

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
November 20, 1957

IN THE MATTER OF
CASE NO. 1350

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES
INCORPORATED
GENERAL LAW REPORTERS
ALBUQUERQUE - SANTA FE
3-6691 2-2211

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
November 20, 1957

IN THE MATTER OF:)

Application of John J. Eisner for a)
non-standard gas proration unit in the)
Empire-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy)
County, New Mexico. Applicant, in)
the above-styled cause, seeks an)
order establishing a non-standard)
gas proration unit in the Empire-)
Pennsylvanian Gas Pool consisting)
of the S/2 SE/4 of Section 20, and)
the N/2 NE/4 of Section 29, Town-)
ship 17 South, Range 28 East, Eddy)
County, New Mexico, said unit to be)
dedicated to applicant's well to be)
drilled at a point 330 feet from the)
North line and 990 feet from the East)
line of said Section 29.)

CASE NO.
1350

BEFORE:

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. UTZ: Next case on the docket will be Case 1350.

MR. COOLEY: Application of John J. Eisner for a non-standard
gas proration unit in the Empire-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy Co-
unty, New Mexico.

MR. CHRISTY: I am Sims Christy of Hervey, Dow and Hinkle,
for the applicant, John Eisner.

Since filing the application, Mr. Examiner, it has come to our
attention that the well location stated in the application of 330
feet from the North line and 990 feet from the East line of Section

24, falls exactly on an old abandoned well known as the Kelse and Company, Delhi No. 4. We would therefore ask to amend the application and move the proposed location approximately 25 feet to the North, in conformity with the 104-D Rule. I do not believe that it would take a re-advertisement to do that.

Secondly, as to the balance of the matters asked in the application, we would ask the Commission to postpone hearing on it until the next Examiner's meeting in December of 1957. During that time an attempt, an earnest attempt will be made to form a proration unit consisting of the Northeast Quarter of Section 29, if that can be done, if the application will be amended; if it cannot, we would like to have our present application heard in December of 1957.

MR. COOLEY: One moment, please. Mr. Christy, there seems to be no end to the problems with regard to this case. If you move the well 25 feet North, then it would be a non-standard and an unorthodox location, provided you dedicate the Northeast Quarter. I assume that moving the well is contingent upon failure of efforts to communitize?

MR. CHRISTY: That is correct. If we obtain a communitization agreement, as to the Northeast Quarter of Section 29, we will ask for a new location to the South in Section 29, without hearing, as I understand it.

MR. COOLEY: Any well location within the Northeast Quarter that would be in conformity with 104-D would be satisfactory.

4

MR. CHRISTY: That is correct, but I ask for the amendment, 25 feet north, on the ground that I may not be able to communitize to 29. I would like to hold that question until the next meeting in December.

MR. UTZ: Is there objection to the continuance of this case until the next Examiner's hearing in December? If there are no objections, it will be so continued.

MR. CHRISTY: Thank you.

