

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING  
GOVERNOR

POST OFFICE BOX 2088  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504  
(505) 827-5800

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO: ALL PRODUCERS, PURCHASERS AND TRANSPORTERS OF  
GAS FROM ALL PRORATED GAS POOLS IN NEW MEXICO**

**FROM: WILLIAM J. LEMAY, DIRECTOR** *WJL*

**SUBJECT: COMMISSION HEARING ON AUGUST 29, 1991, CONCERNING  
PRORATED GAS ALLOWABLES FOR OCTOBER 1991 - MARCH 1992**

**DATE: AUGUST 5, 1991**

A Commission Hearing will be scheduled for August 29, 1991 for the purpose of receiving nominations and other testimony and information to assist the Commission in determining prorated gas allowables for the Oct. '91 through Mar. '92 allocation period. The assistance of all segments of the natural gas industry is needed in this process so you are urged to attend the hearing and participate.

The following information is being distributed along with this memo:

- o PRELIMINARY ALLOWABLE ESTIMATES FOR EACH POOL.
- o EXPLANATIONS FOR POOL ALLOWABLE ADJUSTMENTS
- o COMPARISON OF MONTHLY AVERAGE POOL ALLOWABLES, SALES, F1 AND F2 FACTORS.
- o COMPARISON OF APRIL AND MAY, 1991 SALES VOLUMES TO ALLOWABLES.
- o REQUESTED FORMAT FOR NOMINATIONS - FORM C-121-A.

Nominations may be submitted prior to or at the August 29 Hearing. Nominations and other information presented at the hearing will be used to revise the preliminary allowables and assign final allowables for the allocation period.

dr/

PRELIMINARY ALLOWABLE ESTIMATES  
MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE DETERMINATION SCHEDULE  
FOR OCT 91 THRU MAR 92  
PRORATED GAS POOLS - SOUTHEAST NEW MEXICO

	ATOKA PENN	BLINERY	BUFFALO	BURTON FLAT	CARLSBAD	CATELAN	EDMONT	INDIAN BASIN	INDIAN BASIN	JALMAT	JUSTIS GL	TUBB
	VALLEY PENN	MORROW	MORROW	MORROW	ORAM	MORROW	MORROW	UPPER PENN				
(1) AVERAGE MONTHLY POOL SALES OCT 90-MAR 91 ALL VOL.S ARE IN MCF	113,402	409,780	203,184	424,022	221,417	136,500	2,315,725	204,393	3,372,080	905,099	39,437	213,916
(2) TOTAL NOMINATIONS AVERAGE MONTHLY VOLUMES												
(3) ADJUSTMENTS	27,000	73,000			72,000	14,000	96,253	27,000	180,000	340,391	6,000	60,000
(4) MONTHLY POOL ALLOWABLE OCT 91-MAR 92 (LINE 1 + LINE 3)	140,402	482,780	203,184	424,022	293,417	150,500	2,411,978	231,393	3,560,080	1,245,490	45,437	273,916
(5) MONTHLY MARGINAL POOL ALLOWABLE FOR OCT 91-MAR 92	30,622	160,459	118,747	183,646	213,958	92,289	1,373,502	73,247	2,342,065	842,890	14,065	162,954
(6) MONTHLY NON-MARGINAL POOL ALLOWABLE OCT 91-MAR 92 (LINE 4 MINUS LINE 5)	109,780	322,321	84,437	240,376	79,459	58,211	1,037,976	158,146	1,018,015	402,600	31,372	110,962
(7) NUMBER OF NON-MARGINAL ACREAGE FACTORS	3.42	10.75	2.00	6.88	3.97	2.00	56.72	0.86	5.49	22.00	9.00	11.00
(8) MONTHLY ACREAGE ALLOCATION FACTOR: OCT 91-MAR 92 F1 (LINE 6 DIVIDED BY LINE 7)	32,099	27,983	42,219	34,938	20,015	29,106	18,300	181,565	185,431	18,300	3,486	10,087

