1	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
2	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
4	CASE NO. 10514
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF:
7	
8	The Application of Enron Oil & Gas
9	Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
10	
1 1	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	
16	DAVID R. CATANACH
17	Hearing Examiner
18	State Land Office Building
19	July 23, 1992
2 C	
2 1	
2 2	REPORTED BY:
23	DEBBIE VESTAL Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 4	for the State of New Mexico
25	
	ORIGINAL

## APPEARANCES FOR THE APPLICANT: CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A. Post Office Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ. 1 1 1 7 2 1

1		I N D E X	
2		Page	Number
3			
4	Appearance	es	2
5			
6	WITNESSES	FOR THE APPLICANT:	
7			
8	1.	PATRICK J. TOWER	
9		Examination by Mr. Carr	5
0		Examination by Examiner Catanach	10
1 1			
1 2	2.	BARRY L. ZINZ	
13		Examination by Mr. Carr	13
4		Examination by Examiner Catanach	18
L 5			
L <b>6</b>	3.	RANDALL S. CATE	
l 7		Examination by Mr. Carr	21
8 8		Examination by Examiner Catanach	2 6
19			
20	Certificat	te of Reporter	29
2 1			
2 2			
2 3			
2 4			
2 5			

### EXHIBITS Page Identified Exhibit No. 1 Exhibit No. 2 Exhibit No. 3 Exhibit No. 4 Exhibit No. 5 Exhibit No. 6 Exhibit No. 7 Exhibit No. 8 1 9∙

EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll 1 call Case 10514, Application of Enron Oil & Gas 2 3 Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 4 5 Are there appearances in this case? 6 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, 7 my name is William F. Carr with the law firm, Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan of Santa Fe. We 8 represent Enron Oil & Gas Company. And I have 9 three witnesses. 10 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any other 12 appearances in this case? 13 Will the three witnesses, please, stand and be sworn in. 14 15 [The witnesses were duly sworn.] 16 PATRICK J. TOWER 17 Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION BY MR. CARR: 20 Will you state your name for the 21 22 record, please? 23 Α. Patrick J. Tower. 24 Q. Where do you reside? Midland, Texas. 25 Α.

- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
  - A. By Enron Oil & Gas Company as a petroleum landman.
- Q. Have you previously testified before this Division and had your credentials as a landman accepted and made a matter of record?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in this case on behalf of Enron?
  - A. Yes, I am.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you familiar with the status of the lands and the subject area?
- A. Yes, I am.
- MR. CARR: Are Mr. Tower's qualifications acceptable?
- 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.
  - Q. (BY MR. CARR) Mr. Tower, could you briefly state what Enron seeks with this application?
  - A. Enron Oil & Gas Company seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Morrow Formation underlying the north half of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 33 East, in Lea County, New Mexico, for any and

- all formations or pools developed on 320-acre gas spacing.
  - Q. As to pools developed on 160, what acreage do you desire to have pooled?
  - A. We desire, as to 160 acres, to have the northwest quarter of said section dedicated; and as to 40-acre, the statewide, the drill site being the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter.
  - Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for presentation here today?
- A. Yes, I have.

1.5

- Q. Would you refer to what has been marked for identification as Enron Exhibit No. 1, identify this, and review it for Mr. Catanach?
- A. Exhibit No. 1 is a land plat identifying with the red outline the proration unit to be assigned to the well. The circle denotes the location, which is a standard location, the northwest quarter. The lands involve two federal leases which will be communitized.
- Q. What is the primary objective in this well? The Morrow Formation?
- A. The Morrow Formation.

- Q. Would you review Exhibit 2 and review that for the Examiner?
- A. Exhibit 2 is a list of the working interest parties that will be involved in the proration unit for the drilling of this well.

As you can note, approximately 87.5 percent are controlled under a voluntary agreement, operating agreement. It carries a 300 percent penalty. The remaining party who is not subject to an operating agreement, is Exxon Corporation. And, in addition, we have found out through Exxon, there's nothing of record, that they have committed this acreage to Millennium Energy Corporation under a separate agreement.

