

Correspondence

Case No. 14

Mar. - Apr. - May, 1941

TIDE WATER ASSOCIATED OIL COMPANY

MID-CONTINENT DIVISION

HOUSTON, TEXAS

March 31, 1941

Mr. Carl B. Livingston, Attorney
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

IN RE: CASE #14, HOBBS DIVISION,
PRORATION MATTERS.

Dear Mr. Livingston:

Under date of February 4, you advised our Mr. J. E. Roth in our Tulsa office that the Gulf Oil Corporation had filed application for Rehearing and Reconsideration of your Commission's recent Order No. 329, amending Order No. 48 known as the Hobbs Proration Order. Your letter was referred to this office for reply.

After due consideration, we have decided that we will not request that Order No. 329 be changed. It so happens that our wells are located so that the new order has slight effect on our allowable production. We will lose about 9 barrels per day.

The only suggestion we might offer is that we do not favor any method of allocation in the Hobbs Field that will require testing the wells for potentials. We believe that due to the age of the field such procedure will do more harm than good.

Thanking you for calling this matter to our attention, we remain,

Very truly yours,

TIDE WATER ASSOCIATED OIL COMPANY

By-


J. R. Gahan.

JRG:fn

CC-Mr. J. E. Roth
Mr. D. E. Legan