OCT 91-MAR 92 F1 FACTORS FOR OTHER POOLS  
BURTON FLAT STRAHN F1=10,000  
MOMUMENT MCKEE ELLEN F1=25,000

PRELIMINARY ALLOWABLE ESTIMATES  
 MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE DETERMINATION SCHEDULE  
 FOR OCT 91 THRU MAR 92  
 PRORATED GAS POOLS - NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BASIN	BLANCO	BLANCO	TAPACITO
DALOTA	MESAVIEBE	P.C. SOUTH	

8,039,435	14,122,492	1,204,658	207,577
-----------	------------	-----------	---------

(1) AVERAGE MONTHLY POOL SALES  
 OCT 90-MAR 91  
 ALL VOL.'S ARE IN MCF

(2) TOTAL MONTHLY VOLUMES  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY VOLUMES

(3) ADJUSTMENTS

46,000

(4) MONTHLY POOL ALLOWABLE  
 OCT 91-MAR 92  
 (LINE 1 + LINE 3)

8,039,435	14,168,492	1,204,658	207,577
-----------	------------	-----------	---------

(5) MONTHLY MARGINAL  
 POOL ALLOWABLE FOR  
 OCT 91-MAR 92

4,228,404	6,687,524	515,461	82,453
-----------	-----------	---------	--------

(6) MONTHLY NON-MARGINAL  
 POOL ALLOWABLE  
 OCT 91-MAR 92  
 (LINE 4 MINUS LINE 5)

3,811,031	7,480,968	725,197	125,124
-----------	-----------	---------	---------

(7) NUMBER OF NON-MARGINAL  
 ACREAGE FACTORS

433.65	599.93	454.61	91.74
--------	--------	--------	-------

(8) NUMBER OF NON-MARGINAL  
 ACREAGE X DELIVERABILITY  
 FACTORS

205,993	434,101	27,355	9,277
---------	---------	--------	-------

(9) MONTHLY ACREAGE ALLOCATION  
 FACTOR FOR OCT 91-MAR 92, F1

5,275	3,117	597	341
-------	-------	-----	-----

(10) MONTHLY ACREAGE X DELIV  
 ALLOCATION FACTOR FOR  
 OCT 91-MAR 92, F2

7.40	12.92	19.88	10.12
------	-------	-------	-------

## **EXPLANATION FOR POOL ALLOWABLE ADJUSTMENTS**

**Prorated Gas Pools - Oct '91-Mar '92**

<u><b>POOL</b></u>	<u><b>ADJUSTMENT MCF PER MONTH</b></u>	<u><b>REASON FOR ADJUSTMENT</b></u>
Atoka Penn	27,000	Overproduction
Blinebry	73,000	"
Carlsbad Morrow	72,000	Overproduction and Recent Production Volumes
Catchlaw Draw	14,000	Overproduction
Eumont	96,253	Minimum Allowable
Indian Basin Morrow	27,000	Overproduction
Indian Basin Upper Penn	188,000	"
Jalmat	340,391	Minimum Allowable
Justis	8,000	Recent Production
Tubb	60,000	" "
Blanco Mesaverde	48,000	Overproduction

**Monthly Marginal Pool Allowables for Oct '91-Mar '92 are estimated based on Apr and May, 1991 Pool Sales Volumes.**

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY AVERAGE  
POOL ALLOWABLES, SALES, F1 AND F2 FACTORS

PERIOD	ATOKA PENN	ELINEBRY	RUFFALO VALLEY PENN	HURTON FLAT MURKUM	CARLSBAD MURKUM	CATULAW DRAW
OCT 89-MAR 90						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	90,502	584,153	226,422	507,581	333,609	231,865
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	88,473	445,221	207,301	392,388	256,256	126,029
F1	10,193	23,478	33,319	18,931	34,790	31,079
OCT 90-MAR 91						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	100,273	441,816	239,674	544,365	200,077	146,514
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	113,402	409,780	203,184	424,022	221,417	136,500
F1	17,180	21,879	33,489	39,884	10,517	21,757
OCT 91-MAR 92						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	140,402	482,780	203,184	424,022	293,417	150,500
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	32,099	29,983	42,219	34,938	20,015	29,106
F1						

