#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,715

APPLICATION OF PERMIAN RESOURCES, INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: DAVID BROOKS, Hearing Examiner

September 6th, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID BROOKS, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 6th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# I N D E X

September 6th, 2001 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,715

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<u>WILLIAM L. PORTER</u> (Landman)  Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	4
Examination by Examiner Brooks	12
MICHAEL L. STEWART (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	17
Examination by Examiner Brooks	23
Examination by Examiner Catanach	26
Further Examination by Examiner Brooks	28
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	30

\* \* \*

#### EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	7	12
Exhibit	2	7	12
Exhibit	3	8	12
Exhibit	4	10	12
Exhibit		18	23
Exhibit	6	20	23
Exhibit	7	19	23
Exhibit	8	21	23
Exhibit	9	20	23
Exhibit	10	21	23
Exhibit		22	
			23
Exhibit	12	28	29

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

# FOR THE APPLICANT:

MILLER, STRATVERT and TORGERSON, P.A. 150 Washington Suite 300 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 By: J. SCOTT HALL

## ALSO PRESENT:

DAVID R. CATANACH Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87501

\* \* \*

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:54 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, at this time we will call
4	Case Number 12,715, Application of Permian Resources, Inc.,
5	for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
6	Call for appearances.
7	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, my name is Scott Hall,
8	Miller, Stratvert and Torgerson, P.A., Santa Fe, on behalf
9	of the Applicant, Permian Resources, Inc.
10	I have two witnesses this morning.
11	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, would the witnesses
12	please stand to be sworn? Would you please identify
13	yourselves for the record?
14	MR. PORTER: I'm William L. Porter.
15	MR. STEWART: I'm Michael Stewart.
16	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
17	EXAMINER BROOKS: Are there any other
18	appearances? Very well. Mr. Hall, you may proceed.
19	MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
20	WILLIAM L. PORTER,
21	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
22	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. HALL:
25	Q. Mr. Porter, for the record would you please state

1 your name? Α. William Porter. And where do you live, Mr. Porter, and how are ο. 3 you employed? 4 In Midland, Texas. I'm employed by Permian 5 Α. Resources, Inc., as a land manager. 6 I understand you've not previously testified 7 before the Division; is that correct? 8 That's correct. 9 Α. 10 Q. Would you please give the Examiner a brief 11 summary of your educational background and work experience? Α. I will. I received a bachelor of business 12 13 administration from Texas Tech University in 1984 with a 14 petroleum land management major, have been a practicing 15 landman for 17 years and am a certified petroleum landman. And you've worked in the Permian Basin before? 16 0. 17 Α. Yes. 18 You're familiar with the Application that's been 0. filed in this case? 19 Α. 20 I am. 21 ο. And you're familiar with the lands that are the 22 subject of the Application? 23 Α. I am.

Mr. Porter as an expert petroleum landman.

MR. HALL: At this point, Mr. Examiner, we tender

24

EXAMINER BROOKS: So qualified.

- Q. (By Mr. Hall) Mr. Porter, if you would, please, summarize what it is Permian seeks by its Application.
- A. We seek to pool the mineral owners that have not voluntarily joined our unit to drill in the Wolfcamp formation in the southeast quarter of Section 7, 16 South, 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- Q. There's no working interest ownership you seek to pool today; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. Dealing only with unleased mineral interests?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. All right, tell us about the well and its location.
- A. Our well is located in the north half of the southeast quarter of Section 7, and it is at a legal location.
- 18 Q. All right, and is it the Chambers Number 2 well?
  - A. It is the Chambers Number 2 well.
  - Q. All right. What is the surface location for the well?
- A. The surface location for the well is 2310 feet from the south line and 330 feet from the east line of the section.
  - Q. All right. And what is your bottomhole location?

- Our bottomhole location is 1980 feet from the 1 Α. south line, 2310 feet from the east line. 2 Let's refer to Exhibit 1, if you would explain 3 that to the Hearing Examiner, please, sir. 4 5 Α. Exhibit 1 is a land plat showing the location of the acreage in Lea County, New Mexico, approximately a mile 6 7 and a half from -- west of Lovington. All right. And Exhibit 2, if you would explain 8 that, please, sir. 9 Exhibit 2 is a proration schedule that we're 10 Α. going to use to drill our Strawn well and our Wolfcamp 11 well. 12 And does it show the current pool boundaries for 13 Q. the applicable pools? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And what are those pools? 17 Α. The pools for the Strawn in the Northeast Shoe Bar-Strawn Field is 80 acres, and the proration for the 18 Wolfcamp out of the North Shoe Bar Field is 160 acres. 19 All right. Now, is this undesignated Northeast 20 Q. Shoe Bar-Strawn? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And you are within the current boundaries of the 23 Q.
  - A. Yes, we are.

