

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CASE NOS. 10465 and 10466

(Consolidated)

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Application of Marbob Energy  
Corporation for saltwater disposal,  
Eddy County, New Mexico.

BEFORE:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER

Hearing Examiner

State Land Office Building

April 16, 1992

REPORTED BY:

DEBBIE VESTAL  
Certified Shorthand Reporter  
for the State of New Mexico

COPY

## A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:

ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.

General Counsel

State Land Office Building

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A.

Post Office Box 2208

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208

BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.

## I N D E X

## Page Number

Appearances

2

## WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:

1.

JACK AHLEN

Examination by Mr. Carr

6

Examination by Examiner Stogner

24

Further Examination by Mr. Carr

33

Further Ex. by Examiner Stogner

36

Certificate of Reporter

39

## E X H I B I T S

## Page Identified

|    |   |    |
|----|---|----|
| 1  |   |    |
| 2  |   |    |
| 3  |   |    |
| 4  | Exhibit No. 1, Structural Cross-Section   | 8  |
| 5  | Exhibit No. 2, Map of Cedar Lake-Abo Pool | 11 |
| 6  | Exhibit No. 3, Structural Map,            |    |
| 7  | Jackson-Cedar Lake                        | 13 |
| 8  | Exhibit No. 4, Tabulation of Wells        | 14 |
| 9  | Exhibit No. 5, Schematic Diagram,         |    |
| 10 | Plugged and Abandoned Wells               | 15 |
| 11 | Exhibit No. 6, Schematic Diagram,         |    |
| 12 | Turner "B" No. 65                         | 16 |
| 13 | Exhibit No. 7, Schematic Diagram,         |    |
| 14 | Turner "B" No. 69                         | 17 |
| 15 | Exhibit No. 8, Chemical Analysis of       |    |
| 16 | Injection Fluid                           | 19 |
| 17 | Exhibit No. 9, Freshwater Analysis        | 22 |
| 18 | Exhibit No. 10, Certification of Notice   | 22 |
| 19 |   |    |
| 20 |   |    |
| 21 |   |    |
| 22 |   |    |
| 23 |   |    |
| 24 |   |    |
| 25 |   |    |

1                   EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will  
2 come to order. I'm Michael E. Stogner, appointed  
3 Hearing Examiner for today's docket, which is  
4 Docket No. 12-92. Please note the date, April  
5 16, 1992. At this time I'll call Case No. 10465.

6                   MR. STOVALL: Application of Marbob  
7 Energy Corporation for saltwater disposal, Eddy  
8 County, New Mexico.

9                   EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for  
10 appearances.

11                  MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner,  
12 my name is William F. Carr with the law firm of  
13 Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan of Santa Fe. We  
14 represent Marbob Energy Corporation, and I have  
15 one witness.

16                  At this time, Mr. Examiner, I request  
17 that this case be consolidated with the following  
18 case, Case 10466. Both of these are applications  
19 of Marbob Energy Corporation for saltwater  
20 disposal. The wells are in the same proximity  
21 and the testimony will be virtually identical in  
22 each case.

23                  EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.  
24 Carr. If there's no objection, there doesn't  
25 appear to be any today, Case No. 10466 will be

1 called at this time in conjunction with 10465.

2 MR. STOVALL: It's also the application  
3 of Marbob Energy Corporation for saltwater  
4 disposal in Eddy County.

5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Other than Mr. Carr,  
6 are there any other appearances? There being  
7 none, Case 10465 and 466 are hereby  
8 consolidated.

9 Mr. Carr.

10 MR. CARR: At this time we call Mr.  
11 Ahlen.

12 Would you state your --

13 MR. STOVALL: Would you like to do this  
14 under oath?

15 MR. CARR: I can't even imagine that  
16 you'd question it but, yes.

17 MR. STOVALL: He caught it, and I  
18 caught it.

19 JACK AHLEN

20 Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was  
21 examined and testified as follows:

22 EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. CARR:

24 Q. Would you state your full name and  
25 place of residence.

1           A.       Jack Ahlen. I reside in Roswell, New  
2 Mexico.

3           Q.       By whom are you employed and in what  
4 capacity?

5           A.       I'm employed by Marbob Energy  
6 Corporation as a consulting geologist for the  
7 purpose of presenting this case to the  
8 Commission.

9           Q.       Have you previously testified before  
10 the Oil Conservation Division?

11          A.       Yes, sir, I have.

12          Q.       At the time of that prior testimony,  
13 were your credentials as an expert witness in  
14 petroleum geology accepted and made a matter of  
15 record?

