sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

1	<u>INDEX</u>								
2		Page							
3	KEN GRIFFIN								
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Bledsoe	3							
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter	7							
6									
7	CHESLEY McKENZIE								
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Bledsoe								
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter								
10									
11									
12									
13	EXHIBIT INDEX								
14		Page							
15	Applicant's Exhibit No. One, Proposed Unit Agreement	7							
16	Applicant's Exhibit No. Two, Map	11							
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 BY MR. BLEDSOE:

24

25

Q. The first witness will be Mr. Griffin. Would you state your name, please, sir?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. NUTTER: We will call Case Number 5647.

MR. CARR: Case 5647, application of Griffin & Burnett, Inc. for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. BLEDSOE: If you please, Mr. Examiner, my name is Bob Bledsoe of the firm of Cotton, Bledsoe, Tighe, Morrow and Dawson of Midland, Texas representing BTA Oil Producers in this matter. Griffin & Burnett, Inc. filed the application in behalf of BTA Oil Producers and we will have two witnesses today, Mr. Griffin and Mr. McKenzie.

MR. NUTTER: What did you say your first name is, Mr. Bledsoe?

MR. BLEDSO: Bob.

MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, the record should also reflect that Mr. Bledsoe is a member of the New Mexico Bar.

MR. NUTTER: All right.

(THEREUPON, the witnesses were duly sworn.)

KEN GRIFFIN

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

Page_

5 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

of Griffin & Burnett in Midland, Texas.

A. I have.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are those qualifications a matter of record before the Commission?
 - A. Yes.
- And you have been employed by BTA Oil Producers in connection with the pending matter?
 - A. I have.
- MR. BLEDSOE: Are Mr. Griffin's qualifications acceptable to the Examiner?
 - MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.
- Q (Mr. Bledsoe continuing.) I will ask Mr. Griffin to please refer to what has been marked for identification, sir, as Exhibit One and identify that exhibit, please?
- A. Exhibit One is the proposed unit agreement for the operation of the A. Good Unit area in Lea County, New Mexico.
- Q. Are there two separate attachments in addition to the unit agreement itself?
- A. To the unit agreement is attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B, Exhibit A being a plat of the unit area, Exhibit B being a scheduled ownership.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is there a break down of the Federal and State acreage in the unit?
- A. Exhibit B, at the bottom of Exhibit B, outlines the tract numbers within the unit, by serial number, by acreage content for the total.
 - Q. Is there any fee acreage in the unit?
 - A. There is no fee acreage in the unit.
- Q. Mr. Griffin, has the proposed unit area been designated by the USGS as logically subject to exploration and development under the unitization provisions of the Minerals Leasing Act?
 - A. Yes, it has.
- Q. Has the proposed unit area been filed with the Commissioner of Public Lands?
- A. It has been filed with the Commissioner of Public Lands and tentative approval has been obtained.
- Q. Is this form of unit agreement which is marked as
 Exhibit One, the type that has previously been approved by the
 USGS and the Commissioner of Public Lands and the Commission?
- A. This unit agreement is the 1968 reprint with the appropriate amendments to allow for approval by the Commissioner of Public Lands.
 - Q. Who is the designated unit operator?
 - A. BTA Oil Producers will be the operator.
 - Are all of the formations to be unitized, Mr. Griffit?
 - A. All of the formations will be unitized.

l		Ų.	MITI	you	prierry	rerace	cne	prov.	ISTONS	rer	Lati	ng	LC
-	the	initia	al tes	st we	el 1 ?								
		Δ	ጥክድ ነ	ıni t	agreemer	nt provi	ides	that	we ha	ve s	ix	mon	+1

- A. The unit agreement provides that we have six months after approval of the unit area by both the Director, by the Supervisor and the Commissioner that at that point a location approved by the Supervisor if on Federal lands or by the Commissioner if on State lands, will be commenced within six months from that date of approval.
- Q. What is the plan of unit development subsequent to the initial test well?
- A. The 1968 reprint has the standard provisions for filing of six months plans of development for the operation and development of the unit after the initial well is drilled.
- Q. What is the present status of the commitment to the unit agreement of working interest in the proposed unit area?
- A. At this point we have one hundred percent agreement among the working interest owners to the formation of this unit.
- Q. Mr. Griffin, was Exhibit One prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
 - A. It was.
- MR. BLEDSOE: Mr. Examiner, I move to introduce Exhibit Number One.
- MR. NUTTER: Exhibit One will be admitted into evidence.

letter that they issue and it is an area suitable for

only one insertion necessary under the equal opportunity

provisions you have to insert the "as amended".