- Q. At this point in time, all interests in the proposed spacing or proration units are committed to the well except the interest of Exxon and Millennium?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So at this time what percentage of the working interest in the tract is committed to the well?
  - A. In excess of 87.5 percent.
- 24 Q. 87.5?

2:

A. [Nodded.]

- Q. Could you summarize for Mr. Catanach Enron's efforts to obtain the voluntary joinder of all working interest owners in this tract?
- A. Yes. Exhibit No. 3 represents copies of various correspondence between Enron Oil & Gas Company and Exxon and Millennium.

In addition to this correspondence, we have talked to both companies several times. The most recent conversation took place July 15.

Pursuant to Exxon having committed this to Millennium, their folks say their hands are tied to work any kind of voluntary agreement with Enron.

Millennium at this point has refused to enter into any kind of voluntary arrangement with Enron due to some problems with the contract they have with Exxon. So therefore we're here seeking the forced pooling order.

- Q. Is Exhibit No. 4 a copy of an affidavit confirming that notice of today's hearing has been provided to both Millennium and Exxon as required by OCD rules?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Tower, has Enron made an estimate of the overhead and administrative costs to be

incurred while drilling the well and also while 1 producing it if in fact it's successful? 2 Yes, we have. They're based on the 3 Ernst & Young survey, 1981 survey. We are 5 recommending a drilling well overhead rate of \$6,000 and a producing well rate of \$658. 6 7 Q. Do you recommend that these figures be incorporated into any order which results from 8 today's hearing? 9 Α. Yes, we do. 10 Does Enron seek to be designated 11 ο. operator of this well? 12 Α. Yes, we do. 13 Were Exhibits 1 through 4 either 14 Q. 15 prepared by you or compiled under your direction? Yes, they were. Α. 1 € 17 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move the admission of Enron Exhibits 1 through 18 19 4. EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 20 4 will be admitted as evidence. 2 1 MR. CARR: That concludes my 22 examination of Mr. Tower. 23

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

24

- Q. Mr. Tower, what is the nature of the agreement between Exxon and Millennium?
- A. I've never seen the agreement, but my understanding -- and this is what Exxon and Millennium have advised me -- it covered several thousand acres in New Mexico. Exxon -- a large farmout to Millennium, and Millennium had commitment to drill numerous wells under this agreement.

Apparently they have drilled one or two, but they are not going to proceed with the balance. However, the agreement does not terminate until the end of the year. And as a consequence the acreage is tied up; however, there is no action going on under the agreement.

But basically a large farmout, as I understand it. This is just one small piece of it.

- Q. So Millennium is actually in charge of the acreage?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. In control?
- A. Yes.

Q. Have you dealt with Millennium on a one-to-one basis?

A. Yes, we have. And there's correspondence in Exhibit No. 3 earlier this year and up through June of this year. We were not aware -- Exxon did not advise us that Millennium was involved in this acreage.

1 1

1.5

In June they put us on notice that it was committed to the Millennium agreement. We were not aware of this, even though I believe it was in January.

Immediately we got -- I contacted
Millennium, talked to a Mr. Tom Patton, had
numerous conversations with him. Immediately
upon finding that out, we faxed the Exxon
proposal and all correspondence between us and
Exxon and had several discussions with Mr. Patton
directly to try and resolve it and work out some
mutual settlement between Exxon and Millennium.

We've had conversations with Mr. Haines with Exxon, who had met with Mr. Patton under the big agreement, during the course of this to try and work out some mutual balance so we could continue with our well. And that was not achieved.

Exxon advised that our best course of action was to force pool it, and Millennium

advised me the same thing. They both agreed they 1 2 would not oppose. You don't anticipate Millennium 3 eventually joining? 5 Α. No, I don't. But you did offer the -- you did make 6 Q. 7 the offer to Millennium to voluntarily commit their --8 9 We offered the right to work a farmout agreement, join in the well, we would purchase a 10 term assignment. We offered several options to 11

EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I have nothing further.

MR. CARR: At this time we call Mr.

16 Zinz.

them.

12

17

18

19

20

22

23

#### BARRY L. ZINZ

Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

#### EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. CARR:

- Q. Would you state your name and place of residence?
- 24 A. Barry Lynn Zinz. Midland, Texas.
- Q. By whom are you employed?