16          A.       Yes, sir, they were.

17          Q.       Are you familiar with the applications  
18 filed in each of these cases for Marbob Energy  
19 Corporation?

20          A.       Yes, I am.

21          Q.       Are you familiar with the subject area?

22          A.       Yes, I am.

23                 MR. CARR: Are the witness'  
24 qualifications acceptable?

25                 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Ahlen is so

1 qualified.

2 Q. (BY MR. CARR) Mr. Ahlen, would you  
3 briefly state what Marbob seeks in these cases.

4 A. Marbob seeks approval to dispose of  
5 water produced from a shallow formation into a  
6 deeper, formerly oil producing formation, that  
7 formation being the Abo Reef of Eddy County in  
8 the vicinity of the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool.

9 Q. We're seeking authority for disposal in  
10 two wells?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. The Turner "B" Well No. 65 and 69?

13 A. That is correct.

14 Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for  
15 presentation here today?

16 A. Yes, I have.

17 Q. Would you go to the cross-section that  
18 is on the wall, our Exhibit No. 1, and review  
19 that for the Examiner, please.

20 A. Yes, I will. This is a structure  
21 cross-section of three wells in the Cedar  
22 Lake-Abo Pool. I show on the left the Turner,  
23 the Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, Turner "B" No.  
24 65. In the middle I show the Sinclair Oil & Gas  
25 Company, Turner "B" No. 73. And on the right,



1 the Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, Turner "B" No.  
2 69.

3 All of these wells were drilled  
4 specifically for the purpose of producing oil in  
5 the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool. The well in the middle,  
6 the No. 73, is a current disposal well in the Abo  
7 Formation and is used by my client, Marbob, as a  
8 water disposal well from the same source that we  
9 intend to use in disposing in the other two  
10 wells.

11 You will note that it is about halfway  
12 between the two proposed disposal wells. The  
13 Turner "B" 65 was the discovery well in that  
14 pool. The Turner "B" 69 is an additional  
15 producing, formerly producing well in the Cedar  
16 Lake-Abo Pool.

17 Both of those wells are currently  
18 temporarily abandoned. I show three lines  
19 running across the cross-section. One is the  
20 structural top of the Abo Formation. That's the  
21 uppermost one. The next line down is the top of  
22 the Abo Reef, as I have interpreted it from the  
23 electric logs and the sample logs. And then the  
24 third line is a horizontal line, which is the  
25 original oil-water contact when the field was

1 originally discovered.

2 I show on the left margin of the depth  
3 column all of the current perforations, the  
4 perforations that were utilized in producing the  
5 Abo Reef in this area. You'll note that the  
6 Turner "B" 65 is perforated between 7100 and 7200  
7 on that cross-section. And I show the specific  
8 intervals that were perforated.

9 On the "B" 73 I also show the  
10 specifically perforated intervals that are  
11 currently being disposed into. And on the "B" 69  
12 I show the perforations that that well was  
13 producing from.

14 Also on the Turner "B" 65, I show a  
15 series of drill stem tests that were taken. And  
16 there's a symbol on the right side of that depth  
17 column that shows that drill stem test interval.  
18 There is verbiage to the right of the log that  
19 shows -- that gives the specific depth interval  
20 and the pressures and the specific recovery from  
21 each of those zones.

22 There's an index map on the extreme  
23 right that shows the area. That portion of  
24 Section 20 and 29 of Township 17 South, Range 31  
25 East, which is that part of the Cedar Lake Pool.

1 Q. Would you return to your seat, please.

2 A. (Witness complied.)

3 Q. Mr. Ahlen, would you now refer to what  
4 has been marked as Marbob Exhibit No. 2?

5 A. Marbob Exhibit No. 2 is a Xerox copy of  
6 the Midland Map Company map of the vicinity of  
7 the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool. It shows an area two  
8 miles in each direction from the proposed  
9 injection wells. I have inscribed on that map  
10 two circles of one-half mile diameter.

11 Also in smaller circles I show the  
12 wells that were producing in the Cedar Lake-Abo  
13 Pool and they are colored red. All of those that  
14 are colored red are currently not producing in  
15 the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool.

16 At the present time there is one well  
17 that is productive in the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool.  
18 That well is located in Section 19, and it is in  
19 Unit I. It is the No. 19 Friess. And my client  
20 is the operator of that well. All the other  
21 wells are plugged out in one manner or another.

