

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87109  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BEFORE THE  
NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
CONFERENCE HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

June 28, 1972

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Pubco Petroleum  
Corporation for Special pool rules,  
Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE NO. 4748

a n d

Application of Harding Oil Company  
for a discovery allowable and  
special pool rules, Lea County, New  
Mexico.

CASE NO. 4749

BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz  
Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

1 MR. UTZ: Case 4748.

2 MR. HATCH: The Application of Pubco Petroleum  
3 Corporation for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.  
4 I think we need a decision as to whether we are going to  
5 hear these cases at the same time, Case 4748, the Application  
6 of Pubco, and Case 4749, the Application of Harding Oil.

7 MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle of Hinkle, Bondurant  
8 and Christy, Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of  
9 Harding Oil Company. We would like to enter our appearance  
10 in Cases 4748 and 4749, and we have no objection to  
11 consolidating the Cases for the purpose of taking testimony.

12 MR. BUELL: Sumner Buell of Montgomery, Federici,  
13 Andrews, Hannahs and Morris, I would like to enter my appearance  
14 on behalf of H. L. Brown, Jr.

15 MR. SPERLING: James Sperling of Modrall, Sperling,  
16 Roehl, Harris and Sisk, Albuquerque, appearing on behalf of  
17 Pubco Petroleum Corporation in Cases 4748 and 4749. We have  
18 no objection to the consolidation of the two Cases for the  
19 purpose of testimony.

20 MR. UTZ: In absence of objection, Applications  
21 4748 and 4749 will be consolidated, for the purpose of  
22 testimony.

23 MR. HATCH: I have a question that I would like  
24 Mr. Hinkle, Mr. Sperling and Mr. Buell to review for a  
25 moment before we proceed.

1 In the Affidavit of Publication for Case 4749, there  
2 was something left out. The pool name is the principal thing  
3 that was left out.

4 MR. HINKLE: I don't think that makes a whole lot  
5 of difference, it is identified by Township and well  
6 identification.

7 MR. HATCH: I am not disturbed about it, but I  
8 don't know about you or Mr. Sperling.

9 MR. HINKLE: Jim, the pool name is the only thing  
10 left out, the Township and Range and discovery well are all  
11 identified.

12 MR. SPERLING: I have no objection to proceeding.

13 MR. HINKLE: I have none.

14 MR. UTZ: Cases 4748 and 4749 have been called.  
15 Mr. Speling, how many witnesses do you have?

16 MR. SPERLING: Two.

17 MR. UTZ: How many witnesses do you have, Mr.  
18 Hinkle?

19 MR. HINKLE: Three.

20 MR. UTZ: Will all five witnesses stand and be  
21 sworn at this time?

22 (Whereupon, five witnesses were sworn simultaneously  
23 by Mr. Hatch.)

24 MR. UTZ: You may proceed when you are ready,  
25 Mr. Sperling.

MARION CAUSEY,

was called as a witness and, having been already duly sworn,  
testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPEPLING:

Q Would you please state your name?

A Marion Causey.

Q By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A I am employed by Pubco Petroleum Corporation and my  
present position is Permean Basis Exploration Manager  
in Midland, Texas.

Q How long have you held that position?

A Since the first of the year.

Q Have you ever, on any previous occasion, testified before  
the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission so that your  
qualifications are a matter of record?

A No, I have not.

Q Would you please give us a brief resume of your  
education and professional training and experience  
relative to the position you hold?

A I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in geology from the  
University of Southern Mississippi; I have a M.S. Degree  
in geology from the University of Tennessee. I was  
employed by Phillips Petroleum Company as a petroleum  
geologist from 1957 to 1962, primarily working in

1 exploration of the Permian Basin in the southeastern  
2 New Mexico area. I was employed from 1962 until 1968  
3 by Mobil Oil Corporation as an exploration geologist  
4 primarily working in southeast New Mexico.

5 From 1968 until the present time, I have been  
6 employed by Pubco Petroleum Corporation. I am a member  
7 of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

8 Q Now, Mr. Causey --

9 MR. SPERLING: Are Mr. Causey's qualifications  
10 accepted?

11 MR. UTZ: Yes, they are.

12 Q (By Mr. Sperling) Mr. Causey, would you please now  
13 refer to what has been marked as Exhibit 1 in this Case,  
14 Case 4748, and explain briefly the purpose of that  
15 Exhibit and what it is designed to show?

16 A Exhibit 1 is a scale of one inch to two thousand feet,  
17 which is indicated on the map, and is outlined as the  
18 proposed Humble City-Strawn Pool area comprising  
19 Sections 6, 7, 18, in Township 17 South, Range 38 East;  
20 and Sections 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, in  
21 Township 17 South, Range 37 East.

22 We have also designated on the map, the Lovington  
23 East and Lovington Northeast pools.

24 Also marked on the Exhibit is the discovery well  
25 of the Humble City-Strawn Pool, the Harding Oil Company

1 Number 1 well.

2 Exhibit 1-A is a geological cross-section which  
3 has been indicated on Exhibit 1 by two red lines,  
4 designated B to B' and A to A'. Represented on this  
5 Exhibit is the electric logs and the radioactive logs  
6 of the stratographic section on datum from the top and  
7 middle of the Pennsylvanian-Strawn. The scale of this  
8 map is a vertical scale of one inch to 100 feet and a  
9 horizontal scale of twelve inches equaling one mile --

10 MR. UTZ: Why don't you give us the datum?

11 A. (Continuing) This is not a structural section, this  
12 is my interpretation of the Lovington East and the  
13 Lovington Northeast Strawn area and the discovery well,  
14 the Harding Oil Company Number 1 Shipp. The discovery  
15 well is producing from limestone of the Pennsylvanian-  
16 Strawn at an average depth of approximately 1,450 feet.  
17 I believe the Humble City-Strawn Pool is producing from  
18 a stratographic trap which resulted from a bank or a  
19 reef buildup within the Strawn.

20 Referring back to Exhibit 1-A, within the area  
21 mapped, I believe there are three different Pennsylvanian-  
22 Strawn banks or reefs producing.

23 I have designated these banks as Strawn Bank B',  
24 Strawn Bank B and Strawn Bank C.

25 The red on the cross-section indicates the producing

1 interval in each well in this zone. Designated as the  
2 Strawn B' and colored in green on both cross-sections,  
3 I believe is the prevalent zone which produces in the  
4 Humble City-Strawn field.

5 This cross-section which started with the State  
6 Shell Monty Number 1 in Section 14, Township 16 South,  
7 Range 36 East, was a dry hole which penetrated the  
8 Strawn.

9 The Southwest Production Corporation Monty  
10 State C in Section 24, Township 16 South, Range 36 East,  
11 was completed from the Strawn and has since been  
12 abandoned with an accumulated production of 4,114 barrels  
13 which was produced from 7/14/69.

14 The next well is the Monty State Number 2 in  
15 Section 19, Township 16 South, Range 37 East and it is  
16 also producing from the Strawn. These two wells are  
17 producing from the Strawn at the B' bank.

18 The Tidewater Monty B Number 1 in Section 19,  
19 Township 18 South, Range 37 East is still producing from  
20 what I have designated the Strawn Bank C and has an  
21 accumulative production of 325,156 barrels of oil and  
22 was completed 3/26/53 and is still producing.

23 The Getty Oil Corporation Monty D Number 1 in  
24 Section 12, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, is a  
25 dry hole.

1           The Pennzoil United State C Number 2 in Section 17,  
2 Township 16 South, Range 37 East, was completed from  
3 what I believe to be the middle bank, or the Strawn  
4 Bank B. This was completed on 6/25/69 and up to 5/1/72  
5 had an accumulative production of 286,215 barrels of  
6 oil.

7           These are the wells I have used on the cross-section,  
8 the A to A' cross-section.

9           On the B to B', starting with the first well, the  
10 Amerada Petroleum State LC Number 1, in Section 1,  
11 17 South, 36 East, was a dry hole.

12           The Skelly Oil Corporation Taylor Number 6 in  
13 17 South, 37 East, was a dry hile in the Strawn.

14           The Tidewater Oil State B Number 1 in Section 5,  
15 17 South, 37 East, was completed from the Strawn Bank  
16 B' and had an accumulative total production of 60,297  
17 barrels of oil. It has been abandoned.

18           The Tidewater Baton Number 1 in Section 5, 17  
19 South, 37 East, was completed 3/3/52 and is abandoned  
20 and produced only 58,751 barrels of oil from the Strawn  
21 Bank B'.

22           The Tidewater State Number 1 in Section 4,  
23 Township 17 South, Range 37 East, was completed 8/29/51,  
24 and is abandoned. The total accumulated production was  
25 L9,647 barrels of oil. It was also completed in the

dearnley, meier & mc cormick



1 Strawn Bank B'.

2 The Tidewater Oil Company State Eugene Number 1 D  
3 in Section 32, 16 South, 37 East, was completed from  
4 what I believe to be both the Strawn B' and the Strawn  
5 Bank C. It perforated both banks and has a total  
6 accumulative production of 420,765 barrels of oil and  
7 is still producing.

8 The last log on the cross-section B to B' is  
9 the Shell Oil Company State Number 1 in Section 28,  
10 16 South, 37 East. This well was a dry hole.

11 If I could refer you now to Exhibit 1 again, the  
12 solid blue contour line on this Exhibit represents the  
13 lower and middle Strawn as was designated on the cross-  
14 section A to A' and B to B'. The isopach was contoured  
15 at 250 foot intervals and the green isopach contours  
16 represent the isopach of what I have designated as the  
17 Strawn Bank B'. It is also contoured at 250 foot  
18 intervals.

19 This isopach does not represent a net porosity and  
20 does not indicate that all portions of the Strawn B'  
21 along the trend as mapped would be porous and permeable.  
22 I do feel that the limits of the green outline represent  
23 this bank or reef trend across the area mapped.

24 Along the trend that we have mapped, we should  
25 anticipate and expect separate carbon buildups of porous

1 permeable rock and I believe this is the case in the  
2 area under consideration.

3 I believe the Humble City-Strawn Pool is producing  
4 from the same bank as the Lovington East field, but it  
5 is separate carbon buildup.

6 The discovery well in the Humble City-Strawn Pool,  
7 the Harding Oil Company Shippnumber 1, was some 287 feet  
8 structurally lower than the edge well of the Lovington  
9 East field, the Tidewater State U Number 1 located in  
10 Section 4, Township 17 South, Range 37 East. I  
11 might also point out on Exhibit 1 that the values on  
12 the map underlined in green beside each control point,  
13 represent the thickness of the mapped Strawn Bank B'  
14 interval. The blue beside each control point represents  
15 the thickness of the isopach of the lower and middle  
16 Strawn interval.

17 Q Mr. Causey, I take it from what you have said, that you  
18 feel there is a separation between the Lovington East  
19 field and the Humble City-Strawn Pool; is that your  
20 conclusion?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q Even though the wells from both of the areas may be  
23 producing from what you have designated as the Strawn  
24 B' Bank?

25 A That is correct.

1 Q Now, does the fact that the wells which are located  
2 in the Lovington East Pool which you have referred to  
3 and which you have shown on your cross-section and which  
4 are abandoned, support that conclusion in view of the  
5 recent production encountered in the Humble City-Strawn  
6 Pool?

7 A Yes, I think that is correct.

8 Q Now, do I understand from the configuration of the  
9 contour line which runs across the Humble City-Strawn  
10 Pool, that you have concluded that that is the limit  
11 of possible Strawn production from the area or is there  
12 the possibility that these other members that you have  
13 identified may indicate production to the north?

14 A I believe that we have the possibility of production  
15 from the north. Presently there are two producing wells  
16 within the Humble City-Strawn Pool developing production  
17 from other Strawn zones which I have designated as the  
18 Strawn Bank B and the Strawn Bank C to the north.

