1	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	CASE NO. 10530
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF:
6	
7	The Application of Charles B. Gillespie, Jr., for pool creation
8	and special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.
9	New Mexico.
10	
1 1	
1 2	
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	
16	MICHAEL E. STOGNER
1 7	Hearing Examiner
18	September 3, 1992
19	
2 0	
2 1	REPORTED BY:
2 2	DEBBIE VESTAL Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 3	for the State of New Mexico
2 4	
2 5	

ł	
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
4	ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ. General Counsel
5	State Land Office Building
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
7 8	FOR THE APPLICANT:
9	HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY Post Office Box 2068
10	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2068 BY: <b>JAMES BRUCE, ESQ</b> .
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	

1	I N D E X
2	Page Number
3	
4	Appearances 2
5	
6	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:
7	
8	1. DAVID S. NUTTER
9	Examination by Mr. Bruce 4
10	Examination by Mr. Stovall 17, 25
11	Examination by Examiner Stogner 18
12	
13	Certificate of Reporter 33
14	EXHIBITS
15	Page Identified
16	
17	Exhibit No. 1 6
18	Exhibit No. 1A 8
19	Exhibit No. 2
20	Exhibit No. 3
21	Exhibit No. 4
2 2	Exhibit No. 5
23	Exhibit No. 6
2 4	
25	

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Hearing will come to
2	order. Call the next case, No. 10530.
3	MR. STOVALL: Application of Charles P.
4	Gillespie, Jr., for pool creation and special
5	pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.
6	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for
7	appearances.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is
9	Jim Bruce, from the Hinkle law firm in Santa Fe,
10	representing the applicant. I have one witness
11	to be sworn.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other
13	appearances?
14	Will the witness please stand to be
15	sworn.
16	[The witness was duly sworn.]
17	DANIEL S. NUTTER
18	Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was
19	examined and testified as follows:
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BRUCE:
2 2	Q. Would you, please, state your name for
23	the record?
24	A. My name is Daniel S. Nutter.
2 5	Q. And where do you reside?

- 1 A. In Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- 2 Q. What is your occupation?
  - A. Consulting petroleum engineer.
    - Q. Who are you employed by in this case?
- 5 A. Charles B. Gillespie, Jr.
  - Q. Have you previously testified before the Division as an engineer and had your credentials accepted as a matter of record?
    - A. I have.
  - Q. Are you familiar with the engineering matters related to this application?
- 12 A. I am.

6

7

8

Q

10

- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr.
- 14 Nutter as an expert petroleum engineer.
- MR. STOVALL: Do we need to investigate
- 16 | further? That's all right. No objections.
- 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: I don't have any
- objections either, Mr. Nutter, except maybe the
- 19 | cigarette stain on my desk.
- 20 THE WITNESS: It's not a stain; it's a
- 21 | burn. Isn't it a burn?
- 22 | EXAMINER STOGNER: It's a burn, but
- 23 | that was done before I obtained it. Mr. Nutter
- 24 | is so qualified.
- Q. Mr. Nutter, would you, please, refer to

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1 and describe it briefly
for the Examiner?

1 1

A. Yes. Applicant's Exhibit No. 1 is an area plat that portrays our proposed Patience Strawn Oil Pool. This pool was discovered by Charles B. Gillespie with his Hamilton Well No. 1, which is located in the southwest quarter-southeast quarter of Section 33, Township 15 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

The well was completed early in July in the Strawn formation, and the Applicant today is seeking 80-acre spacing for this pool, the creation of the pool and 80-acre spacing.

On the plat colored in yellow is the acreage controlled by -- either owned or controlled by Charles B. Gillespie. Outlined in red is the proposed pool, which would consist of the south half of Section 33. 15 North -- 15 South, 35 East, and Lots 1 through 8 of Section 1 of Township 16 South, Range 35 East.

Outlined in green is the south half of Section 33 of 15-35, which is a Phillips farmout to Charles B. Gillespie. In accordance with the terms of this farmout, he drilled this Hamilton Well No. 1, which is the discovery well for the

pool. That well is indicated by the black dot surrounded by the red circle.

Immediately south of that, you'll see another smaller red dot in Section 1. This well was started after the completion of the Hamilton well, and as of yesterday they were moving a completion rig on it. They do have a good well here also. So we'll have two wells in the pool.

