

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
July 17, 1957

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Case 1282

DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES  
INCORPORATED  
GENERAL LAW REPORTERS  
ALBUQUERQUE - SANTE FE  
3-6691 2-2211

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
July 17, 1957

-----

IN THE MATTER OF:

Southeastern New Mexico Nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools and extending and deleting certain areas from existing pools in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

(a) Create a new oil pool for Grayburg production, designated as the Teague-Grayburg Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST  
Section 20: SE/4

Case  
1282

(b) Create a new oil pool for Pennsylvanian production, designated as the Kemnitz-Pennsylvanian Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST  
Section 13: SE/4

(c) Extend the Dollarhide-Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST  
Section 19: NW/4  
Section 20: W/2 SW/4

(d) Extend the Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST  
Section 27: E/2 NE/4

(e) Extend the Gladiola Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST  
Section 25: N/2 NE/4

TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST

Section 17: NW/4  
Section 18: N/2 NE/4

(f) Extend the North Gladiola-Devonian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST

Section 32: SE/4

TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST

Section 6: SW/4  
Section 7: NW/4  
Section 8: NW/4

(g) Extend the West Henshaw-Grayburg Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST

Section 4: SE/4  
Section 5: SE/4  
Section 8: NE/4

(h) Extend the Langlie-Mattix Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST

Section 4: E/2 SE/4

(i) Extend the Pearl-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST

Section 22: S/2 SE/4

(j) Extend the Townsend-Wolfcamp Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST

Section 6: W/2 SW/4

(k) Extend the Terry-Blinebry Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST

Section 3: Lot 16  
Section 4: Lot 1

(l) Delete the following area from the Blinebry Gas Pool:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST

Section 3: Lot 16  
Section 4: Lot 1

BEFORE:

Mr. A. L. Porter  
Mr. Murray Morgan  
Honorable Edwin L. Mechem

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. PORTER: The next case to be considered will be Case 1232.

MR. COOLEY: Southeastern New Mexico Nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools and extending and deleting certain areas from existing pools in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

R. F. MONTGOMERY

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By MR. COOLEY:

Q State your name and position?

A R. F. Montgomery, Proration Manager, Oil Conservation Commission.

Q Mr. Montgomery, in your official capacity as Proration Manager for the Oil Conservation Commission, have you had an opportunity to make a study of the order creating new pools and extending and deleting certain areas from existing pools in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico?

A I have.

Q Mr. Montgomery, do you have any recommendation concerning

(a) The creation of a new oil pool for Grayburg production?

A We do, but due to the legalities, it is my recommendation that we dismiss this case and readvertise it for the following regular hearing.

MR. COOLEY: Let the record show that there was an error in advertisement in ~~The~~ New Mexican.

MR. PORTER: In other words, you are recommending that Paragraph A be continued? A Dismissed.

MR. PORTER: Oh, dismissed.

Q Do you have any recommendations concerning the creation of a new oil pool for Pennsylvanian production in Township 16 South, Range 33 East?

A I do. I recommend that pool be created as advertised. The discovery well was the Sinclair Oil and Gas Company Seaman Unit No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 13-16-33, completed in the Pennsylvanian on 10/1/56, top of the perforation is 11,230 feet.

Q Is it your recommendation that the Kemnitz-Pennsylvanian Pool be the Pennsylvanian formation?

A I do.

Q Have you any recommendations concerning the extension of the Dollarhide (Drinkard) in Township 24 South, Range 38 East?

A It is my recommendation that the pool be extended as advertised.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension

of the Drinkard Pool?

A My recommendation is that the Drinkard Pool be extended as advertised plus an unadvertised portion being the North half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 28, Township 22 South, Range 37 East.

Q Do you have any recommendation concerning the extension of the Gladiola Pool?

A My recommendation is that the pool be extended as advertised.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the North Gladiola-Devonian?

A My recommendation is that that pool be extended as advertised.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the West Henshaw-Grayburg Pool?

A My recommendation is that due to another error in legal advertisement, that this portion of Case 1282 be dismissed.

MR. COOLEY: Let the record show that the error in advertisement occurred in the Artesia Daily Press.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Langlie-Mattix?

A My recommendation is that the Langlie-Mattix be extended as advertised.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the Pearl-Queen Pool?

A My recommendation is that that pool be extended as advertised.

Q Do you have any recommendations concerning the extension of the Townsend-Wolfcamp Pool?

A I recommend that pool be extended as advertised.

Q In the advertisement and on the docket we have Paragraphs K and L of Case 1282 concerning the extension of the Terry-Blinebry and deletion of the same acreage from the Blinebry Gas Pool. What are your recommendations concerning these two paragraphs?

A My recommendation is that this portion of the case be dismissed and readvertised at the next monthly hearing in order that we can also delete a portion from the Blinebry Oil Pool, which we failed to advertise. The order on these particular fields requires that the horizontal limits not overlap, and we failed to put that portion in it.

Q Do you have any further recommendations?

A That's all I have.

Q Do you have any exhibits in this case?

A I do. I have Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Q Wait a minute, you have Exhibit 7?

A I had previously marked these Exhibits 1 through 15, but due to the errors in legal advertisement -- shall I remark them?

Q You had better mark them right, Exhibit 1 is for creation of the Kemnitz.

A I have that as Exhibit 2.

Q All right, Exhibit 2.

A Exhibit 3 is the Dollarhide-Drinkard, 4 is the Drinkard 5 and 6 are the Gladiola, Seven is North Gladiola, Eight is North Gladiola-Devonian, and Exhibit 10, Langlie-Mattix, Exhibit 11, Pearl-Queen, Exhibit 12, Townsend-Wolfcamp. That's all.

Q Were these exhibits prepared by you or under your supervision?

A They were.

MR. COOLEY: I move the Commission to accept Exhibits 2 through 8, 10 through 12.

MR. PORTER: Without objection, Exhibits through 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 will be admitted.

Does anyone have a question of Mr. Montgomery? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused)

MR. PORTER: If there is nothing further in this case, we will take it under advisement and take up next Case 1283.