Wolfcamp --

24

- Q. -- Shoe Bar-Wolfcamp?
- A. Yes, we are.

- Q. Now, what's your primary objective for the well?
- A. Our primary objective for the well is the Strawn formation at approximately 11,500 feet.
- Q. And what is the current leasehold ownership in the Strawn?
- A. In the north half of the southeast quarter, which is our proration unit, we have a 100-percent working interest position.
- Q. All right, so you're going to have a laydown 80-acre Strawn unit; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. All right, let's refer to Exhibit 3, please, sir. What is that exhibit?
- A. Exhibit 3 is an ownership report for the southeast quarter of Section 7. The first part is the south half of the southeast quarter, which is a listing of the mineral owners upon which I personally took oil and gas leases. Not all of these owners leased to me voluntarily.

The second part of the report, on page 3 at the bottom, breaks down our current leasehold ownership based on the results of my leasing efforts. Again in the north half of the southeast quarter we have a 100-percent interest. The south half of the southeast quarter we have

approximately 96, 97 percent surface to 100 feet below the base of the Wolfcamp and 66 percent as to depths below 100 feet below the base of the Wolfcamp.

- Q. All right. Now, for the benefit of the Examiner, would you identify the specific interest owners whose mineral interests you seek to pool?
- A. I will. On page 1, James I. Holden, Jr.; Mary Van Wyk; Nancy Fox; David Marshall.

On page 2, please, third one down, Miriam P. Christopher.

On page 3 -- you'll have to bear with me on the pronunciation of these names -- at the top of the page Sotirios Papadopoulos, next one is Erikles Papadopoulos; next one is Kostas Rallis, the next one is Sotirios Rallis, the next one is Andrei Rallis, the next one is Lesta Rallis.

- Q. And the total quantum of the interest you seek to pool is somewhere in the neighborhood of 4 percent; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. Would you summarize your efforts to secure the joinder of these particular mineral interest owners?
- A. I will. In the normal course of leasing activity

  I start out with approaching every mineral owner with a

standard-offer letter. From that, owners will apply to agree to terms to lease, and I'll lease those. Then there will be some that will negotiate with me. Then I'll have mineral owners that I cannot locate or never respond to my inquiries to lease at all, and I do that through sending certified mail and that sort of thing, and trying to make phone contacts with the owners.

- Q. Now, is Exhibit 4 a compilation of your letters, correspondence, lease instruments you sent to those mineral interest owners seeking their participation?
- A. Yes, these letters are sent to the owners that have not voluntarily agreed to terms.
- Q. All right. Generally, what were the terms that you offered to lease from these individuals?
- A. I started out at \$75 an acre bonus consideration, a 3/16 royalty, and a three-year term paid up oil and gas lease.
- Q. All right. And what responses did you receive from each of them?
  - A. From these individuals?
  - Q. Yes.

A. From Holden, Van Wyk, Fox and Marshall, they told me they were not interested in leasing, selling or participating whatsoever in our efforts out there.

Miriam P. Christopher had agreed to terms

initially. I sent her a lease. Approximately four months
has passed and I haven't received my lease back, and no -I've tried to call numerous times, no response to my phone
calls.

Sotirios Papadopoulos in Sydney, Australia, I

Sotirios Papadopoulos in Sydney, Australia, I never received any letters back at all whatsoever from him.

Erikles Papadopoulos has agreed to terms. I have not received a lease back from him or her in approximately five months.

Kostas Rallis, I have never received anything back at all from any of my inquiries.

Sotirios Rallis has agreed to terms. Four months have approximately passed, no lease has been returned to me.

Andrei Rallis, I have been unable to locate, no response whatsoever from any of my inquiries.

And Lesta Rallis has agreed to terms, approximately four to five months has passed, and no lease has been returned to me.

