Page	1		
rage			

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING			
SANTA FE	,	NEW	MEXI CO

JANUARY 21, 1993 Time: 8:15 A.M. Hearing Date LOCATION RW layram Co. Maurice Trumpy DEXTER MARMON MENBOURNE OIL CO ken Waits 11 Ho Adda Seme litt Sant Fe Campbed, Can Jonge & Elevidan Fin Chu Stratton of Cour · Seal, Cavin 4/6 Hable Cow Prin James Bure D. THE C Xoloshina Koloshin W. Helshin Midlimet Midrall Every long. Stove Sminn 140, sto/ Mark Stepheni Carl Keitan Midland 11 11 11 Jed Hawlahi Strata Dioneiro Co. Roswell Mark & Marphy Strata Production Co Roswell George L. Scott 91 HM Dent of Finance & Admir Soutage Filen Millions

			Page	2 .		
	NEW MEXICO O	IL CONSERVATION COMMI	SSION			
		EXAMINER HEARING				
		SANTA FE, NEW M	EXICO			
Hearing Date_		JANUARY 21	, 1993 Time	: 8:15 A.M.		
NAME		REPRESENTING		LOCATION		
				•		
			A			
			į			

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 Docket No. 3-93 5 Case No. 10635 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 9 The Application of Mewbourne Oil 10 Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas well location, 11 Eddy County, New Mexico 12 13 **BEFORE:** EXAMINER MICHAEL E. STOGNER 14 January 21, 1993 15 16 **ORIGINAL** 17 REPORTED BY: 18 DEBORAH O'BINE Certified Shorthand Reporter 19 for the State of New Mexico 20 21 22 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 23 24 25

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
    FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
 3
    ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.
 4
    General Counsel
    State Land Office Building
    Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088
 5
 6
 7
    FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:
8
   HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY
    500 Marquette Avenue, NW
 9
    Albuquerque, New Mexico
    BY: JAMES G. BRUCE ESQ.
10
11
    FOR DEVON ENERGY CORPORATION:
12
    CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN
    110 N. Guadalupe
13
    Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
    BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.
14
15
    FOR LOUIS DREYFUSS NATURAL GAS CORPORATION:
16
    KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
17
    117 N. Guadalupe
    Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
18
    BY: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2	Appearances	Page Number 2
4	WITNESSES FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
5	1. PAUL HADEN	
6	Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Stogner	4 14
7	2. <u>DEXTER HARMON</u>	
8	Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Stogner	18 25
9	Certificate of Reporter	32
10		32
11	EXHIBITS	
12	Page	Referenced
13	MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
14	Exhibit No. 2	7 7
15	Exhibit No. 3	7 8
16	Exhibit No. 5	8 11
17	Exhibit No. 5-B	11 11
18	Exhibit No. 6 Exhibit No. 7	11 19
19	Exhibit No. 8 Exhibit No. 9	21 22
20	Exhibit No. 10 Exhibit No. 11	23 23
21	Exhibit No. 12	23
22		
23		
24		
25		

EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to order for Docket No. 3-93. My name is Michael E. Stogner, appointed Hearing Examiner for today's cases. Please note today's date, Thursday, January 21, 1993. I'll call first case, No. 10635.

MR. STOVALL: Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm in Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I have two witnesses to be sworn.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other appearances in this matter?

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm of Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan. I'd like to enter my appearance in this case for Devon Energy Corporation. I do not intend to call a witness.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances?

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom

Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin &

Kellahin appearing today on behalf of Louis Dreyfuss

Natural Gas Corporation.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Do you have any 2 witnesses? 3 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? Will the witnesses please stand and be 5 6 sworn. 7 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce? 8 9 PAUL HADEN, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn 10 11 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: **EXAMINATION** 12 BY MR. BRUCE: 13 14 Q. Will you please state your name and city of residence? 15 My name is Paul Haden. I live in Midland, 16 Α. Texas. 17 18 Q. And what is your occupation, and who are you employed by? 19 I'm a petroleum landman. I'm employed by 20 Α. Mewbourne Oil Company. 21 Have you previously testified before the 22 Division as a petroleum landman and had your 23 credentials accepted as a matter of record? 24 Yes, I have. 25 Α.