Enron Oil & Gas. 1 Α. In what capacity? Q. 2 Geologist. 3 Α. Have you previously testified before Q. 5 this Division and had your credentials as a geologist accepted and made a matter of record? 6 Yes, I have. 7 Α. Are you familiar with the application 8 9 filed in this case? 10 Α. Yes, I am. And have you made a geologic study of 11 the area which is involved in this application? 12 Α. I have. 13 MR. CARR: Are the witness' 14 15 qualifications acceptable? EXAMINER CATANACH: They are. 16 (BY MR. CARR) Mr. Zinz, have you 17 Q. prepared certain exhibits today which address the 18 19 risk involved in drilling this well? 20 Α. I have done that. Could you refer to what has been marked 2 1 Q. as Enron Exhibit No. 6, identify this, and review 22 it for the Examiner? 23 24 Α. This is a structure map of the

Pitchfork Ranch-Vaca Draw area. It's on the

Morrow C sand. And the contour interval is 50 feet. And the location of the Hallwood 1-Fed. 1 is noted with the orange dot up there.

1 7

And this is a 15,450 foot Morrow test, located 660 from the north line and 1980 from the west line of Section 1, 23 South -- excuse me, 25 South, 33 East, Lea County.

- Q. How important is structure in making a successful well in this area?
- A. The purpose of this map is to illustrate the favorable structural position we were trying to obtain with this location. As you get off the structure of Pitchfork Ranch, flank well, or down-dip wells, you encounter water and some sands. So structure is important and is a factor in drilling in this area.
- Q. Could you now go to your cross-section, which has been marked Enron Exhibit No. 7, and review the information on that exhibit for Mr. Catanach?
- A. Sure. This is a cross-section, A-to-A prime, and it's noted on your structure map there. And the traverse of the cross-section runs near our location. And the cross-section is hung stratigraphically on what we call the top of

the Morrow Clastics.

And you can see that the individual sands zones within the Morrow are noted. Also indicated on the cross-section are the individual perforated intervals on these logs. And all of the completion and workover data to the wells are located on the bottom of the cross-section.

Now, in this area the Morrow is characterized by channel to fan type deposits. And if you noticed on the cross-section, there's many different zones within these sand intervals perforated, but not all of the perforations are continuous in each zone. So these sands can be lenticular. They kind of come and go through the area.

And also of interest in this area with regard to the Morrow is the fact that once you get off the Pitchfork Ranch Field structure, you get away from the field proper, your sands become less homogeneous and you pick up more silicious and lime cement of the sands.

So there is a risk as far as finding the sand. And then also if you find it, you're not guaranteed to have a quality reservoir.

Q. That's because of variations in both

permeability and porosity?

1 7

- A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- Q. Enron has drilled a number of wells in this area, have they not?
- A. That is correct. We've drilled a lot of wells.
- Q. And have you encountered or completed wells that were not economic successes along with those that you have been able to successfully produce?
- A. Oh, we have. The cross-section illustrates that. This first well is a noncommercial well. It was actually drilled by H & G, which is Enron. Another company owns the well now. This is a mediocre well, the second well on the cross-section.

Our location is going to be located right here between this mediocre well and you do have a good well here. So definitely we're trying to pick up a good well like this one, but the risk does exist with the porosities, the permeabilities, the sands and the structure.

Q. Are you prepared to make a recommendation to Mr. Catanach as to the risk that should be assessed against any interest

owner who doesn't join in the drilling of this 1 2 well? Α. Yes, sir. 3 4 Q. And what is that? 5 Α. Two hundred percent. Mr. Zinz, did you prepare Exhibits 6 Q. 6 7 and 7? 8 Α. Yes, sir. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, 9 I move the admission of Enron Exhibits 6 and 7. 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 6 and 7 11 12 will be admitted as evidence. MR. CARR: And that concludes my 13 examination of Mr. Zinz. EXAMINATION 15 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 16 17 Q. Mr. Zinz, is it? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Α. Z-i-n-z. I was going to give her a 2 1 card. 22 There is a dry hole marker in the northwest-northwest of Section 1. Was that 23 drilled to the Morrow? 24 25 Α. No. That's a shallow well. All the

