## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

#### OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: APPLICATION OF OCEAN ENERGY RESOURCES, ) CASE NOS. 12,567 INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND FOUR NONSTANDARD OIL AND GAS SPACING AND PRORATION UNITS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO APPLICATION OF OCEAN ENERGY RESOURCES, 12,535 INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND FOUR NONSTANDARD OIL AND GAS SPACING AND PRORATION UNITS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO AMENDED APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM 590 CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND A NONSTANDARD GAS SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND A NONSTANDARD GAS SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO (Consolidated)

# REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

February 8th, 2001 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 8th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# I N D E X

February 8th, 2001 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 12,567, 12,535, 12,590, 12,569 (Consolidated)

PAGE

APPEARANCES

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

Ocean

11

3

\* \* \*

## EXHIBITS

Identified Admitted

	Exhibit A	8	9
	Exhibit B	8	9
		* * *	
Yates		Identified	Admitted
	Exhibit A	9	9
	Exhibit B	9	9

## APPEARANCES

FOR OCEAN ENERGY RESOURCES:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 3304 Camino Lisa Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION and DAVID H. ARRINGTON OIL AND GAS:

HOLLAND & HART, LLP, and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:20 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to 3 order for Docket Number 4-01. Please note today's date, 4 Thursday, February the 8th, 2001. I'm Michael Stogner, 5 appointed Hearing Examiner for today's cases. 6 And the first order of business will be to call 7 Case Number 12,567, which is the Application of Ocean 8 Energy Resources, Inc., for compulsory pooling and four 9 10 nonstandard oil and gas spacing and proration units in Lea County, New Mexico. 11 12 I'll call for appearances. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'm James Bruce of 13 Santa Fe, representing the Applicant. 14 15 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and 16 17 Hart. We represent Yates Petroleum Corporation. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I think there are 18 related cases: 12,535, which is also an Application of 19 Ocean Energy Resources, Inc.; 12,569, which is the 20 Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation, which should be 21 consolidated; and I believe Mr. Carr has a request 22 regarding 12,590 also. 23 24 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, Case Number 12,590 is a new Application of the Yates Petroleum 25

Corporation addressing pooling the same acreage, and we request that case also be consolidated with the other three and that the record made four weeks ago be incorporated into that matter so that all issues raised in these cases can be addressed in this one case or one hearing, and that an appropriate order can be entered.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, just for the record, at this time I'm calling Case Number 12,535, which is the Application of Ocean Energy Resources, Inc., for compulsory pooling and four nonstandard oil and gas spacing and proration units, Lea County.

I'll also call Case 12,590, which is the Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling and a nonstandard gas spacing and proration unit, Lea County.

And Case 12,569, which is an amended Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling and a nonstandard gas spacing and proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico.

Other than Mr. Carr for Yates and Mr. Jim Bruce for Ocean Energy Resources, are there any appearances in any of these four consolidated matters?

MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, I also need to enter an appearance in all cases for David H. Arrington Oil and Gas, who appeared in the original proceedings for Arrington.

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Are there going to be 2 any witnesses today? MR. BRUCE: No, sir. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Well, who would like to 4 5 qo first? MR. BRUCE: I'll go first, Mr. Examiner. 6 7 simply have today marked as Exhibit A -- If you'll recall, these cases were, all of them except for the recently filed 8 Yates case, were all filed for pooling to the base of the 9 10 Morrow, and in fact the parties were all seeking to test the Mississippian, and therefore the cases were 11 readvertised. 12 All I have today are notice affidavits showing 13 that new notice letters went out to the interest owners in 14 the well units, showing that the pooling Applications were 15 amended, my clients' pooling Applications were amended to 16 show that they would test the base -- or would test the 17 Mississippian formation. 18 19 Other than that, I believe by Monday Mr. Carr and 20 I are to file proposed orders. I will also be filing a Motion to Dismiss the Yates cases with my proposed orders. 21 MR. CARR: Ma it please the Examiner, as you will 22 recall when the cases were presented four weeks ago, all of 23 us were seeking orders pooling only to the Morrow, and it 24

became apparent we needed to go down to the Mississippian.