- Q. Are you familiar with the land matters involved in this case?
 - A. Yes, I am.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Haden as an expert landman.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Haden is so qualified.

- Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Briefly, Mr. Haden, what does Mewbourne seek in this case?
- A. Mewbourne seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from 500 feet beneath the top of the San Andres formation to the base of the Morrow formation, underlying the east half of Section 15 of Township 18 South, Range 28 East. This is for all pools or formations based on 40 acres as to the northwest of the southeast quarter, 80 acres as to the west half of the southeast quarter, and 160 acres as to the southeast quarter, and 160 acres as to the southeast quarter, and, of course, 320 acres for the east half.

Mewbourne also requests approval of an unorthodox gas well location for geological reasons.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, the advertisement for the case actually said from the base of the San Andres formation to the base of the Morrow. We would like to amend it to read from 500 feet below the top

of the San Andres formation. We will obviously need to readvertise for the February 18 hearing, and we will renotify everyone.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, that's 500 feet below the top of the San Andres formation? THE WITNESS:

Top.

- (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Haden, referring to Exhibit 2 -- or, excuse me, Exhibit 1, what is the location of Mewbourne's proposed well?
- The location, as indicated on Exhibit 1, is indicated in pink. That location is 1,980 feet from the east line, 1,500 feet from the south line of said Section 15. The east half of 15 is shaded in yellow which indicates the spacing unit.
- Is Exhibit 2 a listing of offsets, Q. 15 operators, or lessees? 16
 - Yes, that's correct. Α.
- 18 Q. And Exhibit 2-A identifies the tracts that are referred to on Exhibit 2? 19
- That's also correct. 20 Α.
- And were all those parties notified? 21 Q.
- Yes, they were all notified, certified 22 Α.
- mail. 23

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

And the poolees or the people you seek to 24 Q. 25 pool were also notified; is that correct?

A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. And is Exhibit 3 your affidavit regarding notice given to the offset interest owners as well as the people being pooled?
- A. Yes, that's also correct. It also includes waivers given by Marathon Oil Company, Devon Energy Corporation, and Exxon Corporation as to our unorthodox location.
- Q. Exhibit 3 contains a notice letter to Marathon regarding pooling. Do you seek to pool Marathon?
 - A. No, we do not.
- Q. Moving on to Exhibit 4, who are the people that Mewbourne seeks to force pool?
- A. Mewbourne seeks to pool Louis Dreyfuss
 Natural Gas Corporation and Devon Energy Corporation.
- Q. On Exhibit 4 there was Marathon listed.
 You have since come to terms with Marathon; is that correct?
- A. Yes, we have. We control Marathon's interest currently.
 - Q. Would you please describe your efforts to obtain the voluntary joinder of Louis Dreyfuss and Devon Energy?
 - A. Let's start with Louis Dreyfuss. We first

wrote to DeKalb Energy, who is Dreyfuss's predecessor in title. We did this on September 10, 1991, seeking to purchase their interest. At that time, DeKalb was not interested in selling but was interested in a multi-well drilling program.

Since such dates, we've contacted them

September 20, '91; 11-20-91; 11-25-91; 4-28-92;

5-19-92; 5-20-92; October 30, '92; November 11, '92;

December 1, '92; December 23, '92; January 5, '93;

January 15, '93; and January 18, '93. Also, our last contact with Dreyfuss was January 19, '93.

- Q. And that was either -- the dates you have given were for either letters or phone calls; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. That was not only to DeKalb but to Louis Dreyfuss; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And there was a period when there was no contacts in the middle of last year. Was that the period you indicated where the sale was proceeding?
- A. That's when DeKalb was not in a position to negotiate anything. They apparently were reaching their agreement with Louis Dreyfuss. Neither party could negotiate at that time because of the sale of

the DeKalb interest to Dreyfuss.