- Q. Now, did Permian offer to send you to Australia and Greece to track down these interest owners?
  - A. No, they did not, unfortunately.
- Q. All right, Mr. Porter, does Permian request that the Division pool some of these mineral interests with an assumed 1/8 royalty interest and 7/8 working interest?

1 Α. Yes, we do. And does Permian seek the imposition of a 200-2 0. 3 percent risk penalty against the assumed 7/8 working 4 interest for these mineral interest owners? 5 Α. Yes, we do. And Permian seeks to be designated operator of 6 Q. 7 the well? 8 Α. Yes, we do. In your opinion, has Permian made a good-faith 9 Q. 10 effort to secure the voluntary participation of all its unleased mineral interest owners? 11 12 Α. We have. And were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you or 13 Q. 14 at your direction? 15 Α. Yes, they were. MR. HALL: Move the admission of Exhibits 1 16 17 through 4, and that completes my direct of the witness. EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibits 1 through 4 admitted, 18 19 and I just wanted to clarify a couple of things here. 20 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 21 The well is to be located, you said, in the north 22 Q. 23 half, northeast quarter? 24 Α. No, sir, the north half --25 Q. North half of the southeast quarter?

- A. That is correct, yes, sir.
- Q. And the well shown with the number 1 in the south half, is that the Chambers Number 1?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And was that a producing well?
- A. No, sir, it's not. And can I explain about that?
- Q. Please.
- A. Okay. We acquired this property from an acquisition of Merit Energy. After our acquisition we discovered that that well had been down for a number of months. Merit tried a Strawn recompletion in that well and was unsuccessful, and I felt like his land manager, Permian, enough time had elapsed under the rework provision of the existing leases, that being 90 days, no work had been performed on the well, so I felt the leases were in jeopardy.

So therefore I recommended to my management that we secure new leases on the south half of the southeast quarter, and they agreed, and that's what I have done.

- Q. You did so except for these people that you've been unable to --
  - A. That's correct, yes, sir.
  - Q. -- get cooperation from?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And has the Chambers Number 1 well been plugged

1 and abandoned? Α. It has not, no. 2 And is it completed at all? Is there any 0. 3 completion in it? 4 Α. I'm going to have to refer that to our engineer. 5 Okay, very good --6 Q. I don't know the exact details --7 Α. 8 Q. -- we'll pursue that. 9 Α. Okay. 10 Q. Are you seeking any cost recovery in connection 11 with the Number 1 well? 12 Α. Again, to my engineer -- Yes, we are, and I'll refer that to our engineer on more detail. 13 Q. That is the only well located on the unit, is the 14 Chambers Number 1? 15 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And -- Now, let me get the footages here correct. The surface location is 2310 from the south line and 330 18 from the east line? 19 20 Let me -- Bear with me here. Here we go, I'm 21 The surface location is 2310 feet from the south 22 line and 330 feet from the east line of the section. 23 Q. 2310 from the south and 330 from the east? 24 Α. Yes, sir.

And the bottomhole location is 1980 from the

25

Q.

south line and how far did you say from the east? 1 2310 feet from the east line, yes, sir. 2 Α. will be a horizontal -- obviously a horizontal well. 3 And what -- is it in the east half, or the -- Is 4 5 it in the northeast quarter or the northwest quarter, the 6 bottomhole? The bottomhole location will be, oh, probably in 7 the middle of the quarter section, pretty close to the 8 middle of the quarter section. Okay, yeah. Obviously the surface location is to 10 0. 1.1 the northeast quarter. 12 Α. Yes, sir, that's correct. 13 Q. The -- I would think it would be -- yeah, it would have been northwest quarter, would it not --14 Yes, that's correct --15 Α. 16 Q. -- the bottomhole location? 17 Α. -- yes, sir. 18 Q. Now, you said that the North Shoe Bar-Strawn was 19 spaced on 80 acres? 20 Α. Yes, sir. And are there -- First of all, what formations 21 0. 22 are you -- what depths are you requesting to be pooled? Well, we were requesting the Wolfcamp formation 23 Α.

So you're requesting all depths from the surface

to be pooled.

Q.