19 I think this is substantiated by the production in  
20 the Lovington Northeast and the Lovington East Pool  
21 area where we pick up these two zones as they move to  
22 the north edge of the Strawn B' Bank trend. So I feel  
23 that we could establish production to the north of the  
24 trend as outlined.

25 Q Do you have anything else to comment on insofar as

1 Exhibits 1 and 1-A are concerned?

2 A I believe that's all that I have -- there is a specific  
3 point I would like to bring out in summary. I believe  
4 there are three different banks or reefs within the  
5 Pennsylvanian-Strawn formation in the mapped area. The  
6 Humble City-Strawn Pool and the Lovington East Strawn  
7 Pools are producing from separate stratigraphic controlled  
8 traps within the Strawn B' zone. This is evidenced  
9 by the Strawn structural position of the Humble City-  
10 Strawn Pool relative to the Lovington East Pool.

11 Only one well is still being produced by pumping  
12 in the Lovington East Pool, as compared to two in the  
13 Humble City-Strawn Pool.

14 The proposed pool outlined, I believe, is a  
15 reasonable outline which allows for shifting of the  
16 primary Strawn Bank trend plus the possible development  
17 within additional Strawn zones.

18 In my opinion, 160 acre spacing will not lead to  
19 unnecessary dry holes as compared to 80 acre spacing  
20 because of the flexibility within 160 acre spacing units  
21 as proposed by Pubco.

22 The Lovington East Pool was, for all practical  
23 purposes, drilled on 160 acre spacing with a minimum of  
24 dry holes and considering the fields within southeast  
25 New Mexico, specifically the Husk field, were developed

1 on 160 acre spacing and, in my opinion, the character  
2 of the rock encountered in the area indicates that one  
3 well will adequately drain 160 acres.

4 Q In that connection, Mr. Causey, let me call your  
5 attention to the Lovington East area and those three  
6 wells that you included in your cross-section, two of  
7 which are within Section 5 and one being in Section 4.  
8 Those wells actually appear to be drilled on 160  
9 acre spacing; is that correct?

10 A That is correct, for all practical purposes, they were.

11 Q And they have produced to abandonment?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q Let me know refer you to Exhibit 1-B, what is the purpose  
14 of this Exhibit?

15 A Exhibit 1-B is a reduced copy of the logs on the Harding  
16 Oil Company Shipp Number 1, in Section 11, Township  
17 17 South, Range 37 East, and a porosity log of the Pubco  
18 Shipp Number 2. This Exhibit shows the Strawn section  
19 encountered in these two wells, and our correlation  
20 of the Strawn B<sup>1</sup> Bank relative to the top of the Strawn  
21 middle and lower sections and the top of the Pennsylvanian-  
22 Atoka.

23 Q Does Exhibit 1-B correspond scale-wise with the logs  
24 shown on Exhibit 1-A?

25 A Yes, it is approximately the same scale as the

1 cross-section, A to A' and B to B' for comparison  
2 purposes.

3 Q Do you have anything else, Mr. Causey, at this time?

4 A That's all.

5 MR. SPERLING: That is all the testimony we have  
6 from this witness right now.

7 \* \* \* \* \*

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. HINKLE:

10 Q Mr. Causey, I notice that you have labeled Exhibit 1-A  
11 as a stratigraphic cross-section, now, is it your  
12 position that this entire area is stratigraphic and not  
13 dependent on structure?

14 A I believe the Strawn is primarily stratigraphically  
15 controled.

16 Q Now, you have labeled here three different Strawn  
17 banks, the Strawn Bank B', the Strawn Bank B, and the  
18 Strawn Bank C, are those stratigraphic traps within the  
19 stratigraphic Strawn area?

20 A I believe that they are, although I have not mapped  
21 in detail in terms of trends, bank trends, of the Strawn  
22 B and Strawn C banks. All evidence, however, indicates  
23 that they are.

24 Q In your opinion, is there communication between these  
25 banks?

- 1 A In general, I would say no, however, I will qualify  
2 that by saying that one well in Section 32, Township 16  
3 South, Range 37 East, was drilled and completed from  
4 the Strawn B' and the Strawn C Bank. It is possible in  
5 a case such as this, that those two banks could be  
6 in communication.
- 7 Q Each bank could be a separate pool, you might say?
- 8 A Yes, I believe, in a general sense, they are.
- 9 Q Generally, they probably would be?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now, you can go from one bank to another and you could  
12 have a dry hole offsetting another one; could you not?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q Are you apt to have more dry holes in 160 acre spacing  
15 than you would have in 80 acre spacing?
- 16 A If we look at the analogy that we have in the Lovington  
17 East pool, I think we can say from that development that  
18 that pool on 160 acre spacing was not more risky than  
19 it would have been on 80 acre spacing.
- 20 Q Is that your opinion of this area, the Humble City-Strawn  
21 area?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- 23 Q Now, referring to Exhibit Number 1, you have outlined  
24 the proposed Humble City-Strawn Pool?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Q What control did you figure for the boundaries of this  
2 pool?

3 A Well, I think it is obvious that only drilling is  
4 going to determine the exact boundaries of the field.

5 Q These are just arbitrary boundaries that you have  
6 drawn?

7 A This interpretation was based on the one discovery  
8 well which was drilled and has held up reasonably well  
9 to date. We feel that these are approximately correct,  
10 but this outline would allow minor shifting of the bank  
11 either to the north or the south as the field is developed.

12 Q Well, with the trend that you have shown here, your  
13 best chance at production is within the dotted green  
14 lines, the broken lines (indicating)?

15 A With the information that we have today, but we realize  
16 that it can shift.

17 Q Have you made any reservoir studies of the area at the  
18 present time?

19 A No, I have not.

20 MR. SPERLING: We have a witness that has.

21 Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Now, if the Commission were to approve  
22 160 acre spacing, the Number 1 Well in Section 11 which  
23 is in the SW/4 would have the SW/4 dedicated to that  
24 well; is that right?

25 A Yes, that is correct.



- 1 Q And the SE/4 would be dedicated to your well?
- 2 A That is correct.
- 3 Q Now, you are drilling, as I understand it, a well which
- 4 is indicated in the NW/4; is that right?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q What is the other location there, the location of the
- 7 Harding well?
- 8 A This is Harding's second location (indicating).
- 9 Q This is going to result in a 40 acre location, you
- 10 might say, at the present time; is it not?
- 11 A As it is spaced at the present time, on these four
- 12 wells, it would be (indicating).
- 13 Q What is the exact location of your well Number 2, which
- 14 is located in the SE/4 of Section 11?
- 15 A The Pubco Number 2 Shipp is located 2,130 feet from the
- 16 east line and 1,980 feet from the south line.
- 17 Q 1,980 feet from the south line?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Now, if you had located that in the center of the NW of
- 20 the SE/4, it would be 660 feet from the east-west line
- 21 of that quarter; would it not?
- 22 A Would you repeat that?
- 23 Q If your Number 2 well had been located in the center of
- 24 the NW of the SE/4 of Section 11, it would have been 660
- 25 feet from the east line of the quarter Section, would it

1 not have been?

2 A Yes, I believe that is correct.

3 Q Now, since you located it where you did -- you located  
4 it 150 feet farther west to get closer to the Number 1  
5 Well; did you not?

6 A Well, in the absence of any established pool spacing  
7 rules, we went on the 40 acre state-wide spacing.

8 Q You got as close as you could to the discovery well;  
9 is that right?

10 A Yes, basically, that is right.

11 Q Isn't the same true of your well that you are drilling  
12 now in the NW/4 of Section 11, you got as close as you  
13 could there too; did you not?

14 A Yes, I believe we did. Yes, that is correct.

15 Q Now, are you going to have a plat here?

16 MR. SPERLING: Yes.

17 Q (By Mr. Hinkle) At the time you located these two  
18 wells, did you have in mind wider spacing than 40 acres?

19 A Yes, we did.

20 Q Why did you locate -- why didn't you step out and  
21 locate it farther away if you thought one well would  
22 drain 160 acres?

23 A Well, I think we took the course of action that most  
24 people would take in that, without established pool  
25 rules, we moved it as close as we could to the

1       discovery well until such time as spacing rules could  
2       be established.

3       Q     Now, if the Commission should approve 160 acre spacing  
4       in this area, and as I understand it, you are asking for  
5       permission to drill in any 40 acre component of 160  
6       acres; is that correct?

7       A     That is correct.

8       Q     Would that not result in the same situation that you  
9       have here?   You have four wells located together, as  
10      you go to the next area, aren't you apt to have your  
11      offset wells in the same way?

12      A     That is possible, but you would also, of course, have  
13      160 acres to drain.

14      Q     It might depend somewhat on the ownership of the acreage;  
15      would it not?

16      A     Well, it would probably depend on numerous factors.

17      Q     But you might have this reoccur?

18      A     This is possible.

19      Q     It is a possibility?

20      A     Yes.

21      Q     So you have four wells together and that would mean  
22      you would step out considerably and it could mean if  
23      you stepped out that far, that you might get a dry  
24      hole because of the stratographic situation?

25      A     Certainly anytime you drill a well you run the risk of

1 getting a dry hole, but the flexibility within 160  
2 acre spacing would give you -- I think it would reduce  
3 your dry hole risk when the field is developed and we  
4 obtain more datum to determine the next location.

5 MR. HINKLE: Do you have a witness that will refer  
6 to core analyses?

7 MR. SPERLING: Yes.

8 MR. HINKLE: I think that's all.

9 MR. UTZ: Any further questions?

10 (No response.)

11 \* \* \* \* \*

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. UTZ:

14 Q Mr. Causey, I have one or two questions.

15 This large -- or heavy dotted green line, do you  
16 consider that to be the trend of the Strawn zone  
17 throughout the three pools?

18 A That is correct, that is my interpretation of the  
19 Strawn Bank B'.

20 Q Would you give me the control information?

21 A All right, starting in Section 11 of Township 17 --

22 Q Why don't you just limit yourself to the area in  
23 question -- well, go ahead and give me whatever you want.

24 A In Section 11, Township 17 South, Range 37 East, we  
25 have two control points. In Section 6 of 17 South, 38

1 East, we have four control points. Those are the  
2 control points in the approximate SE/4 of the map area.

3 Q Can you give me anything over in the area of 17 South,  
4 36 East?

5 A Yes, we have one well in Section 36, excuse me, Section  
6 1 of 17 South, 36 East. It is the extreme western well  
7 on our B' cross-section.

8 Q What Section?

9 A Section 1. There are also three control points in  
10 Section 12 of Township 17 South, Range 36 East. The  
11 control points are circled with larger circles and the  
12 values underlined in green are the values of the thickness  
13 of the B'.

14 There is also a control point in Section 6 of 17  
15 South, 37 East.

16 Q Did you give me one for Section 33?

17 A Section 33 of 16 South, 37 East is not deep enough, it  
18 has not been penetrated to the Strawn.

19 Q So you are a little short in control in the areas of  
20 Sections 33 and 32, all the way down to Section 6 of  
21 17 South, 37 East?

22 A Would you repeat that area again?

23 Q Well, beginning in Sections 32 and 33 of 16 South,  
24 37 East, the north boundaries of your control. I mean  
25 your green line goes over to Section 6 of 17 South,

1 37 East. You are a little short in control at that  
2 point; aren't you?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now, I believe they were called blue, I'm a little  
5 color-blind, obviously, because they look more green  
6 to me. I think on your contour surrounding Section 11,  
7 that your control on that isn't too good. Is that your  
8 control on the wells in Section 11?

9 A That is correct, but I might point out that the  
10 interpretation of the Bank B' was projected at greater  
11 than 50 feet and in this location, we encountered the  
12 discovery well at 64 feet and the Pubco Number 2 was  
13 encountered at 35 feet.