- Q. What is the result of the last contact you have had with Dreyfuss? What did they indicate that their position would be?
- A. They indicated that they would probably join with us, that they were not interested in farming out, and also that they would not protest our hearing.
- Q. Let's move on to Devon Energy. Could you outline your contacts with Devon over the past years?
- A. Again, this is a situation where it involved a sale of assets from one company to the other, being Hondo Oil and Gas Company to Devon Energy Company.

Let's just start with the contacts made only with Devon as to our well proposal. On October 13, '92, by letter we requested Devon to farm out, sell, or join, and we submitted an AFE. There have been subsequent conversations either by phone or written as to the following dates: October 29, '92; October 30, 1992; again October 30, '92; November 11, '92; December 7, '92; December 16, '92; December 18, '92; January 13, '93.

- Q. What was your last contact with Devon, and what is their current position?
 - A. The very last contact was on January 18,

It was a phone conversation with Keith Brewer, 193. a landman with Devon. We advised them we were 2 offering the same deal that we gave Marathon. 3 He was to get back with me. I haven't heard back from him. And are Exhibits 5, 5-A and 5-B copies of Q. 5 your correspondence or your telephone notes with Devon 6 7 or Louis Dreyfuss? That's correct. 8 Α. In your opinion, have you made a good faith 9 Q. effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of these 10 persons? 11 I believe I have. 12 Does Mewbourne request that it be named Q. 13 operator of the well? 14 Yes, sir, that's correct. 15 Α. What is the working interest that Mewbourne 16 17 currently has in the well? Mewbourne currently has 75.261 percent of 18 Α. the spacing unit. 19 Which spacing unit? EXAMINER STOGNER: 20 THE WITNESS: East half, Section 15. 21 (BY MR. BRUCE) Would you please refer to 22 0. Exhibit 6 and discuss the costs of the proposed well? 23 24 Α. Exhibit No. 6 is an Authorization for

This is for our proposed Turkey Track 15

25

Expenditure.

State #2 Well. This AFE is dated October 27, '92. It describes the costs associated with their well. At the casing point we estimate \$429,908. For a completed well, we were estimating \$766,673. This is for an 11,000-foot well.

- Q. Is this proposed well cost in line with those normally encountered in drilling wells to this depth in this part of Eddy County?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Do you have a recommendation as to the amounts which Mewbourne should be paid for supervision and administrative charges?
- A. We are recommending \$6,167 per month be allowed for a drilling well. And \$626.50 per month be allowed for a producing well. These are the rates approved for the Turkey Track 15 State #1 well located adjacent to this proposed well. This was case No. 10485. That order issued was No. R-9688.
- Q. Do you also request that any operating charges be escalated annually?
- A. Yes, we also request that these operating charges be escalated annually.
- Q. And similar to the procedure that was authorized in Order No. R-9700?
 - A. That's correct.

Are these operating charges you have just 1 Q. recommended in line with those normally charged by 2 3 Mewbourne and other operators in Eddy County? We believe that to be correct. 4 Q. And what penalty do you recommend against 5 any nonconsenting interest owners? 6 7 Α. We are recommending well costs plus 200 8 percent. And will the geologists further discuss 9 Q. 10 this matter? Yes, they will. 11 Α. In your opinion, is the granting of this Q. 12 application in the interests of conservation and the 13 prevention of waste? 14 Yes, it is. Α. 15 Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you 16 Q. or compiled from company records? 17 18 Α. By me or under my direction. At this time, Mr. Examiner, I 19 MR. BRUCE: move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 6. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any 21 objections? 22 MR. KELLAHIN: No objections. 23 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 6 25 will be admitted into evidence.

Mr. Carr, do you have any questions of this witness?

