

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

## OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY )  
 THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE )  
 PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: )

CASE NO. 12,848

APPLICATION OF SDX RESOURCES, INC., FOR )  
 THE CREATION OF THE RED LAKE-SAN ANDRES )  
 POOL AND THE CORRESPONDING CONTRACTION )  
 OF THE RED LAKE QUEEN-GRAYBURG-SAN )  
 ANDRES POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO )

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGSEXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

May 30th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, May 30th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

OL 00 31 2002  
 02 JUN 13 AM 7:54

## I N D E X

May 30th, 2002  
Examiner Hearing  
CASE NO. 12,848

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<u>RICHARD JORDAN</u> (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	7
Examination by Examiner Stogner	17
<u>CHUCK MORGAN</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	19
Examination by Examiner Stogner	30
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	37

\* \* \*

## E X H I B I T S

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	21	30
Exhibit 2	11	17
Exhibit 3	21	30
Exhibit 4	22	30
Exhibit 5	26	30
Exhibit 6	27	30
Exhibit 7A	8	17
Exhibit 7B	9	17
Exhibit 7C	-	17
Exhibit 7D	13	17

\* \* \*

## A P P E A R A N C E S

## FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS  
Attorney at Law  
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department  
Assistant General Counsel  
1220 South St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

## FOR THE APPLICANT:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN  
117 N. Guadalupe  
P.O. Box 2265  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265  
By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

## ALSO PRESENT:

WILL JONES  
Engineer  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South Saint Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

\* \* \*

1           WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at  
2   8:20 a.m.:

3           EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to  
4   order to consider Docket No. 16-02. Please note today's  
5   date, May 30th, 2002.

6           At this time I'll call Case Number 12,848. This  
7   is the Application of SDX Resources, Inc., for the creation  
8   of the Red Lake-San Andres Pool and the corresponding  
9   contraction of the Red Lake-Queen-Grayburg-San Andres Pool  
10   in Eddy County, New Mexico.

11          At this time I'll call for appearances.

12          MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of  
13   the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing  
14   on behalf of the Applicant, and I have two witnesses to be  
15   sworn.

16          EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances in this  
17   matter?

18          Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn at  
19   this time?

20          (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)

21          MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I want to apologize.  
22   The exhibit books were expressed, and we only ended up with  
23   three of them. If I can ask you to share one, we will get  
24   more copies subsequent to the hearing, and I'll make sure  
25   that Steve gets a copy for the court reporter.

1           Mr. Stogner, we have two witnesses for you this  
2 morning.

3           What we are seeking to do is to delete the San  
4 Andres formation from what is currently defined as the Red  
5 Lakes-Queen-Grayburg-San Andres Pool. The reason to do  
6 that is to free up the San Andres formation as a separate  
7 pool within an area that's defined by an existing  
8 waterflood. If we're allowed to do that, then SDX will  
9 have an opportunity to drill a well to the San Andres  
10 within the unit boundaries.

11           Right now they're precluded from doing that  
12 because the unit has accessed the Premier portion of this  
13 pool, which is slightly above the San Andres. And because  
14 they have existing wellbores on 40-acre oil spacing within  
15 the vertical limits of this pool, we can't have different  
16 operators for two different wells in the same spacing unit.

17           So the solution we're proposing to you is to  
18 delete the San Andres from the bottom of the pool, raise  
19 the vertical limits. The ownership is divided in such a  
20 way that the San Andres will be entirely controlled by SDX.

21           We have the consent of the unit owner and the  
22 unit operator to delete the San Andres, and we want to put  
23 on a review of the technical data for you this morning to  
24 show you the geology, the integrity of the top of the San  
25 Andres as a point to isolate the San Andres from the

1 Premier.

2 And we'll have an engineering witness to talk  
3 about what wellbores may have crossed over into both what  
4 we describe as the new pool and the old pool and what  
5 action has been taken to make sure those wellbores are not  
6 in what we are now subdividing into two pools.

7 Our first witness is Mr. Jordan.

8 RICHARD JORDAN,

9 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon  
10 his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

13 Q. Would you please state your name and occupation?

14 A. Richard Jordan, geologist.

15 Q. Mr. Jordan, where do you live?

16 A. Midland, Texas.

17 Q. On prior occasions have you testified before the  
18 Division?

19 A. Yes, I have.

20 Q. With regards to SDX's case, have you made an  
21 examination of the geology in the San Andres formation  
22 within the area that we're asking to be set aside in a  
23 different pool?

