The Texas Company, Midland, Texas,

Oil Conservation Commission, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Sirs;-

Les angeles 39, California.

March 12th.1959.

In regards to the proposed disposal of salt water from certain, locations near , and anothing is near in these porous formations as are in these locations of this part of the State of Lew Mexico. I am and have reasons to know that the claim of or never will be any oils or gas in this two miles that are claimed in the application to the Oil Sonservation Commission of the State of New Mexico is very injurious to the unharmed interests in SET of Sec. 25. Two 113. Rgc 32E. for the ones that have interests in this claim. This is one of the very large encreachments of the rights of lease helders in this most porous section known in the whole world, and I feel that we objections to this proposal, shows that I will be imposed upon in that my leases might receive most of all of this salt water that the claim is made for.

I am in a proper connection with certain interests in Houston, Texas for the operation of my interests in the above lease for gas and/or oil and I could not possibly profit in the operation of this interests, and with all of my under land of my lease flooded with salt water that does not help in any locations such as are in any perous underlands, so I must be injured in this proposal, so I will not and must not aggree to this. I have just went through with a same kind of a movement here in Los Angeles in which case I aggreed, but it was a case of drinkable waters, but this other as in the above case , is salt water, a big problem in any bodies country, and if it was just on the surface it would be not so bad but in and down under, and in porous underlands, it is out of the question with me.

If I did not have any interests near this Moore fields I would not abject, and at this distance my interests in Roosevelt County in several lections would not be effected as I know it, at this time.

I want to urge the denial of this proposal of the Texas Company by the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Mexico. I am

3029-Perlita Ave. Los Angeles 39.

California.

Howald 5/1/59 Market 5/1/59 Docket hailed

## 

Oil Conservation Commission, Maybry Hall, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Attention; Mr. Elvis A. Utz, Los Angeles 39.California. April 24th.1959.

Dear Sirs; -

I have before my your Docket notice for 9 A.M., May 6th.1959 in Mabry Hall, State Capitol., Santa Fe., with the application from the Texas Company to pump salt water into any caverns down under where the undersigned has and am paying rentals for until 1968 as I see it.

This lease is for sale for the sufficient reason that I am nearing 76 years of Some of these leases near by was bought for the price of \$307.23 and \$341.98 in the near vacinity and new along comes Mr. Texas Oil Company and find that they want to fill up caverns that lay under and in the lease that I am paying to hold for future development or sale and in addition some of the oil companies has written to me that there is a dry hole on this lease when that is not true at all, but instead a cavern that they drilled into two ones are wanting to handle but is the Texas Company pumps at the rate of some 3400 barrels per day into this known cavern it will speil my valuble holdings in this lease and I will very much injured and make my lease very much withless in these depths that they speak of, ets. I did offer this leade for sale at \$70.00 per acre and since the notice that I got from the Texas Company, dated Farch 6th. 1959. I will let them have this lease for the low price of \$30.00 per acre and let them have it for all worths that they can get out of it. I don't want any intrusions in my lease rights for not one cent less and they wast be held strictly for any trespassing in this lease in this Labre oil formation in any and all ways possible. I cannot be in Santa Fe, New hexico until about May 27th.or May 28th.1959.

The very idea of any ones taking advantages through a due process of law, if it is granted is not the way that I was able and did buy this lease for specultive purposes, and have turned down effers of late and also last year that was to small of a profit, etc. Also I find a lrs. John R. here of Caprock is much interested also in this move of the Texas Company in Properties that they do not have any rights whatsever and if it is granted to the Texas Company, I am greatly injured in my plans for what ever they might be, etc. I wonder if I should ask the Texas Company for a like deal, just how would they react, and too, ir. Utz, if you was in my shoes, make it plain, just how would you react. I mill make this very good deal for them, at \$30.00 cash, for within one fourth riles, cornerwise from this 100 acres I have lease was bought for more \$100.00 per acre. You wen have what all of us paid for our leases on file. By lands in Dimmitt County Texas no one have tried to molest in any manner and also in West Arkansas nor did they in Michigan when I was a owner there, in south Lichigan. I can neet with them along in the last of lay only. I am

Los Angeles 39; California.

PS; -I will leave his angeles has 24th.will be in Santa Fe Hay 26th. maybe 27th.also. I would like to meet any of the Texas Co pany people on this distroying of my leasehold, as I see it. I wonder what they would do if I should dump any of my refuse on them, or what kind of a ruling would you make, in my doing something detrimental to the Texas Company. So "r, Utz do not favor any one by allowing them to pump salt water in any caverns on W leasehold.



### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## STATE ENGINEER OFFICE SANTA FE

S. E. REYNOLDS STATE ENGINEER

May 5, 1959

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P. O. BOX 1079
SANTA FE, N. M.