22 I have some slightly larger circles in  
23 Section 20 and 29 of Township 17 South, 31 East.  
24 Two of those circles are around the proposed  
25 injection wells, and those are located in Unit N

1 of Section 20, and Unit B of Section 29.

2 There is the same size circle in Unit C  
3 of Section 29. That is the current disposal  
4 well. There is another disposal well in Section  
5 21. It is in Unit L. That is a well operated by  
6 Avon Corporation, and they are disposing water  
7 into a shallower formation, the Grayburg-San  
8 Andres.

9 Q. Mr. Ahlen, that well is in Unit L of  
10 Section 20?

11 A. Yes, sir. There are also two wells  
12 that have penetrated this reservoir that are not  
13 marked on this map. The No. 75 Turner "B", it is  
14 located in Unit C of Section 29, 660 from the  
15 north and west. There is another plugged and  
16 abandoned well in -- did I say D?

17 MR. STOVALL: You said D.

18 THE WITNESS: I meant D. A, B, C, D,  
19 Unit D. There's another one in Unit E of Section  
20 29. Both of those went below the Abo Reef or the  
21 equivalent and have been plugged in accordance to  
22 the Commission's rules at the time. I will show  
23 you a diagram of those wells in a few moments.

24 Q. (BY MR. CARR) Lease ownership in the  
25 area is indicated on the plat, is it not?

1           A.     Yes, it is.

2           Q.     Mr. Ahlen, there is only one producing  
3 well in the Abo, and you've indicated that it is  
4 located in Section 19?

5           A.     Yes, sir.

6           Q.     This is in fact an application seeking  
7 authority to dispose of produced water. It isn't  
8 an application for a waterflood project; is that  
9 correct?

10          A.     That is correct.

11          Q.     Are you ready to move to Exhibit No. 3?

12          A.     Yes, I am.

13          Q.     Let's go to that, your structure map,  
14 and I would ask you to review the information on  
15 that for Mr. Stogner.

16          A.     This is a structure map showing both  
17 the Jackson-Abo Pool and the Cedar Lake-Abo  
18 Pool. This is a map that has been published in  
19 the literature. It was published by the Roswell  
20 Geological Society in 1967 in their symposium of  
21 oil and gas fields. The author of this map is  
22 Mr. Tracy Clark, a well-known expert in the  
23 field. He authored it in September of 1966.

24                 It shows the trend of the Abo Reef in  
25 the area. And specifically it shows the

1 configuration of the reef in our proposed water  
2 disposal case. I have modified Mr. Tracy's (sic)  
3 map such that I show the two proposed saltwater  
4 disposal wells, one in Section 20 and one in  
5 Section 29 in the spacing units that I previously  
6 described. I also show the currently utilized  
7 saltwater disposal well in Section 29. And then  
8 I also show the line of the cross-section that we  
9 just discussed.

10 Now, the saltwater disposal well that  
11 is currently being used as such was brought  
12 before the Commission, and it is being used as a  
13 disposal well under Order No. R-3378, dated  
14 February 12, 1968.

15 Q. All right, Mr. Ahlen, let's go to  
16 Exhibit No. 4. Would you identify that, please.

17 A. Exhibit No. 4 is a tabulation of wells  
18 within the area of review which have penetrated  
19 the disposal well.

20 I failed to mention on Exhibit 2 that  
21 those half-inch -- excuse me, half-mile diameter  
22 circles that I have inscribed there define the  
23 area of review.

24 Q. Okay. And this Exhibit 4 includes the  
25 tabular information on all wells within the two

1 areas of review?

2 A. That is correct. You'll note that I  
3 have the location of each well and the proration  
4 unit on the extreme left under the title,  
5 "Location." Then the specific name of each well  
6 is tabulated there, the construction of the well,  
7 which is the type of casing that is set in the  
8 well, the depth that each string of casing is  
9 set, and the number of sacks of cement that were  
10 utilized in setting those strings of casing.

11 The next column is the spud and the  
12 completion date of each of those wells. The next  
13 column is the well type, such as the original  
14 completion of the well and then subsequent  
15 designation of that well. The next column is the  
16 depth of each of those wells. And on the extreme  
17 right is the record of completion or the initial  
18 production of each of those wells.

19 Q. Mr. Ahlen, are there plugged and  
20 abandoned wells within the areas of review?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And does Exhibit No. 5 contain  
23 schematic drawings on those plugged and abandoned  
24 wells?