PERIOD	EUMONT	IND. BASIN MURKUM	IND. BASIN UPPER PENN	JALMAT	JUSTIS GLORIETA	TURB
OCT 89-MAR 90						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	2,279,715	90,717	3,187,359	775,942	57,744	338,032
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	1,779,169	78,348	3,052,361	855,488	60,222	289,732
F1	13,965	4,191	132,760	7,184	3,575	8,383
OCT 90-MAR 91						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	2,234,375	209,172	3,431,660	973,904	46,147	236,501
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	2,315,225	204,393	3,372,080	905,099	39,437	213,916
F1	16,991	159,687	173,285	13,912	3,541	10,127
OCT 91-MAR 92						
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	2,411,178	231,393	3,560,080	1,245,490	45,437	273,916
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	18,300	181,565	185,431	18,300	3,486	10,087
F1						

PERIOD	BASIN DAKOTA	BLANCO MESAVERT	BLANCO P.C. SOUTH	TAFACITO
OCT 89-MAR 90				
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	12,242,569	16,894,172	1,797,464	449,567
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	10,302,401	14,990,087	1,115,864	318,270
F1	4,528	2,629	375	488
F2	8.14	13.44	17.16	19.14
OCT 90-MAR 91				
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	9,416,739	15,731,709	1,508,811	332,221
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	8,039,435	14,122,492	1,204,658	207,577
F1	5,676	3,690	483	546
F2	7.83	15.78	23.18	17.07
OCT 91-MAR 92				
POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF PER MU	8,039,435	14,168,492	1,204,658	207,577
POOL SALES;MCF PER MU	5,273	3,117	397	341
F1	7.40	12.92	19.88	10.12
F2				

**COMPARISON OF APRIL AND MAY 1991 SALES VOLUMES  
AND ALLOWABLES; MCF PER MONTH**

**NEW MEXICO PRORATED GAS SALES**

<u>POOL</u>	<u>APR-SEP 1991 MONTHLY ALLOWABLE</u>	<u>AVG. MONTHLY SALES APR.-MAY, 1991</u>
Atoka Penn	107,414	101,987
Blinebry	368,926	423,780
Buffalo Valley Penn	221,054	151,296
Burton Flat Morrow	481,903	303,122
Carlsbad Morrow	252,146	252,452
Catchlaw Draw Morrow	161,064	102,195
Eumont	2,278,783	2,112,369
Indian Basin Morrow	144,974	167,651
Indian Basin Upper Penn	3,166,406	3,231,461
Jalmat	1,148,663	1,091,336
Justis Glorieta	43,021	44,499
Tubb	266,658	245,611
Basin Dakota	8,552,554	6,359,286
Blanco Mesaverde	13,546,300	13,862,223
Blanco P.C. South	1,242,564	991,596
Tapacito	234,518	177,580

State of New Mexico  
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Form C-121-A  
Revised 4-1-91

**OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

P.O. Box 2088  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

**PURCHASER'S GAS NOMINATIONS**

COMPANY Name of Purchaser or Transporter	ADDRESS Address of Purchaser or Transporter
---	--

In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Oil Conservation Division of the State of New Mexico, the above named company herewith submits its nominations for the purchase of gas from the Pool Name\*

Pool for the month shown below: (insert in appropriate month)

MONTH	YEAR	NOMINATION, MCF
APRIL		
MAY		
JUNE		
JULY		
AUGUST		
SEPTEMBER		
OCTOBER	1991	30,000
NOVEMBER	1991	30,000
DECEMBER	1991	40,000
JANUARY	1992	40,000
FEBRUARY	1992	30,000
MARCH	1992	30,000

NOTE: All Volumes are to be MCF at 15.025 psia and 60° F.

