ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,448

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE OPERATING, INC., FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner

July 13th, 2000

Santa Fe, New Mexico

00 JUL 27 AM 5: 01

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner on Thursday, July 13th, 2000, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

July 13th, 2000 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,448

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
LYNDA F. TOWNSEND (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Examination by Examiner Ashley	4 11
ROBERT A. HEFNER, IV (Geologist)	10
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Examination by Examiner Ashley	13 17
Dramination of Draminol Honitol	4 ,
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	22

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	5	11
Exhibit 2	7	11
Exhibit 3	17	17
Exhibit 4	17	17
Exhibit 5	-	17
Exhibit 6	14	17
Exhibit 7	9	11
Exhibit 8	20	-

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

LYN S. HEBERT
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
117 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265
By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:30 a.m.: EXAMINER ASHLEY: The hearing will now come back 3 4 to order, and the Division calls Case 12,448, Application 5 of Chesapeake Operating, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well 6 location, Lea County, New Mexico. Call for appearances. 7 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of 8 9 the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing 10 on behalf of the Applicant, and I have two witnesses to be 11 sworn. 12 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Additional appearances? 13 Will the witnesses please rise to be sworn in? 14 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 15. LYNDA F. TOWNSEND, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 16 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KELLAHIN: 19 Mrs. Townsend, for the record, ma'am, would you 20 Q. please state your name and occupation? 21 Yes, Lynda Townsend, I'm a landman for Chesapeake 22 Α. 23 Operating, Inc., in Oklahoma City. And you reside in Oklahoma City? 24 Q. 25 Α. I reside in Guthrie, Oklahoma.

On prior occasions, have you testified before the 1 Q. Division as a petroleum landman? 2 Yes, I have. 3 Α. Do your duties include the responsibility for 4 determining the ownership of a spacing unit, who your 5 6 working interest owners are and who are the offsetting 7 operators with regards to applications for unorthodox well locations? 8 9 A. Yes, sir. Were you involved with those topics for the Boyce 10 Q. 11 15-3 well that's the subject of this hearing? 12 Α. Yes, I was. MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mrs. Townsend as an 13 14 expert petroleum landman. 15 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Ms. Townsend is so qualified. (By Mr. Kellahin) Let's take a moment, Mrs. 16 17 Townsend, and explain to Mr. Ashley why we're here this 18 I think that may be easily commenced by having morning. you turn through your package of exhibits, and let's look 19 20 at the index tab. You have indexed your exhibits in a numerical fashion. 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 And let's start with the first display behind the Q.

index, which is your survey plat and then the drilling

report. The first display is this C-102, correct?

24

6 Yes. 1 Α. And it was for what well? 2 Q. It was for the Boyce 15-3 well. 3 Α. All right. This well was originally permitted 4 Q. 5 for what particular pool or formation? 6 A. It was permitted for the Strawn formation. Ιt 7 was located in the Northwest Shoe Bar-Strawn field. 8 Drilled as a Strawn oil well in this pool, would 9 this well have been at a standard location, had it been successful in that pool? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Α. Was the well drilled deeper than the Strawn 12 Q. formation? 13 Yes, it was. A. 14 15 And as a consequence, was there an opportunity for your company to see if this well will produce in any of 16 the deep gas zones? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 19 Q. Is that opportunity available in the Morrow 20 formation? Yes. 21 Α. To the best of your knowledge, what Morrow pool 22 will this well be in, if Mr. Ashley allows you to produce 23

This would be in the Townsend-Morrow field.

24

25

it?

Α.

All right. Are there any special rules Q. 1 associated with that pool? 2 660s from the lease line. Α. 3 So it's standard well spacing patterns under the 4 0. Rule 104? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And that's what you're seeking to accomplish 7 Q. then? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. All right. When we look at this location, then, 11 in Section 15, this well would be unorthodox to its eastern 12 boundary of the spacing unit, correct? 13 Yes, sir. Α. The surface location is 341 feet from that 14 Q. boundary, instead of 660 feet, correct? 15 Yes, it is. 16 Α. Okay. Let's flip past that locator map and 17 Q. describe to Mr. Ashley the operators or parties upon whom 18 19 the well encroaches. 20 All right. Α. If you'll turn to the foldout colored display. 21 Q. It encroaches to an east boundary line, which 22 Α. 23 encroaches upon the west half of Section 14, which is a specified gas unit for David Arrington Oil and Gas. He has 24

two wells in that unit that he operates, one in the

northeast quarter of the northwest quarter and one in the
southwest quarter.