25 A. Yes, sir, it does.

1 Q. Would you refer to that exhibit now and  
2 review it for the Examiner?

3 A. Exhibit No. 5 consists of six separate  
4 sheets for four different wells. The top sheet  
5 should be the Turner "B" No. 58. It is a plugged  
6 and abandoned well. That is in Unit No. E of  
7 Section 29.

8 The second sheet is the Turner "B" 75,  
9 which is in Unit D of Section 29. The third  
10 sheet is the Turner "B" No. 70. It shows the  
11 diagram of the completed well. And the next page  
12 is the plugging procedure that was utilized on  
13 that particular well.

14 The fifth page is the diagram of the  
15 Turner "B" 74. This is the original completion  
16 diagram of the well. And the next page is the  
17 plugging program that was utilized in plugging  
18 that well.

19 Q. Mr. Ahlen, in your opinion has each of  
20 these wells been plugged in a fashion sufficient  
21 to prevent it from becoming a channel for the  
22 migration of injected fluids to other horizons?

23 A. Yes, sir, I think so.

24 Q. Let's move now to what has been marked  
25 Exhibit No. 6, the schematic on the Turner "B"



1 65.

2 A. Exhibit 6 is a schematic on the "B"  
3 65. The tabular data shows the configuration of  
4 the current casing in the well. It shows the  
5 amount of cement each string utilized when it was  
6 set, approximate top of the cement, the hole size  
7 as well. And in this particular instance it also  
8 shows the injection interval as being from 6890  
9 to 7480.

10 We intend to utilize 2-3/8 inch  
11 plastic-coated tubing in the well set with a  
12 Halliburton Trump Packer at a depth of 6800  
13 feet. We are injecting into the Abo Formation of  
14 the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool. This well was  
15 specifically drilled as an oil well in the  
16 1960-61 time frame. And there are no other  
17 perforated intervals in the well at this time.

18 There is production shallower in the  
19 Grayburg Jackson Queen, Seven Rivers, Grayburg  
20 San Andres Pool from the depth interval of  
21 approximately 1900 to 4880.

22 Q. All right, Mr. Ahlen, let's now go to  
23 Exhibit No. 7. Could you identify and briefly  
24 review that?

25 A. Exhibit No. 7 is a diagram of the

1 Sinclair Turner "B" No. 69. It shows all of the  
2 information that I previously described on  
3 Exhibit No. 6, except with the difference that  
4 the perforated interval in this instance is 6930  
5 to 7230.

6 We intend to run the same type of  
7 tubing, same type of packer, the similar depth.  
8 We're disposing in the same formation, same  
9 pool. And it was specifically drilled as an Abo  
10 producing well. There are no other perforations  
11 in this borehole, and the same remark pertains to  
12 shallower production.

13 Q. Will you be injecting through line  
14 tubing?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And will the annular space be filled  
17 with an inner fluid and a pressure gauge on the  
18 surface to --

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. -- to comply with the Underground  
21 Injection Control Program?

22 A. Just as the current disposal well is.

23 Q. Okay. Now, Marbob proposes to inject  
24 into the Abo Formation?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1           Q.       What is the source of the water that  
2 you propose to inject?

3           A.       The source of the water is to the north  
4 in the next section from the Foster Eddy Tank  
5 Battery. And the next exhibit, No. 8, is a  
6 current chemical analysis of that fluid. This  
7 fluid was analyzed February 5, 1992. And it was  
8 removed from that tank battery at about that  
9 date, a little prior to that date.

10                   Specific gravity is quite high, it's  
11 1.132. Total dissolved solids indicates that  
12 it's almost a saturated brine. Has a neutral  
13 Ph. You'll note that the major constituent  
14 cations and anions are sodium chloride with minor  
15 amounts of calcium and magnesium as cations and  
16 minor amounts of bicarbonate and sulfate as  
17 anions. There's a little bit of barium and a  
18 little bit of iron, just traces.

19                   You'll note down, two-thirds of the way  
20 down the page on the scale index, that the  
21 sulfate ion will not precipitate. It has a  
22 negative number; therefore, it does not have a  
23 scale tendency. The calcium carbonate does have  
24 a slight tendency to scale, but it is treatable  
25 with hydrochloric acid, if such develops.

1           Experience in the currently utilized  
2 disposal well does not show any scaling with this  
3 same fluid.

4           Q.     So you're not anticipating any problems  
5 with compatibility?

6           A.     We are not. Essentially, if you'll  
7 note, the next part of Exhibit 8, which is a  
8 chemical analysis of fluid removed from our  
9 friend, Abo Battery, the currently producing Abo  
10 well, that solids are approximately one-fourth  
11 those of the injected flood.

