

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
January 18, 1961

IN THE MATTER OF:)

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature)
case calling for an order creating new)
pools and extending existing pools in)
Lea, Eddy and Roosevelt Counties, also)
deleting a portion of a pool in Eddy)
County.)

Case 2157

BEFORE: Honorable Edwin L. Mechem, Chairman
Mr. E. S. (Johnny) Walker, Land Commissioner
Mr. A. L. "Pete" Porter, Secretary-Director

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. PORTER: We will take up next the Southeastern nomenclature case No. 2157.

MR. MORRIS: Let the record show that the witness in this case is the same Mr. Ramey who was sworn in the previous case.

JOE D. RAMEY

called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Will the witness please state his name and position?

A J. D. Ramey, Proration Manager for the New Mexico Oil



Conservation Commission.

Q Have you made a study for an order creating new pools and extending existing pools in Lea, Eddy and Roosevelt Counties and also a study concerning deleting a portion of a pool in Eddy County?

A Yes, I have.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the creation of a new pool for Glorieta Production?

A It is recommended that a new oil pool be created and designated as the East Garrett-Glorieta Pool, the vertical limits to be the Glorieta formation and the horizontal limits as shown in Exhibit A. The discovery well was Texaco, Inc. R.J. Byers No. 1, completed November 4th, 1960, top of perforations at 6416 feet.

Q Mr. Ramey, did you determine that Paragraph B of Case 2157 should be dismissed?

A Yes, dismissed pending further study.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the creation of a new oil pool for Pennsylvanian production?

A It is recommended that a new oil pool be created and designated as the Scharb-Pennsylvanian Pool, vertical limits to be the Pennsylvanian formation and the horizontal limits, as shown in Exhibit C. The discovery was the Ohio Oil Company State NPA No. 1, completed April 1st, 1960; top perforation is at 13,033 feet.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the creation of the new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production?



A It is recommended that a new gas pool be created and designated as the White City-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, vertical limits to be the Pennsylvanian formation and the horizontal limits as shown in Exhibit D. Discovery well was the Gulf Oil Corporation Federal Estill AD No. 1, completed on November 1st, 1960. Top of perforations is at 9,806 feet.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph E Exhibit 1, on the basis of two wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Anderson Ranch-Devonian Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph F, Exhibit 1, on the basis of one well completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Culwin-Yates Pool?

A That the boundary on the Culwin-Yates Pool be extended as shown in Paragraph G, Exhibit 1, on the basis of eight wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Dog Canyon-Grayburg Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph H, Exhibit 1 on the basis of one well completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension



of the Grayburg Jackson Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph I, Exhibit 1, on the basis of two wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Langlie Mattix Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph J, Exhibit 1, on the basis of two wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph K, Exhibit 1, on the basis of four wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Red Lake Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph L of Exhibit 1 on the basis of one well completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Robinson Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph M, Exhibit 1, on the basis of two wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Shugart Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph N, Exhibit 1, on the basis of four wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691



Square Lake Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph O, Exhibit 1, on the basis of two wells completed.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the deletion of a portion of the Red Lake Pool?

A That the boundary be deleted as shown in Paragraph P, Exhibit 1.

Q For what reason?

A There are no producing wells in that area.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Artesia Pool?

A That the boundary be extended as shown in Paragraph Q, Exhibit 1, on the basis of several wells to be drilled therein.

Q To be drilled?

A Yes. This is an odd situation. It seems an operator which has, he has, presently has production in the Artesia Pool on the same basic lease and the leases which he plans to develop further is now in the Red Lake Pool.

Q Have you prepared an Exhibit 1 substantiating your recommendations?

A Yes, I have.

MR. PAYNE: We move for the introduction of Exhibit 1 in Case 2157.

MR. PORTER: Without objection the exhibit will be