- Q. Okay, there's a complexity to the map that I think takes a moment to digest.
 - A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

- Q. It's color-coded in such a way that you've outlined the east half of 15 as your spacing unit for your well?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And then in the red bold print you've identified the Chesapeake Boyce 3-15 well, correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And just below the Number 15 is an open circle?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. That represents the approximate location of this well?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. All right. It's encroachment, then, to the east
 19 is upon the west half of 14?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And to your knowledge, the operator of that spacing unit in the deep gas is Arrington?
- 23 A. David H. Arrington --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. -- Oil and Gas.

Have you had discussions with or negotiations 1 Q. with Arrington about this well and its location? 2 Yes, sir, we have, the well located in the Morrow 3 Α. formation. 4 The very last two pages, we have a waiver from 5 David H. Arrington Oil and Gas with a stipulation. 6 All right, let's turn to the last two pages of 7 Q. the exhibit package, and this is a June 14th letter --8 Α. Yes. 9 -- using Mr. Arrington's letterhead, addressed to 10 0. Chesapeake and to your attention. The second page would 11 12 indicate Mr. Baker's signature, and then an acceptance and 13 approval by Chesapeake? 14 Α. Yes, sir. Describe for us the terms and conditions of the 15 Q. 16 waiver. All right. David H. Arrington has given us a 17 A. waiver under the condition that we accept a penalty that 18 19 equals the percentage the well's bottomhole encroaches on the boundary, based on the 660 minimum setback. It will be 20 applied -- The penalty will be applied to the results of 21 semi-annual deliverability tests of the well. 22 23 For this waiver from Mr. Arrington, we will in turn, should he drill a well in the west half of Section 14 24

at any unorthodox location, give him a waiver subject to

1 the same penalty. The penalty is calculated not on the surface 2 Q. location but on the bottomhole location? 3 Yes, sir. 4 Α. Do you have calculations or measurements from 5 0. your company that will tell us how to do the penalty 6 7 calculation based upon a bottomhole location? 8 I do not. Mr. Hefner can expound on that. 9 give you --You have the number? 10 Q. 11 -- probably, the bottomhole number, yes. Α. 12 Give us the footages for the bottomhole location. Q. Yes, the bottomhole number is 430 foot from the 13 Α. east line, 2382 feet from the north line. 14 15 All right, so we're slightly west of the surface Q. location? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And slightly south of the north-south Q. dimension --19 20 Α. Right. -- of the surface? 21 Q. Uh-huh. 22 Α. Okay. When we look at your foldout, color-coded 23 Q. 24 map of the wells and the ownership --25 Α. Uh-huh.

1	Q this well also encroaches on the southeast
2	quarter of 15?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. It is closer to the southeast quarter than the
5	660-foot rule, correct?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Is there any difference in ownership between the
8	northeast quarter and the southeast quarter?
9	A. In the Morrow formation there is not. Chesapeake
10	owns 100 percent of it.
11	Q. All right. So the only party that's adversely
12	affected is the operator in the west half of 14, and
13	there's a stipulated penalty and settlement with that
14	individual?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination of
17	Mrs. Townsend, Mr. Examiner.
18	Excluding the geologic displays, we would move
19	the introduction of her exhibits. They are in this package
20	marked Exhibits 1, 2 and 7.
21	EXAMINER ASHLEY: Exhibits 1, 2 and 7 will be
22	admitted as evidence.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY EXAMINER ASHLEY:
25	Q. Ms. Townsend, you said you did you had the

1	penalty calculated?		
2	A. No, I don't have the penalty calculated. I only		
3	have the bottomhole footages calculated.		
4	Q. Okay.		
5	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, this is similar to a		
6	stipulated penalty that Mr. Arrington had in one of his		
7	cases. It's Order Number R-11,403.		
8	And with your permission I will follow this		
9	format, do the calculation of penalty and submit you a		
10	draft order.		
11	EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay.		
12	Q. (By Examiner Ashley) And this well has already		
13	been drilled?		
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q. You drilled to the Strawn and then you deepened		
16	16 to the Morrow?		
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. Has the acreage already been consolidated for the		
19	320-acre unit?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	EXAMINER ASHLEY: I have nothing further. Thank		
22	you.		
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
24	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, our next witness is		
25	Robert Hefner.		