12           The anions and cations are the same  
13 almost, except diminished in intensity. And  
14 essentially what we will be doing will be  
15 dissolving or diluting the injected water into  
16 the reef water.

17           Q.     What is Marbob presently doing with the  
18 water it's going to be injecting in these wells  
19 if the applications are granted?

20           A.     Okay. All of the water that is  
21 produced, at least in the north, is being  
22 injected into the well, that is, the disposal  
23 well. But we anticipate additional volumes and  
24 we wanted to prevent injecting over the legal  
25 limit. And so we have decided to place two

1 additional wells on the injection schedule.

2 Q. What volumes does Marbob propose to  
3 dispose of in each of these wells?

4 A. Approximately a thousand barrels of  
5 flood per day.

6 Q. And what would you anticipate the  
7 maximum injection rates might ultimately become?

8 A. Two thousand barrels a day.

9 Q. Will this be an open or closed system?

10 A. A closed system.

11 Q. Do you anticipate that injection will  
12 be by gravity or will pressure be needed?

13 A. We obviously will have to experiment in  
14 the initial stages, but we expect that we'll have  
15 to use some pressure.

16 Q. What maximum pressure do you anticipate  
17 you would need to use?

18 A. Less than two-tenths of a pound per  
19 foot to the top of the perforations, which is  
20 equivalent to about 1300 pounds at the surface.  
21 We expect to utilize less -- we will try to keep  
22 everything below a thousand pounds per square  
23 inch at the injection site.

24 Q. But it will be satisfactory for  
25 Marbob's purposes if the order provided that

1 pressure would not exceed two-tenths pound per  
2 foot of depth to the top of the injection?

3 A. That would suit our purposes, yes, sir.

4 Q. Are there freshwater zones in the area?

5 A. No, sir. Exhibit 9 is a statement to  
6 that effect. The New Mexico State Engineer's  
7 Office has checked, and they have no record of  
8 freshwaters for anywhere for a mile around the  
9 location.

10 Q. Now, logs of the injection wells and  
11 the intervals of interest are contained on  
12 Exhibit No. 1?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. Is Exhibit No. 10 a certification that  
15 notice of this application has been provided and  
16 a copy of the C-108 provided to the owner of the  
17 surface of the land in which the injection wells  
18 are located as well as to each leasehold operator  
19 within a half-mile of the injection wells?

20 A. Yes, sir, it is.

21 Q. Are copies of the letters providing  
22 such notice and return receipts attached to that  
23 exhibit?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Basically what we're seeking is

1 authority for each of the two wells that's the  
2 subject of the cases before the Division today,  
3 we're seeking the same authority that's been  
4 previously granted to the offsetting Turner  
5 disposal well?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. Have you examined the available  
8 geologic and engineering data on the subject  
9 area?

10 A. Yes, I have.

11 Q. As a result of that examination, have  
12 you found any evidence of open faults or any  
13 other hydrologic connection between the disposal  
14 zone and any underground source of drinking  
15 water?

16 A. I have not found any evidence of that.

17 Q. In your opinion will granting this  
18 application be in the best interests of  
19 conservation, the prevention of waste, and the  
20 protection of correlative rights?

21 A. Yes, sir, it will.

22 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 10 either  
23 prepared by you or compiled at your direction?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner,

1 we move the admission of Marbob Exhibits 1  
2 through 10.

3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through  
4 10 will be admitted into evidence at this time.

5 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct  
6 examination of Mr. Ahlen.

7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.  
8 Carr.

9 EXAMINATION

10 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

11 Q. Mr. Ahlen, I'm referring now to your  
12 Exhibit A, which is the water analyses. Now the  
13 one with the saturated brine water, I show you  
14 have total dissolved solids as 185,436?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. That is from your Eddy Tank Battery?

17 A. And the shallow producing horizon.

18 Q. When you say "shallow producing  
19 horizon," you're talking about Grayburg?

20 A. Yes, sir. Grayburg-San Andres.

21 Q. Now, are those in Section 17 or --

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. -- Section 20?

24 A. 17.

25 Q. Section 17. How many wells



1 approximately feed into that tank battery?

2 A. I do not know.

3 Q. Are they Marbob's?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Now, Exhibit No. 8 shows total  
6 dissolved solids as 41,952?