24 A. Yes, I have.

25 Q. Okay. In addition to the San Andres, are you

1 familiar with the formations above and below the San  
2 Andres?

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Jordan as an expert  
5 witness.

6 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Jordan is so qualified.

7 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Let me ask you to turn in the  
8 exhibit book, Mr. Jordan, to the back, and if you'll look  
9 behind Exhibit Tab 7 we'll start the geologic exhibits that  
10 are contained in the back of the book.

11 There's a general locator map. Would you pull  
12 that out and unfold it? Identify the map for me.

13 A. This map displays the boundary of the present  
14 unit, Red Lake Premier Sand Unit, that is outlined in  
15 orange. The green outline is the 40-acre proration unit  
16 adjacent to any of that unit, and the yellow acreage is  
17 that acreage position held by SDX.

18 Q. Okay. In terms of your geologic investigation,  
19 have you examined the wells in the vertical interval that  
20 is currently defined as being in the Red Lakes-Queen-  
21 Grayburg-San Andres Pool?

22 A. Yes, I have.

23 Q. When we look at those maps, are we going to be  
24 able to reach conclusions about subdividing the pool where  
25 you can isolate the San Andres from the rest of the



1 producing formations?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And what conclusion have you reached about that?

4 A. The San Andres reservoirs here are distinctly  
5 separated from the existing Premier Sand Unit.

6 Q. When we look at your displays, can we reach that  
7 same conclusion with regards to the horizontal extension of  
8 the integrity of the San Andres within the area described  
9 as being contained within the existing unit?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay, let's begin to do that now, Mr. Jordan. If  
12 you'll turn to Exhibit 7B, have you and the technical  
13 people with SDX made a review of the data concerning the  
14 status of wellbores within the unit?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. As well as those immediately adjacent to that  
17 unit boundary?

18 A. Yes, we have.

19 Q. Describe for me how we read the color code here  
20 for the various wells shown on this display.

21 A. Okay, the index for these is located on the  
22 right-hand side, starting with shallows. The tangerine  
23 color would be Seven Rivers completions, mostly found  
24 adjacent to the unit. The hot pink, we have one partial  
25 completion that is over there in Section 20, that is Queen.

1 Purple are the Grayburg completions. Green is into the  
2 Premier. Red code is for San Andres, and deeper tests are  
3 indicated by orange.

4 Q. If there is a well symbol within the unit area  
5 that's not color-coded, what does that mean?

6 A. That has been plugged.

7 Q. Okay. When we look at the unit area, what  
8 portion of the current pool is that unit producing from?  
9 What member of the various formations in the Red Lake Unit  
10 are being produced by their wells?

11 A. It would be primarily the Premier, with some  
12 Grayburg.

13 Q. Okay. Are there any of those wellbores that are  
14 producing from the San Andres?

15 A. There are a couple.

16 Q. That penetrate the San Andres?

17 A. That penetrate the San Andres, yes, sir.

18 Q. We'll leave those for Mr. Morgan to address when  
19 he presents his engineering research.

20 So that we can keep track of those, let's look at  
21 how you have indexed these wells to show us San Andres  
22 wells. What's the color code for the San Andres?

23 A. That would be red, the red wells are indicated.

24 Q. Okay. So that we can get an understanding of the  
25 vertical continuity of the reservoir, do you have a type

1 log that we could utilize?

2 A. Yes, we've included that, it will be in Section 3  
3 -- Section 2, I apologize. That would be the SDX well.

4 Q. When we go back to the exhibit book, it's back  
5 under Tab --

6 A. -- 2.

7 Q. -- 2? All right, sir. Before you talk about the  
8 type well, show us where we find that well on Exhibit B.

9 A. That well is in Section 28, it is a solid red  
10 well, down here, would be the bottom of spot B.

11 Q. Okay, that's your type well?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Can you use this type log to identify for us  
14 where we find the Premier sand in relation to the San  
15 Andres?

16 A. Yes, the Premier sand, approximately 20-foot unit  
17 that immediately overlies the San Andres.

18 Q. On this type log, pick for us the top of the San  
19 Andres and the base of the San Andres.

20 A. Top of the San Andres is indicated by the solid  
21 bar at the bottom, two solid bars extending down, lowermost  
22 bar is the top of the Glorieta.