ROBERT A. HEFNER, IV, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 3 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 6 Q. Mr. Hefner --7 Good morning. Α. -- for the record, sir, please state your name 8 9 and occupation. I'm Robert Hefner, and I'm a geologist for 10 Α. Chesapeake Operating, Inc., in Oklahoma City. 11 On prior occasions, Mr. Hefner, have you 12 13 testified as a petroleum geologist before the Division? Α. I have. 14 15 And pursuant to your employment as a geologist by Chesapeake, are you familiar with the technical facts and 16 17 circumstances around this well? Α. Yes, I am. 18 19 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Hefner as an expert 20 petroleum geologist. 21 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Mr. Hefner is so qualified. Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Hefner, if you'll take 22 23 Mrs. Townsend's foldout locator plat -- it's the one that's color-coded for the wells, shows the spacing unit -- there 24

are two wells I want to identify on that plat, and then I

want to direct your attention to the large color copy twowell cross-section that is also in the exhibit package. So if you'll take a moment and unfold your cross-section in addition to your locator map, then I can ask you my questions.

A. Okay.

- Q. On the locator map in the east half of 15, looking at the northeast quarter, we've already identified the Boyce 3-15 well, which is the subject of the hearing. I want you to find for me and show the Examiner where we have the Chesapeake Boyce 1-15.
- A. The Chesapeake Boyce is in the northeastnortheast of Section 15 and is completed in the North Shoe Bar-Atoka field.
 - Q. That is an Atoka gas well at that location?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. All right. Let's turn now to the two-well cross-section.
- A. This is a cross-section showing the relationship between the Boyce Number 1 and the Boyce Number 3.
- Q. All right. Before we draw that relationship, let's talk to the Examiner about the circumstances for the Boyce 3-15. It's located, it's drilled, and you're drilling down, and you get to the Strawn. This was permitted as a Strawn oil well.

Describe for us the sequence of activity that resulted in the well being drilled through the Morrow.

- A. On all of our Strawn prospects that we drill, the normal operating procedures are that once we get a confirmation on the Atoka shale top, that we drill 150 feet for rathole and then log. And in this particular case, had some mudloggers on this well that failed to call the Atoka shale top. And we continued drilling, looking for that top, to call a TD, and next thing that I knew, that we got into some limestone, which typically indicates that we've gotten into the Morrow formation, at which time, then, we called a TD and logged the well.
- Q. After logging the well, did you see an opportunity to produce this well as originally permitted, being a Strawn oil well?
 - A. No.

- Q. All right. In examining the opportunity, then, to complete and produce this well, the Boyce 3-15, where do you as a geologist see that opportunity?
- A. There is a sand down in the Morrow formation that, while we were drilling, gave us some mud gas shows, and that would be where we would attempt completion.
 - Q. How do we find that on this display?
- A. On the display, that particular sand would be -It's highlighted in yellow at about 11,770.

- 16 Then there are some codes and some 1 Q. Okay. different color sequences. Show us where we would separate 2 3 the base of the Atoka from the top of the Morrow. The base of the Atoka in this area typically is 4 5 after you get through what locally is referred to as the Brunson member of the Atoka, which is usually at the base 6 of the Atoka section, and that's highlighted by that light-7 green color, and you'll see then a brown line that then 8 indicates going into the Morrow limestone. 9 All right. So if I get below the last green 10 0. color bar and find that brown line, that's going to be the 11 12 base of the Atoka and the corresponding top of the Morrow? 13 Α. That's correct. So in this wellbore for this case, you are 14 Q. seeking to produce below the brown line? 15 That's correct. 16 Α. 17 Have you sent this cross-section to Paul Kautz, Q. the Division's District Geologist in Hobbs? 18
 - A. Yes, sir, I have.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And have you asked his assistance to examine the correlation and to satisfy himself that the Boyce 3-15 well will be in a separate pool from what is currently being produced in the Boyce 1-15?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And what information did you receive from him as

to that question?

A. He was in concurrence with the correlations as to the boundary between the Atoka and Morrow, and he also concurred that what is producing from the Boyce Number 1 is indeed from the North Shoe Bar-Atoka field, and that a completion from that sand at 11,770 would put it into the Townsend-Morrow field.