Signature	Printed Name & Title	Date	Telephone No.
-----------	----------------------	------	---------------

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** One copy of this form must be filed with the Santa Fe Office of the Division by the first day of the month during which the nominations are to be considered at public hearing.

\*Please file a separate form for each pool for which a nomination is made.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING  
GOVERNOR

POST OFFICE BOX 2088  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504  
(505) 827-5800

September 6, 1991

CAMBELL & BLACK  
Attorneys at Law  
P. O. Box 2208  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

RE: CASE NO. 10371  
ORDER NO. R-9487-A

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Florene".

Florene Davidson  
OC Staff Specialist

FD/sl

cc: BLM Carlsbad Office



STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF AMOCO PRODUCTION	)	CASE NO. 10371
COMPANY TO AMEND DIVISION ORDER	)	
NO. R-9487, EDDY COUNTY,	)	
NEW MEXICO	)	
-----	)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: JIM MORROW, Hearing Examiner  
August 22, 1991  
8:30 a.m.

This matter came for hearing before the Oil Conservation Division on August 22, 1991, at 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Linda L. Bumkens, Certified Court Reporter No. 3008, for the State of New Mexico.

FOR:   OCD (ORIGINAL)

BY: LINDA BUMKENS CCR  
Certified Court Reporter  
CCR No. 3008

## I N D E X

August 22, 1991  
 Examiner Hearing  
 CASE NO. 10371

## APPEARANCES

2

## WITNESSES

CECI LEONARD

Examination by Mr. Carr

3

Examination by Mr. Morrow

9

## RECESS

11

## REPORTERS CERTIFICATE

12

## A P P E A R A N C E S

## FOR THE DIVISION:

ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.

General counsel

Oil Conservation Commission

310 Old Santa Fe Trail

Santa Fe, New Mexico

87501

FOR APACHE  
CORPORATION:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERG &amp;

SHERIDAN P.A.

BY: MR. WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.

110 North Guadalupe

Santa Fe, New Mexico

1 MR. MORROW: Call case 10371.

2 MR. STOVALL: Application of Amoco Production  
3 Company to amend Division Order Number R-9487, Eddy  
4 County, New Mexico.

5 MR. MORROW: Call for appearances.

6 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name  
7 is William F. Carr with the law firm Campbell, Carr,  
8 Berg & Sheridan in Santa Fe. In this case I  
9 represent Apache Corporation who is succeeding Amoco  
10 as operator of the well.

11 As the Examiner may recall, this case was  
12 the subject of a previous OCD proceeding where Amoco  
13 obtained approval to directionally drill a well.  
14 They encountered some problems and have had to come  
15 back with a proposal to drill a straight hole. They  
16 wre required to set the matter for hearing at this  
17 time and give notice. There was some problem with  
18 the notice that we believe has been corrected.

19 I have an engineering witness who I would  
20 like to call for some very brief testimony just to  
21 explain the status of the case at this time and then  
22 we will request that it be taken under advisement.

23 MR. MORROW: Will the witness please stand and  
24 be sworn.

25 (At which time the witness was sworn.)

## EXAMINATION

1  
2 BY MR. CARR:

3 Q. Would you state your name for the record,  
4 please?

5 A. My name is Ceci Leonard.

6 Q. By whom are you employed?

7 A. I am employed by Apache Corporation.

8 Q. And in what capacity?

9 A. I'm a petroleum engineer -- reservoir  
10 engineer.

11 Q. And where do you reside?

12 A. In Houston, Texas.

13 Q. Have you previously testified before this  
14 division?

15 A. No, I have not.

16 Q. Would you briefly summarize for Mr. Morrow  
17 your educational background?

18 A. I graduated in 1977 from Texas A&M  
19 University. I was employed by Amoco Production for  
20 three years, then I went to work for Midcon  
21 Exploration Company for five years. Midcon was  
22 acquired by Apache in 1986 and I was retained by  
23 Apache, and have been employed by Apache now for  
24 five years.