24

1	to the base of the Strawn; is that correct?
2	MR. HALL: Just to the Wolfcamp, Mr. Examiner.
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
4	MR. HALL: They own 100 percent of the Strawn
5	target.
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, so the pooling is only
7	for the Wolfcamp formation?
8	MR. HALL: Yes, sir.
9	THE WITNESS: Right, yes, sir.
10	Q. (By Examiner Brooks) Okay. And what is the
11	spacing in the Wolfcamp formation?
12	A. 160 acres.
13	Q. This would be a regular location, or a standard
14	location, for either the Strawn or the Wolfcamp?
15	A. That's a correct statement, yes, sir.
16	Q. And there are not and we already covered there
17	are no other wells in the unit except this Number 1?
18	A. That's correct.
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. I believe that's it.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Mr. Catanach?
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Nothing.
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. The witness may be
24	excused.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would 1 2 call Mike Stewart. MICHAEL L. STEWART, 3 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 4 5 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. HALL: 8 Q. For the record please state your name, sir. Michael Stewart. 9 Α. And where do you live and by whom are you 10 Q. employed? 11 12 Α. I'm a resident of Midland, Texas, and am employed as a consulting engineer for Permian Resources. 13 14 And you're familiar with the Application that's Q. 15 been filed in this case? Yes, I am. 16 Α. 17 And you're familiar with the lands that are the Q. subject of this Application? 18 Α. Yes, I am. 19 20 You've previously testified before the Division Q. 21 and had your credentials accepted as a matter of record; is that correct? 22 That's correct. 23 Α. 24 MR. HALL: We'd offer Mr. Stewart as a qualified 25 petroleum engineer.

EXAMINER BROOKS: So qualified.

- Q. (By Mr. Hall) If you would, please, Mr. Stewart, provide the Examiner with an overview of Permian's operations in the vicinity of the proposed well.
- A. Permian acquired approximately nine wells from

  Merit Energy January 1st of 2000 in the Northeast Lovington

  and -- or excuse me, the North Shoe Bar area.

  Approximately six of those wells are currently producing.

  We have proposed operations on the Hillburn lease and have

  currently proposed operations to drill and complete the

  Chambers Number 2 well, primary objective being the Strawn

  formation.
  - O. Are those wells reflected on Exhibit 5?
- A. That's correct, Exhibit 5 is a producing horizon zone map that also details cumulative production from the Morrow, Atoka, Strawn and Wolfcamp intervals in and around the Chambers Number 7 lease, which is the southeast quarter of Section 7.

You'll note, as the Examiner did, that the

Chambers Number 1 well was a Wolfcamp completion. Its

cumulative production to date is approximately 394,000

barrels. It's located at a standard location for the

Wolfcamp Pool, that being a standard location for the 160
acre proration -- North Shoe Bar-Wolfcamp field being 150

feet from the center of the governmental quarter sections.

The Number 1 well, in approximately 1997, late 1997, early 1998, Merit attempted to directionally deepen that well to the Strawn formation, was unsuccessful. Prior to making that deepening they squeezed out the Wolfcamp formation and the well ceased to produce, which left us in the current situation of -- or had left us in the current situation of not having any valid leases, and hence Will's efforts to release the area.

- Q. All right. If you would, please, sir, give the Hearing Examiner a brief overview of the relevant geology for the Strawn and Wolfcamp formations in the area.
- Q. Exhibits 6, 7, 8 and 9 I'll refer to, in no particular order other than Exhibit Number 7, we start with the deepest horizon, which is our primary target, is the Strawn structure map in the area. It shows a structural nosing, approximate trend east to west. Overlying the southeast quarter of Section 7, shows the proposed surface location of the Chambers Number 2 well, being 2310 from the south, 330 from the east line. It does not depict in this exhibit the proposed subsurface location, which is 1980 from the south and 2310 from the east line.

Those -- Both the surface location and the 80acre proration unit are standard for the Northeast Shoe
Bar-Strawn field, of which this acreage is not currently
included, but per Commission rules we are within one mile

of the boundary, and a successful completion will put that well in that field.

Exhibit Number 9 is a structural cross-section that depicts the Strawn interval in and about the Chambers lease. The Strawn in the area is algal mound buildups. They are not very continuous and tend to be very small features but when found are prolific.

Exhibit Number 6 is a structure map with an imposed isopach on the Wolfcamp interval, in and around the Chambers Number 7 area. The Wolfcamp again shows a structural nosing, northeast-southwest feature, and the isopach of the Wolfcamp shows that we anticipate to encounter approximately 30 feet of Wolfcamp pay in the Chambers Number 2 well. That Wolfcamp pay is locally classified as the Three Brothers interval.