23 Q. Is there a footage depth associated with the top  
24 of the San Andres? Can you tell on this type log what the  
25 footage is that we could utilize for -- I'm looking at a

1 number here, I was trying to see if that --

2 A. 1925.

3 Q. All right, and that would correlate to the top of  
4 the San Andres?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Let's focus on that for a moment.

7 A. Uh-huh.

8 Q. Describe for me geologically whether the top of  
9 the San Andres is distinguishable from the base of the  
10 Premier in some geologic way.

11 A. The premier is a sand.

12 Q. All right.

13 A. The upper part of the San Andres is a tight  
14 dolomite characterized by anhydrite-plugged -- whatever  
15 porosity that tried to be is plugged with anhydrite for the  
16 most part, the upper 300 feet.

17 Q. The productive portion of the San Andres that is  
18 the primary objective of SDX --

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. -- is found where on this type log?

21 A. It's indicated by the two bars, the vertical bars  
22 extending from 2332 to 2602 -- that would be the middle San  
23 Andres productive interval we've been playing in this  
24 area -- and at 2800, well into that would be the lower San  
25 Andres producing interval.

1 Q. If Mr. Stogner describes the new San Andres Pool  
2 in terms of what you have picked as the top and the bottom  
3 of the San Andres on this type log --

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. -- will we have a container described for the San  
6 Andres that has good integrity at the top and at the base?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And would that separate any production in the San  
9 Andres from Premier production in the sand above?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Is there production below the San Andres?

12 A. There is to the south of us, Yeso production.

13 Q. Are you satisfied that the base of the San Andres  
14 is an adequate point to separate the San Andres from  
15 anything deeper?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. Let's turn to the cross-section and see  
18 how your type log compares to the line of cross-section you  
19 have constructed across the unit area. I have that as  
20 Exhibit C.

21 A. D.

22 Q. I'm sorry, D.

23 EXAMINER STOGNER: For the record, that would be  
24 7D?

25 MR. KELLAHIN: 7D, sir.

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Is this to scale?

2 THE WITNESS: The type log messed us up.

3 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Jordan, let's have you  
4 take the cross-section, explain to us why you have chosen  
5 to describe these wells on this cross-section. Why did you  
6 make this selection?

7 A. Well, we had a good -- one was log availability,  
8 but we were able to come across the entire unit, going from  
9 the southeastern margin, and extend up -- try to go through  
10 the well that was the type log for the Premier Sand Unit  
11 and then come to the western -- the eastern margin, I'm  
12 sorry.

13 Q. Where would you like to start in your  
14 description?

15 A. In looking at the unit, as described -- and that  
16 is described in Section 3 -- you can see that the Premier  
17 is a very distinct sand, correlative across the entire  
18 area. The top of the San Andres is also very  
19 characteristic, it's fairly easily picked out.

20 Q. Did you have any difficulty making the  
21 correlation so that you could identify with reasonable  
22 certainty the location of the Premier sand?

23 A. No, very straightforward correlation.

24 Q. All right. The Premier sand is the producing  
25 sand in the unit?

1           A.     Yes.

2           Q.     Where do we find the San Andres opportunity on  
3 the cross-section that SDX wants to access?

4           A.     The second well from the right is the Welch,  
5 which was the type log that we just went through.  You'll  
6 note that we are some 400 foot into the San Andres before  
7 we hit the middle San Andres pay interval.  The lower, of  
8 course, is some 300 foot below that.

9           Q.     In addition to this log set, have you examined  
10 the logs of the other wells within the proposed unit  
11 boundary?

12          A.     Yes.

13          Q.     Have you satisfied yourself that the conclusions  
14 you can reach based upon this cross-section are the same  
15 conclusions you would reach if you had identified all the  
16 wells?

17          A.     Yes.

18          Q.     Are you satisfied geologically that we can  
19 separate out the San Andres as a separate reservoir from  
20 the rest of the formations that are currently in the Red  
21 Lake Pool?

22          A.     Absolutely.  SDX has run petrographic analysis  
23 on, I think, 19 wells in the last three years in this play,  
24 and we've consistently found this upper section in the San  
25 Andres to be anhydrite-plugged, very low permeability, and

1 definitely distinct from anything above and below.