14 Q Both these wells are only completed in your B' zone?

15 A That is correct.

16 Q The one that you designated as B'?

17 A Right.

18 Q Were the other zones tested?

19 A We did not have any pore spaces at equivalent intervals  
20 of the other two banks of the zone.

21 MR. UTZ: Does anyone have any further questions?

22 \* \* \* \* \*

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. HINKLE:

25 Q In your previous testimony, Mr. Causey, you indicated

1 that the wells which were drilled in Sections 4 and 5  
2 could be considered as being on 160 acre spacing. Now,  
3 isn't it true also that that is a perfect location for  
4 80 acre spacing because each one is located at the west  
5 end of 80 acres?

6 A That is correct, but also, the spacing between them  
7 would have to be taken into consideration.

8 Q Is it not true then that they could be either?

9 A That is correct, but for practical purposes and drainage  
10 purposes, I believe 160 acres would be more applicable.

11 Q You indicated in your last testimony that you used the  
12 wells in Section 11, the discovery well and the well  
13 Pubco has drilled, for your control. Now, isn't it  
14 true that you gave this same geological map to the  
15 Harding Oil Company, or the individual that you gave  
16 this information out to, and they drilled a well on the  
17 strength of this geology?

18 A That is correct, they drilled on this interpretation.

19 Q So, actually, these wells were not used as control  
20 points in preparing this plat?

21 A In the original interpretation, that is correct.

22 MR. HINKLE: I might say that our Exhibits are  
23 substantially the same as this and they were obtained from  
24 Pubco.

25 MR. UTZ: It was mentioned, on Cross-Examination,

1 that the area was farmed out by Pubco, is the farm-out  
2 on an 80 acre checkerboard?

3 MR. SPERLING: That, as yet, is undetermined. There  
4 seems to be some ambiguity in the contract.

5 MR. HINKLE: We will have some testimony on that.

6 MR. UTZ: Any further questions?

7 (No response.)

8 MR. UTZ: The witness may be excused.

9 (Witness excused.)

10 \* \* \* \* \*

11 CHARLES SANDERS,

12 was called as a witness and, having already been duly sworn,  
13 testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. SPERLING:

16 Q Please state your name.

17 A Charles Sanders.

18 Q Where do you live, Mr. Sanders?

19 A Albuquerque.

20 Q By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

21 A I am employed by Pubco Petroleum Corporation as a  
22 petroleum engineer.

23 Q Have you, on any previous occasion, testified before the  
24 Commission so that your qualifications as a petroleum  
25 engineer, are a matter of record?



1 A No, I have not.

2 Q In that event, would you please briefly outline your  
3 education and professional training and experience  
4 qualifying you as a petroleum engineer?

5 A I graduated from Texas Technology College in 1950 with  
6 a B.S. in Petroleum Engineering. Subsequently I worked  
7 for three years for the Texas Pacific Coal and Oil  
8 Company in the north-central Texas area and later as  
9 assistant division manager for the same company. I  
10 then worked for sixteen years in west Texas and northwest  
11 New Mexico as a reservoir engineer.

12 Q Are you a registered professional engineer?

13 A In the State of Texas, yes.

14 Q How long have you been with Pubco?

15 A For three years.

16 Q Are you familiar with the area which is the subject of  
17 this Application, Mr. Sanders?

18 A Yes, I am.

19 Q Would you please refer to what has been identified as  
20 Exhibit 2, please? Tell us what that Exhibit is.

21 A Exhibit 2 is a land ownership map of the proposed  
22 Humble City-Strawn Pool and the surrounding area. It  
23 primarily shows the land ownership of the proposed pool  
24 and also shows a partial outline of the Lovington East  
25 field.

1 Q And, of course, shows the two wells located within the  
2 proposed Humble City-Strawn Pool area that have been  
3 completed?

4 A Yes, sir, including the Number 3 Shipp -- the Pubco  
5 Number 3 Shipp which is now being drilled in the NE of  
6 Section 11.

7 Q Now, would you refer, please, to what has been marked  
8 as Exhibit Number 3 and tell us the purpose of that  
9 Exhibit and what it shows?

10 A Exhibit 3 is a tabulation of the well and completion  
11 data for the two wells now existing in the Humble City-  
12 Strawn Pool, the Harding Oil and Gas Company Shipp Number  
13 1 and the Pubco Petroleum Corporation Shipp Number 2.  
14 The location of the Harding well is 2,060 feet from the  
15 west line and 2,310 feet from the south line in 17 South,  
16 37 East, Section 11.

17 The Pubco Petroleum Corporation Shipp Number 2 is  
18 2,130 feet from the east line and 1,980 feet from the  
19 south line of Section 11.

20 The total depth of the Harding well is 11,643  
21 feet and the total depth of the Pubco well is 11,685.

22 The next significant figure is the completion  
23 dates and these are March 9th for the Harding Shipp Number  
24 1 and June 10th, 1972 for the Pubco Shipp Number 2.

25 The perforated intervals for the two wells are

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 shown. The Harding well perforation is to an interval  
2 of 32 feet and the Pubco well to 26 feet. The Harding  
3 Oil and Gas Company Shipp Number 1 had a potential  
4 originally, of 286 barrels of oil per day with a gas-oil  
5 ratio of 1,000 and a flowing tube pressure of 16.

6 The well's repotential on April 18, 1972, was  
7 624 barrels of oil with a gas-oil ratio of 1,098 and  
8 a flowing tube pressure of 55.

9 On June 10, 1972, it was producing 2,758 barrels  
10 of oil per day with a GOR of 1,662 and a flowing tube  
11 pressure of 700 pounds.

12 The oil gravity is essentially the same in both  
13 wells, approximately 45 degrees API. The net pay of  
14 the Harding well was 34 feet and the net pay of the  
15 Pubco was 30 feet.

16 The average porosity which we determined on the  
17 Harding well was 5.1 percent and 6.30 percent for the  
18 Pubco Number 2. The permeability was not determined  
19 for the Harding well and in the Pubco Shipp Number 2,  
20 it averaged 20 millidarcys.

21 The water saturation was determined to be 25 percent  
22 in both wells.

23 The reservoir pressure was 4,800 PSI in the Harding  
24 Well and 3,743 PSI in the Pubco well.

25 Q Would you refer to Exhibit 4 now and explain what it shows?

1 A Exhibit Number 4 is a gamma-ray neutron log run on  
2 the Pubco Shipp Number 2. On the left side of the log  
3 we see the top of the Strawn and the middle lower zone  
4 at 11,425 feet. The left-hand corner of the top shows  
5 tha Atoka at 11,684 feet.

6 The interval between is referred to as the Strawn  
7 limestone.

8 The vertical column on the left side is the depth  
9 column interval for the Pubco Shipp Number 2. The  
10 significant factor on this test was the rate of  
11 production which flowed and there was no water recovered.

12 The shut-in bottom hole pressure was 7,633 and the  
13 final maximum pressure was 3,473 which was reached in  
14 ten minutes and continued at 3,473 for the remainder of  
15 the 90 minute shut-in test.

16 At the bottom of Exhibit 4, we show the porosity  
17 scale for the sidewall neutron porosity log on a  
18 standard scale. We have used this scale in determining  
19 the net amount of pay in the Pubco well.

20 In the upper interval, we have a net pay of 11,430  
21 feet down to 11,453 feet, or a total of 23 feet in which  
22 that maximum porosity was reached.

23 In the lower interval, we had 7 feet from 11,463  
24 to 11,470. The total amount of net pay therefore, was  
25 30 feet and the average log porosity was determined to

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092, PHONE 243-6691, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 be 6.30 percent or 189 porosity feet.

2 I would like to point out, at this time, that  
3 we will refer to the analysis data later, but the core  
4 analysis showed a net pay of 29.1 feet with an average  
5 of 6.0 porosity. The log porosity at the same interval  
6 calculated 5.92 percent, so we do have real close  
7 agreement between the log porosity and the core  
8 proosity.

9 Q Anything else on Exhibit 4 at this time?

10 A I believe that's all.

11 Q Now, referring you to what has been marked as Exhibit 4-A,  
12 would you explain what that is?

13 A Exhibit 4-A is a gammaray neutron log run on the  
14 Harding Oil and Gas Company Shipp Number 1 Well. The  
15 left side of the gammaray is the top of the Strawn  
16 which is 11,430 feet and the top of the Atoka. The  
17 zone was perforated at 11,420 to 11,452. The rectangular  
18 box represents the drill stem test from 11,420 to 11,475.  
19 The maximum shut-in bottom hole pressure on the test was  
20 4,800 PSI which we assumed to be the original sealed  
21 bottom hole pressure.

22 Q And the pressure confirms your tabulation as shown on  
23 Exhibit 3 of the initial bottom hole pressure?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 In the lower left-hand corner, you will find the

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 porosity scale which was found to be correlative with  
2 the core porosity and the porosity that was used in  
3 determining the net feet of pay for this well. This  
4 scale was not used because we felt it gave an  
5 unrealistic porosity value, so the other scale was  
6 used and it was determined that there was 28 feet of  
7 net pay within the perforated interval and 6 feet of  
8 net pay below the perforated interval for a total of  
9 34 feet of net pay with an average porosity of 5.1  
10 percent, or 173.4 porosity feet.

11 Q Any other comments on Exhibit 4, at this time?

12 A I might point out that if the standard porosity scale  
13 had been used, the average porosity would have been  
14 3.6 percent.

15 Q Will you refer to Exhibit Number 5 now, and tell us  
16 what it represents?

17 A Exhibit 5 is the bottom hole pressure for the field  
18 versus the field's accumulated production. The vertical  
19 scale on the left-hand side is the bottom hole pressure  
20 and this represents the total production from the field  
21 from both wells.

22 I should point out that there is very little  
23 production represented by this graph attributable to the  
24 Pubco well because it was completed at a point where  
25 the arrow is shown on the graph.

1 Q The middle of the graph?

2 A Yes.

3 Q The vertical arrow pointing upward?

4 A Yes. Point Number 1 in the upper left-hand corner  
5 represents the original bottom hole pressure of 4,800 PSI  
6 which was taken from the drill stem test of the Harding  
7 Number 2.

8 With the buildup of pressure in the Harding well,  
9 the pressure reached 4,185 PSI in two hours, and 4,188  
10 PSI in 12 hours, and continued at 4,188 PSI for the  
11 remainder of the 48 hour test.

12 Point Number 3 was taken May 15, 1972 and showed  
13 an accumulated production of 23,233 barrels of oil.  
14 This represented all that had been produced from the  
15 Shipp Number 1.

16 On the Pubco Shipp Number 2, the pressure obtained  
17 was 3,473 PSI and the maximum pressure was obtained in  
18 10 minutes on the chart and continued at 3,473 PSI for  
19 the remainder of the test and that was the maximum  
20 pressure obtained..

21 Point Number 4 was taken June 15, 1972, at a point  
22 of 38,475 barrels of oil which represented accumulated  
23 production. This pressure point recorded a maximum  
24 bottom hole pressure of 3,035 PSI and it was reached  
25 in 12 minutes. The pressure of 3,035 continued for the

1 remainder of the 12 hour shut-in period.

2 Point Number 4 included 2,662 barrels of oil  
3 produced from the Pubco Shipp Number 2 during the  
4 completion procedure.

5 On the bottom of the Exhibit is a map of both of  
6 the wells. At the center of the circle is the Harding  
7 Shipp Number 1 and at the edge of the circle is the  
8 Pubco Shipp Number 2. This shows the distance between  
9 the two wells as being 1,120 feet.

10 In my opinion, it is logical to infer from the  
11 graph that effective drainage did occur over this distance  
12 of 1,120 feet. From the circle the radius we obtained  
13 was an area of 90.4 acres which, in our opinion,  
14 represented that the well will drain at least 90.4 acres.

