

HUSKY OIL COMPANY

PHONE 500

P. O. BOX 380

CODY, WYOMING

900 V & J Tower
Midland, Texas

August 28, 1959

Handwritten:
J. J. [unclear]
G. H. [unclear]

Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Elvis Utz

Re: Husky Oil Company
Montecito Woolworth No. 2
Unit M
Section 33, Township 24
Range 37
Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

This is a request of the Husky Oil Company for a hearing before the Commission to consider Husky Oil Company's application to allow its Montecito Woolworth No. 2 gas well in the Jalmat Pool to be allowed to continue to produce and make up its overproduction of gas at a reduced producing rate as set out in Commission Order R-967 Rule 10.

The well in question was previously a marginal well and was reclassified non-marginal effective July 1, 1959. According to the record this well in July was overproduced some 139,877 MCF of gas or about 53 times its August allocation. Should this well have an average monthly allowable of 3,000 MCF, a shut-in period of some 46 months would be required to make up the now outstanding overage.

During this lengthy shut-in period, it is very possible that this well could be permanently damaged. At present the well produces an estimated 2 to 5 barrels of water per day and requires a blow-off of this water once a week. Also in the past years the deliverability of the well has fluctuated considerably. In April, 1958, when the well was producing into the El Paso Natural Gas Company's high pressure line, this well's deliverability was 224 MCF per day. In the 5 month period preceding the April, 1958 test, monthly gas production ranged from 71 MCF to 761 MCF per month. In January of 1959, the well was placed in an intermediate pressure line and the deliverability increased to 778 MCF per day. This data indicates that during 1958, the period of time when the well's



Handwritten:
Robert Males
- 9-15-59
RM

productivity was low, it was loading up with water and in turn its gas production decreased. With the lower line pressure the well was able to unload more of its fluid naturally while flowing, thereby providing a water free well bore when the March, 1959 deliverability test was conducted.

It is Husky Oil Company's belief that a long period of shut-in will cause this well to become permanently damaged from water. Therefore Husky Oil Company hereby requests a hearing before the Commission to show why this well should not be shut-in, but allowed to make up its overproduction at 50 per cent of its monthly allocation. It is also requested that in the intervening period before the hearing is ruled upon, that this well be allowed to continue producing.

Very truly yours,

HUSKY OIL COMPANY

W. H. Arrington, Jr.
W. H. Arrington, Jr.
District Engineer

WHA:sab

	1084	2034
	1176	5459
	1106	6331
	1133	2247
	2841	1457
	2232	6555
	2111	1315
	2206	4558
	2188	36717
	1503	22001
	1853	11797
	2283	77-26

148,572