25

All of us filed amended or new Applications that
address taking the well to the Mississippian. We have
provided notice to all affected interest owners, and I like
Mr. Bruce have notice affidavits to provide to you
confirming that we have properly notified all affected
parties of the amended Applications. We will be filing
proposed orders on Monday.

EXAMINER STOGNER: I believe at the close of -Let's see, this matter was originally heard on January
11th; is that right?

MR. CARR: Yes, sir.

EXAMINER STOGNER: I believe there was also mention at that time of -- bring a status or an update on any further negotiations, if any. Do either one of you know the status of those?

MR. BRUCE: The parties did correspond back and forth, and I believe they also spoke over the phone, but they have not been able to reach agreement on a well location for this -- testing this unit.

MR. CARR: Following the hearing, Mr. Robert Bullock from Yates did write -- contact Ocean, and they have talked by phone and they have still been unable to reach agreement for the voluntary development of the acreage.

Yates has continued to propose that they even

1	approach the Division about each developing the 160 with a		
2	well, trying to be consistent with a well, trying to be		
3	consistent with the State's current, in fact, development		
4	pattern, but no agreement has been reached.		
5	I could provide copies of the correspondence, if		
6	that would be helpful, for the file.		
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: I think that would be helpful.		
8	MR. CARR: I don't have it marked, but I will		
9	provide it.		
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Just make it a part of the		
11	record in this matter		
12	MR. CARR: Yes, sir.		
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: if you would. And that		
14	will be filed Monday, along with		
15	MR. CARR: Yeah, I will file it with the proposed		
16	order, and Mr. Bruce		
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have		
18	anything further in any of these four cases? Then the		
19	fourth case is		
20	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, we would like to submit		
21	our		
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: I'm sorry.		
23	MR. BRUCE: notice affidavits.		
24	MR. BRUCE: Mine are marked Ocean Exhibits A and		
25	B .		

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I've been handed	
2	Exhibits A and B, Ocean.	
3	MR. CARR: I will mark mine also Yates A and B,	
4	to keep it consistent.	
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take Ocean's Exhibits A	
6	and B, accepted and made a part of the record.	
7	MR. CARR: And I move the admission of Yates A	
8	and B.	
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: And Yates' Exhibits A and B in	
10	these consolidated matters are accepted.	
11	Also gentlemen, it just dawned on me too, at that	
12	time I believe there was mention of a previous order and a	
13	previous memorandum concerning contested cases?	
14	MR. BRUCE: I did mail to you I forget the	
15	order numbers R-10,731-B and I will submit additional	
16	copies, Mr. Examiner.	
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: I probably have that in here,	
18	but if you would.	
19	MR. BRUCE: R-10,977. I did mail those, but I	
20	will resubmit that with the order. And I believe Mr. Carr	
21	was going to submit	
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Some sort of a memorandum?	
23	MR. CARR: Yes, sir, I have the memorandum. I	
24	will present that also with the proposed order.	
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Thank you, gentlemen.	

```
If there's nothing further in consolidated Cases 12,567,
     1
                           12,535, 12,590 and 12,569, then I'll hold the record open
     2
                           till Monday.
     3
                                                                                 Other than that, this matter will be taken under
     4
                           advisement.
     5
                                                                                 MR. CARR: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
     6
                                                                                   (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
     7
                           8:27 a.m.)
     8
                                                                                                                                                                               * * *
     9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
                                                                                                                                                                                           Law to a restablished to the
17
                                                                                                                                                                                           A GOOD TO A TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF
                                                                                                                                                                                          the first of the factoring of the said
18
                                                                                                                                                                                          SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE S
19
                                                                                                                                                                                                   THE REPORTED CHARGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 9th, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002