2 Q. Can you draw a geologic conclusion about whether  
3 the continuity of that reservoir is consistent, at least,  
4 to the boundaries of the current unit?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. We don't see any substantial difference in  
7 geology as we move the San Andres to the other portions --

8 A. I don't believe so.

9 Q. -- of the unit?

10 A. I don't believe so.

11 Q. Okay. Have you prepared a cross-section, Mr.  
12 Jordan? I'm sorry, a structure map?

13 A. Yes, yeah.

14 Q. All right, let's look at the structure map.  
15 Identify for us the structure map.

16 A. It's a map on the top of the San Andres.

17 Q. How are we able to find the well symbols that are  
18 associated with data on the San Andres?

19 A. Those are the circles, and the below-sea-level  
20 value is posted beside in parentheses and underlined.

21 Q. Give us a general description of the San Andres  
22 reservoir in relation to structure.

23 A. You have a ridge, effectively, starting from  
24 Artesia -- in fact, it runs all the way -- you can see that  
25 you basically are across the nose here on this -- through



1 this unit area. So you're updip toward the center, down to  
2 the south and down to the north.

3 Q. Do you find reasonable opportunities within the  
4 unit boundary to attempt to access and produce the San  
5 Andres within the unit area?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Do you have existing examples of those for wells  
8 that are operated by SDX?

9 A. The Welch, which is on the cross-section, would  
10 be a fine example of that.

11 MR. KELLAHIN: All right, sir. That concludes my  
12 examination of Mr. Jordan.

13 We move the introduction of Exhibits 2 and 7.

14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 2 and 7 will be  
15 admitted into evidence.

16 EXAMINATION

17 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

18 Q. Let's first talk about the map that you just  
19 described, the structure map. That's Exhibit 7D; is that  
20 correct?

21 A. Yes, sir -- 7C.

22 MR. KELLAHIN: -C.

23 Q. (By Examiner Stogner) 7C, that's right, 7C.  
24 Okay.

25 Now, on this 7C, which well in Section 28 is the

1 type log?

2 A. It would be the northern open circle.

3 Q. The one marked plus 1716 subsea?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. I'm going to refer to the type log. This was  
6 Exhibit Number 2, I believe. Current perforations are the  
7 2332 to 2602; is that correct?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Okay, now what happened to the lower perms? Are  
10 they still open, or did you squeeze them?

11 A. Can I defer that to Mr. Morgan?

12 Q. Yeah, okay, we can defer that, then.

13 Now, this 400-foot interval from the bottom of  
14 the Premier to your perforations --

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. -- that is characteristic of what? A tight  
17 dolomite?

18 A. Yes. You see a general shallowing upward  
19 sequence through the entire San Andres where the lower San  
20 Andres is dominated by subtidal, middle San Andres goes to  
21 sub- to intertidal, and if you move up into this upper you  
22 get into supratidal and tight rock with a lot of anhydrite  
23 plugging.

24 Q. What was the Premier sand? What kind of  
25 deposition was it?

1           A.    Well, I think what we're going to be looking at  
2 there primarily is near-shore reworked dune sands.

3           Q.    Very drastic environment.

4           A.    Really.

5           Q.    What kind of stimulation are you looking at, or  
6 do we need to talk to the engineer about that?

7           A.    He'd probably be happier if you talk to him.

8           EXAMINER STOGNER:   Okay.  I have no other  
9 questions of this witness.  You may be excused.  Thank you,  
10 Mr. Jordan.

11           THE WITNESS:  Thank you.

12           EXAMINER STOGNER:  Thank you, Mr. Kellahin.

13           MR. KELLAHIN:  Mr. Stogner, we're going to call  
14 Mr. Chuck Morgan.  Mr. Morgan is a petroleum engineer.

15                       CHUCK MORGAN,  
16 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon  
17 his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

18                       DIRECT EXAMINATION

19           BY MR. KELLAHIN:

20           Q.    For the record, sir, would you please state your  
21 name and occupation?

22           A.    My name is Chuck Morgan, and I'm a production  
23 engineer.

24           Q.    And where do you reside, sir?

25           A.    Midland, Texas.

1 Q. On prior occasions have you testified before the  
2 Division?

3 A. Yes, I have.

4 Q. Was it your responsibility to do the engineering  
5 work concerning this Application by SDX?

6 A. Yes, it was.

7 Q. Have you worked in association with Mr. Jordan  
8 about the geology and the engineering aspects?

9 A. Yes, I have.

10 Q. Have you also caused a search to be made so that  
11 we could identify for the Examiner the operators in the  
12 pool?