7 A. 48,952.

8 Q. Where was this water from?

9 A. It's from the only remaining producing  
10 Abo well in the Abo Reef.

11 Q. And that is the well number --

12 A. -- 19.

13 Q. In Section 19?

14 A. It is Well No. 19 in Section 19, yes,  
15 sir, that is correct, in Unit No. 5, I think. It  
16 is the northeast of the southeast.

17 Q. And this is your representative sample  
18 of the water that's in the proposed injection  
19 zone; is that correct?

20 A. That is correct.

21 Q. Now, the first thing that really jumps  
22 out at me is the dissolved solid differences.  
23 Did you say that the Grayburg water would be cut?

24 A. No.

25 Q. No. It is going in at that

1 concentration?

2 A. Yes, sir, it is.

3 Q. And this water is presently being  
4 injected into the Abo Reef disposal well -- what  
5 is that, No. 73; is that correct?

6 A. I think so. The one in the center of  
7 the cross-section.

8 Q. Is that the only place that water is  
9 going --

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. -- or being disposed into?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And that was under authority of Order  
14 R-3378?

15 MR. CARR: That's correct.

16 A. Yes, sir. That order was secured by  
17 the operator a long time ago -- well, in 1968.

18 Q. Do you remember who that operator was,  
19 just offhand?

20 A. I think it was Arco, when they owned  
21 it, after they acquired Sinclair.

22 Q. Do you know if that water is being --  
23 well, Marbob has that well now; is that correct?

24 A. That is correct. They bought that some  
25 time back.

1 Q. Is it under pressure?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Injected under pressure?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you know what the maximum pressure  
6 is that well is being injected?

7 A. Less than a thousand pounds.

8 Q. Do you know if there's any restriction  
9 on the pressure on that particular well?

10 A. You mean a legal restriction?

11 Q. Yes.

12 A. There is none.

13 Q. That was grandfathered in before there  
14 were restrictions, is that correct, as far as  
15 you're concerned or as far as you know?

16 A. I do not know the facts of the case.

17 Q. Okay. Now, the well is within the  
18 half-mile radius, in looking at Exhibit No. 2?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And then looking at Exhibit No. 4, the  
21 Exhibit No. 4 shows the wells within the  
22 half-mile of you that penetrated the Abo  
23 Formation?

24 A. That is correct.

25 Q. And the remainder of the wells in

1 Exhibit 2 are Grayburg producers or Grayburg Td?

2 A. Grayburg, San Andres, Seven Rivers,  
3 Queen, yes, sir.

4 Q. Okay. And the majority of those wells  
5 on Exhibit No. 4 were Abo producers?

6 A. Yes, except for the bottom 2.

7 Q. The bottom two. And those were Td'd  
8 well below the Abo?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

11 A. The 9900-foot is probably a Wolfcamp  
12 test and the 13-7 would be a Morrow test.

13 Q. The No. 58, that had 5-1/2 inch casing  
14 down to 9900 and was cemented with 150 sacks. Do  
15 you know what the top of cement is on that well,  
16 or have you calculated?

17 A. I think it's shown on one of my  
18 exhibits.

19 Q. Exhibit 5?

20 A. The 58. Yes, it is.

21 Q. Okay. I show that the casing was shot  
22 off at 5,003.

23 A. Which is above the Abo. And then a  
24 plug was set immediately on top of that.

25 Q. But the cement behind that the 5-1/2,

1 do you know the top of it?

2 A. I do not.

3 Q. Could you provide me that information,  
4 either by calculation or if your records may show  
5 that if there was a temperature survey?

6 A. Yes, sir, I could.

7 Q. The one above it, the No. 75, and  
8 that's P & A'd also?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And then, referring back to your  
11 Exhibit No. 5, they did not run production casing  
12 in that, did they?

13 A. They did not. The cement plug at 7260,  
14 the 7160 was at approximately the Abo level, and  
15 then there's a plug immediately below that below  
16 the Abo Reef.

17 Q. Okay. Let's look at the interval  
18 between the three plugs. I'm talking about,  
19 let's call it plug No. 1, being the 40 sacks  
20 between 4625 and 4525; plug No. 2, as being the  
21 one in the Abo, the 40 sacks being 7260 to 7160;  
22 and plug No. 3, being the 40 sacks between 8466  
23 and 8366.

24 What is the formation and the type of  
25 formation and what is in it between those three

1 plugs, plug 1 and 2 being -- in other words,  
2 what's between 4625 and 7160?