15 So, in conclusion, I would like to make these  
16 points. One, that there was a severe pressure loss of  
17 1,767 pounds in the Pubco Shipp Number 2 which resulted  
18 primarily from the production from the Harding Shipp  
19 Number 1. Number two, that communication apparently  
20 exists in the Strawn formation between these two wells.

21 Number three, that the shape of the curve is the  
22 shape of a normal pressure decline curve. Number four,  
23 that we have here effective drainage in excess of  
24 1,020 feet -- or in excess of 90.4 acres.

25 I would like to point out, at this time, that while



dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092, PHONE 243-6691, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 we were completing our well on June 10, 1972, we started  
2 flowing our well at a rate of 758 barrels of oil per  
3 day with a tube pressure of 700 PSI. At the same time,  
4 the Harding Shipp Number 1 had a pressure of 700 PSI.  
5 The next morning, the Harding pumper came over to our  
6 rig where we were working and asked us if we had any  
7 idea what happened to the well. We asked him what  
8 happened and he said it lost 50 pounds of pressure  
9 overnight. After we checked the pressure, we knew the  
10 pressure had declined from 700 pounds to 650 pounds  
11 overnight.

12 Of course, our reply to this was that we had  
13 completed our well and it was draining oil from the  
14 same formation.

15 Q Anything else at this time, with reference to Exhibit 5?

16 A I believe that's all.

17 Q Now, if you will refer to what has been marked as  
18 Exhibit 6 and explain what that is.

19 A Exhibit 6 is a report from Core Laboratories, Inc. on  
20 the core analysis of the cores cut from the Pubco Shipp  
21 Number 2. The first core is from 11,440 to 11,481 and  
22 core number 2 is from 11,481 to 11,491. The report  
23 gives an analysis on the interval from 11,440 to 11,491.

24 The second sheet of this Exhibit is a summary  
25 of their findings. You will notice there that it is

1 indicated that there is 21.9 feet of pay which was  
2 included in the averages for the pay porosity and this  
3 21.9 feet occurred at an interval of 11,440 to 11,467.  
4 The top ten feet of the pay zone was not cored and the  
5 average porosity over 21.9 feet was determined to be  
6 6.0 percent. As I pointed out previously, the average  
7 sidewall neutron porosity over the cored pay interval  
8 was 5.92 percent.

9 The other significant factor I would like to point  
10 out is the calculated maximum gas drive recovery of 30  
11 barrels an acre-foot. When we received the report, we  
12 felt this was low and after doing some calculations,  
13 on 80 acres, we were definitely concerned enough to take  
14 bottom hole pressures of the formation and have the  
15 samples analyzed at the laboratory and this will be our  
16 next Exhibit.

17 Q You are referring to Exhibit Number 6-A?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q If you will explain that, please.

20 A Exhibit Number 6-A is a summary of the reservoir  
21 sample analyses performed by Core Laboratories, Inc.  
22 The well was sampled and this bottom hole sample was  
23 obtained at a mid-point in the pay zone at a depth of  
24 11,449 feet on June 19, 1972. At that point, the  
25 bottom hole pressure was 3,033 PSI and the accumulated

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6891 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 field production, 38,475 barrels of oil. This summary  
2 presents the comparison between 80 acre spacing and  
3 160 acre spacing utilizing the data from the fluid samples  
4 and also from the previous core analyses. The first  
5 figure shows an average porosity of 6.3 percent. This  
6 was determined from the sidewall neutron porosity log  
7 that I have previously mentioned. The next figure I  
8 would like to point out is the 25.0 percent average  
9 interstitial water saturation percentage. I will now  
10 skip down to the 16.76 percent ultimate oil recovery,  
11 percentage of oil in place.

12 These two figures, the 25 percent for the average  
13 interstitial water saturation and the 16.76 percent  
14 for ultimate oil recovery were calculated using the  
15 pressure data and the curves from the Strawn limestone  
16 reservoir. We felt these were applicable and by these  
17 and using the fluid data obtained from our Shipp Number  
18 2 Well and the bottom hole samples, these factors were  
19 determined.

20 The oil formation volume was determined to be  
21 1.642 and the original oil in place for 160 acre spacing  
22 was 1,071,568 barrels of oil.

23 For 80 acre spacing it was 535,783 barrels of oil.

24 The ultimate recovery for 160 acre spacing was  
25 179,630 barrels and for 80 acre spacing it was 89,815

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

200 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092, PHONE 243-8891, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 barrels. The ultimate oil recovery on barrels per  
2 acre-foot was determined to be 37.4 in each case.

3 The ultimate gas recovery was 953,577 MCF for  
4 160 acre spacing and 476,788 MCF for 80 acre spacing.

5 The total primary producing life for 160 acre  
6 spacing was 11.8 years and for 80 acre spacing it was  
7 5.9 years. The primary producing life was taken from  
8 the economic limits of barrels of oil per day from the  
9 reservoir and a pressure of 500 PSI to arrive at that  
10 figure.

11 In arriving at the figure, it was assumed that  
12 productivity would decline in accordance with the effect  
13 of increasing reservoir gas saturation or oil permeability.

14 I have reviewed all of the Core Laboratory reports  
15 and determined them to be accurate and correct.

16 Q Now, based upon this information, do you think -- you  
17 just said that you determined them to be accurate and  
18 correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Based upon this information, do you think the volumetric  
21 calculations are correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q As reflected on Exhibit 7?

24 A That's right. Exhibit 7 shows the computation of the  
25 recoverable oil reserves from the Humble City-Strawn Pool

1 using the basic data as used in the computations  
2 already presented and established. The average porosity  
3 used was 6.30 percent which was the porosity found on the  
4 Pubco well with an average net pay of 30 feet. The  
5 water saturation was 25 percent and the recoverable  
6 factor 16.76 percent.

7 The formation volume factor of the original bottom  
8 hole pressure was 1.642. The calculations show the  
9 original recoverable oil in barrels per acre-foot and  
10 according to the formula it was determined to be 37.4  
11 barrels of oil per acre-foot which, of course, is the  
12 same figure that the Core Laboratories determined.

13 Q Based upon the computation which you have just gone  
14 through relating to recoverable oil, did you then make  
15 a study of the economics with reference to the proposed  
16 spacing units, that is, with respect to 80 acre spacing  
17 and 160 acre spacing?

18 A Yes, I did.

19 Q And that study is reflected on Exhibit 8?

20 A That is correct.

21 Q Would you explain that, please?

22 A Exhibit 8 is a tabulation of the economics for the  
23 Humble City-Strawn Pool, comparing 80 acre spacing to  
24 160 acre spacing. Under revenue for an average well,  
25 an 80 acre well would produce 89,815 barrels of oil,

1 a figure which has already been established, at \$3.56  
2 per barrel plus 476,788 MCF of gas per well at \$0.25  
3 per MCF.

4 We included the gas economics in the computation  
5 because Phillips is now laying gas lines to the lease  
6 and contracts have been signed and gas sales should  
7 begin sometime within the next week.

8 So, this came up to a total revenue under 80  
9 acre spacing of \$438,938.

10 Under 160 acre spacing, the average well would  
11 produce 179,630 barrels of oil per well at \$3.56 per  
12 barrel plus 953,577 MCF of gas for a total revenue of  
13 \$877,877. Subtracting the royalty and taxes, we have  
14 a total revenue under 80 acre spacing per well of  
15 \$325,472. Under 160 acre spacing, we have a total of  
16 \$653,946.

17 The next item is expenses, which is self-explanatory.  
18 Total expenses on an 80 acre well would be \$295,400 and  
19 for a 160 acre well, the total expenses would be \$330,800.

20 The next item is the net profit resulting from  
21 subtracting the total expenses from the total revenue  
22 and the net profit for an 80 acre well would be \$30,072  
23 and for a 160 acre well it would be \$320,146.

24 The profit to investment ratio, is 0.12 on 80 acre  
25 spacing and 1.27 on 160 acre spacing.

1 Down at the bottom of the Exhibit is a note that  
2 the analysis does not consider any dry holes that may  
3 be drilled. Estimated dry hole cost if \$162,000.

4 On the basis of these calculations, a producer on  
5 160 acre spacing will support two dry holes while it  
6 will take five times the net profit on 80 acre spacing  
7 to support one dry hole.

8 I would like now to refer back to Exhibit 1 in  
9 connection with our economics and I will state again for  
10 the purpose of comparison, that the rate of recovery  
11 for 80 acre spacing is calculated at 89,815 barrels,  
12 while the recovery for 160 acre spacing was calculated  
13 at 476,788 barrels.

14 If you would refer to Exhibit 1, the wells are  
15 colored in green to the NW of the Pubco Well and the  
16 Harding Well. In Sections 4, 5, and 6 of Township 17  
17 South, Range 37 East, versus the wells in Section 5 in  
18 the NW corner, shows the total recovery from the B'  
19 zone, the same zone that the Harding and Pubco wells are  
20 in, to be 60,297 barrels. This won't appear on your  
21 graph because our draftsman forgot it.

22 The next well in Section 5, in the NW/4 of Section  
23 5, shows a total of 58,751 barrels of oil recovered.

24 The next well in the NW/4 of Section 5 shows a total  
25 recovery of 19,647 barrels of oil. These three wells

1 have all been plugged and abandoned.

2 The well in the SE/4 of Section 32 shows an ultimate  
3 recovery of 421,768 barrels. This ultimate recovery  
4 was calculated from extrapolation of production history.  
5 However, it has to be pointed out that recovery from the  
6 well is from both the B' and the C zone, so we do not  
7 feel that it is really comparative with the B zone  
8 wells, further up on the map.

9 In Section 31, close to the center, we have a well  
10 there in the NW/4, in the SE corner of the NW/4, which  
11 had produced a total of 17,040 barrels of oil before it  
12 was abandoned. Then, about two miles north, in Section  
13 19, 37 East, 16 South, in the SW/4 of the Section, we  
14 have a well which produced over 100,000 barrels from  
15 the B' zone. The well produced a total of 132,597  
16 barrels of oil. This well is still producing at a rate  
17 of 7 barrels a day.

18 To the left of that, just across the Section line  
19 in Section 24, 36 East, 16 South, this well produced a  
20 total of 4,115 barrels of oil from the B' zone. North  
21 of that, in Section 18, the SE/4 of Section 18, we have  
22 a well which is still producing 29 barrels of oil per  
23 day.

24 My point here is we have only one well producing  
25 from the B' zone which has produced in excess of 100,000



dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092, PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 barrels of oil. This would indicate that these would  
2 be economic failures in as much as one well on 80 acre  
3 spacing would produce, as we have calculated, 89,815  
4 barrels of oil. It is easy to see how four good wells  
5 would be required to support one dry hole and these  
6 other wells I have been talking about, must be economic  
7 failures.

8 In conclusion, I would like to make three points.  
9 First, it is our opinion that one well will effectively,  
10 efficiently, and economically drain 160 acres. Two,  
11 it is my opinion that 160 acre spacing will permit the  
12 drilling of economic wells whereas we have presented  
13 information here establishing the fact that 80 acre  
14 spacing will result in the drilling of unnecessary and  
15 uneconomic wells. Three, it is my opinion that 160 acre  
16 spacing development of the Humble City-Strawn reservoir  
17 will insure the operators that they can obtain profits  
18 even though some dry holes will undoubtedly result,  
19 regardless of the spacing which may be chosen.

20 Q Do you have anything further, Mr. Sanders?

21 A No, sir.

22 MR. SPERLING: I would like to offer our Exhibits  
23 1 through 8.

24 MR. UTZ: Exhibits 1 through 8 will be entered  
25 into the record of this case.

(Whereupon, Pubco's Exhibits 1 through 8  
were entered in evidence.