13 A. Yes, I have.

14 Q. And were you responsible for making sure that  
15 notification was sent to all the operators in the pool?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. In addition, have you had conversations with the  
18 unit operator about his use of the various reservoirs in  
19 this area?

20 A. Yes, I have.

21 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Morgan as an expert  
22 witness.

23 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Morgan is so qualified.

24 Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) Let's turn to the index page  
25 of the exhibit book, and let's keep the locator map. It's

1 7B. If you'll keep that out, we can talk about the various  
2 parts of this project that you've worked on.

3 When we turn to Exhibit 1, identify that for us.  
4 What is that?

5 A. Exhibit 1 is basically a unit boundary map.

6 Q. And you've satisfied yourself that it's accurate?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What is the formation that is the subject of the  
9 unit?

10 A. It is the Premier sand, a member of the Grayburg  
11 formation.

12 Q. Have you had discussions with the unit operator  
13 and the unit owner over your plan to have the pool  
14 contracted so that the San Andres Pool is set aside as its  
15 own separate pool?

16 A. Yes, I have.

17 Q. With what results?

18 A. They were in agreement with our desire to do so,  
19 and there are letters in the book from both of them,  
20 stating that they have no objection to our lands.

21 Q. Let's turn to -- Exhibit 2 is the type log.  
22 Let's turn to Exhibit 3. What have you included in the  
23 exhibit book behind Exhibit Tab 3?

24 A. Behind Exhibit Tab 3 is a description of the  
25 unitized interval, as was stated when the unit was formed.

1 And behind that is a sample log of the type log that was  
2 used to define the Premier sand interval of the Red Lake  
3 Premier Sand Unit.

4 Q. Have you and Mr. Jordan utilized this information  
5 in your analysis of the unit in relation to the San Andres?

6 A. Yes, we have.

7 Q. Have you also, Mr. Morgan, prepared a tabulation  
8 of all the wellbore data for the wells in the unit area?

9 A. Yes, I have. That would be in Exhibit Number 4,  
10 Tab Number 4.

11 Q. Let's turn to Tab 4. What are you presenting on  
12 the spreadsheet shown behind Exhibit Tab Number 4?

13 A. This is a tabulation of all the existing wells  
14 within the current unit boundaries. They're organized by  
15 operator and then by section.

16 Q. When we come back to the locator map, 7B, are all  
17 the wells you show on Exhibit 4 also displayed on Exhibit  
18 7B?

19 A. Yes, they are.

20 Q. Let's take 7B for a moment, and identify for Mr.  
21 Stogner the various wells that at some time were drilled  
22 through and produced out of the San Andres. How are they  
23 coded on here?

24 A. Let's refer to the spreadsheet. It will be -- I  
25 think it will be a little easier.

1 Q. Okay, when we use the spreadsheet, on the far  
2 left all these wells are identified by a number?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Let's do that, then, so he has a list of the  
5 wells that you've analyzed.

6 A. Okay. We identified basically three wells in the  
7 unit that possibly had perms down into the San Andres.

8 Q. Show me which ones those are.

9 A. That would be Number 6, Number 44 and Number 46.

10 Q. Okay. Let's find those three wells on Exhibit  
11 7B.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. It may be easier if you simply circle them on my  
14 map here. I think you have those --

15 A. I have them circled --

16 Q. -- and then we'll show this map to Mr. Stogner.

17 A. All right, we can do that. This would be Well  
18 Number 6 right here, and 46 and 44.

19 Q. Where would you like to start, Mr. Morgan?

20 A. I'd like to start with Well Number 6 --

21 Q. Let's do that.

22 A. -- which is the Red Lake Premier Sand Unit Well  
23 Number 7. That particular well was completed originally  
24 down to 2400, which was significantly down into the San  
25 Andres. We found no records anywhere in the OCD or well

1 files or anywhere that we could find to indicate that those  
2 perfs were plugged back. However, in conversations with  
3 the unit operator, he has recently worked on this well and  
4 discovered that that well is, in fact, plugged back to  
5 1850, which would be to the Premier sand, below the Premier  
6 sand.