3 A. The plug No. 1 is about the top of the  
4 Yeso-Glorietta. So we'd be talking about Yeso  
5 type lithologies down to the top of the Abo.  
6 Customarily in this country there is not too much  
7 porosity in that interval. The cement plug No. 2  
8 is at the top of the Abo. Plug No. 3 is below  
9 the Abo and above the Wolfcamp.

10 Q. So that's all in the Abo Formation  
11 still?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Is it a producing interval or potential  
14 producing interval?

15 A. In this particular instance it was  
16 not.

17 Q. Is that still in the reef?

18 A. There are no Wolfcamp producers in the  
19 immediate vicinity.

20 Q. But I understand that it's below the  
21 Abo, I mean that plug No. 2 is below the Abo, but  
22 above the Wolfcamp?

23 A. No. Plug No. 2 is right at the top of  
24 the Abo.

25 Q. How about plug No. 3?

1           A.       Plug No. 3 is below the Abo.

2           Q.       Okay. But above the Wolfcamp?

3           A.       Yes, sir. Above the Wolfcamp, but  
4 below the Abo Reef.

5           Q.       Okay. Do you know when this well was  
6 plugged, the No. 75?

7           A.       Shortly after it was drilled.

8           Q.       And when was it drilled?

9           A.       In 1960. The Exhibit No. 2 shows a  
10 date of February 24, 1960, as the plug date of  
11 that well.

12                   MR. STOVALL: Is that the 58, or is  
13 that the 75? Which one?

14           A.       We're talking about the 58. I'm  
15 talking about the 58.

16           Q.       (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) Okay. And  
17 authorization to inject into the No. 73 was given  
18 in 68, so wells were already plugged and  
19 abandoned at that time?

20           A.       Quite a few of them were, yes, sir.

21           Q.       Okay. It appears on the map on page 2  
22 that the two plugged and abandoned wells, No. 75  
23 and 58 that I've been referring to, are closer to  
24 that 73 than either the 65 or 69; is that  
25 correct?

1           A.       Well, the No. 75 is a direct west  
2 offset to the No. 73, yes, sir. And the 58 is  
3 diagonal southwest to the 73.

4           Q.       Is this a closed or open system again?

5           A.       Closed.

6           Q.       It's closed. You mentioned the  
7 concentrations of the injected fluids into the  
8 formation as compatible, did you not?

9           A.       Yes, sir, primarily because the highest  
10 concentration of ions are sodium chloride.  
11 Sodium chloride is almost infinitely -- well,  
12 it's a saturated brand of sodium chloride here  
13 and injecting sodium chloride solution into the  
14 less dilute re-flow will cause no  
15 incompatibility.

16          Q.       I'm still stuck on the word  
17 "compatibility." Can you allude into that a  
18 little bit more?

19          A.       Oh. My concept is it will not cause a  
20 precipitation of an insoluble residue within the  
21 reservoir and plug the perforations.

22          Q.       Okay.

23          A.       That's what I call compatibility.

24                   EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions  
25 of this witness?



1 MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, I have one  
2 question.

3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, sir.

4 FURTHER EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. CARR:

6 Q. Mr. Ahlen, I believe Mr. Stogner asked  
7 you about the top of the cement behind the 5-1/2  
8 inch casing in the Turner "B" No. 58?

9 A. Yes, he did.

10 Q. Have you been able to locate that  
11 information?

12 A. Yes, sir, I have.

13 Q. Could you provide that at this time?

14 A. Yes. I have before me a copy of the  
15 United States Department of Interior Sundry  
16 Notices and Reports on Wells for the Turner "B"  
17 58 that was filed September 27th of 1971 by  
18 Atlantic Richfield Company. And it is a  
19 description of their procedure in plugging that  
20 well.

21 And step No. 1 was to shoot off and  
22 pull 5-1/2 inch casing from the freed point. And  
23 the top of the cement was determined to be 9430  
24 feet.

25 Q. I'm sorry, what?

1           A.       9430 feet. They set cement plugs in  
2 the Wolfcamp at 8400 feet and then a plug in the  
3 tub at 6552 feet, another plug in the Glorietta  
4 at 5020 feet, and another plug at the 9-5/8 inch  
5 shoe at 3835.

6           Q.       Okay. The way I understand that, the  
7 top of the cement at the 5-1/2 was at 9430?

8           A.       Yes, sir.

9           Q.       But they didn't shoot it off until 5003  
10 feet. And then they set the plugs inside the  
11 5-1/2 inch casing, the way I understand, at the  
12 different intervals that you were talking about  
13 between the 5000 feet and the 9430?