The green area that's shaded is the proposed 80-acre proration unit that would be dedicated to the well.

I believe that's all I have with reference to this plat.

MR. BRUCE: And, Mr. Examiner, two things: First of all, Mr. Gillespie did originally request a discovery allowable. We've asked to dismiss that portion of the case.

THE WITNESS: We realized after filing for that that we were not qualified for it because Big Dog Strawn Pool to the west is within the prohibitive distance for a discovery allowable for this pool.

Q. Now, Mr. Nutter, the application is actually only for the west half of the southeast quarter. Why are you asking for a larger pool

boundary?

A. That is the proration unit that we're proposing. The west half of the southeast quarter, that's the proration unit. And I have a copy of the Form C-123, which was originally filed with the Hobbs office of the Oil Conservation Division. I believe I've got that with me in which -- yes. Maybe we ought to offer this as a supplemental exhibit because this was filed on the request of the OCD. We'll call this Exhibit 1-A.

At the request of Mr. Sexton in the Hobbs office, a request for a creation of a pool for this new discovery, Charles B. Gillespie filed this form with the Oil Commission in the Hobbs office, and it requests that the pool be created; that the name Patience Strawn be adopted; and that the boundary consist of the south half of Section 23 and Lots 1 through 8 of Section 1.

So this is in conformance with the plat that I've submitted here today. And here also -- which should be stapled to that exhibit -- is the letter from Sexton requesting that we file the 124 -- or whatever form number that is.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I apologize

for the confusion. To the extent necessary, this

case may need to be readvertised.

1.5

at the pool boundaries which I had originally -or which I had interpreted through the
application because I did not see the requested
pool boundary in the application filed in this
office. So I took it that the proration unit
would be the pool boundary, and that's the way it
was advertised.

MR. BRUCE: That's my mistake, Mr. Examiner. I think there was a breakdown in communication.

EXAMINER STOGNER: While we're at this though, however, while we're on Exhibit No. 1 and 1-A, I have a couple of questions before we move on, if that would be all right with you, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Sure.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Nutter, did you research the pool boundaries for perhaps other Strawn or Upper Pennsylvanian-Morrow pools?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We will be getting into other pools.

EXAMINER STOGNER: In that case I'll retract my question and allow you to go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Okay. Mr. Nutter, would you then move on to your Exhibit No. 2?
- A. Exhibit No. 2 is the line of the cross-section. The Patience Strawn pool is the center pool on the proration -- on the plat.

  You'll notice to the northwest is the Big Dog

  Strawn Pool. This pool is really a dog. So I

  haven't -- I'm not going to present any other

  further information about it. It's only

  recovered 20,000 barrels of oil. And it's a

  one-well pool, so it's an insignificant pool, the one to the northwest, the Big Dog Strawn. As I

  say, it's a dog.

Now, immediately to the south, two miles to the south is the North Shoe Bar Strawn Pool. This pool has been approved and developed by the Division or -- approved by the Division and developed on 160-acre spacing. And I will be talking about several other pools that are producing from this Strawn Formation in the area which were developed on 80-acre spacing. But this is the line of the cross-section. And we think we've actually got a better feel than the

1 | Shoe Bar Strawn north to south.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

2.5

- Q. Does the porosity in these type of pools pinch out quickly?
- A. Yes, it does. This is what's known as a filoid algal mound pool. And these algal mounds, the porosity and permeability can be pinched off very rapidly in some cases. And for that reason we are requesting a little bit of flexibility in the location of the wells, which is not in conformance with the general rules for drilling wells on 80-acre spacing.
- Q. And the general rule is --
  - A. Within 150 feet within the center of a 40. And we're asking that the wells be located not closer than 330 to the outer boundary of the 40 that the well is located on.
- Q. Do you have anything further with respect to that exhibit?
- A. No. We'll get to the cross-section next.
- Q. Okay. Would you, please, move on to the cross-section and discuss it?
  - A. Okay. The cross-section is actually a very simple cross-section. And I only want to call attention to two wells on the

the second well from the left will be our subject well, which is the Hamilton No. 1.

1 1

neutron density log here and get a picture in your mind of it, and then turn to the second well from the right on the cross-section, you will note the similarity of the structures. Each one of these is on a high, which immediately dips off. This is the algal mound in each of these cases. And you'll note that the gamma ray logs on both wells are very, very similar. You'll also note that the neutron side of the curve is very, very similar on these two.