7 Q. So that was a wellbore that could have crossed  
8 over between what the unit is using in the Premier, down  
9 into the San Andres?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And are you satisfied, based upon your research  
12 of this issue, that that wellbore is not crossing over in  
13 the two pools?

14 A. Yes, I am.

15 Q. There will be no need to make arrangements for  
16 downhole commingling or dual completion or other solutions  
17 for that wellbore?

18 A. No, sir.

19 Q. Let's turn to the next one.

20 A. The next one, Number 44 and Number 46 are  
21 basically identical. They were completed in what I've  
22 identified as a small member in the San Andres, probably 40  
23 to 50 feet below the top of the San Andres.

24 There are again no notations in the OCD records  
25 or in the operators well files to indicate these were



1 plugged back, but in conversations with the operator we  
2 believe there is a distinct possibility that they were  
3 handled in a similar fashion to the previous well I  
4 discussed.

5 Q. What are SDX's plans for the development of the  
6 San Andres?

7 A. We have plans to drill an additional 24 to 25  
8 wells within the unit boundaries here, into the San Andres,  
9 and complete in the middle San Andres section that was  
10 identified by Dr. Jordan.

11 Q. Pursuant to the current rules of the Division,  
12 are you currently precluded from locating a wellbore within  
13 the unit area that would give you the opportunity to  
14 produce the San Andres?

15 A. Yes, we are.

16 Q. And why is that?

17 A. Rule 104 allows for one operator per proration  
18 unit per pool, and the current pool that this unit lies  
19 within contains both the Grayburg and the San Andres  
20 formations.

21 Q. If we contract the current pool and exclude the  
22 San Andres, would that afford you the opportunity to locate  
23 your wellbores?

24 A. Yes, it would.

25 Q. Do you have a plan or proposed action with

1     regards to 44 and 46? Those are targeted 40-acre tracts, I  
2     assume, that SDX would want to develop at some point?

3           A.     Yes, they are. We have had discussions with the  
4     unit operator and the owner, and they've indicated that if  
5     they have opportunity to clean these wells out and discover  
6     that the plugback is not there, that they will plug them  
7     back.

8           Q.     Have you satisfied yourself, Mr. Morgan, that  
9     those wellbores would not be in competition with you for  
10    production out of the San Andres?

11          A.     Yes, I have.

12          Q.     Let's talk about the other information you have  
13    put in the exhibit book. I guess it's easy enough to go to  
14    Exhibit 5. Identify and describe what is behind Exhibit  
15    Tab 5.

16          A.     Behind Exhibit 5 we have two letters, one from  
17    the unit owner, the other from the unit operator, stating  
18    that they have no objections to us creating a San Andres  
19    pool underneath the Red Lake Premier Sand Unit.

20                 And behind that we have proof of notification to  
21    all operators in the Red Lake Pool.

22          Q.     This is the mailing list that went out of your  
23    office in Midland to all the operators?

24          A.     Yes.

25          Q.     And it included a copy of the Application and the

1 notice of hearing today?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Did you receive any objection from any of the  
4 parties notified?

5 A. No objection, sir.

6 Q. Describe for me how you went about satisfying  
7 yourself that you had identified all the operators within  
8 the pool.

9 A. We had a tech in the office use the Internet and  
10 pull a list of all operators in the Red Lake Pool from the  
11 ONGARD system.

12 Q. When we go back to Exhibit 7B, there's a green  
13 outline. What does that represent on the map?

14 A. Okay, the green outline is the existing unit  
15 boundary line.

16 Q. Okay. When we turn to Tab 6, you have a bunch of  
17 reports and data. They appear all to be scout tickets?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What does this correspond to?

20 A. Those scout tickets correspond to every producing  
21 well within the unit boundary and within any contiguous 40-  
22 acre tract.

23 Q. Within that area of research, other than the  
24 three wells that you've identified, everything else has  
25 satisfied you as an engineer that they're isolated between

1 the Premier and the San Andres?

2 A. Within the unit boundaries, yes, sir.

3 Q. Yes, sir. And as to any other formation, we  
4 don't have wellbores that are problem wellbores, if we want  
5 to put the San Andres as a separate pool?