25 Q. And what was your degree in?

1 A. In industrial engineering.

2 Q. Does your geographic area of responsibility  
3 for Apache include the portion of southeastern New  
4 Mexico involved in this case?

5 A. Yes, it does.

6 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed  
7 in this case by Amoco Production Company?

8 A. Yes, I am.

9 Q. Are you familiar with the efforts to  
10 develop this particular spacing proration unit?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. We tender Miss Leonard as an expert witness  
13 in petroleum engineering.

14 MR. MORROW: Okay. Miss Leonard, is that  
15 right?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 MR. MORROW: Okay. We accept her  
18 qualifications.

19 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Have you prepared certain  
20 exhibits for presentation here today?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Could you refer to what has been marked for  
23 identification as Apache Exhibit Number 1? Identify  
24 this and then explain to Mr. Morrow exactly what has  
25 transpired in terms of the efforts to develop this

1 tract.

2       A.     Okay. Exhibit Number 1 is a well location  
3 plat, and on the plat you see the original Smith  
4 Federal Gas Com Number 1 well. You also see a  
5 rectangle. That was the proposed site tract bottom  
6 hole location window. The center of that window is  
7 1900 from the north line, and 380 feet from the west  
8 line.

9             Amoco -- well, Apache is currently  
10 proposing a straight-hole location at 2,049 feet  
11 from the north line, and 480 feet from the west  
12 line. Amoco reentered the Smith Federal Gas Com  
13 Number 1 in July of this year and found that they  
14 had a casing leak at 1,100 feet. It was determined  
15 at that time that that casing could not be  
16 satisfactorily repaired. So that well has been  
17 plugged.

18            Since then, Apache has checked into a  
19 straight-hole location that would be over that  
20 rectangle that was the approved bottom-hole location  
21 rectangle by the OCD. It turns out because of  
22 topographic reasons that was not an acceptable  
23 location. The closest acceptable location is the  
24 one that we are proposing right now.

25       Q.     And what is that proposed location?

1       A.     Again, it's 2,049 feet from the north line  
2 and 480 feet from the west line.

3       Q.     When this was called to the attention of  
4 the division, were you required to provide  
5 additional notice to the parties who received notice  
6 of the original application?

7       A.     Yes, I was.

8       Q.     And who are those parties?

9       A.     That was Musselman, Owen and King and Orik.

10      Q.     And was notice sent to those individuals?

11      A.     They were sent notice. It just so happens  
12 that because of some temporary staff in place at  
13 Amoco, Amoco was still acting as operator of the  
14 well at the time, the notice was not sent out  
15 certified mail.

16      Q.     Since you discovered this, what have you  
17 done to correct the notice problem?

18      A.     I requested waivers from both Orik and  
19 Musselman and King.

20      Q.     And are copies of those waivers what is  
21 attached as Apache Exhibits Number 2 and 3?

22      A.     Yes.

23      Q.     Is the -- what penalty are you requesting  
24 be imposed on the new well?

25      A.     It's the same penalty that Amoco had

1 requested in May, and that penalty is 0.49.

2 Q. That penalty was imposed by the Oil  
3 Conservation Division?

4 A. Yes, it was.

5 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, that was -- penalty  
6 was imposed by order Number R-9487.

7 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Does the proposed  
8 straight-hole location -- is it closer or farther  
9 away from the offsetting operators to whom notice  
10 has been given?

11 A. It is further away.

12 Q. When will Apache actually assume the  
13 physical operation of this property?

14 A. On or around September 1.

15 Q. Do you have anything further to add to your  
16 testimony?

17 A. No, I don't.

18 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you  
19 or compiled under your direction?

20 A. Yes, they were.

21 MR. CARR: At this time Mr. Morrow, we would  
22 move the admission of Apache Exhibits 1 through 3.

23 MR. MORROW: Exhibits 1 through 3 are accepted  
24 into the record.

25 MR. CARR: And I have nothing further of



1 Miss Leonard.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. MORROW:

4 Q. Miss Leonard, the original application, was  
5 that to drill -- directionally drill the Smith  
6 Federal Gas Com Number 1, which is under letter F,  
7 to the location that has the rectangle around it?