Now, the pool on the right is the North Strawn -- is the North Shoe Bar Strawn Pool, which was developed on 160-acre spacing. And it's been adequate for -- the 160 has been adequate for that pool. The one on the left is the one that we're proposing for 80-acre spacing.

Just to point that out, the similarities, and that's all we had on the cross-section.

Q. Okay. Would you then move on to

Exhibit 4, please?

2.5

A. Which is Exhibit 4?

MR. STOVALL: Exhibit 4 looks like another -- that it is cross-section, isn't it?

THE WITNESS: I guess Exhibit 4 is the cross-section.

7 MR. STOVALL: Exhibit 4 is marked as 8 the cross-section.

THE WITNESS: Right. Exhibit 4 was the cross-section.

Okay. Exhibit 5 is the big map that shows lots of little green dots all over it. And what I've portrayed on here are the pools in the Strawn trend, and most of these are algal mound pools.

You'll note that the Big Dog and the Patience and the North Shoe Bar are the only ones west of the highway. So I guess you go by highway geology here because almost everything else is east of the highway.

But up to the north -- first of all, and I've portrayed the outer boundaries of those pools on that exhibit with a red line. The first one up here is the Dean -- actually the nomenclature calls it the Dean

Permo-Pennsylvanian Pool. But it is referred to and thought of as being a Strawn pool by many geologists. That pool was developed on 80-acre spacing.

The next one coming south is the Northeast Lovington Pool, which is a large pool, and it also is developed on 80-acre spacing.

Then we have the Casey Pool, which is a smaller pool, outlined in red there. And it is an 80-acre pool. The Ship Strawn pool is an 80-acre pool. And down to the far south we have the Humble City Pool which is also an 80-acre pool.

So what I'm trying to show is that this Strawn trend, while it runs generally northwest-southeast, does have an apparent shadow over here to the west of the highway. And we think we're on another trend over here in this Strawn production. And while these other pools have qualified for 80-acre spacing, we think our pool certainly does too.

Now, the production from the subject well, the Hamilton well, has been very good. It is subject to a slight penalty because of the GOR. The GOR is running at about 2200, so it is not quite qualifying for a top allowable,

- although it will easily make a top allowable. It will easily make a top allowable on 80-acre spacing also.
  - Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Okay. And, Mr. Nutter, is Exhibit 6 just the affidavit regarding notice submitted by the applicant?
  - A. Yes, sir, it is. Applicant has notified all of the offset operators. They've notified all of the lease owners and all of the unleased owners within a mile of the application. And there's a zillion little fee owners listed on that thing, but they were all notified of this proposed case.
- MR. STOVALL: How many zeros in a zillion?
- THE WITNESS: There's a zillion.
- MR. STOVALL: Each fee owner has been notified?
- THE WITNESS: Each fee owner has been notified. I didn't do that. The Gillespie buying department did that.
- MR. STOVALL: Okay.
- Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Do you have anything further to say with respect to this case, Mr.
- 25 | Nutter?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. Nothing, except that for the convenience of the Examiner, I have made copies of the orders which authorize the 80- and the 160-acre spacing for those pools that are shown on Exhibit No. 5.

And it's interesting to note that, while the Examiner is aware that many pools which over the years have been approved for the 80- or the 160-acre spacing and often it develops that it was too wide a spacing, that none of these pools has reverted back to 40-acre spacing; they've all held up on the 80- or the 160-acre spacing.

Now, there's one order in there which is of real interest. That's Order No. 892, which goes way back to 1955, I think. That's for that Dean Pool, the one that I mentioned can be interpreted as being Permo-Pennsylvanian.

But I think what's interesting about that order is that, on the application of Sinclair Oil Company, the creation of the -- they have first developed Devonian production in that pool. Then they realized they had some production up here in the Pennsylvanian, and they asked for dual completion of wells in the

1 | Pennsylvanian and in the Devonian.

They also asked for 80-acre spacing in
the Pennsylvanian. And that is the first order
that was ever written by the Oil Conservation
Commission of New Mexico approving an oil,
oil-dual completion.