1 Morrow penetrations, the deep wells are circled. 2 So there have been no wells drilled in Q. Section 1 to the Morrow? 3 No, sir. Α. 5 0. So the main Pitchfork-Morrow field is to the northeast and to the east? 6 Yes, sir. 7 Α. Is that correct? 8 Q. 9 Α. That's correct. 10 Q. You said the Bell Lake State No. 1, I believe, in Section 2 --11 Uh-huh. 12 Α. -- you said that that was a mediocre 13 Q. well? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 But that is producing from the Morrow? 16 ο. 1 7 From the Morrow, yes, sir. This well Α. was a poor well. Cum'd something like 2 million 18 out of the Morrow, and then they went back up to 19 20 the Wolfcamp. And this well is still in the 21 Morrow. 22 MR. CARR: Which well are you talking

about, Mr. Zinz?

23

2 4

25

the map on the cross-section is still in the

THE WITNESS: The well listed No. 2 on

Morrow. That's the Bell Lake 2. 1 Q. (BY EXAMINER CATANACH) The main risk 3 to your location is being off-structure? Is that your opinion? 5 I think it's a combination. I think structure is a risk. We definitely need to have a structural advantage. But the big risk is just 7 the sands themselves. The sands come and go. 9 Some of them are channelized. They wander around. Difficult to predict. 10 11 Also your porosity and permeability in 12 the sands vary. And like I said, once you get 13 off the structure itself -- this is the main part 14 of the structure. Once you go down this 15 direction from the structure, these particular 16 qualities that I've talked about become less easy 17 to predict, and therein lies your risk. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing 19 further. MR. CARR: At this time we call Randy 20 21 Cate.

#### RANDALL S. CATE

Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

22

23

24

25

EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. CARR:
2	Q. Could you state your name for the
3	record, please?
4	A. It's Randall Cate.
5	Q. And where do you reside?
6	A. I live in Midland, Texas.
7	Q. By whom are you employed and in what
8	capacity?
9	A. I work for Enron Oil & Gas as a project
10	reservoir engineer.
11	Q. Have you previously testified before
1 2	this Division?
13	A. Yes, I have.
14	Q. At the time of that testimony, were
15	your credentials as a reservoir engineer accepted
16	and made a matter of record?
17	A. Yes, they were.
18	Q. Are you familiar with the application
19	filed in this case?
20	A. Yes, I am.
2 1	Q. Are you familiar with the proposed well
22	in the subject area?
23	A. Yes.
2 4	MR. CARR: Are the witness'

qualifications acceptable?

EXAMINER CATANACH: They are. 1 2 Q. (BY MR. CARR) Now, initially, Mr. Cate, could you identify the proposed well? 3 Yes. It's the Hallwood 1-Federal Com. 5 No. 1. Its location is 660 feet from the north line and 1980 feet from the west line of Section 6 7 1, Township 25 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico. 8 9 Q. Have you prepared an AFE for this well? I worked in conjunction with our 10 Α. Yes. 11 drilling superintendent. He actually prepared 12 the AFE. 13 Q. Have you reviewed it? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Is that what has been marked as Enron 0. Exhibit No. 7? 16 17 Α. That is correct. Could you review that AFE for the 18 Q. 19 Examiner, please? Okay. It shows our anticipated 20 Α. 21 drilling cost and our anticipated completion cost and then a total for the well. Our anticipated 22 23 dry hole would be the first column, \$1,447,000. 24 If successful and completion is made, we

anticipate spending \$545,000 for a total

2.5

potential well cost of \$1,992,000.

.3

Я

1 ?

- Q. Now, are these costs in line with what has been charged by Enron and other operators in this area for similar wells?
- A. Yes. We have considerable drilling experience in the Pitchfork Ranch proper field, and these costs are basically taken from those other wells.
- Q. Could you identify for Mr. Catanach what has been marked as Enron Exhibit No. 8?
- A. I supplied a package of drilling reports that are on our Diamond SM-36 No. 1 well, which is approximately, oh, three-quarters of a mile northeast of our proposed Hallwood location. And the purpose is to show that there is considerable mechanical risk associated with these deep Morrow wells.