MR. CARR: No questions, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. Mr. Haden, you requested an escalating charge pursuant to R-9700. Forgive me, I just don't remember that one off the top of my head. Could you further explain what those escalating charges are?
- A. Okay. This Order, again, R-9700, it's Case No. 10501. This order was issued August 6, '92. This had to do with a well which we proposed in the south half of Section 23 of Township 19 South, Range 27 East. We requested those escalation charges under the terms of the operating agreement under the COPAS procedure, accounting procedure.
 - Q. Well, explain them to me.
- A. On an annual rate basis, I believe it's on or about April 1 every year, those charges are increased or decreased annually, depending on the charges according to the Index of Average Weekly Earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers, as published by the United States Department

of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, etc. This is under the ordering paragraph No. 10 of said order.

Again, this provides that such wells will be adjusted on the first day of April of each year following the effective date of the order; that the adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the rate currently in use by the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of crude petroleum and gas production workers for the last calendar year compared to the preceding calendar year, as shown by the Index of Average Weekly Earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers, which is published, again, by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

And the adjusted rate shall be the rates currently in use plus or minus the computed adjustment. This order provided that we were authorized to withhold from production proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each nonconsenting working interest.

- Q. And under that, would Mewbourne submit for the record in this case every year what those charges are and what those escalations are so the record will be kept as a whole?
 - A. Yes, sir, we could do that.

Q. I haven't had time to sit down and draw out your Exhibit No. 4 which lays out within the east half the various interests as they appear in the east half, being either divided or undivided. It depends on where exactly at in the east half you are?

A. Right.

- Q. Are all proration units requested today, is there at least one of the parties to be force pooled represented in each one of those, what, four proration units you're requesting? Like I said, I haven't drawn it out, but I'm sure that you have and that you know this.
- A. Yes, that's correct, our drill site does involve these parties. I'm talking about the actual 40-acre tract and all the others.

MR. STOVALL: Where's the drill site? You said that.

THE WITNESS: It's in the northwest, the southeast quarter. If you look on my Exhibit No. 4, under the first set of ownerships, I have the north half southeast and then the north half northeast. I have Mewbourne Oil, Louis Dreyfuss. Excuse me, apparently Devon Energy is in the southeast of the northeast quarter. That's where their interest is derived wherein they have 50 percent interest in the

48 tract. EXAMINER STOGNER: You said the southeast 2 3 of the northeast is where Devon's is? 4 THE WITNESS: Devon's interest is in the southeast-northeast quarter. 5 MR. STOVALL: In other words, they wouldn't 6 7 be in the 320? 8 THE WITNESS: They are in the 320. MR. STOVALL: Right, but as far as smaller 9 spacing units, they would not be in anything? 10 11 THE WITNESS: Right. MR. STOVALL: Because the rest of them 12 would be in the northeast quarter. 13 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct. You also note that I have Marathon Oil 15 16 Company in this exhibit as being uncommitted. have since committed their interest to us. That was 17 18 yesterday -- actually, January 19. So that interest shown there for Marathon is Mewbourne Oil Company's 19 20 now. EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of 21 Mr. Haden? You may be excused. 22 Mr. Bruce? 23 MR. BRUCE: I'd call Mr. Harmon to the 24 25 stand.

DEXTER HARMON,

the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUCE:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

- Would you please state your name for the Q. record.
 - My name is Dexter Harmon.
 - Where do you reside? Q.
 - Midland, Texas. Α.
- What is your occupation, and who are you Q. employed by? 12
- Α. I'm a district geologist for Mewbourne Oil 13 Company. 14
 - And have you previously testified before Q. the Division as an expert geologist and had your credentials accepted?
 - Yes, I have. Α.
- And are you familiar with the geology 19 Q. involved in this well prospect? 20
 - Α. Yes, I am.
- Mr. Examiner, I tender the 22 MR. BRUCE: 23 witness as an expert petroleum geologist.
- 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Harmon is so
- qualified. 25

Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Harmon, would you please refer to Mewbourne's Exhibit 7 and discuss your proposed target zone and the other prospective zones in this area?