MR. SPERLING: I have nothing further.

\* \* \* \* \*

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HINKLE:

Q Mr. Sanders, I refer you to your Exhibit Number 5, I  
don't believe that you testified how long your well  
Number 2 was shut-in at point number 4. Do you know  
how long it was shut-in?

A Yes, 12 hours.

Q Now, in connection with Exhibit 5, I believe you said  
that this showed a severe pressure loss?

A Yes, sir.

Q And that this indicated good drainage between the  
two wells?

A That is correct.

Q Isn't it also indicative of a limited reservoir?

A Naturally, any reservoir is limited.

Q I mean a small reservoir. Doesn't it indicate that this  
is a small reservoir rather than a large reservoir?

A Such could be an indication, however, it also, as I  
believe our testimony has indicated, shows that this  
is an extremely permeable section in the vicinity of our  
well and your well and that such permeability gives real

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 good pressure communication between the wells whereas  
2 in a real tight reservoir, often times you reach 90  
3 to 95 percent bottom hole pressure within the first  
4 100 feet from the well bore under producing conditions.

5 Q Well, you had this pressure drop when you located your  
6 Number 3 well, did you not?

7 A No.

8 Q You didn't have it at all?

9 A No, sir.

10 Q You knew it was dropping when you drilled the Number 2  
11 well?

12 A Yes, we had access to Harding information.

13 Q But you didn't take that into consideration in  
14 locating your well as close to the Number 1 well as you  
15 did?

16 A No. I think, as Mr. Causey pointed out, the geology  
17 of the situation required that in a new area we locate  
18 as close to production as possible within the limits  
19 of the statutes of the State.

20 Q Referring to your Exhibit Number 3, your economic study,  
21 now, doesn't this study that you have made in comparing  
22 80 acre spacing to 160 acre spacing, take into consideration  
23 or assume that this is a large reservoir?

24 A Well, the only assumption we made here is that a 160  
25 acre well would have the full 160 acres to develop

porosities of the qualities we have shown.

MR. HINKLE: I think that's all I have.

MR. UTZ: Any further questions?

(No response.)

MR. UTZ: The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Does that conclude your Case, Mr. Sperling?

MR. SPERLING: Yes.

MR. UTZ: You're on, Mr. Hinkle.

\* \* \* \* \*

RICHARD F. SPENCER,

was called as a witness and, having been already duly sworn,  
testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HINKLE:

Q Will you state your name, residence, and occupation?

A My name is Richard Spencer, I live in Midland, Texas,  
and my occupation is an independent consulting geologist.

Q Have you previously testified before the New Mexico  
Oil Conservation Commission?

A No, I have not.

Q Would you state, briefly, your educational background  
and experience as a geologist?

A I am a graduate geologist of Texas Tech. I have 14 years  
experience, including working with Pan American Petroleum.

1 I am a certified petroleum geologist.

2 Q What companies have you been with prior to becoming  
3 an independent consulting geologist?

4 A Well, I stated Pan American, Forester, and I have been  
5 self-employed for a year and a half.

6 Q Are you familiar with this area under consideration?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And the pools in the vicinity?

9 A Yes.

10 Q The NE and East Lovington pools?

11 A I am very familiar with them.

12 Q And have you made studies of the well information  
13 available in connection with this?

14 A Yes, my partner and I worked the area in some detail  
15 sometime after the Pubco Shipp Number 1 bottomed at  
16 9,162 feet. After that well was bottomed, my partner  
17 and I went to the Pubco Corporation, Oil Corporation, to  
18 seek a farm-out because we felt this area was quite  
19 representative of the Strawn and other zones.

20 MR. HINKLE: Are the witness' qualifications  
21 acceptable?

22 MR. UTZ: Yes, they are.

23 Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Have you prepared, or has there been  
24 prepared under your direction, certain Exhibits in this  
25 Case?

1 A That is correct.

2 Q And they have been marked?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Referring you to Exhibit 1, what does that show?

5 A Exhibit 1, as you can see before you Mr. Examiner, is  
6 just a regional map. This map shows the outlined fields  
7 with the Permian Basin area stratigraphically located.

8 Q Referring you to Exhibit 2, will you explain what that  
9 is?

10 A Exhibit 2 is an isopach map of the B' Strawn facies. This  
11 is the same zone Mr. Causey referred to earlier, I am  
12 referring to the cross-section on the wall.

13 Q What Exhibit is that?

14 A That is Exhibit 3. We concur completely as to the  
15 stratigraphic breakdown of the Strawn formation and  
16 this map, Exhibit 2, represents the facies of the B'  
17 Strawn within the local area. I might just point out  
18 that the wells we have designated on the map, the  
19 green designations, represent those wells that have  
20 penetrated and have produced from the B' Strawn. The  
21 blue designations are wells that have penetrated and  
22 produced from the B Strawn bank facies. The orange  
23 represents wells produced from the C bank facies.

24 The map is contoured on 25 foot contour intervals.

25 And the map also shows the location of the leases in

1 in and around the Harding Shipp discovery well in  
2 Section 11.

3 Also on this map, is shown an 80 acre checkerboard  
4 on all the acreage Pubco has a leasehold interest within  
5 the immediate area.

6 I will point out initially that in the SW/4 of  
7 Section 11, where the discovery well is located, the  
8 Harding Shipp Number 1 well, this well was penetrated  
9 from 9,162 feet which was the total depth Pubco reached  
10 in this well. This well was deepened from that point  
11 down to a depth of 11,861 feet into the Atoka.

12 Under our contract arrangement, farm-out arrangement,  
13 we were to deepen this test to a depth where we would  
14 be 100 feet below the depth drilled and the acreage was  
15 to be designated to the unit, whatever that unit would  
16 happen to be, if it was 80 acres, it would be 80 acres.

17 On completion of the initial well, we would have  
18 the option to drill a second test and all continuous  
19 development would be on a 120 day continuous development.

20 Now, we are here today to set up -- to talk about  
21 special pool rules for the Humble City-Strawn Pool  
22 including provisions for 80 acre units and assignments  
23 of all discovery allowables for the Shipp Well Number  
24 1 located in unit K of Section 11, Township 17 South,  
25 Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

- 1 This pool arrangement on 80 acre spacing would
- 2 be similar to the Lovington NE and Lovington East field.
- 3 Q They are on 80 acre spacing; are they not?
- 4 A This is correct. In our initial discussions with
- 5 Pubco, this was taken into consideration and it was
- 6 felt that these would be the probable field rules for
- 7 the Humble City-Strawn pool.
- 8 Q Did your farm-out agreement provide for 80, 40, 160
- 9 acre spacing, whatever spacing unit was determined by
- 10 the Oil Conservation Commission?
- 11 A This is correct.
- 12 Q But the checkerboard which you show on Exhibit 2 indicates
- 13 the checkerboard prevailing under the farm-out agreement,
- 14 if the checkerboard showed 80 acre spacing and the Oil
- 15 Conservation Commission approved 80 acre spacing; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q Now, I believe you said that you agreed with the cross-
- 19 section which Pubco has presented, it is the same as you
- 20 are presenting here?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you, by these different zones, indicate this is a
- 23 separate stratigraphic trap within the Strawn formation
- 24 or that there is communication between these two zones?
- 25 A In focusing our attention now on Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4,



dearnley, meier &amp; mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 they are exactly the same wells as Mr. Causey showed  
2 on his cross-section with exactly the same designations.  
3 I do definitely agree with Mr. Causey in that this is  
4 a Strawn formation here. There are probably -- more  
5 likely there is vertical separation between each of the  
6 stratigraphic units, the B', B, and C, although these  
7 units occur within two to three hundred feet intervals,  
8 and were, more than likely, deposited under similar  
9 environment. What we are saying here -- reaching back  
10 here to Exhibit Number 2, you can see that these zones  
11 are very erratic, of a very erratic nature, both  
12 horizontally and vertically and you can see by the  
13 blue designation on the map over here, that the B bank  
14 facies is coming back to the south and west. This facies  
15 disappears -- doesn't disappear, but the rock  
16 characteristics change and you can see a number of dry  
17 holes that have been affected in Sections 16, 17, and  
18 20 in 16 South, 37 East, and the wells down here in  
19 Section 19 and Section 24.

20 What I am saying here, is that each one of these  
21 units, each one of these stratigraphic units, right in  
22 here, affect the individual stratigraphic trap with no  
23 particular emphasis being placed on the present day  
24 structure.

25 The 160 acre spacing brought out by Pubco more than

likely would have caused some of these zones not to be drained. As you can see from the dry holes in Sections 19 and 20, the three producing zones within the total Strawn unit are independent of one another. In essence, what I am saying, is that the Strawn being deposited from the same environmental deposition, does have, within it, individual stratigraphic traps that are very erratic and very difficult to find and this is why Pubco came so close to the initial discovery well. You can pick up new zones, productive, stratigraphic zones, that come and go over a very short period of time.

For instance, in the cross-section, A and A', between these two wells, the well right here (indicating) produces from B' facies and this well (indicating) produces from the C facies. These two wells are only 2,550 feet apart and it is very possible with 160 acre spacing, that one of those zones may have been missed, especially the C zone.

Q You could have a situation where, if you had 160 acre spacing, you might have one producing well and a good part of the 160 acres might be barren or have no production at all?

A Absolutely. You can see this in Section 20, 16 South, 37 East. Section 20's producing well is located down in the SW/4 of Section 20 and that particular well is

1 producing from the B facies and it is surrounded by  
2 dry holes. There are dry holes in Sections 29, 30,  
3 19, and the one in Section 20. That particular well  
4 has produced over 900,000 barrels of oil on 160  
5 acre spacing and it is possible that that 900,000 barrels  
6 of oil might have been missed.

7 Now, granted, this is not in the same zone and it  
8 may be within 25 or 30 feet from the producing interval  
9 of the Harding Shipp Well, but it is in the same suite  
10 of rock deposits and under the same depositional  
11 environment which we hope to find productive in the  
12 general area of the discovery well.

13 Q I believe you mentioned previously, that your partnership  
14 is Spencer and Hudson and you mentioned the fact that  
15 you secured the farm-out from Pubco, what is your  
16 relationship to the Harding Oil Company?

17 A Our relationship has been that we are geologists, and  
18 we generate drilling prospects for companies such as  
19 Harding. We have a good working relationship with  
20 Harding. We offer consultation advice which we have  
21 done from time to time over the last year, and this is  
22 basically our relationship, primarily that of a  
23 consultant.

24 Q You have made a deal with Harding Oil Company to  
25 develop this area on the acreage you will obtain as a

1 farm-out?

2 A From our farm-out agreement which was consummated on  
3 November 5, 1971, with the Pubco Corporation. We later  
4 got approval from the Pubco people to reassign our  
5 rights to the Harding Oil Company and Harding assumed  
6 our obligations and reentered this well and fulfilled  
7 our obligations that we had under the contract.

8 Q So they are going ahead and performing in accordance  
9 with the contract?

10 A This is correct.

11 Q Do you have anything else that you would like to  
12 discuss?

13 A I would just like to point out, from a geological  
14 standpoint here, that you can see, as I pointed out  
15 before, in the SE/4 of Section 11, Pubco's two wells,  
16 are as close as they legally can be. Also, they are  
17 drilling the Number 3 well up in the NW/4 and our Number  
18 2 well is in the NW/4.

19 This means there are four wells clustered together  
20 and any well that would be drilled beyond these four  
21 wells would be a considerable step out with 160 acre  
22 spacing. Backing up to the well in Section 19, how  
23 hazardous that would be as to picking up these individual  
24 zones that might be carrying substantial amounts of oil.  
25 We might not find these zones as a result of this wide

1 step out and I believe that is primarily what I would  
2 like to say here. There is no real basis on which to  
3 say how large this field will be. From a geological  
4 standpoint there is no immediate control over this  
5 immediate area, the only point of control we have is  
6 in Section 8 and these wells back here (indicating) in  
7 the Lovington East pool.

8 In Section 11, we had one point of control with the  
9 Pubco Number 2 well and because of the geological and  
10 engineering datum that was withheld from us, there was  
11 no way of telling just how large the pool might be.

12 The datum certainly points out the risk factor by  
13 the nature of the deposition of the two cross-sections.  
14 These show this is a risky area and there are zones  
15 that likely could be missed by wide spacing in the area.  
16 Q If the geological information as shown in Exhibit 2 is  
17 correct, it would be a limited field as far as the area  
18 extending to the north and south; would it not?

19 A This is true. Referring back to Pubco's map, you will  
20 recall that the area went beyond the zero isopach line  
21 and their configuration was not exactly the same as ours.  
22 However, there was no way of telling how large the  
23 field might be. However, it is our hope that with proper  
24 development, we will be able to pick up these other  
25 zones, these other thin zones that could be very

1 elusive and hard to find and that oil may be missed on  
2 any other spacing arrangement.

3 MR. HINKLE: That's all I have on Direct.

4 MR. UTZ: Any questions?

5 \* \* \* \* \*

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. SPERLING:

8 Q Mr. Spencer, would you indicate which of the three  
9 areas that you have referred to, B, B' and C, appear  
10 to have the greatest areal extent insofar as your  
11 studies have shown?

12 A The greatest areal extent as far as continuity is  
13 concerned is B', by virtue of the wells colored in green,  
14 but as far as reserves of one well, the one located down  
15 here (indicating) surrounded by dry holes is out of the  
16 C zone. It has produced in excess of 900,000 barrels.

17 From a reserve standpoint, the blue would be the  
18 greatest and this well could have easily been missed on  
19 a 160 acre basis.

20 Q Did you consider development of the East Lovington Pool  
21 on 160 acre spacing risky?

22 A I suspect that 80 acre spacing would have developed and  
23 drained what they would have on 160 acres.

24 Q Do you know what did develop and drain?

25 A Well, this will come in further testimony.

1 Q Have you made calculations which would indicate to you  
2 the area extent which would be necessary to produce  
3 900,000 barrels?

4 A No, but that will come, I believe, in later testimony.

5 Q Do you have an opinion as to the areal extent around  
6 the Getty-Monty Number 1?

7 A The only thing I could show you here would be the  
8 development pattern around these wells appear in  
9 Sections 17, 16, 20 and 23. These are producing wells  
10 with one dry hole.

11 Q Wouldn't you have to have an area greater than 160 acres  
12 in order to justify that volumetric production?

13 A It would depend on the vertical extent.

14 Q Have any studies that you have seen or datum that you  
15 have seen, indicated the vertical extent of any of these  
16 zones?

17 A You can certainly see from this (indicating) that this  
18 area could easily have been missed.

19 Q Do you feel the drilling area is in excess of 160 acres  
20 here (indicating)?

21 A I couldn't say.

22 Q At least 160 acres?

23 A At least 80 and maybe 160 at most.

24 Q Now, you have referred to the farm-out agreement between  
25 Pubco and your partnership, isn't it true that the

- 1 agreement contemplated not only 80 acre spacing, but
- 2 also 160 acre spacing and as high as 320 acres?
- 3 A This is correct.
- 4 Q So we are not talking about contract provisions, limiting
- 5 the acreage to 80 acres?
- 6 A That's correct. We initially talked with Orin Crane
- 7 and he was later replaced by Dale Harrison and we talked
- 8 about 80 acre spacing in these two fields, however, we
- 9 felt it would not be good business to draw up a contract
- 10 that would not include 160 and 320 acre spacing.
- 11 Q You were really talking about 160 acres, were you not?
- 12 A No, we weren't. We could not have been talking about
- 13 160 acres. Based on what you see up here (indicating)
- 14 we didn't rule it out up here (indicating).
- 15 Q You spoke about the difficulties in finding these other
- 16 possible producing zones within the Strawn and the
- 17 possibility of missing them on a 160 acre basis; isn't
- 18 that true? From a volumetric standpoint, isn't it true
- 19 that you might have geological success so far as locating
- 20 one of these was concerned and, at the same time, have
- 21 economic disaster?
- 22 A This is very true, but I think in answering that
- 23 question, if you look at the Pubco development, you will
- 24 see the proximity to this well here definitely points
- 25 out they considered the economic potential of the area,



1 but certainly considered the risks involved here, and  
2 also, the other advantages in the way of additional  
3 zones, that I tend to believe extend out of this area.

4 Q Do you think 160 acre spacing eliminates the development  
5 of these other zones?

6 A I think it would be hazardous with 160 acre spacing. We  
7 feel that the dry hole here (indicating) would have  
8 prohibited us and Pubco from developing other locations  
9 such as these (indicating). In Section 16, you will see  
10 the dry hole in the SW/4, and then again in Section 19  
11 you will see a dry hole in the SW/4, then again in  
12 Section 30 in the NE/4, and then again in Section 29 in  
13 the NW/4.

14 Any one of these dry holes might have prohibited  
15 additional drilling in the area and I tend to think that  
16 if additional dry holes had been drilled, some of these  
17 additional wells might never have been developed and  
18 that would have slowed the Strawn development in the  
19 area.

20 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not one well  
21 in this area as it is presently completed within the  
22 Humble City Pool would drain in excess of 80 acres?

23 A No, I really don't. I believe our engineer will testify  
24 to that.

25 MR. SPERLING: That's all I have.

1 MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

2 MR. HATCH: There has been, I believe, reference  
3 made to 80 acre spacing in the East Lovington Pool, I am  
4 not sure that is correct.

5 Would you have any exception to the Examiner  
6 studying compression records to see what the correct spacing  
7 is in the East Lovington Pool?

8 MR. HINKLE: I would certainly recommend that.

9 MR. UTZ: We will take administrative notice.

10 (Witness excused.)

11 \* \* \* \* \*

12 ROY C. WILLIAMSON,

13 was called as a witness and, having been already duly sworn,  
14 testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. HINKLE:

17 Q Would you state your name and residence?

18 A I am Roy C. Williamson, Jr., president of Bailey,  
19 Sipes & Williamson, of Midland, Texas.

20 Q Have you been employed by the Harding Oil Company as  
21 a consultant in this case?

22 A Yes, I have.

23 Q Have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation  
24 Commission?

25 A I have.

1 Q And have your qualifications as a petroleum engineer  
2 been accepted as a matter of record?

3 A Yes, my qualifications are a matter of record with the  
4 Commission.

5 Q Have you, since your employment, made an independent  
6 study of the Humble City-Strawn Pool?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 MR. HINKLE: Are the witness' qualifications  
9 acceptable?

10 MR. UTZ: Yes, they are.

11 Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Have you prepared or has there been  
12 prepared under your direction, certain Exhibits for  
13 introduction in this case?

14 A Yes, sir, there have been.

15 Q Referring you to Exhibit Number 5, would you explain what  
16 this shows?

17 A Exhibit 5 shows the logs from the four wells that were  
18 pointed out in Exhibit Number 2. These are located in  
19 Sections 16, 17, 20, and 21 of Township 16 South, Range  
20 37 East. Mr. Spencer has referred to the fact that the  
21 wells in Sections 16, 17, and 20 are producing from the  
22 Strawn section, and the well in Section 21 is a dry  
23 hole.

24 I would like to direct your attention to Exhibit  
25 Number 5 which shows the perforated intervals of pay

1 development for the three producing wells. We can see  
2 here that the State C Number 2, which is in Section 17,  
3 has a perforated interval. These are all neutron  
4 porosity logs. The well in Section 16 is perforated.

5 The well in Section 20 has a longer perforated  
6 interval and pay zone.

7 In Section 21, which was the dry hole, we see  
8 that the pay has failed to develop and this, again, just  
9 points out the fact that we do have very rapid change  
10 in porosity and permeability development over very  
11 short distances in this field.

12 The three wells that are producing are all producing  
13 in excess of 200,000 barrels of oil as of the first  
14 of 1972. I might point out that the well in Section 24,  
15 is rather spotty with an accumulative production of  
16 4,115 barrels of oil. The well in Section 19, the  
17 Clinton-Monty State Number 1 shows 113,000 barrels which  
18 again, shows the rapid change in the producing  
19 characteristics over very short distances. In Section  
20 20, we have the Getty well, which has been referred  
21 to before and which has produced over 900,000 barrels  
22 of oil.

23 Right to the west of it, we have a dry hole and  
24 to the SW of it another dry hole. The nearest producer  
25 in Section 19, is rather spotty and has produced about

1 15,000 barrels of oil as of the first of the year.

2 Calling your attention down to the Lovington East  
3 field, in Section 4 of Township 17, South, Range 37  
4 East, we have the Getty State U Number 1 that has  
5 produced about 19,600 barrels of oil before being  
6 temporarily abandoned and plugged back to the Paddock.  
7 In Section 32, we have the Getty State P Number 1  
8 which has produced 419,000 barrels of accumulated  
9 production as of the first of the year.

10 So we can see that we could have very marked  
11 changes in our porosity and permeability development and  
12 these wells also exhibited producing characteristics  
13 that were very close, one to the other.

14 Q Referring you to Exhibit Number 6, would you explain  
15 what that is?

16 A Exhibit Number 6 is a copy of the acoustic log on the  
17 Shipp Number 1 well. I have depicted sections here that  
18 include what has been determined to be pay sections at  
19 short, perforated intervals.

20 I have shown here, and it's a little hard to see,  
21 but I have assumed the minimum porosity below which  
22 production will not occur as being 4 percent.

23 In other words, we have a 4 percent porosity cut-off  
24 line and the average porosity line as exhibited by the  
25 sonic log, is approximately 4.8 percent. We understood

1 that Pubco had cored their Number 2 Shipp, but the  
2 information was not available to us, so I needed to make  
3 some calculations utilizing porosities that were a little  
4 more representative because I felt this one here was  
5 low.

6 I utilized the sidewall neutron logs, mainly because  
7 they were the best logs available, and they were  
8 porosity logs from the wells in Sections 16, 17, and  
9 20.

10 In analyzing these logs, I arrived at an average  
11 porosity of approximately 8 percent which was used in  
12 my calculations. I see now, from the datum that this  
13 was probably high by some 25 percent.

14 Q I refer you know to Exhibit Number 7, will you explain  
15 that?

16 A Exhibit 7 is a comparison of the reserves calculated  
17 by the volumetric method. The fluid samples on this  
18 well indicate a bubble point of 2893,000 pounds and  
19 an accumulative production of 10,090.

20 On Exhibit 7, bottom hole pressure was again  
21 measured and was found to be 4,188 pounds and from  
22 eliciting data from the fluid analyses, we were able to  
23 determine the formation volume factor that was initially  
24 representative of the oil formation and was representative  
25 of a pressure of 4,188 pounds.

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092, PHONE 243-6691, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 Utilizing the simplified version above the bubble  
2 point I was able to calculate from available data, the  
3 amount of stock tank oil originally in place in the  
4 reservoir. The figure was calculated to be 741,609  
5 barrels of oil. Without having better datum, I just  
6 assumed for estimation purposes, that the recovery  
7 would be approximately 20 percent and Pubco has shown  
8 it to be 16.67 percent, I believe.

9 I might point out two things that might alter the  
10 calculations of reserves. It is very possible that, in  
11 view of the fact we do have bugular porosity we should  
12 have matrix porosity due to the fact that the pressure  
13 buildup has been so rapid.