6 A. No, sir, not within the boundaries of the  
7 existing unit.

8 Q. Have you tabbed the exhibit book so that Mr.  
9 Stogner can find the scout tickets that are associated with  
10 the three wells that you've described?

11 A. We have -- Yes, we have.

12 Q. I believe his copy has got those tabs.

13 A. Yes, his copy is tabbed.

14 Q. Mr. Jordan has reached a geologic conclusion  
15 about the separation of the San Andres. Has there been any  
16 occurrence in the pools for having wellbore action,  
17 stimulation or otherwise, that would communicate the San  
18 Andres with other reservoirs?

19 A. No, sir.

20 Q. This is not a situation where you could frac into  
21 the producing interval of the San Andres and communicate  
22 that wellbore by a fracture system into the Premier?

23 A. No, not in the producing interval that we're  
24 currently actively pursuing.

25 Q. In the Premier sand, does the unit operator --

1     what action does he take for stimulating his wells?

2             A.     Those wells are fracture-stimulated.

3             Q.     Do you see any reason to suspect that the  
4     fracture stimulation would have broken the bottom of the  
5     container for the Premier sand and allowed hydrocarbons to  
6     communicate with the San Andres?

7             A.     No, not of any significance.

8             Q.     Okay. Your major targets are down farther in the  
9     San Andres?

10            A.     Yes, sir.

11            Q.     What are your timing plans for commencing the  
12     drilling of wells in the San Andres so that you'll have an  
13     opportunity to access SDX's share of the hydrocarbons in  
14     that formation?

15            A.     We have had drilling plans that we've put on hold  
16     pending the results of this hearing, and would hope to get  
17     started in the very near future.

18            Q.     Within the unit area, is all of the San Andres  
19     formation controlled by SDX?

20            A.     Yes, it is.

21            Q.     There won't be other operators in the San Andres  
22     within the unit area?

23            A.     There are -- No, there will not be.

24                   MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination of  
25     Mr. Morgan.

1           We move the examination of Exhibits 1 through 6,  
2           excluding Number 2.

3           EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 will  
4           be admitted into evidence at this time.

5                               EXAMINATION

6           BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

7           Q.    Mr. Morgan, I believe on one of the exhibits, I  
8           think 7D, does that show the current injection wells in  
9           that Premier sand?

10          A.    Yes, it does.

11          Q.    And how -- I only count about three or four; is  
12          that correct?

13          A.    That's correct. They're indicated with a  
14          triangle.

15          Q.    Okay. Do you know what pressures the injections  
16          are, or what the pressure in the Premier sand is?

17          A.    I believe those injection pressures to be around  
18          900 to 1100 pounds. I'm not certain on that.

19          Q.    And that's on the surface, right?

20          A.    Yes.

21          Q.    Do you know if that Premier sand is pressured up?

22          A.    I don't believe that it is. We haven't -- In the  
23          wells that we drilled through it, around the unit  
24          boundaries and the one within the unit, we have had no  
25          indication of any pressures or water flows in the Premier.

1 Q. So no problem in drilling through it?

2 A. No, sir.

3 Q. Do you know if they're using fresh water or  
4 produced water?

5 A. Produced water.

6 Q. Produced. When did the Premier sand -- when was  
7 it unitized, when did it start waterflood?

8 A. It was unitized in 1957, and I am not certain on  
9 the dates as to when the waterflood commenced.

10 Q. Okay, do you know when the Red Lake-Queen-  
11 Grayburg-San Andres Pool came into existence?

12 A. No, I do not.

13 Q. But quite a bit of time before the unitization  
14 and the waterflood started, wasn't it?

15 A. Yes, sir, I believe so.

16 Q. That's not unusual in southeast New Mexico to  
17 find a pool that includes several formations, is it?

18 A. No, it is not.

19 Q. Okay. Especially the older ones?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Do you know why that might have been or --

22 A. It's my belief that it was done that way because  
23 several of the pools or formations within a pool were not  
24 economically viable when completed by themselves, so an  
25 operator would need to produce two or three zones at the

1 same time to make a commercial well. And the pressures  
2 were basically similar, so there was no problem in doing  
3 this.

4 Q. Now, the middle San Andres or the lower San  
5 Andres, does it produce much water?

6 A. It has I'm going to say on the average of 30- to  
7 60-percent water cut, depending on where you're at in the  
8 structure.