The surface location depicted here at 330 from the east line for the Wolfcamp is not a standard location. The standard location offset would be 510 feet. But our planned drilling and directional will provide us to kick off the well at approximately 10,000 feet and start to build angle. So when we pass through the shale above the Strawn formation we'll have some angle built. If we encounter the Strawn section, we'll run pipe and then kick out from underneath the bottom of the 5-1/2 casing and go horizontal in the Strawn. So when we encounter the

Wolfcamp horizon, we will be at a legal location with at least 510 feet of offset from the east section line.

Exhibit Number 8 is a cross-section depicting the Wolfcamp interval in and around the Chambers Number 7 area. The top of the Wolfcamp is approximately 9900 feet. The pay interval is at -- the Three Brothers pay interval is approximately 10,400 feet in this area.

- Q. All right, let's refer to Exhibit 10, your AFE, and if you would review the well costs for the Examiner.
- A. Exhibit 10 was prepared by myself. It's a authority for expenditure cost detail estimate to drill a vertical well down to and through the Strawn section, evaluate the Strawn and the Wolfcamp section via open-hole logs, DSTs. If the Strawn section is present, then we'll set pipe and drill directionally in an easternly direction towards the proposed bottomhole location and complete in the Strawn section. If the Strawn is absent, the secondary target being the Wolfcamp, we expect to make a vertical completion in the Wolfcamp.

Total cost estimate for a producing Strawn well is approximately \$1.6 million. Dryhole cost is about \$866,000. Of that, approximately \$1.25 million would be required if we were to just drill a Wolfcamp vertical completion.

Q. All right, what are the drilling and producing

overhead rates you're proposing for the well?

- A. We have proposed drilling and fixed overhead rates of \$650 a month for a producing well and \$6500 per month for a drilling well. Those were compiled as based upon prior approved rates under previous compulsory pooling hearings, which are detailed as Exhibit Number 11.
- Q. Now, are the AFE rates and your overhead rates in line with what's been charged by other operators in the area, then?
  - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Do you recommend that these rates be incorporated into any order that results from this hearing?
  - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Now, in your opinion is the 200-percent risk penalty appropriate for this well?
- A. Yes, it's very appropriate in this area. Again, as I stated before, the Strawn are small algal mound reefs. Success ratio out there is probably in the neighborhood of 25 to 30 percent of making commercial production in this immediate area of the Strawn. When they are found, they are prolific.

The Wolfcamp we feel like is a little bit more continuous over the area but could possibly be subject to drainage by the Number 1 well.

Q. Does Permian seek an order that provides for the

adjustment of the drilling and producing overhead rates in 1 accordance with the current COPAS bulletin for the area? 2 Yes, we'll accept a COPAS adjustment to the 3 4 overhead rates. 5 0. In your opinion, Mr. Stewart, will granting Permian's Application be in the best interest of 6 7 conservation, the prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights? 8 Α. Yes, it would. 9 And were Exhibits 5 through 11 prepared by you or 10 Q. at your direction? 11 Α. Yes, they were. 12 13 MR. HALL: Move the admission of Exhibits 5 through 11. That completes our direct of this witness. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibits 5 through 11 will be 15 16 admitted. EXAMINATION 17 18 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 19 Q. What are your plans for the Chambers Number 1 well? 20 21 We -- In going through the research and the Α. 22 records that Merit filed, Merit never actually potentialed -- or filed C-103s or C-104s as to the 23 24 attempted Strawn completion. So currently we're filing 25 those.

Our long-term plans are to return the Chambers

Number 1 to Wolfcamp production by reperforating and

reacidizing the Wolfcamp formation.

- Q. Now, you said the spacing unit was 160 acres in the Wolfcamp; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. And at that point in time, when we do attempt and have successful recompletion of the Wolfcamp we'll apply for simultaneous dedication of the Number 1 and the Number 2 well, should the Number 2 well be completed in the Wolfcamp.
- Q. Now, is that permitted under the applicable pool rules?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. To have two wells on a 160-acre unit?
- A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Now, in this pooling Application, are you seeking any cost recovery in connection with the Chambers Number 1?
- A. Not in this hearing, we are not. We anticipate either an additional hearing or an amendment to this hearing possibly at the point in time that we do attempt and -- a recompletion in the Wolfcamp, in the Number 1 well.
- Q. The Division is in the process of revising its thinking on these compulsory pooling cases where there's the potential for additional wells, to incorporate them

into the original order, to incorporate a provision for them into the original order, rather than necessitating additional application being filed. If we were to do so, would you have an opinion as to what would be the appropriate risk penalty involved for a recompletion of the Chambers Number 1?

