10	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of
11	the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing
12	on behalf of the Applicant.
13	MR. OWEN: Mr. Examiner, my name is Paul R. Owen,
14	appearing with the Santa Fe Law Firm of Montgomery and
15	Andrews, on behalf of TMBR/Sharp Drilling, Inc.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional appearances?
17	Okay, Mr. Kellahin?
18	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, if you recall, we
19	presented this case to you at a prior hearing. It involved
20	the request to take Chesapeake's existing Boyce "15" 3 well
21	and recomplete it into the lower Atoka member of the pool.
22	The case advertised at that point had included an
23	unorthodox location for that pool. It did not correctly
24	advertise the need to simultaneously dedicate the spacing
25	unit to the Boyce Number 1 well. That change was made in

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

/

4

1	the advertisement, and it was scheduled back on today's
2	docket to satisfy that notice readvertisement obligation.
3	I have a certificate of mailing in compliance
4	with the notification requirements of the Division, and we
5	have renotified everybody around the spacing unit, those
6	operators that are adjoining or contiguous with the spacing
7	unit. So if you'll allow me, I'll present that as Exhibit
8	Α.
9	If you care, I can identify where those operators
10	are. But at this point that completes our presentation,
11	with the introduction of the notice certificate.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, the notice will be
13	admitted as evidence in this case.
14	Mr. Owen?
15	MR. OWEN: May it please the Examiner, you will
16	recall that this case was originally presented by
17	Chesapeake as a request that the Atoka formation be split
18	into two separate pools and a new pool be created for what
19	is being called the Brunson interval of the Atoka
20	formation.
21	This area has been the subject of intense
22	exploration and intense interest on behalf of several
23	operators seeking to exploit the Brunson interval. On
24	behalf of TMBR/Sharp, I am not here today to object to the
25	Application in this case. I do not make objection to the

notice provided to TMBR/Sharp in this case or, in fact, in the merits of the case presented by Chesapeake.

1

2

However, Mr. Examiner, as this Brunson interval 3 continues to be explored and produced, TMBR/Sharp urges the 4 Division to closely examine the technical evidence 5 presented by Chesapeake and by subsequent operators who 6 present cases dealing with this particular interval, to 7 ensure that, in fact, the Brunson interval is part of the 8 Atoka formation and not, in fact, part of some other 9 formation, thereby affecting correlative rights of other 10 offset operators. 11

Whether this case is used to justify in the 12 future the formation of a secondary pool for the Brunson 13 interval or whether some other case standing alone is used 14 15 as justification for the creation of such pool, we urge the 16 Division to closely examine the evidence presented by any 17 such operator requesting the formation of such pool, to ensure that the correlative rights of not only those with 18 interests in the Atoka but other formations are protected, 19 as is the Division's statutory duty. 20

Furthermore, Mr. Examiner, as Mr. Kellahin and I have discussed, it was TMBR/Sharp's concern that because these two wells are located on the same 160-acre spacing unit, that perhaps there would be some effort to contract the unit boundaries so that this would be a 160-acre unit,

TMBR/Sharp would like to urge the rather than a 320-acre. 1 Division to continue its long-standing practice not to 2 3 contract any pool boundaries for this particular depth beyond or under the 320-acre spacing unit. 4 5 That concludes my presentation, Mr. Examiner. 6 EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Owen. Anything further, gentlemen? 7 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. 8 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, there being nothing further, Case 12,448 will be taken under 10 11 advisement. 12 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 13 1:50 p.m.) 14 15 16 I do hereby certify that the foregoing of 17 · complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12440. 18 heard by me on _____ /b/de.bu/le +92000 19 L, Examiner pita pour l 20 Off Conservation Division 21 22 23 24 25

7

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 23rd, 2000.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002