A. Mewbourne Oil Company finds it necessary to apply for and receive an unorthodox location to drill an 11,000-foot Morrow test on its north Turkey Track prospect located in Section 15 of 18 South, 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. A location 1,500 foot from the south line, the nearest in boundary of the stand-up unit, and 1,980 feet from the east line of Section 15 would give Mewbourne Oil Company a reasonable opportunity to encounter a net thickness of porous Morrow sand sufficient to make an economic well.

We feel a minimum thickness of 10 foot of net porous sand is needed to obtain a commercial well in this area. As you will see on the enclosed isopach maps, a standard location marked by an X on these maps will not provide that for us. These geologic maps will support Mewbourne's view that this unorthodox location indicated by a circle on the maps with a proposed location arrow pointing at it is necessary to give us the opportunity to get 10 foot of porous sand.

We feel there is a lot of geologic risk involved because of the nature of these Morrow sands being channels that are sometimes thin, narrow, sinuous, and discontinuous in this area. And also porosity comes and goes within the sands from well to well.

Exhibit No. 7 is a production map of all the wells that penetrate the Morrow in this area. It is color-coded as to the production zones in each well with the Cisco being colored in blue, the Atoka in purple, and the Morrow in orange.

This is a nine Section area surrounding
Section 15. In these nine sections there have been 13
Morrow penetrations, none of which were drilled in
Sections 15 or 21. Of those 13 penetrations, five of
them were economic Morrow producers. Of those five
wells, one of them is a very good well making 2.9 Bcf,
and that well is located in the south half of Section
23.

This map shows our east half proration unit outlined in yellow and our proposed location of 1,980 feet from the east line and 1,500 feet from the south line. It also shows cross-section D-D', which we'll get to in a minute.

The wells in Section 9 and 16 are in the

North Illinois Camp Morrow Pool, and the wells in Sections 14 and 23 are in the Turkey Track North Morrow Pool. The three sections between these two pools, 10, 15, and 22, do not have any Morrow production in them at all at the current time.

- Q. Why don't you move on to your cross-section, Mr. Harmon, and discuss the Morrow in a little more detail?
- A. Exhibit No. 8 is a stratigraphic cross-section of the Morrow and Atoka formations, and it's labeled D-D'. It's constructed from the northwest to southeast direction through the area along what we consider the depositional trends of these sands is. Each individual Morrow sand or depositional interval in the area has been identified and given a color name for mapping purposes. Below each log on this cross-section is a scout ticket and some Dwight's production data.

Corporations are colored in yellow, and the center depth column of each log, and drill stem test intervals are also marked in the center column of each log.

This cross-section is hung on the top of the lower Morrow for stratigraphic datum point, and this is also the point the structure map was

constructed from. The main objective of this prospect are the lower Morrow sands that you see colored on this cross-section in pink, orange and brown. cross-section demonstrates that the sands in this area thicken and thin, and the porosity within the sands come and go from location to location.

Do you have anything further on this exhibit, Mr. Harmon?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

22

23

24

- I could go through the results of each log on the cross-section, if you'd like.
- What are the two main -- what are the main 0. sands that you're hoping to --
- Α. Our main objective are the lower Morrow 13 sands in this area. 14
- And which, if you refer to them by color, 15 Q. which ones? 16
- It would be the pink, orange, and brown 17 Α. sands. 18
- Why don't we discuss these a little bit Q. Morrow on your next exhibits? Briefly, what is 20 Exhibit 9, Mr. Harmon? 21
 - Exhibit 9 is a structure mop of the top of the lower Morrow in this area. It shows southeast dip in this area of about 200 foot per mile. The proposed location is 150 foot downdip from the nearest Morrow

well, which is located in the east half of Section 16.

Q. Then why don't you move on to Exhibits 10, 11, and 12 together and discuss your primary zones of interest?

A. Exhibit No. 10 is a lower Morrow brown sand net porosity isopach map. On each of these maps, the producing zone is color coded so that you can tell which wells this sand produced in. And the brown sand produced in Section 9 in both wells in Section 9 and no other wells in this mapped area.

