

MILNESAND ABO FIELD  
LEA and ROOSEVELT COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO

ECONOMICS of DRILLING ON  
80 ACRE VS 40 ACRE SPACING

1. 80 ACRE SPACING

RECOVERABLE RESERVES = 130,000 BARRELS of OIL  
DRILLING COSTS = \$650,000 (EQUIPPED PRODUCER)

ECONOMICS:

|                      |                             |               |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| INCOME               | 130,000 X .80 X \$20 X .925 | = \$1,924,000 |
| OPERATING EXPENSE    | 15 X 12 X 2500              | = 450,000     |
| NET OPERATING INCOME |                             | = 1,474,000   |
| DRILLING COST        |                             | = 650,000     |
| NET PROFIT           |                             | = 824,000     |

PROFIT TO INVESTMENT RATIO =  $\frac{824,000}{650,000} = 1.27$

2. 40 ACRE SPACING

RECOVERABLE RESERVES = 65,000 BARRELS of OIL  
DRILLING COST = \$640,000 (EQUIPPED PRODUCER)

ECONOMICS:

|                      |                            |             |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| INCOME               | 65,000 X .80 X \$20 X .925 | = \$962,000 |
| OPERATING EXPENSE    | 10 X 12 X 2500             | = 300,000   |
| NET OPERATING INCOME |                            | = 662,000   |
| DRILLING COST        |                            | = 640,000   |
| NET PROFIT           |                            | = 22,000    |

PROFIT TO INVESTMENT RATIO =  $\frac{22,000}{640,000} = .03$

NOTE THAT THE PROFIT TO INVESTMENT RATIO IS JUST BARELY ACCEPTABLE FOR 80 ACRE SPACING AND THAT THE PROFIT TO INVESTMENT RATIO IS COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE FOR 40 ACRE SPACING. THIS FIELD CANNOT BE ECONOMICALLY DEVELOPED ON ANY SPACING LESS THAN 80 ACRES.

ACTUAL DRILLING COSTS of MILNESAND ABO PRODUCERS:

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| BTA          | = \$690,000                                 |
| KNOX(PURVIS) | = 634,000                                   |
| PPMI #3      | = 587,400 (TD in Bough "C", sidetrack hole) |
| PPMI #4      | = 712,300 (TD in Bough "C")                 |
| PPMI Star    | = 82,648 (OWPB)                             |
| PURVIS 3K    | = 320,000 (DHC)                             |

|             |       |
|-------------|-------|
| Examiner    |       |
| Case No.    | 16280 |
| EXHIBIT NO. | 12    |

**BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Case No. 10280 Exhibit No. 12

Submitted by: Petroleum Production Management Inc.

Hearing Date: August 3, 1995