14 It is my feeling that the rapid pressure buildup  
15 is probably occurring from the bugular porosity of the  
16 reservoir and the pressure measured here probably is  
17 pressure contribution from the bugular porosity of the  
18 reservoir, as opposed to the matrix porosity.

19 So, if we had a longer shut-in pressure, we  
20 might show that the pressure drop was not quite as  
21 severe as we have observed here.

22 Also, the fact that we have bugular porosity, I  
23 think our recovery factor could possibly be somewhat  
24 higher than the standard 20 percent. I think the datum  
25 indicates that we have a very limited reservoir and

1 indicates that this field is right in line with what  
2 we have seen in the Lovington East and Lovington North-  
3 east fields where those reservoirs have indicated that  
4 they are somewhat limited in size.

5 Referring back to Exhibit Number 1, I think reference  
6 has been made to the three wells in Sections 6, 5 and  
7 4 of 17 South, 37 East. These wells are essentially  
8 drilled on 160 acre spacing and the well recoveries  
9 shown indicate to me, that they are not draining the  
10 acreage. We don't know what they are draining because  
11 they are all uneconomical wells so it is immaterial  
12 whether they are on 40, 80 or 160 acre spacing.

13 They are not draining a very large area and just  
14 because you have water spacing, it doesn't mean you can  
15 generate more reserves. So I think we need to look at  
16 the basic requirements in view of the reservoir  
17 characteristics.

18 Referring back to Exhibit Number 7, I think that  
19 I mentioned before that the sonic log on the Shipp Number  
20 1 indicated 4.8 porosity and the average porosity from  
21 Pennsylvanian oil wells, was about 8 percent. I felt  
22 8 percent was near right, but was probably high; but  
23 on the other hand, 4.8 was low. Anyway, that was the  
24 number I utilized on my calculations.

25 Again, I estimated the recovery of stock tank oil



dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 to be placed at 20 percent. Utilizing the original  
2 formation volume factor, I made a volumetric calculation  
3 of oil in place per acre foot and found it to be 52  
4 barrels of oil per acre foot.

5 My calculations of the net pay from the sonic  
6 log of the Shipp Number 1 well was substantiated not only  
7 by the log, but from the time log which indicated that  
8 we do have rock that is more easily drillable here for,  
9 I would suppose, greater porosity.

10 I determined from this 46 feet of net pay and I  
11 then calculated the recoverable barrels of oil on an  
12 80 acre basis and on a 40 acre basis. On a 40 acre  
13 basis, the total was 95,000 barrels and on 80 acres,  
14 191,000 barrels.

15 Now, if we assume that the average porosity in the  
16 area of the Shipp Number 1 well is approximately 25  
17 percent too high, and that would be indicated by the  
18 Pubco data, that would reduce the 80 acre drainage by  
19 25 percent and put it at 150,000 barrels which agrees  
20 with the 148,000 barrels figure.

21 So this data indicates that we are dealing with  
22 a reservoir that might not be bigger than 80 acres  
23 to start with. I hope, for the sake of the operators,  
24 that it proves to be larger, but the data on hand to  
25 date does not indicate that it should be larger.

1 We could take the 80 acre total and multiply  
2 by two and show we would get 400,000 barrels by draining  
3 160 acres, but I think the data that has been calculated  
4 indicates that we do not have a reservoir that big.

5 I would say that it doesn't really matter and  
6 that it is immaterial if you don't have a reservoir  
7 larger than 80 acres, you couldn't expect to drain an  
8 area larger than 80 acres.

9 Q Is that all you have in connection with Exhibit 7?

10 A I believe so.

11 Q Referring you to Exhibit Number 8, would you explain  
12 that?

13 A Exhibit 8 is a study of the economic development. In  
14 arriving at item number 1, we utilized \$3.44 per barrel  
15 of oil and \$0.22 per MCF of gas. I utilized an average  
16 of 1,000 cubic feet per barrel of oil, which is probably  
17 a little low.

18 I estimated taxes and operating costs and ran this  
19 out mathematically and showed that to pay out for the  
20 drilling and preliminary tests, the taxes and operating  
21 costs, it would require approximately 90,000 barrels  
22 of oil.

23 If we reduced our average porosity to 6 percent  
24 instead of the 8 percent, it would show that on 40 acre  
25 spacing it would be uneconomical.

1           On 80 acre spacing, I think we probably have a  
2       reasonable prospect, we certainly would get our money  
3       back, plus some more and changes are that we might  
4       develop more reserves by means of two things. One, the  
5       recovery might be greater than 20 percent and this  
6       would be a major thing that I think we might see. And,  
7       also, the pressure that we have measured might be a  
8       little higher than we think, at least this is my  
9       opinion. Of course, if the pressure is higher, we should  
10      show more oil in place.

11           Now, I might mention that the pressure taken in  
12      the Shipp Number 1 was after production of some 10,900  
13      barrels of oil. At that time, calculations should have  
14      been made as to what the volumetric oil in place should  
15      have been.

16   Q     Do you have any comments with respect to any of the  
17       Exhibits introduced by Pubco?

18   A     I might make a comment on Exhibit Number 5, their  
19       Exhibit Number 5. Again, I believe the location of  
20       their well Number 3 would probably be just about on  
21       this line (indicating), I'm not sure of that though.

22           This would indicate it would be sharing the  
23      drainage area that the Harding Number 1 is sharing and  
24      which would indicate that we now have essentially three  
25      wells which might be sharing recoverable reserves of

1 150,000 barrels of oil.

2 Q What conclusions have you come up with as a result of  
3 your studies in this area?

4 A It is my conclusion that 80 acre proration units would  
5 be preferable in developing the field because of the  
6 erratic nature of the porosity and permeability. This  
7 erratic nature would cause risks in getting dry holes  
8 and these risks would escalate very rapidly on 160 acre  
9 space outs.

10 The rapid changes would not only affect drainage,  
11 but actual pay development. We have said that these  
12 wells, in this area, do change very rapidly and we have  
13 seen where a well will recover a lot of oil right next  
14 to a dry hole.

15 The communication between the Harding well and  
16 the Pubco well is obviously very good and I think that  
17 the risk that is inherent in this reservoir was exhibited  
18 by Pubco in its desire to drill their well as close to  
19 the discovery well as they could get.

20 With the risks involved in this reservoir, I  
21 probably would have done just what they did, get as close  
22 to the producer as I could because I don't want to drill  
23 a dry hole. I would rather share the reservoir with  
24 someone than get a dry hole.

25 Q Do you have any further conclusions?

1 A You have good rock development in the reservoir and  
2 the economics of 80 acre spacing will be very adequate.  
3 If you did not have good rock development the fact  
4 you drilled on 160, or 320 acre spacing would not help  
5 you economically because, with poor rock development,  
6 you are not going to be able to drain a very large area  
7 anyway.

8 Q Is it your opinion, then, that the adoption of temporary  
9 80 acre spacing will be in the interest of conservation,  
10 of hydro carbons and the prevention of underground  
11 waste?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Would adopting 160 acre spacing protect correlative  
14 rights?

15 A I would say no, because you might miss, completely miss,  
16 the development of a porosity or permeability zone.

17 Q Do you have anything else that you would like to add?

18 A I think that possibly the Exhibit of Pubco, Pubco's  
19 Exhibit Number 5, that indicated bottom hole pressure  
20 that we do see that apparently the pressure is beginning  
21 to turn or curve and this could possibly indicate that  
22 we are seeing some pressure coming out from the matrix  
23 of the reservoir which might support the fact that we  
24 have a little higher pressure than we think we do, I  
25 certainly hope so, because that would mean there would

1 be more reserves to be produced.

2 MR. HINKLE: We would like to offer Exhibits 1  
3 through 8.

4 MR. UTZ: Exhibits 1 through 8 will be entered  
5 into the record of this case.

6 (Whereupon, Harding's Exhibits 1 through 8  
7 were entered in evidence.)

8 MR. UTZ: Any questions?

9 \* \* \* \* \*

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. SPERLING:

12 Q Mr. Williamson, referring again to Exhibit Number 2,  
13 I believe it is, and the three producing wells that  
14 you referred to and the one dry hole which are in the  
15 Lovington Northeast Pool area, do you have an opinion  
16 as to the extent of the area that those wells are  
17 draining and have drained?

18 A Yes, I have. Look at this (indicating) and it is my  
19 opinion that those wells are very capable of obtaining  
20 a production that they had exhibited from 80 acre  
21 spacing.

22 Now, this line will show what the pay interval is.  
23 In other words, there has not been sufficient testing  
24 in those wells to indicate how far the pay zone extends  
25 below the pore formation.

1           So, by referring to Exhibit 5, we can see the  
2 perforated intervals are in the top of the indicated  
3 porosity zone.

4           In considering the volume of oil produced from some  
5 of those that have been drilled on 80 acres -- well, I  
6 think the highest -- well, I don't think we are looking  
7 for 900,000 barrels -- naturally, we will be looking for  
8 it.

9   Q   Even on 160 acre spacing, it could occur?

10   A   What about 160 acres?

11   Q   It could occur on 160 acre spacing?

12   A   Oh, yes.

13   Q   Now, if this -- if your conclusion concerning the extent  
14 of this reservoir as possibly being confined to 80 acres  
15 is true, should not the next step be taken in order to  
16 confirm whether it is or not?

17   A   If we determine this from subsequent pressures, I,  
18 personally, if I were an operator spending my money,  
19 wouldn't drill another well anywhere here before I got a  
20 large pressure buildup. I feel it is a greater risk  
21 stepping out on a 160 acre basis because you are very  
22 likely to miss part of the reservoir these wells are  
23 producing from.

24           This well was not taken to the Strawn zone by Pubco,  
25 obviously they did not feel the well justified going any

- 1 deeper to the Strawn.
- 2 Q I believe you already reduced your volumetric calculations
- 3 some 25 percent?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Now, in arriving at your net pay figure, how did you
- 6 conclude there were 46 net feet of pay when there seems
- 7 to be an indication of a maximum of 34 feet?
- 8 A I took all the net pay above the 4 percent porosity
- 9 cut off which was confirmed by the log on the Shipp
- 10 Number 1.
- 11 Q Would you consider this core information to be more
- 12 reliable?
- 13 A I would suppose it would be, yes.
- 14 Q What kind of scale did you use for the 4 percent cut off
- 15 in porosity?
- 16 A What kind of scale?
- 17 Q Yes, porosity scale.
- 18 A I calculated the matrix velocity for the reservoir and
- 19 used the time equivalent equation to calculate what 4
- 20 percent would be.
- 21 Q Now, if I understood your testimony correctly, I believe
- 22 you suggested temporary 80 acre spacing. What exactly
- 23 do you mean by that?
- 24 A Well, as I understand it --
- 25 MR. HINKLE: That is what the Application is for,



1 temporary.

2 Q (By Mr. Sperling) Well, are you suggesting the  
3 possibility that, at some time in the future, this be  
4 expanded to 160 acre spacing?

5 A I have no feel for that, as far as I am concerned,  
6 permanent 80 acre spacing rules could be adopted.

7 Q Do you think that Pubco's Exhibit 5 shows that the  
8 Harding well is draining in excess of 80 acres?

9 A It shows 90.4 acres.

10 Q Do you agree with that?

11 A If we assume the reservoir is homogeneous within the  
12 circle, yes. If it is not homogeneous, we could have a  
13 limited reservoir that is smaller than 90.4 acres.

14 Q But you don't have any evidence of that?

15 A No, there is none in existence.

16 Q Did your studies indicate the presence of fractures  
17 insofar as these zones are concerned in the Strawn and  
18 possible communication between them?

19 A I have no data as to fracture conditions in the  
20 reservoir, perhaps the core analysis would show it.

21 Q Mr. Williamson, on your Exhibit Number 2, I notice you  
22 have a location shown to indicate your Number 2 well  
23 in Section 11?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What are your plans as to the drilling of that well?