You can see we're truly trying to extend the production of this lower Morrow brown sand. It's a risky proposition, but our isopach map shows that, at the proposed location, we would anticipate 10 foot of porous sand.

Exhibit No. 11 is a lower Morrow orange sand net porosity isopach map. This is the most common lower Morrow sand producer in the area. It has good production in the east half of Section 16, but it was uneconomical in the west half of Section 16. Also produced two wells in Section 9, and it's part of the production of the well in the south half of Section 23, which is the best well in the area.

You can see the standard location marked by an X in our proposed location marked by a circle. We

just need to move a few hundred foot south to get 10 foot of porous sand, which we feel like is the minimum amount of sand to make a commercial well.

Exhibit No. 12 is the last map I've submitted for this hearing. It's a lower Morrow pink sand net porosity isopach map. You can see that in only one well, this pink sand had greater than -- well, had 10 foot of porous sand, and that's the well in the south half of Section 23.

Production is pretty spotty in this sand.

Again, according to this map, we just need to move a

little farther south to give us the opportunity to get

10 foot of this sand.

- Q. And if there are any nonconsenting interest owners under the compulsory pooling order, what penalty do you recommend against those interest owners?
 - A. We recommend cost plus 200 percent.
- Q. In your opinion, is that justified by the geological risk of this prospect?
 - A. Yes, it is.

Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this application in the interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 12 prepared by you or under your direction?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits 7 through 12.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 7 through 12 will be admitted into evidence

EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOGNER:

- Q. Mr. Harmon, in looking at your exhibits, over in the west half of Section 16 there seems to be a well that keeps popping up, but I don't believe I heard what that well is producing from. It doesn't appear to have penetrated the Morrow. Could you enlighten me a little bit more on that particular well?
- A. That well is the Mewbourne Oil Company
 Turkey Track State #1, and it is currently drilling.
- Q. Do you know what the depth of that well is at this point?
- A. My last report was at last night, and we were at 6400 feet.
 - Q. Look at Exhibit No. 1 that was submitted today. I'm sure you're familiar with it, a copy of

the Midland map. There shows to be some other wells located in here. And since we are force pooling other zones higher up, what are those wells producing from that are marked in the east half of Section 15?

A. Those are shallow Queen wells. They produce from a depth of around 2,000 foot.

- Q. And the Queen formation is not included in today's request?
- A. No. We're asking for 500 foot below the top of the San Andres, and the Queen is above the San Andres.
- Q. What other producing horizons between the San Andres and the Morrow are in this area?
- A. In this area, do you mean this township or part of the county?
- Q. You're force pooling 160 and 80 acres.

 Let's just go with 80. What pool is spaced on 80 in this area and what formation is that producing from, and actually that will have to be within a mile before that is specially spaced on 80 since New Mexico, as you know, doesn't have statewide 80-acre spacing.

 That only comes with special pool rules. So, since you're asking for it, what pool is within a mile of this well?
 - A. I'm not familiar with any.

- Q. But you are force pooling 80 acres?
- A. Yes, because we're drilling down through to the Morrow. This isn't a shallow, 2,000-foot test.

 Really, we could run into a Wolfcamp or a Bone Spring, you know, something that may need that.
 - Q. May need what?

- A. Eighty-acre spacing.
- Q. And why would it need 80-acre spacing?

 MR. STOVALL: Let me back up and do this a different way perhaps, Mr. Examiner.

Mr. Harmon, you understand that we cannot force pool into a spacing unit that is not established for the area? In other words, we can't force pool an 80-acre unit if there's no 80-acre special pool rules out in that vicinity. Do you understand that? Are you aware of that?

THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't aware of that.

MR. STOVALL: I guess the point the Examiner is making is you're asking to force pool on a spacing unit that doesn't exist in the area, and that a spacing unit would first have to be established before we could go to 80-acre spacing.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Stovall, for your information, when we filed the application, we did ask for 80-acre spacing, if any, in the area. At the time

that I filed the application, I was not certain if there were any.