- Q. Mr. Nutter, in your opinion is the granting of this application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
- A. I believe it will -- it is because I believe that one well will adequately drain 80-acres here and prevent the unnecessary drilling of additional wells if the 80-acre spacing is granted.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or under your direction?
  - A. They were.
- MR. BRUCE: And, Mr. Examiner, at this time I'd move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 6.
  - EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 6 will be admitted into evidence.
    - EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. STOVALL:

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.5

Q. First question coming out of the chute

1 is where did the proposed name of the pool come 2 from?

A. I did not prepare that.

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

- Q. Do you have any idea where that name came from, Mr. Nutter?
- A. I imagine notifying all those zillions of operators. It took a lot of patience. That would be one theory.
- Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, it's not based upon any geographic feature in the
  - A. Not that I'm aware of. Patience sounds like a girl's name back in Puritan days. Maybe there's a Puritan girl living in that vicinity and it's named after her.
  - Q. It could be misspelled. Supposed to be T-S?
    - A. It could be, P-a-t-i-e--n-t-s.
- Q. Mr. Gillespie is aware of the
  Division's policy with respect to naming pools
  after geographic features, is he not?
  - A. I don't know. I haven't talked to Mr. Gillespie about that.
- 24 EXAMINATION
- 25 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. Do you know if any other pools have been named after Puritan girls?
  - A. I'd have to think a while on that. I'm sure you're too busy to take the time.
    - Q. How about the Big Dog?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. That was anticipatory, I think. If it had been me, I would have called it the real dog.

MR. STOVALL: You haven't written a poem or anything to support this name, have you Mr. Nutter?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

MR. STOVALL: Shall we take administrative notice of the orders that have been submitted by Mr. Nutter?

EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes. I will take administrative notice of all these orders submitted by Mr. Nutter, which most of them have his name on them, by the way.

- Q. (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) Now, the order in which you referred to back in 1956 was a Commission order?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And you said that was the first dual completion?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Were you around? Q. 1 I wrote it. 2 Α. Q. You wrote it? 3 Α. Yes. So it was a good order; you agree with 5 Q. it? 6 The Commission heard that case, and it Α. 7 was a radical thing in those days to consider an 9 oil, oil-dual. And they debated among themselves 10 a number of times whether to approve it or not. And they finally said, "We've approved it. 11 write an order supporting our decision." 12 MR. STOVALL: Was Mr. Kellahin's father 13 the Commission attorney at the time? 14 That was after his time. THE WITNESS: 15 16 MR. STOVALL: I was just curious. 17 THE WITNESS: He was on the Corporation Commission at that time, I think. 18 19 MR. KELLAHIN: They still write the 20 orders the same way now, Dan. THE WITNESS: Give them to an underling 21 22 to write. MR. STOVALL: We better close this 23

EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes.

24

25

record quickly.

THE WITNESS: There's been a lot of stuff off the record here, I hope. I see her fingers dancing.

- Q. (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) In preparing my ad, I noticed that there was a Townsend Permo-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool that kind of surrounds this particular pool. Are you familiar with that one by chance?
- A. No, I don't even know where that one is. I didn't look at that pool.
  - Q. Actually you're skirting around it to the west and to the east. But with that I suppose you wouldn't know what the vertical limits would be?
  - A. No. I don't know if it's Strawn. Or it could be an Atoka or something else. I have no idea. I don't think I've got it on my list of pools. Let me check and see.
- Oh, yeah. However, I didn't pursue it because I didn't think it was close enough for some reason.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take

  administrative notice of that particular pool

  creation. I believe that it did not include the

  Strawn.

But, Mr. Bruce, if you'll double-check 1 that for me. 2 MR. BRUCE: Will do. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Out of my memory, I think you might want to refer to Order No. 5 R - 7222. 6 That Townsend Strawn is THE WITNESS: in Section 4 of this township, which would be way 8 9 up here off the map. EXAMINER STOGNER: There is a Townsend 10 11 Strawn, but I'm interested in the Townsend 12 Permo-Upper Pennsylvanian. THE WITNESS: Okay. That one is in 13 Sections 4, 9, 10, 5, 8, 11, 2, 3, 17, 1, 12, 14 and 18, which is a good ways away also. 15 MR. STOVALL: We'll check the order. 16 MR. BRUCE: I'll check that. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: And the vertical 18 19 limits on that, if you would, Mr. Bruce, in this 20 matter. 21 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 22 THE WITNESS: The nearest section that that pool is in -- here's our proposed pool right 23 here. That Upper Pennsylvanian Pool does come 24

down into Section 18, which is four or five miles

1 to the northwest. Most of it is up here to the
2 north and west of the plat.