MR. KELLAHIN: All right, sir. As further support for your presentation, Mr. Hefner, you've also included in the exhibit package additional items. I'm not going to ask you to review them at this point. There's the drilling report, you've got some drilling prognoses, a geologic prognosis, and then you have a written summary of the circumstances surrounding what you've just described to the Examiner.

At this point, Mr. Examiner, that concludes my examination of Mr. Hefner. We would move the introduction of his Exhibits 3 through 6.

EXAMINER ASHLEY: Exhibits 3 through 6 will be admitted as evidence.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER ASHLEY:

- Q. Mr. Hefner, on the well-plat --
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- diagram, the Chesapeake Boyce 1-15, is that

the well that's southwest of that other location, that has "12470" on it, under it? Of the 12470, yes, sir, that is it. Α.

- Okay. Now, are the wells in there -- There's two 0. wells in 14 and a well in 23 that have some shading that looks like they may be producing from the Morrow too?
 - Those are actually Atoka. Α.
 - Q. Okay.
 - The -- kind of that lightish-brown color --Α.
- Uh-huh. 10 Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

24

- -- so the well in 23 would be producing from the Α. The well in the southwest producing from the Atoka, that Monsanto State, and then the one in the northwest, the Mayfly Number 1, would be producing from the Atoka.
- Are there any other wells close to this, besides Q. these two wells, or besides the Boyce 1-15, that are producing in this same stringer?
- Α. In the Morrow? 18
- Yeah. 19 Q.
 - I don't believe there are any immediate offsets Α. that are producing from that interval.
- What kind of production have you had from the 1-22 Q. 23 15?
 - We made a completion attempt from that same member that's in the Boyce Number 3 that we're wanting to

make a completion attempt in, and the Boyce Number 1. And when we made that completion attempt we also opened that, as well as the Brunson member, and we got subeconomic flow rates. And so we put a bridge plug in at 11,760 and then perforated what's highlighted in yellow right below 11,500. That is what is currently producing from the Boyce Number 1.

So those intervals in the Brunson and Morrow in the Boyce Number 1 are below that bridge plug and are not contributing to the production.

- Q. Okay. Now, review for me again, when you're drilling these wells, you're trying to --
- A. Typically, when we're drilling a Strawn well, we determine what total depth will be by getting a sample confirmation on the Atoka shale and drilling an additional hundred feet from that top, for rathole, for logging. So that's typically what our TD is. And that top was felt to be called correctly in the Boyce 3, which is why we ended up where we were.
- Q. How deep were you before you realized you had passed up the shale marker?
- A. Below 11,700 is when we got into the limestone. What had happened is that we thought we were still in Strawn clastics.

Typically below the Strawn, which is highlighted

in blue on that cross-section, you'll see some brown in the Boyce 1 that was substantially thicker. At the time that we were drilling the Boyce 3, we thought we were still in these clastics and that we were still in the Strawn formation.

There's a big, down to the east, fault that separates those wells that are up on top of that structure, more in the center of Section 15, and we thought at the time, perhaps as a result of some of that faulting, that the Strawn clastics had a little more accommodation room and had thickened up here, and so that we were still in Strawn clastics, we were still looking for that Atoka shale to come in.

But when that limestone appeared, that signalled to me that we were in Morrow and no longer in Strawn and had gone beyond the Atoka. And so it wasn't until I got the logs out of the hole that -- realized that they had failed to call the Atoka shale properly.

EXAMINER ASHLEY: I have nothing further. Thank you.

There being nothing further in this case -MR. KELLAHIN: I have a notice of hearing, Mr.
Examiner, and present that to you. We would ask that you admit Exhibit 8, which is a notice of hearing. And if you'll admit Exhibit 8, then we are complete with this

```
1
      case, Mr. Examiner.
 2
                  EXAMINER ASHLEY:
                                      There being nothing further in
 3
      this case, then, Case 12,448 will be taken under
      advisement.
 4
 5
                  (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 6
      10:56 a.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
                        if the hereby certify that the foregoing is
                        a complete record of the proceedings in
                        the Examiner hearing of Case No./2448.
14
                        theard by me on 7-1-PD
15
                                                 , Examiner
                           Of Conservation Division
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 17th, 2000.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002