Oil Conservation Commission State Capitol Building Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attn. Mr. Utz

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your Case No. 1658 on your Examiner Hearing Docket for May 6, 1959. I will not be able to attend this hearing and therefore consider it advisable to state the State Engineer's position in this matter.

Examination of the file on this well reveals that the surface casing consists of 346 feet of 13 3/8 inch casing on which cement was circulated to the surface with 350 sacks; that there is 3540 feet of 8 5/8 inch casing on which cement was circulated to the surface with 2000 sacks. It is my understanding that neither of these two strings of casing will be perforated. The formation below the longer of these two strings of casing is of permian age. If this information obtained from the file is correct, the State Engineer offers no objection to the use of this well for disposal of salt water. If anyone of the statements is incorrect, the State Engineer does object to the use of this well for salt water disposal.

Very truly yours,

S. E. Reynolds State Engineer

Frank E. Irby

Chief

Water Rights Division

FEI/ma cc-R. L. Borton WELL HISTORY - PRESENT SWD WELL
State of New Mexico "BN" (NCT-1) SWD-1
11;31; FSL and 898.6; FWL, Section 25, T-11-S, R-32-E
Lea County, New Mexico

Total Depth: 1,450'
Disposal Interval: 1,260' to 1,440'
Disposal Formation: Dewey Lake
Date Disposal Commenced: May 21, 1958
Present Rate of Disposal: 3,630 Barrels Water Per Day
Present Injection Pressure: 1125 psi
Cumulative Volume of Water Disposed: 854,106 Barrels to April 1, 1959
Anticipated Future Disposal Needs: 5,000 to 7,000 Barrels Water Per Day

Caprock, New Mexico 9 March 1959

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

This is to certify, that I, Myrtle M. Moore, of Caprock, New Mexico, am the owner of -

Both surface and mineral rights in the N/2 of Section 25, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in the Moore Devonian Pool.

And I do hereby formally protest the application of the Texas Company, Box 352, Midland, Texas, to dispose of salt water by injection into their Well No. 1, on State Lease New Mexico "BN" (NCT-1), Lea County, Unit: L, in the 5/2 of said Section 25, in or below the San Andres formation.

This proposed application will be very detrimental to production of oil in the north half of said Section 25, in which I have four producing wells.

Signed this 9 day of March, A. D., 1959.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 9 day of

March, 1959, by Myrtle M. Moore.

y Commission expirés

100 March 11, 1959

SUP Jele for hearing

The Texas Company P. O. Box 352 Midland, Texas

Attn. Mr. J. G. Blevins, Jr.

#### Gentlemen:

Receipt of your letter of March 6, 1959 with the enclosed application to dispose of salt water by injection into a porous formation is herewith acknowledged. A copy of Mr. Porter's letter to you on the subject application has been received in this office.

After a brief study of your application, it appears that the ground waters in the vicinity of this disposal well would be adequately protected by your casing program. However, since hearing is suggested by Mr. Porter on this matter, I will refrain from making a definite statement until that time,

Yours truly.

S. E. Reynolds State Engineer

By:

Frank E. Irby Chief Water Rights Division

FEI/ma cc-A. L. Porter, Jr.

## THE TEXAS COMPANY

TEXACO PETROLEUM PRODUCTS



DOMESTIC PRODUCING DEPARTMENT
WEST TEXAS DIVISION

P. O. BOX 1720 FORT WORTH 1, TEXAS

April 6, 1959

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Dear Sir:

Please refer to your letter dated March 19, 1959, concerning The Texas Company's request for administrative approval to dispose of salt water through its State "BN", NCT 1, Well No. 1. This is to advise that we would like to proceed with our application, and request that this matter be set for hearing on the first available docket. We assume, as indicated in your letter, that our original request for administrative approval will be considered as an application for hearing.

Yours very truly,

H. N. Wade

Division Proration Engineer

HNW-JW

FML

cc: Frank Irby, Santa Fe, New Mexico
L. C. White, Santa Fe, New Mexico

MOORE (DEVONIAN) COOPERATIVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

SCALE: 1" = 2000'

AREA OUTLINE OF SYSTEM

4½" OD Thin Wall Steel Cement-Lined Pipe

6%" OD Thin Wall Steel Cement-Lined Pipe

Tank Battery

Proposed SWD Well (Annular Disposal)

# WELL HISTORY - PRESENT SWD WELL State of New Mexice "BH" (NCT-1) SWD-1 11;31; FSL and 898.6 FWL, Section 25, T-11-S, R-32-E Lea County, New Mexico

Total Depth: 1,450°
Disposal Interval: 1,260° to 1,440°
Disposal Formation: Dewey Lake
Date Disposal Commenced: May 21, 1958
Present Rate of Disposal: 3,630 Barrels Water Per Day
Present Injection Pressure: 1125 psi
Cumulative Volume of Water Disposed: 854,106 Barrels to April 1, 1959
Anticipated Future Disposal Needs: 5,000 to 7,000 Barrels Water Per Day