- Q. (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) You're referring to about four or five miles up to the north and east?
  - A. Up to the north and west.
  - Q. Up to the north and west?
- A. Yes, sir. It wasn't close enough that I gave it any further consideration.
- Q. Other than the 80-acre spacing out there, Mr. Nutter, you mentioned or are requesting 330-foot offsets off of the quarter section line or quarter-quarter section line?
  - A. Correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. This is somewhat unusual, is it not?
- A. Yes, it is an exception. Yes.
- Q. Let's elaborate a little bit on that.

  Has your experience shown or your research shown
- 19 that many of these pools that have 80-acre
- 20 spacing with 150 from the center have had
- 21 exceptions granted to them?
- 22 A. A lot of them have required exceptions,
- 23 and we would prefer to drill in the center of a
- 24 40-acre tract. It's only when you get close.
- 25 | And when we get the pool fairly well-defined and

know that we're not going to fall off of the mound that we will drill in the center of the 40, I'm sure. There's no advantage to getting an irregular spacing pattern if you can develop adequately on a regular spacing pattern.

- Q. And I believe that was why the 150 from the center was given these 180s, so you wouldn't have an opportunity for four of these 80-acre proration units being produced with a clump of wells in one corner?
- A. That's correct. And Gillespie would not drill a cluster of wells together, I'm sure.
- Q. But he wants a little bit of leeway so he won't fall off the mound?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Any topographic features out there?
- A. No, there aren't any. Now, the Lovington airport is to the south, but it presents no problem to us. I also investigated the possibility that there might be some of those big circular irrigation projects out there. And there aren't any. It's all ranching country.

There's no topography. We do not justify our application for exception on the basis of topography. It's only to keep from

falling off the mound where necessary.

- Q. Now, with the size of your request for this particular pool, is this somewhat unusual also, asking for essentially 640 acres with just that one well holding it?
- A. Well, I don't know if it's absolutely necessary or not. He does control all of the acreage within his proposed pool, though, obviously, as depicted by the yellow coloring on Exhibit No. 1.

MR. STOVALL: A question.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

## BY MR. STOVALL:

- Q. How big do those mounds tend to be? I assume the idea is that you want to get essentially most of the mound. How big do they tend to be?
- A. Well, you can see that mound on the structure map. And you'll notice that our second well appears to be higher on the mound, and it's an excellent well. It hasn't been completed yet, but the DST on the thing, which I'm not privy to, indicates it's going to be probably a better well than the first.
  - Q. But that's the reason for going for

that larger spacing is to try to encompass the --1 The mound. 2 -- what is anticipated as being the 3 0. mound? This mound was drawn based on Yeah. Α. 5 seismic information, so it has to be confirmed by 6 7 drilling. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, for your 9 information, a little bit of information, 10 geological information, was presented in Case No. 10498, which concerns that second well in Section 11 12 1. THE WITNESS: Which one? 13 MR. BRUCE: The second. That was a 14 15 forced pooling for that second well. The one in Section 1? MR. STOVALL: 16 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Did that force pool 18 40 acres? 19 MR. BRUCE: It pooled 40 acres at the 20 21 That's what the spacing is out there, although the ownership -- I think the landman 22 23 testified that the landownership is uniform in 24 lots in that entire quarter section.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Nutter, do you

know what the anticipated 80-acre proration unit 1 would be for that well if this order was 2 3 approved? MR. STOVALL: The second well? 4 THE WITNESS: Well, no, I don't. I 5 sure don't. 6 MR. BRUCE: I believe it was --7 THE WITNESS: Whether it would be the 8 9 east half or the northwest quarter or whether it would be Lots 3 and 6 or whether it would be Lots 10 11 3 and 4. If he's got such a good well, it would 12 be prudent to dedicate Lots 3 and 4 because you'd 13 have slightly more acreage. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: But you just don't 15 16 know at this time --I don't know. THE WITNESS: 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- his anticipated 18 80-acre proration unit. 19 20 MR. STOVALL: That would also give you 21 a chance to drill a second well at the top of the 22 mound too, wouldn't it, looking at your 23 structure? THE WITNESS: I don't know. That would 24 25 be up to their geologist.