1 A I will defer that to the operator.

2 MR. HINKLE: The next witness will cover that.

3 Q (By Mr. Sperling) Do you know about the Pubco well  
4 drilling, the well just to the north of your location?

5 A I was told that it was around 9,000 feet, that's all  
6 the information I have had to date.

7 MR. SPERLING: I have no further questions.

8 MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

9 (No response.)

10 MR. UTZ: If not, the witness may be excused.

11 (Witness excused.)

12 \* \* \* \* \*

13 JAMES JUSTICE,

14 was called as a witness and, having been already duly sworn,  
15 testified as follows:

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. HINKLE:

18 Q State your name, residence and position, please.

19 A My name is James O. Justice; my residence in in Dallas,  
20 Texas; and I am chairman of the board and chief executive  
21 officer of Harding Oil Company.

22 Q What is the relationship between Harding Oil Company  
23 and the Spencer and Hudson partnership?

24 A We have a consulting arrangement and we work with them  
25 on a number of different prospective drilling opportunities

1 and took from them, at their recommendation, the  
2 Pubco farm-out that had been made to them previously in  
3 November of last year.

4 Q And you have assumed responsibility for complying with  
5 the Pubco contract?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And Harding Oil Company deepened the Pubco well and  
8 made the discovery?

9 A Right, there was a good opportunity from three standpoints,  
10 one, the potential of the reservoir; two, the opportunity  
11 for developing acreage significant to us, and third,  
12 the opportunity for return.

13 Q State, briefly, how the Harding Oil Company is operated.

14 A Basically we obtain prospects from consulting geologists  
15 of which Spencer and Hudson are major contributors. We  
16 offer these through an investing public.

17 Q Have you given notice to the public company of your  
18 attention of drilling the Number 2 well in the time  
19 provided by the farm-out agreement?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What procedure are you following?

22 A Shortly after completion of the Shipp Number 1 well  
23 we prepared our S-10 registration and submitted it to  
24 the Security and Exchange Commission for their review.  
25 There is a 120 day clause associated with this and we

1 recognized that because of the time this would be  
2 difficult to achieve.

3 Q Have you filed with the Security and Exchange Commission,  
4 a plat or plans for development not only of the Number  
5 2 well, but of the acreage in general?

6 A Yes, in our initial registration with the Security and  
7 Exchange Commission, we filed for the development of the  
8 acreage on 80 acre spacing if it were oil and 320  
9 spacing if it were gas.

10 This action was taken by us not only from the  
11 recommendations of the consulting geologists, but also  
12 on our own house investigation. We felt that, as a  
13 result of examining the area, from the way it drilled  
14 and the way it drained, that producing on 80 acres  
15 presented the optimum kind of spacing for the area. It  
16 was on that judgment and on that basis that we went  
17 ahead with the 80 acre proposition.

18 Q Now, if the Commission should approve 160 acre spacing,  
19 would this be difficult for you concerning your  
20 Application with the Security and Exchange Commission?

21 A There would be several adverse effects, a significant  
22 time delay would be associated with it, and it would  
23 require refinancing.

24 It would also require changing the ground rules  
25 under which the offers would be made. This would be a

1 difficulty not only with the Security and Exchange  
2 Commission, but I think it would put our contract in  
3 jeopardy so far as our ability to meet the time require-  
4 ments of the contract are concerned.

5 Q Do you have any intention after drilling the Number 2  
6 well, of drilling additional wells in the area?

7 A Yes, we have applied -- we have made application to drill  
8 two additional wells. These applications are being held  
9 pending the outcome of this hearing.

10 Q Can you tell the locations of those wells?

11 A The locations are shown on Exhibit 2, and they are  
12 designated the numbers 3 and 4 wells. There's one to  
13 the east and one to the northwest.

14 Q And, if 80 acre spacing is adopted, you intend to  
15 proceed on the basis outlined and drill these wells?

16 A Yes, that is correct.

17 Q From all the information which has been available to  
18 you and from employing consulting firms, have you formed  
19 any conclusions as to how the area should be developed?

20 A Yes.

21 Q From a conservation standpoint?

22 A Yes. First of all, we feel the original assessment  
23 and conclusions have been confirmed.

24 Secondly, we feel that it would be in the interest  
25 of our investors to continue on 80 acre spacing.

1 Third, we feel that in the interest of conservation,  
2 it will effectively and efficiently drain the acreage  
3 based again on the assessments obtained from the  
4 consulting engineers.

5 Fourth, we feel that it will also preserve the  
6 correlative rights of others in the area, that 160 acre  
7 spacing, in our view, would jeopardize.

8 Q Have you any objections, or any favorable comments from  
9 any operators in the area concerning your Application for  
10 80 acre spacing?

11 A We have gotten letters of support from several people in  
12 the area; Mr. H. L. Brown, Atlantic Richfield, and  
13 I think, probably one other who may have communicated  
14 by telegram or letter supporting 80 acre spacing.

15 Q Are these from owners who have acreage in the area that  
16 are supporting your Application?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q Do you have anything further?

19 A Nothing other than -- I realize these business aspects  
20 are not really germane to the Commission's deliberations,  
21 nonetheless, I wanted to take the opportunity to point  
22 them out because, in our perspective, they are  
23 significant factors that influence our operations. We  
24 feel that from a business aspect there is good and  
25 sufficient reason for continuing on 80 acre spacing for

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 the reasons that have been outlined here today and  
2 which support our initial judgment in making this  
3 particular arrangement.

4 MR. HINKLE: We understand there have been letters  
5 or telegrams sent to the Commission.

6 MR. HATCH: There is a telegram from Pennzoil, a  
7 telegram from Atlantic Richfield, both in support of Harding's  
8 Application. There is also a letter from Texas Independent  
9 Petroleum supporting Harding Oil Company's Application.

10 MR. HINKLE: I believe that's all we have.

11 MR. UTZ: I would like to ask a question in regard  
12 to the Number 2 well. How much longer do you have to get  
13 the approval of the Security and Exchange Commission to  
14 complete the well?

15 THE WITNESS: We have made application and expect  
16 comment this week from them.

17 MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

18 \* \* \* \* \*

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. SPERLING:

21 Q Did you have separate registration for each of these  
22 prospects, Mr. Justice?

23 A We have filed separately on the first and second, on  
24 the subsequent ones, we might not.

25 Q What are the economic risks to Harding under your

1 arrangement?

2 A We have economic risks in terms of the cost of the

3 acreage that we are dealing with here, along with the

4 initial acquisition risk. We have legal fees and risks

5 in terms of meeting our contract obligations. Some of

6 the risks are more than economic.

7 Q Well, assuming the cost of the well to be \$300,000, how

8 much of that represented investment by Harding?

9 A I can't give you the precise figure off the top of my

10 head, but our investment in that would be a small

11 percentage of the total investment.

12 Q What is your participation in production?

13 A We will participate in production by less than 20

14 percent.

15 Q So, in effect, the wells are being paid for by your

16 investors?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And you receive a 20 percent interest without a

19 substantial investment in the cost of drilling the well;

20 is that a fair statement?

21 A I think that's a fair statement, yes.

22 Q Referring to the contract and the acreage that you have

23 under the Pubco farm-out, you have a double number of

24 locations available to you on 80 acre spacing -- or to

25 your investors, than you would have on 160 acre spacing?



1 A I haven't counted them up, but it seems logical to say  
2 that.

3 MR. SPERLING: I think that's all I have.

4 MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

5 (No response.)

6 MR. UTZ: If not, the witness may be excused.

7 (Witness excused.)

8 MR. UTZ: Does that complete your case?

9 MR. HINKLE: That concludes our case.

10 MR. UTZ: Any statements?

11 MR. BUELL: On behalf of H. L. Brown, Jr., we would  
12 like to support the Harding Application and oppose the Pubco  
13 Application.

14 On the 80 acre spacing, we feel it would be prudent  
15 to require drilling either in the SE/4 Quarter or the NE/4  
16 Quarter of any section.

17 MR. UTZ: The northeast?

18 MR. BUELL: The NE and the SW, I'm sorry.

19 MR. UTZ: Anything further?

20 MR. SPERLING: I would like to say just briefly,  
21 Mr. Examiner, that we feel that the data which is based on  
22 reliable information and not on speculative data which was  
23 presented concerning the reservoir, certainly supports the  
24 Application of Pubco for 160 acre spacing.

25 While it is true that there are only two wells

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 presently drilled and completed in the pool, the information  
2 which is available at this time from the standpoint of  
3 reservoir information is much more abundant than in any other  
4 two well fields I have ever seen before. I think this  
5 information shows conclusively that it would be economically  
6 prudent to develop this field on 160 acre spacing.

7 MR. HINKLE: The well was deepened on information  
8 given to Harding by Pubco which showed on its face that this  
9 was possibly a very limited area. It has been brought out  
10 here in testimony, that drilling in the Strawn area in the  
11 vicinity of the northeast and east areas have been very  
12 erratic and it is clear that this is a stratographic formation  
13 or pool and that you can have a dry hole right next to a  
14 producer.

15 I think that in summing up all the evidence together  
16 that has been introduced by both sides, would indicate that  
17 it is a limited reservoir and I think that Roy Williamson's  
18 testimony shows very definitely that he wouldn't even advise  
19 an operator to drill another well if the pressure continues  
20 to drop. I don't think the Commission can assume here that  
21 the reservoir has sufficient development to justify 160 acre  
22 spacing, at this time.

23 So I believe the thing to do at this time would be  
24 for the Commission to adopt temporary 80 acre spacing rules on  
25 the basis of one year and to take a look and see what develops

1 because it has been indicated that there are going to be  
2 several other wells drilled in the meantime.

3 MR. SPERLING: The obvious answer to that is, you  
4 can't undrill wells that are already drilled.

5 MR. UTZ: Any other statements?

6 (No response.)

7 MR. UTZ: If not, the case will be taken under  
8 advisement.

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103  
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
 ) SS  
 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )

3 I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,  
 4 in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico,  
 5 do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript  
 6 of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission  
 7 was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct  
 8 record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge,  
 9 skill and ability.

10  
 11 Richard E. McCormick

12 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22 I do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript  
 23 of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission  
 24 was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct  
 25 record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge,  
 skill and ability.

0745741  
 072  
 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

I N D E X

<u>WITNESS:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>MARION CAUSEY</u>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Sperling	5
Cross-Examination by Mr. Hinkle	15
Cross-Examination by Mr. Utz	21
Recross-Examination by Mr. Hinkle	23
<u>CHARLES SANDERS</u>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Sperling	25
Cross-Examination by Mr. Hinkle	43
<u>RICHARD F. SPENCER</u>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle	45
Cross Examination by Mr. Sperling	55
<u>ROY C. WILLIAMSON</u>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle	59
Cross-Examination by Mr. Sperling	71
<u>JAMES JUSTICE</u>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle	75
Cross-Examination by Mr. Sperling	80

E X H I B I T SAPPLICANT'SOFFEREDADMITTEDPUBCO PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Exhibit 1	6	42
Exhibit 1-A	7	42
Exhibit 1-B	14	42
Exhibit 2	26	42
Exhibit 3	27	42
Exhibit 4	28	42
Exhibit 4-A	30	42
Exhibit 5	31	42
Exhibit 6	34	42
Exhibit 6-A	35	42
Exhibit 7	37	42
Exhibit 8	38	42

\* \* \* \* \*

HARDING OIL COMPANY

Exhibit 1	47	71
Exhibit 2	47	71
Exhibit 3	47	71
Exhibit 4	49	71
Exhibit 5	60	71
Exhibit 6	62	71
Exhibit 7	63	71
Exhibit 8	67	71

dearnley, meier &amp; mc cormick