A. I have not evaluated that, nor have I been privy to the Commission's proposed rules.

There is the issue on the Chambers Number 1 well about what the cost basis would be, allocated to the nonparticipating mineral interest owners. Do you assess a value to that wellbore, whether it be a negative value for the plugging liability of that well, and recover that in addition to your recompletion cost, and on that basis be assessed a risk penalty?

We would without a doubt like to see the risk penalty of 200 percent. I think the question there would be, what would your basis be? Would it just be the incremental cost to recomplete that well or would you be allowed to add to that basis the negative value of that wellbore as it stands right now, which is plugging liability?

Q. Well, in view of the fact that you have not analyzed that situation and aren't prepared to testify on it today, would you suggest, then, that it would probably

be more appropriate in this case to follow the formal 1 2 practice and entertain this in a subsequent application? 3 Α. Yes, I would. EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. 5 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, in that regard what I would propose we do, since the unleased mineral interests 6 would be pooled for all drilling purposes under this order, 7 we hope, is that we would come back and file an amended 8 application in this case and seek an A order which would 9 10 address well costs for the Chambers Number 1. My reading of the statute is that even though the 11 12 other interests are already pooled, those interest owners 13 would still be provided with an opportunity to object or comment on the well cost associated with that recompletion. 14 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: That would appear to be the way 16 the Division has interpreted it in the past. 17 Very well, Mr. Catanach? 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Just one. 19 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 20 21 Q. The directional drilling, you intended to kick off from the vertical at 10,000 feet; is that what you 22 said? 23 Yeah, approximately 10,000 feet. And the main 24

reason for that is, when you get down below the Wolfcamp

and come into the shales above the Strawn you don't have enough room between the top of the Strawn due to competent limestone to turn into a horizontal, or it's a very hard turn.

So the new practice is -- and if you leave that shale unprotected and uncased while you're directionally drilling, it has a large tendency to move. And there's a lot of downhole motors and bottomhole assemblies that are in the ground in Lea County for that reason right now.

So we'll kick off at about 10,000 feet, build angle to about 30 degrees, and that will put our proposed -- the point where we encounter the Wolfcamp pay zone at a legal location, or at least 510 feet from the east line of the section.

- Q. Okay, that was my question. At what depth is the Wolfcamp, do you know?
  - A. 11,400.
  - Q. Okay.

A. I'm sorry, 10- -- the top of the Wolfcamp formation is approximately 9900 feet. The top of the pay zone is approximately 10,400 feet. So it will require approximately 180 feet of displacement from our 330-foot surface location to a 510-foot penetration location of the Wolfcamp.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.

#### FURTHER EXAMINATION

#### BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

- Q. Okay, I thought of a couple of other things here.
  On Exhibit 10, which is your AFE, I didn't totally
  understand what you said, but I am assuming from your -that the \$1,618,410, that that is the ticket for a well
  completed in the Strawn; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, a horizontal completion in the Strawn.
- Q. Well, you say -- Oh, yeah, a horizontal completion in the Strawn. That is some bit higher than what I've -- a couple of hundred thousand dollars higher than what I've been seeing for 11,000-foot wells down in the southeast, so I assume that's because of the deviation or the directional --
- A. That's correct. There's approximately \$400,000 associated with the horizontal section of the well.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Nothing further.

MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, I'll tender Exhibit 12, which is our notice affidavit.

And just a technical point. I noticed in the rules, requires sending of notice via certified mail, return receipt requested. And we found out that you can't do that when you send mail to Australia and Greece. They don't do that sort of thing.

So we sent registered, which I understand is even

1	better.
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: It's supposed to be. I've
3	never had occasion to test the difference.
4	Very good, Exhibit Number 12 will be admitted.
5	And with that, there being nothing further, Case
6	Number 12,715 will be taken under advisement.
7	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
8	9:30 a.m.)
9	* * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	12715
16	Land K Broth
17	The state of the s
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 7th, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002