MR. STOVALL: I understand. I'm just 1 2 asking you based on the structure map you've submitted. 3 THE WITNESS: Right. It appears that 4 it's hitting the high there. 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I believe, 6 although it wasn't in the prior testimony, I 7 believe the anticipation was that the second well 8 would have Lots 3 and 4 dedicated to it. And 9 that would be an oversized unit. 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: And what are you 11 getting that out of? 12 13 MR. BRUCE: It's from my notes from when we originally began the forced pooling 14 15 proceeding. EXAMINER STOGNER: There again, we're 16 not sure of what the 80-acre proration unit would 17 18 be. MR. BRUCE: Correct. 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: 20 Okay. Mr. Nutter, do you know where this Form 21 C-123 that Charles Gillespie sent to the district 22 office -- do you know where that is at this 23 24 point? 25 THE WITNESS: I imagine he suspended it

with the Hobbs office, or the Hobbs office 1 2 suspended it when this application here was It hasn't appeared on the southeast 3 filed. nomenclature case as of now, I don't believe. MR. BRUCE: No, it hasn't. 5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okav. Another 6 synopsis here, no discovery allowable? 7 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 8 9 dismissing that. 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Any other specialties for this particular pool other than 11 80-acres and then the special 330 offset? 12 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other 14 15 questions of Mr. Nutter. Are there any other 16 questions of this witness? 17 THE WITNESS: Now, let me tell you one thing, Mr. Stogner. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, sir. 19 20 THE WITNESS: If you want to issue the 21 order based on the advertised limits of the pool, 22 we would like to see that order issued promptly 23 because right now the well is being penalized, because I mentioned it has a slightly high GOR, 24

2200. The GOR stays the same. They pinched it

They opened the choke up to various 1 different sizes. And the GOR is relatively 2 stable at 2200 to 1, which results in a penalty. 3 Now, if you approve the 80-acre 4 spacing, we could get an extra allowable because 5 the well is not producing near its capacity. So 6 we would prefer to see you go ahead and issue the 7 order based on the 80-acre pool, if that's what 9 the advertisement states, that it's only going to 10 be 80 acres. And then put the case on the nomenclature, if necessary. 11 MR. STOVALL: Your second well is 12 13 within a mile anyway. THE WITNESS: It's within a mile, 14 And most of our acreage there would be 15 16 within a mile. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: And any additional extensions could be done through the nomenclature 18 19 process. That is correct. 20 THE WITNESS: EXAMINER STOGNER: With that, then I 21 22 don't see any reason to readvertise with that 23 change. THE WITNESS: Or we can file an 24

application to come back in ourselves and present

2.5

1	a case at the next Examiner Hearing.
2	MR. STOVALL: If you presented a
3	nomenclature, there's no reason to come back in
4	if the pool is established and the rules are
5	established.
6	THE WITNESS: Right.
7	MR. STOVALL: And you're within a mile,
8	the nomenclature could take care of it, and
9	there's no reason to have another hearing on it.
10	THE WITNESS: No. And the only thing
1 1	is, I'd argue for creation of the larger pool.
12	And the Hobbs office might not propose to pool
13	I don't know what they would propose because that
1 4	was what was filed with them for creation of a
15	one square mile pool there, see.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: But with that, if I
17	could take it under advisement at this time
18	THE WITNESS: We would prefer that so
19	we could get the order out and get our allowable
20	boosted slightly.
2 1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Anything further in
2 2	this case?
23	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
2 4	EXAMINER STOGNER: In that case, Case
25	No. 10530 will be taken under advisement.  a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case 10530 heard by me on 3

1	Thank you, gentlemen.
2	[And the proceedings were concluded.]
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
1 1	
l 2	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
8.1	
19	
2 0	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2.4	
2 5	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 ) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 I, Debbie Vestal, Certified Shorthand 6 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that 7 the foregoing transcript of proceedings before 8 9 the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my 10 personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a 11 12 true and accurate record of the proceedings. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a 13 relative or employee of any of the parties or 14 15 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have 16 no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter. 17 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OCTOBER 3, 18 1992. 19 20 21 22 23 VESTAL, NEW MEXICO CSR NO. 3 24