And I'll summarize it for the record. We basically spent over \$1.2 million trying to add additional Morrow perforations from a plug-back operation from the lowest Morrow pay, which was the Morrow C. We tested first the B sand at perforations 14,994 to 15,028 feet. We found that those were nonproductive, noncommercial, flowed very low amounts of gas.

Then we moved up to the Sinatra sand. We set a sand plug over that. Moved up to the Sinatra sand and attempted a completion effort there. We acidized the zone and got no flow, so they ran in with the wire-line to check the plug-back TD, broke through a bridge, immediately had 10,000 of pounds of pressure. It blew all the tools up to the lubricator. The tools disintegrated, fell down the hole.

2.5

We had to follow up with milling operations, fishing operations. We started this effort in January 91 and finally ended up in November of 91.

We could not make a successful completion out of the Sinatra sand. It was a high pressure but no flow rate. So we went up to what we called the A sand and tested it at low flow rates. Then we did fracture-treat it, and we have made a commercial well there.

But, in addition to the drilling costs, there's a substantial risk. We did end up spending \$1.2 million in that completion effort on this other well.

Q. In addition to the physical risk associated with just the character of the

reservoir, then there is a mechanical risk associated with developing the Morrow in this particular area?

Α. That's correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- In your opinion is there a chance that Q. Enron could drill a well at this location that would not be a commercial success?
  - Yes, there's a substantial risk. Α.
- Do you concur in Mr. Zinz' Q. recommendation that a 200 percent risk penalty be assessed against the operators who do not participate in the drilling of this well?
  - Α. Yes, I do.
- Were Exhibits 7 and 8 prepared by you Ο. or have you reviewed them and can you testify as to their accuracy?
  - Α. Yes.
- MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we would move admission of Enron Exhibits 7 and 8.
- EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 7 and 8 will be admitted as evidence. 22
- (BY MR. CARR) Mr. Cate, in your opinion will approval of this application and 24 pooling of the subject lands be in the best

interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?

A. Yes, it will.

MR. CARR: That concludes my examination of Mr. Cate.

#### EXAMINATION

#### BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

- Q. Mr. Cate, this well that you just referenced, that was a recompletion?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Have you had any similar problems in actually drilling a well?
- A. Yes. The Diamond Federal No. 8, let's see if we can find that one, this is a well that we drilled, be about two-and-a-half miles southeast. Do you see it in Section 8? It would be the north half of Section 8. That would be 25 South and 34 East.

And in that drilling operation we experienced, when we got to TD, we experienced liner problems when we set the liner. The cement job did not work well. This well was successful over here. There was gas coming up through the liner. They had to do another squeeze job on it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

22

2.3

So typically it's in a completion area that you experience your difficulties. You are sometimes required during drilling, number one, you have to set this 7-3/4 inch or 7-5/8 inch intermediate casing before you enter into the Wolfcamp Formation because it is notorious for very high pressure and very low volumes. But it can cause blowouts in the area.

Then you encounter some of the same type of formations all the way down through the Upper Morrow and the Atoka. You can get these high pressure zones that don't have a lot of volume. In order to control those, you set another 5-1/2 inch liner.

And then when you get down to the Morrow C, it happens to be a lower pressured zone. So if you have too much mud weight, you can lose circulation into those, into those sands.

So there is risk and mechanical problems we've had during both drilling operations and completion.

EXAMINER CATANACH: That's all I have.

MR. CARR: I have nothing further.

EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing

1	further, Case 10514 will be taken under
2	advisement.
3	[And the proceedings were concluded.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
1 1	i do hereby calify that the foregoing is
12	a complete resond of the proceedings in the Examiner Loaring of Core in the 1950.
13	heard by me on 104 23 1992
14	Oil Conservation Division
15	Oli Collegi Adrioni Pivinoni
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
24	
2 5	

# CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Debbie Vestal, Certified Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that
the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me;
that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my
personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a
true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL JULY 29, 1992.

2 1

DEBBIE VESTAL, RPR NEW MEXICO CSR NO. 3