(THEREUPON, Applicant's Exhibit One

(Mr. Bledsoe continuing.) In your opinion, sir, is

The USGS has actually issued that regular designation

Well, the unit agreement itself they have not approved?

Not approved, but it is their form that with the

And the unit agreement you are offering here today

this unit agreement in the interest of conservation and will it

was admitted into evidence.)

prevent waste and protect correlative rights?

Page____

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (595) 982-9212

1

2

3

4

5

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unitization.

Page___

CHESLEY MCKENZIE

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLEDSOE:

- Q. Mr. McKenzie, would you state your name, occupation and by whom you are employed?
- A. Chesley Mckenzie, occupation, Chief Geophysicist, employed by BTA Oil producers.
 - Q. Have you ever testified before this Commission?
 - A. No, I have not.

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Q.	For	the	benefit	o.f	the	Examiner	;, s:	ir,	would	you
please	state	your	educatio	nal	bac	ckground	and	exp	perien	ce?

- A. I have a college degree, B.S. and I've been in the seismic business for about thirty years.
- Q. Are you presently in seismic work with BTA Oil Producers?
 - A. Yes, I am.

MR. BLEDSOE: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.

- Q. (Mr. Bledsoe continuing.) Mr. McKenzie, have you caused a geologic study of the proposed unit area to be made?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- 0. What was the result of that study and what data did you consider in connection with it?
- A. The exhibit we have made here is a Devonian map showing an anticlinal feature of some six hundred feet of closure, bounded on the east down to the east fault and controlled on the northwest and the south by a dip. The seismic lines are indicated on the map, an east-west seismic line and a north-south seismic line showing Devonian values.
- Q. Are the results of your study indicated by Exhibit
 Two which has been marked for identification, sir?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What other conclusions do you draw from this exhibit

Mr. McKenzie?

A. Well, we feel that we have an anticlinal feature here in line with the Crittenden Field and Texas to the south and also the Everetts Field further to the south on a trend here, a good trend.

- Q. What is your primary objective in this initial well?
- A. The Atoka, the Devonian, the Fusselman and the Ellenburger.
- Q. When do you estimate the test well will be commenced, Mr. McKenzie?
 - A. As soon as practical after approval is received.
- Q. How long would you estimate that it will take to drill this well?
 - A. Approximately six or seven months.
- Q. Have you calculated the approximate cost of such a well to, I think it's nineteen thousand, five hundred feet, isn't it, the projected depth?
 - A. Right, it's around two million.
- Q. In your opinion, Mr. McKenzie, is there a reasonable expectation that this unit area would contain recoverable oil or gas reserves?
 - A. Yes, it is my opinion.
- Was this Exhibit Two prepared by you or under your
 direct supervision?
 - A. It was.

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BLEDSOE: Mr. Examiner, I move the introduction of Exhibit Two.

MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibit Two will be admitted into evidence.

(THEREUPON, Applicant's Exhibit Two was admitted into evidence.)

- Q. (Mr. Bledsoe continuing.) Will the proposed unit promote conservation, prevent waste and protect correlative rights, in your opinion?
 - A. Yes, it will.

MR. BLEDSOE: I have no further questions, Mr. Examiner.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

- Q. Mr. McKenzie, that first field you mentioned to the south, what was the name of it?
 - A. Crittenden Field, sir.
 - O And what formation does that produce from?
- A. From the Atoka sand and I believe there is one Ellenburger well in there.
 - Q Gas or oil.
 - A. This is gas.
- Q. Now, the seismic structure is fairly well covered by the size of the unit, is that it?

1	Α.	Yes, sir.
2	Q.	And there would be a fault on th
3	a north-so	outh fault?
4	Α.	Yes, sir, we feel that there is.
5		MR. NUTTER: Are there further q

He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr.

Bledsoe?

witness?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLEDSOE: No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case 5647?

We will take the case under advisement and a fifteen minute recess.

Page	13	

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

sid morrish reporting service

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Sidney F. Morrish, C.S.R.

a complete record of the normal in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 5647 heard by me on 3/2 Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission