

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Case 263

(This case is a matter of nomenclature for the designation or extension of various pools, which is necessitated by recently completed wells in the vicinity of the House Pool, Bough Pool, Vacuum Pool, and Bagley Siluro-Devonian Pool; Twin Lakes (discovery well Magnolia No. 1 O'Brien "B"), Fowler-Blinebry (discovery well Stanolind State "D" Tract 14 No. 1), Gladiola-Abo (discovery well Sinclair, Virgie Green Tract "A" No. 1), Levick Pool (discovery well Levick State No. 1), Keohane Pool (discovery well Keohane, Inc. No. 1 "D" Keohane).)

(Mr. Graham reads the notice of publication.)

MR. McCORMICK: Mr. Shepard, in this case affidavits and also some letters that are not sworn to, together with plats, have been sent in by the various operators who have requested that these pools either be created or extended, as the case may be; and in order to conserve time, I would suggest that any operators present who have any information to give the Commission about these particular pools be asked to do so; and that the Commission then determine to consider the matter in the file already without having to repeat or take these now in the file.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: I think that is a good suggestion. Does anyone have anything to offer on this case?

MR. GRAY: Just a correction, Mr. Shepard, is all. On the Sinclair Abo. I have a letter written to Mr. Spurrier.

If we could make it an exhibit and part of the hearing, it will suffice, and I will be glad to do that. I will read here the tops of the geological formations. In this instance the Abo is above the producing formation from which this well produces.

Anhydrite	2198	Fullerton	7238
Yates	3057	Abo	7796
San Andres	4418	Wolfcamp	9083
Glorieta	5863	Pennsylvanian	9883
Tubbs	7131	Mississipian	11363
		Devonian	12141

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Anyone else?

MR. McCORMICK: It isn't clear in this notice what horizon the Twin Lakes is producing from. Does Magnolia have a man?

(Off the record.)

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Mr. Morrell?

MR. MORRELL: You are speaking of the case as a whole?

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Yes.

MR. MORRELL: In the interests of expediting action, I heartily endorse Mr. McCormick's though. I would like to suggest as a suggestion for the Commission the consideration on the basis of the results of the hearing held on nomenclature on February 20th, at which time the testimony was presented for a specific acreage to extend the North Maljamar Pool; order R-54, dated February 26, 1951, included that

that acreage which was suggested, but also included additional acreage which had been in the Robinson Pool since 1927 by a Commission order. Likewise in the recommendation for the Extension of the Drinkard Pool, order R-54 included the NE/4 of Sec. 10-21S-37E, which had been in the North and Drinkard Pool by a Commission order and to avoid overlaps and also misunderstanding and allow all operators who might be interested in nomenclature, it would seem to me to be helpful if the Commission, after the hearing, would prepare a proposed order of nomenclature and circulate it for thirty days, to be decided on finally at the next monthly hearing. If there were no objections, it would become final. If there were objections, then everyone would have some specific acreage described to discuss.

MR. McCORMICK: There appears in the notice by exact description that goes out.

MR. MORRELL: Not all of the acreage is included in the notice that goes out. But it is subject also to what is brought up at the hearing. And the result of R-54 showed that order covered acreage which wasn't discussed at the hearing. I think the thirty-day circulation will be helpful to everyone and the Commission.

MR. McCORMICK: I will ask you if you think it is wise to identify the producing horizon along with each pool--a hyphenated name? You think it is necessary?

MR. MORRELL: Definitely it is necessary unless you have only one producing formation. The pool name and the--the pool name would designate that formation. But if you have more than one formation, then the formations' names should be attached to the pool names by a hyphen.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Anyone else? If not, then we will take up the next case.

MR. SPURRIER: Could I bring up one thing I forgot to bring up? On this Keohane Pool, Mr. Keohane wrote the Commission a letter. It may be in the file. But if it isn't, I will tell you. He doesn't personally want the pool named Keohane. He wants it named Santo Nino. I will recommend it be named Santo Nino.

MR. MORRELL: Since you mentioned a specific pool--the Levick Pool, he said he wasn't interested in the name, and the well is located within the, within a mile of the Acme post office and the Acme projection corner, and it seems Acme would be the logical name.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: We will take up the next case.

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
 :
STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss

I HEREBY CERTIFY That the foregoing transcript of hearing in Case No. 263 before the Oil Conservation Commission in Santa Fe on March 20, 1951, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, N. M., March 28, 1951.

C. G. Gleson

My Commission Expires 8-4-52.