14          A.       I think they retrieved more casing than  
15 that.

16          Q.       Okay. How much casing have they showed  
17 they retrieved? We are talking about the 58;  
18 right?

19          A.       No. It says here they shot off and  
20 pulled the 5-1/2 inch casing from the freed  
21 point, top of the cement at 9430, which would be  
22 at the freed point just immediately above the  
23 cement at 9430, is the way I interpret that.

24          Q.       Okay. Now, in Exhibit 5, though, I  
25 show that the casing was shot off at 5003.

1 That's where I'm getting confused, I guess.

2 A. I see that too.

3 MR. CARR: Could we go off the record  
4 for a minute, Mr. Stogner?

5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Sure we can go off  
6 the record.

7 [A discussion was held off the record.]

8 [A recess was taken.]

9 EXAMINER STOGNER: The hearing will  
10 come to order. Mr. Carr.

11 Q. (BY MR. CARR) Mr. Ahlen, have you had  
12 an opportunity to further review the records of  
13 the well file?

14 A. Yes, sir, I have.

15 Q. Can you review for Mr. Stogner the  
16 plugging program on the No. 58 well?

17 A. The document that I quoted previously  
18 is the proposed plugging procedure. It was not  
19 the procedure that actually occurred. They were  
20 not able to cut the casing at the top of the  
21 cement. And the diagram that we show on Exhibit  
22 No. 5 is the correct one. And those plugs were  
23 not set in the open hole, as I quoted earlier.

24 That was only the proposed plan. And  
25 essentially the only information that we derived

1 from that previous report was that the top of the  
2 cement was at 9430. The casing is not cemented  
3 in the hole above that until we get to the plug  
4 at the top casing where it was cut off.

5 FURTHER EXAMINATION

6 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

7 Q. Wouldn't that mean that there would be  
8 communication between the Abo and the Wolfcamp?

9 A. There is that possibility, yes, sir.

10 Q. Is this well on the lease belonging to  
11 Marbob, or does it still belong to the lease  
12 belonging to Arco?

13 A. I do not know.

14 MR. MILLER: Arco.

15 Q. Since there is a communication problem  
16 or potential for a communication problem, that it  
17 might be necessary to re-enter this well and put  
18 adequate cement behind that Abo so it would not  
19 communicate with the Wolfcamp?

20 A. I am advised that that particular  
21 wellbore does belong to Arco at the present  
22 time. The extenuating circumstance is that Arco  
23 proposed their disposal well. It is the closest  
24 well to their No. 58. And if anyone is  
25 contaminating the fluid, it is Arco.

1           Our proposed disposal wells are a  
2   quarter-mile further away from that possible  
3   contamination.

4           Q.     Now, the No. 73 well was the well you  
5   were alluding to, is that correct, as a current  
6   disposal well?

7           A.     Yes, sir.

8           Q.     And that belongs to Arco?

9           A.     Well, no. They originally proposed  
10   that as a disposal well.

11          Q.     But now the No. 73 belongs to Marbob?

12          A.     Marbob, yes, sir.

13          EXAMINER STOGNER: Were there any other  
14   questions of this witness?

15          MR. STOVALL: Not hardly.

16          MR. CARR: No further questions.

17          EXAMINER STOGNER: Is there anything  
18   else further in this case?

19          MR. CARR: We have nothing further to  
20   present in this case.

21          EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Ahlen, you may  
22   be excused.

23                 If there's nothing further in either  
24   Case 10465 or 10466, these cases will be taken  
25   under advisement. And with that, hearing

1 adjourned.

2 [And the proceedings were concluded  
3 at the approximate hour of 9:10 a.m.]  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 I, \_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
17 a complete and correct transcript of the proceedings  
18 the examiner hearing of Case Nos. 10465 + 10466  
19 heard by me on \_\_\_\_\_ 1992.  
20 \_\_\_\_\_, Examiner  
21 Oil Conservation Division  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2  
3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
4 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

5  
6 I, Debbie Vestal, Certified Shorthand  
7 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that  
8 the foregoing transcript of proceedings before  
9 the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me;  
10 that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my  
11 personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a  
12 true and accurate record of the proceedings.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a  
14 relative or employee of any of the parties or  
15 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have  
16 no personal interest in the final disposition of  
17 this matter.

18 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 27,  
19 1992.

20  
21  
22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 DEBBIE VESTAL, RPR  
NEW MEXICO CSR NO. 3  
25