8 A. Yes, it was. They were going to pull the  
9 production string and then just reenter that old  
10 well and side track it.

11 Q. And what was the reason that you couldn't  
12 drill it at that bottom-hole location?

13 A. Well, we can't drill a straight hole at  
14 that bottom-hole location because there's an arroyo  
15 that runs through there, and there's not a flat  
16 surface.

17 Q. What did you say the penalty -- what was  
18 the penalty?

19 A. The acreage penalty?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. 0.49.

22 Q. In other words, you'd get -- you would get  
23 49 percent of a full allowable, or 51 percent of a  
24 full allowable?

25 A. 49 percent.

1 MR. MORROW: I don't have anymore questions. ,  
2 Bob, do you have anything??

3 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Carr, is there anything in  
4 the original case file -- the geology or anything --  
5 that would justify the location? I'm curious in the  
6 640 acres why -- I assume you're familiar with the  
7 original case file, and wonder if that should be  
8 incorporated.

9 MR. CARR: I don't have the original case  
10 number with me, but I think it might be appropriate  
11 to incorporate that. I do have the order number.

12 MR. STOVALL: Yeah, it's in the notice, so  
13 we've got that, but I just -- I say, with 640 acres  
14 to drill from I'm a little confused as to why  
15 there's -- and Miss Leonard probably knows the  
16 answer, but I don't want to go through the entire  
17 case.

18 MR. CARR: The location was justified in the  
19 original application as well as the reason for  
20 directionally drilling to this point, and so it --  
21 we would request that the record in that case be  
22 incorporated into this proceeding.

23 MR. MORROW: Maybe Miss Leonard knows the  
24 answer to that.

25 THE WITNESS: What was the question?

1 MR. MORROW: Why is it not being drilled at a  
2 regular location, I think, was essentially the  
3 question.

4 THE WITNESS: Because of structural reasons.  
5 There is water -- it's what they called a  
6 hydrodynamic system and there is water encroaching  
7 on this pool, we think, from the northeast.

8 The reservoir does loose quality, as you  
9 move to the south is also another thing that we  
10 expect, so we tried to balance those two in picking  
11 a location, and that's my understanding from Amoco.

12 MR. STOVALL: I think with the incorporation  
13 of the record that would be probably -- I assume the  
14 information is in there.

15 MR. MORROW: Thank you, Miss Leonard. You may  
16 be excused.

17 MR. CARR: We have nothing further in that  
18 case.

19 MR. MORROW: Case 10371 will be taken under  
20 advisement

21 (The foregoing case was concluded at the  
22 approximate hour of 9:00 a.m.)

23 Q.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10371  
heard ~~on~~ on August 22 19 91.

24  
25 Michael E. Stovall, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO       )  
                                  ) ss.  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO     )


3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

4 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing transcript of  
5 the proceedings were taken by me, that I was then  
6 and there a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary  
7 Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State  
8 of New Mexico, and by virtue thereof, authorized to  
9 administer an oath; that the witness before  
10 testifying was duly sworn to testify to the  
11 whole truth and nothing but the truth; that the  
12 questions propounded by counsel and the answers of  
13 the witness thereto were taken down by me, and that  
14 the foregoing pages of typewritten matter contain a  
15 true and accurate transcript as requested by counsel  
16 of the proceedings and testimony had and adduced  
17 upon the taking of said deposition, all to the best  
18 of my skill and ability.

19 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to  
20 nor employed by any of the parties hereto, and have  
21 no interest in the outcome hereof.

22 DATED at Bernalillo, New Mexico, this day  
23 September 24, 1991.

24 My commission expires  
25 April 24, 1994

  
LINDA BUMKENS  
CCR No. 3008  
Notary Public