MR. STOVALL: I understand, but I think at this point, I know you have talked to your clients on that, and I had some discussion with other members of the staff and explained this to them, but I think at this point I don't see that -- unless you know something, I don't think there's anything that we could pool on 80 acres because there is no 80-acre spacing in the area.

EXAMINER STOGNER: So 80 acres can be eliminated; is that right, Mr. Bruce, at this time since --

MR. BRUCE: If we're not within a mile.

THE WITNESS: Let us double-check that.

MR. BRUCE: Could I report back on the record at the end of today's hearing?

MR. STOVALL: Yeah, I think we can leave the record open until the end of the day. The pool is right here in the office, the best source -- you might check that just to make sure. If there are no 80-acre pools within a mile of this location, then it will --

MR. BRUCE: Then we will dismiss that.

Q. (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) In your cross-section D-D', it looks like the Atoka is a good

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING
P.O. BOX 9262
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-9262
(505) 984-2244

secondary interval in which it is going to be checking out, and it appears there are some Atoka, at least some wells that are perforated in the Atoka formation. Do you know what pool those are in? And I'm referring to the four on your right-hand side of the large Exhibit No. 8.

A. That's in the Eddy-Atoka Pool, as indicated on the production below the log on the cross-section. That's not a very good Atoka well. It made 147 million cubic feet in its first nine months of production. That's not a strong well.

Also, there is a drill stem test in the Atoka in the first well on the cross-section over by D. That proved to be wet in that well. So we don't have a strong economic Atoka producer in this area yet, but it is a target that we will be looking at.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Harmon?

MR. STOVALL: Not by me.

EXAMINER STOGNER: You may be excused.

Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Nothing further in this case,

23 Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Let's see, if I understand, Mr. Bruce, this case will need to be

readvertised and continued to the examiner hearing scheduled February 18, 1993, to take care of the readvertisement showing 500 feet below the top of the San Andres formation; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: That's correct, Mr. Examiner.

MR. STOVALL: We'll leave the record open,
Mr. Examiner, until the conclusion of the hearing
today to allow them to check the pool spacing in that
area.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: That's correct, I will check as soon as we're done with this next case.

EXAMINER STOGNER: The record will be left open.

(Thereupon, the proceedings continued and Case 10635 was reopened as follows:)

EXAMINER STOGNER: Before we continue with Case 10656, I'm going to allow Mr. Jim Bruce in Case No. 10635, the Mewbourne Oil Company, to make his report at this time.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, you had a question about 80-acre spacing. There is an 80-acre pool within a mile of the proposed well. It's the Travis Upper Pennsylvanian Pool under Order R-5643. The land in the pool includes the southeast quarter of

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING
P.O. BOX 9262
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-9262
(505) 984-2244

- 1	
1	Section 14 and north half of Section 23, 18 South, 28
2	East, which is within a mile of the proposed well.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: And that is spaced on 80
4	acres; is that correct?
5	MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
6	EXAMINER STOGNER: Travis Upper Penn.
7	Thank you, Mr. Jim Bruce.
8	MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: As stated, this case
LO	will be advertised and continued to the examiner's
11	hearing for February 18, 1993.
12	
13	
L 4	
1.5	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
۱6	a complete record of the proceedings in
L 7	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10135. heard by me of 12/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11
18	Mikus Tom, Examiner
19	Off Conservation Division
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
24	
2 5	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4) ss. 5 COUNTY OF SANTA FE I, Deborah O'Bine, Certified Shorthand 6 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that I 7 caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal 8 supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a 9 true and accurate record of the proceedings of said 10 hearing. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative 12 or employee of any of the parties or attorneys 13 involved in this matter and that I have no personal 14 interest in the final disposition of this matter. 15 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL, January 25, 1993. 16 17 18 DEBORAH O'BINE 19 CCR No. 63 20 OFFICIAL SEAL 21 DEBORAH O'BINE 22 NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF NEW MEXICO

My Commission Expires 11st 19, 1994

23

24