9 Q. So it's not water-sensitive?

10 A. No, it's not water-sensitive.

11 Q. On the completion techniques for the Premier  
12 sands, do they also fracture the injection wells, or were  
13 those mostly old producing wells that were turned into  
14 injectors?

15 A. I believe those were old producing wells that  
16 were turned into injectors, but I do believe they were  
17 originally frac'd or shot. Some of these wells were old  
18 cable-tool wells that were shot.

19 Q. Okay. Now, you testified that you believe the  
20 fracture stimulations in the Premier sand were confined to  
21 the Premier sand?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay, and do you want to elaborate on that for me  
24 a little bit more? Why do you believe that, and why...

25 A. The Premier sand is basically a sandstone. The



1 rock mechanics are such that it has a lower frac gradient  
2 than the underlying San Andres, and I believe without  
3 intentionally trying to breach that boundary between the  
4 two, that you should be able to stay in zone in the Premier  
5 sand, due to rock mechanics.

6 Q. Now, how about the fracture stimulation in the  
7 San Andres, your middle San Andres? Is it going to be  
8 confined there? I'm assuming that you're fracturing those  
9 zones too.

10 A. Yes, we are fracturing those zones. You do have  
11 some frac height associated with those frac jobs. We are,  
12 I believe, of sufficient distance away from the top of the  
13 San Andres to preclude any communication up into the  
14 Premier sand.

15 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to refer to that Well  
16 Number 44.

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Now, in that particular well -- and you said the  
19 46 was a lot like it -- there was some San Andres  
20 perforations. Of course, that was in the upper San  
21 Andres --

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. -- portion.

24 A. I believe that to be the Lovington sand, if  
25 you'll allow that term.

1 Q. Was it producible?

2 A. Yes, it is, in some places.

3 Q. Are you going to be testing that with your wells?

4 A. We have no plans at this time to test it.

5 Q. Now, how about the fracturing of the Premier sand  
6 with respect to this top of the Lovington portion of the  
7 upper San Andres?

8 A. Are you referring to that specific well?

9 Q. Not the specific well as much as the general,  
10 overall Premier sand and the way it was fractured and the  
11 way it was stimulated. Because you had testified you  
12 believe the 400-foot depth to your proposed zone was  
13 sufficient to isolate any fractures.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. But how about -- And that looks to be about what,  
16 40 to 50 feet below the --

17 A. It ranges from 40 to 70 feet. I believe if you  
18 have the Premier sand open during a frac job, that you're  
19 not going to grow out of the Premier sand unless you  
20 intentionally do so. Again, here we're getting into frac  
21 theory, and that's kind of a whole 'nother ball of wax.

22 These stimulation jobs that were done in the  
23 existing Premier sand wells were not big jobs and were not  
24 at very high rates, and that's why I believe that they were  
25 confined to the Premier sand.

1           Q.    Now, you're proposing to drill 24 to 25 new  
2 wells?

3           A.    Yes, sir.

4           Q.    How about -- are you going to be re-entering any  
5 old wells?

6           A.    We don't have any candidates identified right now  
7 to re-enter. The age of some of these wellbores prohibits  
8 that.

9           Q.    Now, these 24 to 25 wells, are these going to be  
10 on 40-acre spacing, or do you propose to drill down to a  
11 lesser spacing?

12          A.    Our initial drilling program will be on 40-acre  
13 spacing. We probably will drill some infill wells as we go  
14 along.

15          Q.    Any plan, or do you see this as a good candidate  
16 later on? Are any of the San Andres formations very good  
17 candidates for waterflood or tertiary recovery?

18          A.    Well, that's a very good question. I believe it  
19 to be a waterflood candidate, but we have no plans at this  
20 time to do that.

21               EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of this  
22 witness?

23               MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.

24               EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

25               MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes our presentation in

1 this case.

2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have  
3 anything further in this matter?

4 Then this case will be taken under advisement.

5 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at  
6 9:05 a.m.)

7 \* \* \*

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
17 a complete record of the proceedings in  
18 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12848  
19 heard by me on 30 May 2002.  
20  
21 Michael E. Stogner, Examiner  
22 Oil Conservation Division  
23  
24  
25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO    )  
                                  )    ss.  
COUNTY OF SANTA FE    )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 30th, 2002.



STEVEN T. BRENNER  
CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002